Exhibit No.:

Issues:

Rate Design

Witness:

Anne E. Ross

Sponsoring Party:

Mo PSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.:

GR-2004-0209

Date Testimony Prepared:

April 22, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

FILED

OF

JUL 13 2004

ANNE E. ROSS

Missouri Public Bervice Commission

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2004-0209

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2004

Exhibit No. <u>836</u>

Case No(s). <u>6-R-2004-0209</u>

Date <u>6-21-0 Y</u> Rptr____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates For Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area) Case No. GR-2004-0209)				
AFFIDAVIT OF ANNE E. ROSS					
STATE OF MISSOURI))ss COUNTY OF COLE)					
Anne E. Ross, of lawful age, on her oath states: That she has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.					
	Anne E. Ross				
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22 day of April, 2004.					
SHARON'S WILE Notary Public - Notar STATE OF MISSOI COLE COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXP. SEE	y Seeli URI				
·					

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WHY PROGRAMS FOR LOWER-INCOME CUSTOMERS ARE NEEDED]
WHO ARE THESE CUSTOMERS?	4
HISTORY OF LOW INCOME PROGRAMS AT THE PSC	8
PROGRAM GOALS	11
PROGRAM REVISIONS	11
PROGRAM ADDITIONS	14
SUMMARY	20

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		ANNE E. ROSS	
4		MISSOURI GAS ENERGY	
5		CASE NO. GR-2004-0209	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	A.	Anne E. Ross, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.	
8	Q.	What is the purpose of this Direct Testimony?	
9	A.	The purpose is to describe Staff's proposed adjustments to the	
10	Experimental	Low Income Rate (ELIR) program in Joplin, Missouri. While keeping the	
11	basic structure intact, these revisions and additions are designed to address some areas i		
12	which Staff believes that the current program can be improved. These areas are:		
13 14 15 16		Energy Efficiency through Weatherization and Education Arrearage Management and Timing of Bill Credits.	
17	Q.	Why are you filing the low-income program in the rate design portion	
18	of Staff's Direct?		
19	Α.	Low-income programs should not be considered a cost of service item	
20	for the utility that is necessary to provide safe and reliable service. Staff believes that		
21	low-income program as described in this Direct should be addressed in the rate desig		
22	portion of the Staff's case.		
23	WHY PROGRAMS FOR LOWER-INCOME CUSTOMERS ARE NEEDED		
24	Q.	Why does the Commission need to set up a special program for MGE's	
25	lower-income	e natural gas customers?	

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

There are two reasons. First, in March, 2004, the Commission created a A. Cold Weather Rule and Long Term Energy Affordability Task Force to examine and propose changes to the Cold Weather Rule, and also to "examine possible programs for improving long-term energy affordability for those in need of assistance . . ." and report "findings, and any recommendations . . . no later than March 31, 2005." It will aid the task force in its examination of these issues if actual Missouri-specific information could be collected.

Second, Staff believes that, with the volatile price of natural gas, lower-income customers are increasingly finding themselves unable to pay their full gas bills and programs should be designed to address this instead of forcing utilities to implement their collection or disconnect policies. The price of the commodity has risen dramatically and few programs have been designed to address this problem.

- Q. Do you have any real evidence that MGE's gas customers are having increasing problems paying their bill?
- A. Yes. In response to Staff Request No. 119, MGE provided information that suggests that the balance in the Company's Uncollectible account has gone up 106% in the past year.

The inability of customers to pay their full bill is more than a personal or social problem - it is a revenue problem for the utility, and ultimately a cost borne by other customers. Arrearages, written-off bad debt, customer service and collection expenses, the costs of disconnecting service - all are costs driven by customers' inability to pay, and may ultimately be factored into the rates paid by all customers.

Q. Have you seen any evidence that taking action to make customers' bills affordable lowers the level of a Company's expenses in a cost-effective way?

A. Yes. A 1997 Order of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission stated that, "Given the result of impact evaluations already reviewed, we expect that EDCs [Electric Distribution Companies] will choose to enhance their CAPs [Consumer Assistance Programs] as a cost effective strategy for serving low-income customers." (Final Order Re: Guidelines for Universal Service and Energy Conservation Programs, Made Pursuant to 66 Pa. C,S, Sections 2803, 2802 (17), 2804(8) and 2804(9), Docket No. M-00960890F00100, issued July 7, 1997).

According to an article in the Northwest Energy Coalition Report, Public Utility Commissions in Pennsylvania, New York, and Wisconsin, "recognized that utility investments in bill assistance benefit both shareholders and other ratepayers by reducing utilities' costs." (Volume 17, Northwest Energy Coalition Report, No. 10 at p.3)

In its evaluation of the Oregon Energy Assistance Program (OEAP), a program providing regular, and/or crisis, bill-paying assistance to low-income households, Quantec LC concluded that from the company and ratepayer perspective, three year benefit/cost (b/c) ratios ranged from 0.96 b/c in year one to 1.61 b/c in year three, with societal/Oregon b/c ratios of 1.03 b/c to 1.71 b/c. (Table V.2). Benefits were measured by looking at, among other things, the reduction in arrearages, terminations, collection activities, and shutoffs.

Q. Won't lowering the amount that customers must pay for their energy then lower the amount the utility collects?

A.

2

1

3

5

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

22

23

24

25

26

20

WHO ARE THESE CUSTOMERS?

all.

21 Q. Are there different types of low-income customers?

A. Yes. The Staff believes there are three general types of low-income customers.

No, in fact, it may very well increase the amount collected. An evaluation

of the Guarantee of Service Plan, a Percentage of Income Payment Plan, initiated by

Clark County, WA, Clark Public Utilities, and NW Natural Gas, found that before the

Guarantee of Service Plan program began, low-income customers paid about \$29/month

to the utility; after participation in the program, average monthly payments increased to

\$52/month. (NW ECR; 17/10, p. 1) The study estimated that, by the third year, the utility

had saved about \$300,000 as a direct result of a 36% decline in the amount written off

due to non-payment, (NW ECR; 17/10, p. 1) and concluded that "most impressive was

the fact that low-income customers themselves paid more once they felt their utility bills

were under control." (Volume 17, Northwest Energy Coalition Report, No. 10 at pp.3-4)

Thus, if the bills are made more affordable, experience

demonstrates that low-income customers in general will

pay more of their bill. As arrearages grow, low-income

customers are apt to become fearful of ever getting out from under their debt...In fact, that customer is likely to

become discouraged and to stop making any payments at

by Jerrold Oppenheimer and Theo MacGregor, the authors comment that:

In "Low Income Consumer Utility Issues: National Perspective," a 2000 report

Q. Please describe the different types of low-income customers.

A. The first group of low-income customers are households with extremely low or no income. These are people who will probably never be able to pay their gas

ੂ

bills, no matter what the price. They are unable to obtain sufficient income and use it to pay their utility bills. Perhaps they are elderly, with little retirement income, or disabled, or mentally or physically ill, or maybe they just can't hold a job. In addition, there are households who just refuse or can't pay their heating bill regardless of the amount of the bill. Whatever the reason, these households need heat in the winter.

The second group of low-income customers has a source of income, but it may not be sufficient to pay portions of their energy bills, especially in times of extreme weather. These households may have been unable to pay their full gas bills before the increase in natural gas prices, and are even further behind currently. These households often must rely on public or private energy assistance to stay current with their energy bills.

The third group of low-income customers is composed of customers who have a steady source of income, but earn barely enough to meet their families' basic needs; for example, senior citizens on a fixed income, and the 'working poor' or 'new poor', as I have heard them characterized. An increase in the price of a necessity, like natural gas, can create a situation where these customers can no longer pay their utility bills without foregoing other, equally important needs, like food or medical care. Unlike the households in the second group, these low-income customers often do not qualify for energy assistance because of their annual income. But, like customers in the second group, these customers continue to struggle to stay current with their energy bills.

Q. Please describe your definition of "low-income customers" for this program.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

For purposes of this program, the term "low-income customer" or A. household refers to households with income from 0% - 125% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL).

- Q. What are some of the factors that exacerbate the inability of these households to pay their utility bill?
- A. Low-income households spend a much larger percentage of their income on energy compared to moderate-or higher-income households. While a low-income family can scale down some expenditures (i.e., buy an older car, shop at thrift shops), they can only cut back so far on the amount of natural gas required to keep their family warm in the winter, which gives them little control over their bill. Compounding the problem, the homes of many of these customers are older structures with inadequate insulation, inefficient furnaces, and other problems, which make the gas usage of the household unnecessarily high. Higher-efficiency appliances, or measures such as insulation, will save money over the life of the improvement, but the initial investment is currently not within their income levels.
- Q. Gas bills fluctuate during any year. Does this pose an additional problem for low-income customers?
- A. Yes. Bills that fluctuate to the degree that gas bills do can affect many households, regardless of income level. Many low-income customers have fixed incomes, and cannot deal with the significant fluctuations in gas bills. They are in a financial position where they have little or no savings, and most likely have few sources of supplemental income. The inability to pay utility bills creates frustration and a feeling of hopelessness. To complicate matters even more, during the summer when the

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19 20

21

customer could begin to pay down their gas arrearages, many customers are facing the challenge of staying current on their electric bills. This challenge these customers face regarding their ability to pay their gas and electric bills decreases the effectiveness of utilities' level payment plans.

- Q. What is one possible customer response to this type of chronic income shortfall?
- A. The customer pays the bills, or a portion of it. They may go without other necessities but, if at all possible, they pay the bill.
- Q. What do you believe finally happens with those customers who find that they cannot stay current with paying their bills and start accumulating large bill arrearages?
- A. The situation snowballs. The customer, who apparently couldn't pay his bill before, now owes for past usage as well as current usage. Late charges are assessed, which just increases the amount owed by the customer. I believe that many customers eventually give up. The situation begins to appear hopeless so the customer pays other, more manageable bills.
- How can a household receive gas heating service if they do not have the O. income to pay for it?
- A. There are a variety of ways – by using emergency energy assistance, by changing the name on the account, by moving, by discontinuing gas service in the warm months, and many others that I'm not even aware of.

Α.

HISTORY OF LOW- INCOME ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES AT THE **PSC**

3 4

What has been the Public Service Commission's response to this problem? Q.

The Cold Weather Rule was implemented by the Commission in 1977,

5

with an Emergency Cold Weather Rule enacted during the winter of 2000-2001. As

6

discussed earlier, a task force has been created to review and suggest changes to the Cold

7

Weather Rule. Furthermore, the Commission Staff has been actively involved in several

8

task forces and committees, such as the Missouri Energy Task Force, the Natural Gas

9

Commodity Price Task Force, and the Committee to Keep Missourians Warm, as well as

10

other activities, such as the Town Hall Meetings held last fall around the state. The Staff

11

believes that in the Cold Weather Rule, the Commission has recognized the need for

12

space heating for low-income customers during the winter period. However, this

13

program does not provide protection for the customer(s) once the winter season has

14

passed and the spring season arrives. At that time, many low-income customers have

15

large arrearages and face the immediate threat of losing their gas service.

16

What has been the response of the natural gas utilities to this growing Q.

17

problem?

A.

18

Missouri Gas Energy (MGE) implemented an Experimental Low-Income Rate program as part of the settlement of Case No. GR-2001-292. This program assists

19 20

MGE households at 100% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and below by applying a

21

monthly credit to their bill. Empire District Electric Company has also implemented a

22

program similar to the MGE program described above.

23

24

AmerenUE has the ongoing Dollar More program, and, as a result of the settlement of Case No. EC-2002-1, AmerenUE, as part of the agreement, committed to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

make an initial \$5 million contribution to its Dollar More Program on September 1, 2002, and continues to contribute \$1 million more each year for the next four years. AmerenUE also created a weatherization fund for its low-income customers, and initially funded it with \$2 million on September 1, 2002, and continues to contribute an additional \$500,000 each year for the next four years. As a result of AmerenUE's initial \$5 million contribution to Dollar More, AmerenUE initiated a program called "Clean Slate," which provided 100% arrearage forgiveness to certain ratepayers. The results of the Clean Slate Program have not been fully compiled and evaluated. Finally, in the settlement of Case No. GR-2003-0517, the Commission's order provides \$100,000 to fund an experimental weatherization/discounted rate program in the Scott/Stoddard county area.

As a result of recently settled Case No. GR-2004-0072, Aquila Networks, Inc., Staff, and the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) have also begun the planning and implementation of a program with both weatherization and fixed-bill-credit components.

- What are the bill-assistance programs currently available to MGE's Q. low-income natural gas heating customers?
- A. There are two main programs: Energy Assistance (EA), and the Emergency Crisis Intervention Program (ECIP).
 - Q. Please describe the EA program.
- The EA program uses Federal Home Energy Assistance Program Α. (LIHEAP) grants to provide one-time (per heating season) assistance to households who:
 - Are at or below 125% of the current (FPL).
 - Are responsible for the fuel bills.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

PROGRAM GOALS

18

19

20

results to:

21 22

23 24 25

This assistance can be applied to their primary heat source, and is a set dollar amount based on the type of fuel, region, household size, and income. Households apply at the Community Action Agency (CAP) serving their area. 2003 EA grants rainged from \$139 - \$237 per applicant household for the Southern region of Missouri.

- Q. Please describe the Emergency Crisis Intervention Program (ECIP).
- A. The ECIP program uses LIHEAP funds to provide emergency assistance to households that:
 - Are at or below 125% of the FPL.
 - Have received a shut-off notice from the utility. This requirement is relaxed if you are age 65 or older.

This assistance is the lesser of the amount required to maintain or reconnect service, or \$600, per heating season. The information gathered for EA funds, along with a shut-off notice, is used to determine customer eligibility.

- Q. Are these programs sufficient in getting low-income customers to the point where they can pay their bill in full, and on time?
 - A. No, not generally.
 - Q. What should the goals be for this experimental program?
- A. The goals of this program are to gather data, perform analysis and provide
 - Help Missouri policy-makers develop cost-effective state-wide programs to make space-heating affordable to low-income customers.
 - Measure the effects of positive incentives on timely bill payment compared to current negative incentives such as collection activities and service disconnections.

1 2

- To increase the energy efficiency of low-income households through weatherization and other methods.
- Ensure the program has a benefit/cost ratio greater than one.

PROGRAM REVISIONS

- Q. What are the revisions to the current program that Staff believes are appropriate?
 - A. The revisions are as follows:
 - The income requirement for the program will go up to 125% of FPL, as opposed to the current ceiling of 100%.
 - Bill credits will be changed from \$20/\$40, 12 months out of the year, to \$20/50 for the five space-heating months of November March.
 - Households participating in the program will not be <u>required</u> to participate in MGE's budget billing, or ABC, plan.
 - If the customer has an arrearage balance, these balances will be repaid at the rate of no more than \$30 per month. The customer can make extra payments, if desired, but will not be required to do so.
 - The 24 month time limitation for participation in the program is removed.
 - Q. Please discuss the first revision.
- A. At the current time, the program is only offered to customers whose household income is from 0 100% of the FPL. Staff proposes that the upper income limit be raised to include all households that currently qualify for federal energy assistance.
 - Q. How are the credits structured in the current program?
- A. Currently, households in the 0-50% FPL range receive a monthly credit of \$40, year-round. The credits for households in the 51-100% FPL range are \$20, and are also applied 12 months out of the year.

Q. What changes is Staff proposing to these credits?

3

2

in the five heating months of November – March. Fifty dollar credits will apply to ... households in the 0-50% of the FPL. Twenty dollar credits will apply to households in

the Company's tariff.

A.

5

4

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

households in the 0-50% of the FPL. Twenty dollar credits will apply to households in the 51-125% FPL.

Q. Why is Staff proposing that credits only apply during November – March?

A. This credit period corresponds to the winter heating season as described in

Staff is proposing that the \$20 and \$50 credits be applied to customer bills

Q. What is a levelized payment plan?

A. A levelized payment plan is one in which the utility customer pays the same amount each month, regardless of the household's actual usage in that month. The amount the customer pays is based on their historical and/or estimated usage. The levelized payment is reviewed annually and is trued up.

- Q. Does MGE offer a levelized payment plan?
- A. Yes. MGE's plan is called the Average Bill Calculation, or ABC plan.
- Q. Is this program offered to all Residential customers, including those on the current ELIR program?
- A. The program is optional for most Residential customers; at the current time it is required for the customers on the ELIR.
 - Q. Does the Staff propose a modification to this requirement?
- A. Yes. Staff proposes that customers on the ELIR rate have the option of ABC billing, but does not believe it should be required.

3

4 5 6

7

8 9 10

11

12

14

13

16

15

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26

Q. Why do you believe that the ABC billing requirement should not be a condition of this program?

There are two reasons. A.

- The customer may not be in a levelized payment plan with their electricity provider, and may depend on getting a low natural gas bill in the summer, when their electric bills are likely to be at their highest.
- Rather than a monthly amount year-round including the summer months - the Staff's plan offers a bill credit during the winter months of November – March, when natural gas usage for space heating tends to be highest. This makes an ABC plan less beneficial.
- Q. Why is Staff proposing a ceiling on the amount of arrearage repayment required of participating MGE customers?
- A. It is not good policy to require arrearages to be paid off in a certain amount of time, regardless of the level of the payment. In the present program, a customer having a \$600 arrearage balance would be required to pay from \$20 - \$50 monthly on this balance as a requirement of participation. The customers in the lowest income levels of the program may have a household income of as low as \$200 per month, which means that a standardized arrearage repayment schedule would force them to pay 10% of their income for past usage. Remember, they will also be asked to pay their bill, which includes the arrearage portion, fully, and on time. The additional burden of arrearage payments may prevent the customer from staying current with their bills.
 - Q. Please describe the 24-month limit on program participation.
- A. Currently, the tariff states that "Participants in the ELIR will receive the ELIR credit for which the participant qualifies for up to 24 months..." Staff believes that this restriction should be lifted, and customers allowed to continue to participate as long

3

4

5

6 7 8

9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17 18

19

41

as they meet the criteria. The program will be evaluated at the end of two years and a recommendation will be made on the effectiveness of the program.

PROGRAM ADDITIONS

- What are the additions to the current program that Staff believes are appropriate? Q.
 - The additions are as follows: A.
 - MGE will initiate the outreach portion of the program by sending a letter to all previous participants in the ELIR program notifying them of the opportunity to participate in this program.
 - All customers on the program will have an energy audit, and their home will be weatherized if needed.
 - Program participants will receive energy efficiency education.
 - Customers will receive a general waiver of late fees and charges on past balances while participating in the program. If the customer pays a late or partial payment, they may receive a late fee for the required payment in that month only, not on any arrears.
 - If the customer has paid the previous six months of bills fully and on time, MGE will write off an amount equal to the customers' arrearage payments during those six month, up to a limit of no more than \$200 in any six month period. MGE will not charge this arrearage write-off to the Company's bad debt account.
 - Participants will be allowed three occurrences of late or partial payment in their first year on the program. After the second occurrence, an MGE service representative will personally contact the customer - not to collect the money, but to review the program requirements and discuss options with them. After the third occurrence, the service representative will inform the customer that one more late payment will make the customer ineligible to continue in the program. After the third occurrence, the service representative will again contact the customer and the household will be taken off the program. Unless a special waiver is granted, subsequent incidents will be handled using the Company's normal collection policies, with the collection timeline beginning at that point.
 - In their second year on the program, a participant will be allowed two occurrences of late or partial payment. After the second occurrence, an MGE service representative will personally contact the customer.

Payment options will be discussed with the customer at that time and the customer will be informed that if one more payment is late the household becomes ineligible to continue in the program.

- Q. What outreach activities are you proposing?
- A. MGE will send all former program participants, and any others from this area who have received LIHEAP assistance in the past two years, a letter explaining the new program. The letter will contain contact information for an MGE service representative or the Joplin CAP agency.
 - Q. Why is weatherization an addition to the current program?
- A. Weatherization should be a part of any low-income program. The installation of permanent, cost-effective efficiency weatherization measures is an excellent long-term action to address the problem of unaffordable natural gas bills, and should be a part of any program designed to assist low-income customers in paying their utility bills.
 - Q. How much, on average, does it cost to weatherize a home in Missouri?
 - A. In Missouri, the average cost to weatherize a home is \$2,600.
 - Q. What is the estimated life of weatherization measures?
 - A. Weatherization measures have a life of up to 20 years.
 - Q. What is the estimated energy savings for a weatherized home?
- A. Weatherizing a home cuts both heating and cooling costs, with resulting estimated annual natural gas savings as high as 23%, and annual electricity savings at about 12%.
 - Q. What type of cost/benefit ratio do weatherization measures have?

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

A. Various studies have estimated the cost/benefit ratio of weatherization to be as high as 3.71 for each dollar invested.

- What are some of the benefits of weatherization for the household? Q.
- A. Performing needed weatherization should lower the household's energy bills. This will enable the household to use the same amount of energy and spend less, or, if the household has been turning down the furnace in an attempt to keep the bill low, the household can use an adequate amount of energy for the same price.

Safety is another benefit of weatherization. During the weatherization audit and work, inspectors also measure carbon monoxide levels in the home, make sure that there is an adequate number of working smoke alarms, and detect dangers such as faulty wiring or unsafe appliances. Once the weatherization is completed, the safety of the household is increased due to the decreased use of hazardous heat sources, such as a damaged furnace, old electric space heater or unsafe woodstove, which reduce the possibility of a fire or other accident.

- Q. Can ratepayer funded weatherization of low income customers benefit all MGE customers?
- A. Weatherization reduces expenses associated with the collection activities of the utility, since households are better able to pay their bill in full. It reduces the dollar amount of late payments and the amount of uncollectible expense. Disconnection and reconnection expenses are also expected to decrease. All of these actions benefit the other utility customers. These types of savings must be tracked by the Staff and Company to determine the level of benefits this program will provide to all customers of MGE's customers.

Q.

customers who have previously been weatherized?

A. Yes. Staff proposes that this program be available to households who

Does the Staff propose to offer the low-income rate to qualified MGE

A. Yes. Staff proposes that this program be available to households who have been weatherized in the past three years. By offering this rate to those low-income customers, Staff will be able to gather more information upon which to measure many of the premises contained in this program design, it will increase the potential pool of applicants.

Q. If a low-income household was weatherized previously, will the appropriate usage information be available?

A. Yes. MGE does not purge its records, so there should be several years of records available on many of these households.

Q. Please describe the energy efficiency education that Staff proposes to add to the current ELIR program.

A. Energy education is another important component of a program designed to make energy bills affordable; therefore, all new participants will be asked to attend a short class on energy conservation. In addition, each customer will receive on-site energy efficiency education when the home is weatherized.

Q. What is Staff's position on the current program's policy regarding late fees?

A. Many customers coming on the program will have some level of unpaid past bills. These customers will receive a general waiver of late fees and charges on their arrearage balance while in the program. The exception to this will be in the case that the

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

customer makes a late or partial payment. In this case, the Company may assess a late fee, but on the current bill only.

- Q. Please discuss the Staff's proposal to allow up to three late or partial payments the first year of the program, and two the second year of the program.
- A. The incentives in this program are designed to encourage, and enable, regular and prompt utility bill payment for lower-income customers. This program will require behavioral changes for some customers to pay their bills on time. This change in a customer's payment habits will not occur automatically. In recognition of this, the Staff is proposing that a certain number of these occurrences be expected and designed for in a customer's first and second year on the program.
 - Q. In the first year, what will happen after the first late pay occurrence?
- A. Nothing. The customer will be charged a late charge on the current bill, but will not be contacted by MGE.
 - What will happen after the second occurrence? Q.
- A. At this point, the MGE customer service representative will contact the customer - not to collect money, but to review the program parameters, and discuss options with them, as applicable.
 - Q. What will happen after the third occurrence in the first year?
- A. The MGE customer service representative will again contact the customer, explain that the customer cannot remain on the program if they have any more late or incomplete payments, and discuss alternatives such as the ABC plan. In any of these contacts, a waiver may be granted for special circumstances, such as illness, that will provide that successive occurrences are treated as one occurrence. The financial security

of lower-income households can be tenuous at best, and occurrences such as unexpected medical bills, car repairs, or an involuntary reduction in hours worked per week can easily wreck even the most carefully designed budget. The Staff, therefore, would support granting a variance to customers who experience these situations. The variance would allow the customer to continue on the program.

- Q. In the second year, what will happen after the first occurrence?
- A. The actions taken by an MGE customer service representative will be similar to those taken in the first year, but will commence with the first occurrence, rather than the second.
- Q. What will be done about the 300 customers participating in the current program?
- A. These customers will be given a choice as to whether they want to remain in the current program, or transfer to this revised program. Safeguards will have to be put in place to insure that customers do not receive any more assistance if changing programs than would otherwise be received.
 - Q. Who will administer this program?
- A. MGE will continue to administer the program. The Community Action Agency for this area Economic Security Corporation of Southwest MO will take applications and certify customers for the program. Since the criteria for this program is the same as that collected with LIHEAP applications, this should not be a burdensome task for the agency.
 - Q. When does the Staff believe this program can be implemented?

- A. While MGE will have to do mailings, and may even hold informational meetings in the Joplin area, the program is largely in place, and Staff believes that the revisions and additions could be accomplished quickly.
 - Q. How will the weatherization and bill credits be funded?
- A. An 8¢ monthly surcharge added to Residential customers' bills. The funds generated by this surcharge will be adequate to provide bill credits of \$20 and \$50 to customers for the winter months and weatherize approximately 100 homes annually. Furthermore there are funds remaining from the previous surcharge, which can also be used to weatherize homes for study participants, and Staff recommends that these funds be used in this manner over the life of the revised experimental program.

SUMMARY

- Q. Please summarize the Staff's position.
- A. The Staff is proposing that the current MGE experimental program be continued with certain addition and modifications. To fund the program, the 8¢ residential monthly surcharge should be reinstated.
 - Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
 - A. Yes.