Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Witness:

Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party:

Case No .:

Date Testimony Prepared:

Rates

Maurice Brubaker **Direct Testimony** 

Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

EC-2014-

February 7, 2014

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Filed June 23, 2014 **Data Center** Missouri Public Service Commission

In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large **Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease** its Rate for Electric Service

Case No. EC-2014-

Direct Testimony and Schedules of

Maurice Brubaker

On behalf of

Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

February 7, 2014



Woranda Exhibit No. Date 6-16-11 Reporter\*\* File No EC-2014-022

Project 9851

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service	) ) ) Case No. EC-2014 )
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) SS COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS )	
Affidavit of Maurice	Brubake <u>r</u>
Maurice Brubaker, being first duly sworn, on his	oath states:
<ol> <li>My name is Maurice Brubaker. I am a Inc., having its principal place of business at 160 Chesterfield, Missouri 63017. We have been retain proceeding on its behalf.</li> </ol>	a consultant with Brubaker & Associates, 690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140, ned by Noranda Aluminum, Inc. in this
Attached hereto and made a part hereo and schedules which were prepared in written form the Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014	of for all purposes are my direct testimony for introduction into evidence in Missouri
3. I hereby swear and affirm that the testing and that they show the matters and things that they put	mony and schedules are true and correct rport to show.
Maur	lanin Brisistanice Brubaker
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6 <sup>th</sup> day of Feb.	ruary, 2014.
TAMMY S. KLOSSNER Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI St. Charles County My Commission Expires: Mar. 14, 2015 Commission # 11024862	mmy & Klossner ry Public

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service	) ) ) ) Case No. EC-2014 ) )
Rate for Electric Service	) _ )

#### **Direct Testimony of Maurice Brubaker**

- 1 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A Maurice Brubaker. My business address is 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140,
- 3 Chesterfield, MO 63017.
- 4 Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
- 5 A I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and President of Brubaker &
- 6 Associates, Inc., energy, economic and regulatory consultants.
- 7 Q PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
- 8 A This information is included in Appendix A to this testimony.
- 9 Q ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 10 A This testimony is presented on behalf of Noranda Aluminum, Inc. ("Noranda").

_	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?	
U	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTINIONY?	

A Mr. Kip Smith has explained the economic conditions in the aluminum industry and detailed how they are affecting Noranda's aluminum smelter at New Madrid. As part of his testimony, he has identified the challenges facing Noranda and is requesting the Commission to approve an adjustment in the rate paid by Noranda and to approve a long-term rate plan. The purpose of my testimony is to quantify the revenue consequences of that rate request and to explain how rates could be adjusted to compensate for that request on a basis that is revenue-neutral to Ameren Missouri.

### 10 Q WHAT IS THE RATE SCHEDULE UNDER WHICH NORANDA CURRENTLY

11 TAKES SERVICE?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 A Noranda currently takes service under Service Classification No. 12(M) ("SC No. 12(M)"), the Large Transmission Service rate.
- 14 Q WHAT IS THE AVERAGE COST PER KILOWATT-HOUR ("KWH") TO NORANDA

  15 UNDER SC NO. 12(M)?
- 16 A Under the final rates approved in Ameren Missouri's most recent rate case (Case No. ER-2012-0166) and Noranda's test year volumes, the average base rate revenue paid to Ameren Missouri is \$37.94 per megawatt-hour ("MWh"), or 3.794¢ per kWh. This is the composite effect of the customer charge, demand charge, energy charge and other charges in the tariff. Test year base rate revenues were approximately

1	\$158 million. The current Fuel Adjustment Charge ("FAC") of \$3.50 per MWh brings
2	he total Ameren Missouri cost to \$41.44/MWh on a test year basis. <sup>1</sup>

Q

Α

# HAVE YOU DEVELOPED A SAMPLE TARIFF TO EFFECTUATE NORANDA'S RATE REQUEST?

Yes. Schedule MEB-1 is the illustrative (EXEMPLAR) tariff I am proposing for this purpose. In order to allow the existing SC No. 12(M) to remain available to other customers (Noranda is currently the only customer) I have left SC No. 12(M) unchanged and created Service Classification No. 10(M) ("SC No. 10(M)"), described as "Large Transmission Service Rate Applicable to Aluminum Smelters."

The energy charge is the \$30 per MWh (3.0¢ per kWh) rate supported by Mr. Smith.

The other provision in the pricing section of the tariff recognizes the low-income pilot program that is being conducted. Noranda currently pays \$1,500 per month toward this pilot program and that charge would continue. In addition, provision has been made to allow that number to grow in the event that the program is expanded. The not-to-exceed amount under this provision is stated as the current \$1,500 per month plus 100 times the monthly low-income program charge paid by a residential customer consuming 1,500 kWh of energy per month.

Other provisions of the tariff have been adjusted in order to implement the requested rate treatment, including maintaining the terms and conditions over the 10-year period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Based on test year usage, current base rates and current FAC, and the approximately \$1.50/MWh paid to Associated Electric Cooperative to wheel power to the smelter, the "all-in" cost is \$42.94/MWh. Based on forecasted usage and a lower FAC, Mr. Smith estimates the all-in cost to be \$41/MWh in 2014, and \$41.50/MWh in 2015.

1	Q	HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE DOLLAR REDUCTION IN BASE RATE
2		REVENUES THAT WOULD BE ASSOCIATED WITH IMPLEMENTATION OF
3		NORANDA'S RATE REQUEST?
4	Α	Yes. This calculation is summarized on Schedule MEB-2.
5	Q	PLEASE EXPLAIN THIS SCHEDULE.
6	Α	The average rate per kWh paid by Noranda under SC No. 12(M) that was approved
7		in Case No. ER-2012-0166, at Noranda's test year kWh consumption, is 3.794¢ per
8		kWh as shown on line 1. Comparing that to the requested 3¢ per kWh rate indicates
9		a difference of 0.794¢ per kWh, as shown on line 3. Line 4 shows Noranda's test

### 12 Q DO YOU HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FOR HOW TO ADJUST BASE RATES OF

#### OTHER CUSTOMER CLASSES TO IMPLEMENT THIS RATE ADJUSTMENT?

determined by multiplying the figure on line 3 times the kWh shown on line 4.

Yes. I believe that the most reasonable way would be by means of an equal percentage increase applied to the test year base rate revenues of the other major customer classes. This approach treats all classes the same way and maintains the interclass revenue relationships established in the Final Order in Case No. ER-2012-0166.

year kWh and line 5 shows the \$33.1 million base rate adjustment which is

#### HAVE YOU PERFORMED THIS CALCULATION?

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q

Α

A Yes. It appears on Schedule MEB-3. Column 1 shows the test year base rate revenues of each class and Column 2 shows the adjustment. The adjustment is developed by multiplying the test year base rate revenues in Column 1 times

1		1.2514%. This is the amount necessary to recover the \$33.1 million base rate
2		revenue decrease associated with Noranda's rate request.
3	Q	DOES NORANDA CURRENTLY PAY ANY OTHER CHARGES THAT IT WOULD
4		NOT PAY UNDER ITS RATE REQUEST?
5	Α	Yes. Noranda also pays an FAC which, as previously noted, currently is 0.350¢ per
6		kWh. That amount will likely change between now and the time that the rate
7		adjustment is implemented. However, whatever FAC revenue reduction occurs when
8		the rate adjustment is implemented will be picked up automatically through the
9		operation of the FAC. (At current rates, FAC payments by Noranda amount to
10		approximately \$14.6 million per year.) At the level of the current FAC, the
11		combination of the reduction in base revenues and in FAC revenues is approximately
12		\$47.7 million per year. <sup>2</sup>
13	Q	ARE RATES THAT ARE DESIGNED TO RETAIN AT-RISK LOADS TYPICALLY
14		PRICED BELOW FULL EMBEDDED COST OF SERVICE?
15	Α	Yes. The concept behind a load retention rate is to retain on the system a load that
16		otherwise might not be served.
17		The basis for such a rate is typically a price above variable cost so that some
18		contribution to fixed costs is provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>If the FAC remains at its current level, the average revenue change to the other major rate classes, considering both base rates and the FAC, would be 1.80%.

1	Q	WHAT IS THE AVERAGE VARIABLE COST ASSOCIATED WITH PROVIDING
2		SERVICE TO NORANDA?
3	Α	Based on the final rates adopted in Case No. ER-2012-0166 the average variable
4		cost included in base rates (net base energy costs) is approximately 1.469¢ per kWh.
5		The cost currently is approximately 1.82¢ per kWh because of the existence of a
6		positive FAC factor.3
7		Because the 3.0¢ per kWh price to be paid by Noranda is in excess of
8		average variable cost it provides a positive contribution and offset to fixed costs and
9		provides a benefit to other customers.
10	Q	HAVE YOU CALCULATED WHAT THE NET REVENUE LOSS WOULD BE IF
11		NORANDA WERE NOT OPERATING THE SMELTER?
12	Α	Yes. Based on the estimated reduction in Ameren Missouri's Actual Net Energy
13		Costs ("ANEC") provided to me by my colleague Mr. Dauphinais, I have calculated
14		that the net revenue loss if the smelter were not served would be approximately \$60
15		million⁴ per year.
16	Q	HOW DOES THIS AMOUNT COMPARE TO THE REDUCTION IN REVENUES
17		UNDER THE REQUESTED RATE PLAN WHEREIN THE SMELTER CONTINUES
18		AS A RETAIL CUSTOMER OF AMEREN MISSOURI BUT AT A RATE LOWER
19		THAN WHAT IT CURRENTLY PAYS?
20	Α	In the scenario where the smelter remains as a retail customer of Ameren Missouri
21	•	but at a lower rate, the calculated revenue reduction was \$33.1 million in base

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ 1.469¢ + 0.35¢ = 1.819¢  $^4$ (\$0.03794 + \$0.0035 - \$0.02705) (4,168,922,201) = \$59,990,790. The impact on the other major customer classes to hold Ameren Missouri harmless would be 2.27%.

1		revenues and \$14.6 million in FAC, for a total of \$47.7 million, or 1.80%. Because
2		this amount is smaller than the \$60 million (2.27%) net revenue loss that would be
3		incurred were the smelter not to operate, the requested rate plan also is reasonable
4		when evaluated on this basis.
5	Q	HAVE YOU PREPARED TARIFF SHEETS THAT SHOW HOW THE RATES
6		CHARGED TO CUSTOMERS IN OTHER CLASSES WOULD CHANGE IN ORDER
7		TO RECOVER THE ADDITIONAL REVENUES SHOWN ON SCHEDULE MEB-3?
8	Α	Yes. This is shown on the "EXEMPLAR" tariff sheets in Schedule MEB-4.
9		These rates were developed by increasing current charges (excluding charges
10		for the low-income pilot plan and for energy efficiency) for each rate schedule in order
11		to produce the additional revenues shown in Column (2) of Schedule MEB-3.
12	Q	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
13	Α	Yes.

#### **Qualifications of Maurice Brubaker**

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	Α	Maurice Brubaker. My business address is 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140,
3		Chesterfield, MO 63017.
4	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.
5	Α	I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and President of the firm of
6		Brubaker & Associates, Inc. ("BAI"), energy, economic and regulatory consultants.
7	Q	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
8		EXPERIENCE,
9	Α	I was graduated from the University of Missouri in 1965, with a Bachelor's Degree in
10		Electrical Engineering. Subsequent to graduation I was employed by the Utilities
11		Section of the Engineering and Technology Division of Esso Research and
12		Engineering Corporation of Morristown, New Jersey, a subsidiary of Standard Oil of
13		New Jersey.
14		In the Fall of 1965, I enrolled in the Graduate School of Business at
15		Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri. I was graduated in June of 1967 with
16		the Degree of Master of Business Administration. My major field was finance.
17		From March of 1966 until March of 1970, I was employed by Emerson Electric
18		Company in St. Louis. During this time I pursued the Degree of Master of Science in
19		Engineering at Washington University, which I received in June, 1970.
20		In March of 1970, I joined the firm of Drazen Associates, Inc., of St. Louis,
21		Missouri. Since that time I have been engaged in the preparation of numerous

studies relating to electric, gas, and water utilities. These studies have included
analyses of the cost to serve various types of customers, the design of rates for utility
services, cost forecasts, cogeneration rates and determinations of rate base and
operating income. I have also addressed utility resource planning principles and
plans, reviewed capacity additions to determine whether or not they were used and
useful, addressed demand-side management issues independently and as part of
least cost planning, and have reviewed utility determinations of the need for capacity
additions and/or purchased power to determine the consistency of such plans with
least cost planning principles. I have also testified about the prudency of the actions
undertaken by utilities to meet the needs of their customers in the wholesale power
markets and have recommended disallowances of costs where such actions were
deemed imprudent.

I have testified before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), various courts and legislatures, and the state regulatory commissions of Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Guam, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

The firm of Drazen-Brubaker & Associates, Inc. was incorporated in 1972 and assumed the utility rate and economic consulting activities of Drazen Associates, Inc., founded in 1937. In April, 1995 the firm of Brubaker & Associates, Inc. was formed. It includes most of the former DBA principals and staff. Our staff includes consultants with backgrounds in accounting, engineering, economics, mathematics, computer science and business.

1

Brubaker & Associates, Inc. and its predecessor firm has participated in over 700 major utility rate and other cases and statewide generic investigations before utility regulatory commissions in 40 states, involving electric, gas, water, and steam rates and other issues. Cases in which the firm has been involved have included more than 80 of the 100 largest electric utilities and over 30 gas distribution companies and pipelines.

An increasing portion of the firm's activities is concentrated in the areas of competitive procurement. While the firm has always assisted its clients in negotiating contracts for utility services in the regulated environment, increasingly there are opportunities for certain customers to acquire power on a competitive basis from a supplier other than its traditional electric utility. The firm assists clients in identifying and evaluating purchased power options, conducts RFPs and negotiates with suppliers for the acquisition and delivery of supplies. We have prepared option studies and/or conducted RFPs for competitive acquisition of power supply for industrial and other end-use customers throughout the Unites States and in Canada, involving total needs in excess of 3,000 megawatts. The firm is also an associate member of the Electric Reliability Council of Texas and a licensed electricity aggregator in the State of Texas.

In addition to our main office in St. Louis, the firm has branch offices in Phoenix, Arizona and Corpus Christi, Texas.

\\Doc\Shares\Pro!awDocs\TSK\9851\Testimony-BAI\249049.docx

**EXEMPLAR Tariff** 

MO.P.S.C. SCHE	DULE NO.		,	SHEET NO	
CANCELLING MO.P.S.C. SCHE	EDULE NO.			SHEET NO.	
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE	AREA		
AT LING TO	HIDDOOKI	DHIVICH	TRUBA		

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 10 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE APPLICABLE TO ALUMINUM SMELTERS

#### RATE BASED ON MONTHLY METER READINGS

Energy Charge All energy shall be billed at a rate of 3.0¢ per kWh. This rate is subject to increases only when the rates of other customers change as a result of a general rate proceeding, but the increase in any general rate proceeding shall not exceed 2% of the then-effective energy charge.

Low-Income Program Charge If Company is conducting a low-income program, customer will pay a monthly charge not-to-exceed \$1,500 plus 100 times the monthly amount paid by a residential customer using 1,500 kWh of energy per month.

Except as provided above, no other charges, or changes in charges, shall be applied to this rate for a period of ten (10) years from the initial date of service on this rate.

Schedule MEB-1 Page 1 of 4

DATE OF ISSUE		 DATE EFFECTIVE	 	
ISSUED BY		 	 	
	NAME OF OFFICER	 TITLE	ADDRESS	

**EXEMPLAR Tariff** 

	MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO.	SHEET NO
CANCEL	LING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO.	SHEET NO.
		-
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI SERVICE AREA	

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 10 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE APPLICABLE TO ALUMINUM SMELTERS (Cont'd.)

#### 1. TRANSMISSION SERVICE REQUIREMENTS

Company's obligation to provide service under this rate is conditioned upon receipt of approval from the appropriate Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) to incorporate customer's load within Company's Network Integration Transmission Service agreement without the obligation or requirement that Company construct, upgrade, or improve any existing or new transmission plant or facilities.

Customer shall be responsible for securing firm transmission service throughout the Contract Term outside of Company's control area at no cost or charge to Company (except for Energy Line Losses), if necessary, and customer agrees to indemnify and hold Company harmless from all such costs or charges imposed or billed. In any event, customer shall be responsible for all costs and charges imposed or billed to Company from an RTO that are based on the fact that customer's load is not directly connected to Company's system (e.g. Through and Out rates imposed by the Midwest Independent System Operator, Inc.)

#### 2. CREDIT REQUIREMENTS

A customer taking service under this rate shall agree to the following special credit terms and conditions, in addition to those that may be required pursuant to Company's rules, regulations, rates or tariffs. Company, upon request and in its sole discretion, may demand of customer a security deposit in the form of cash, letter of credit or surety bond, equal to two times (2x) the highest monthly utility bill from the prior 12-month period, upon the occurrence of any of the following:

- a. an assignment to customer or customer's parent of a long-term public debt rating by Moody's that falls below the rating of Baa3;
- b. an assignment to customer or customer's parent of a long-term public debt rating by Standard & Poor's that falls below the rating of BBB-;
- a significant change in ownership, as determined by Company, including but not limited to a change in ownership or possession of the assets of customer;
- d. the assessment of two (2) late payment charges within any 12 month rolling period; or
- e. customer makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, or otherwise becomes bankrupt or insolvent (however evidenced), in which case Company may pursue other remedies available in law or equity, including a declaration that the agreement is in default.

Schedule MEB-1 Page 2 of 4

DATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIVE	 
ISSUED BY			 
•	NAME OF OFFICER	TITLE	ADDRESS

MO.P.S.C. SCHEDUL	E NO			SHEET NO.	
CANCELLING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDUL	E NO.			SHEET NO.	
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE	AREA		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					_

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 10(M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE APPLICABLE TO ALUMINUM SMELTERS (Cont'd.)

#### 3. PAYMENTS

Bills are due and payable within ten (10) days from date of bill and become delinquent after twenty-one (21) days from date of bill.

#### 4. CONTRACT TERM

A customer taking service under this rate shall agree to an initial Contract Term of 10 years. The Contract Term shall be extended in one-year increments unless or until the contract is terminated at the end of the Contract Term or any annual extension thereof by a written notice of termination given by customer and received not later than two years prior to the date of termination. During the Contract Term, a customer taking service under this rate agrees that Company shall be the exclusive supplier of power and energy to customer's premises, and waives any right or entitlement by virtue of any law, including but not limited to Section 91.026 RSMo as it now exists or as amended from time to time, statute, rule, regulation, or tariff, to purchase, acquire or take delivery of power and energy from any other person or entity.

#### 5. TAX ADJUSTMENT

Any license, franchise, gross receipts, occupation or similar charge or tax levied by any taxing authority on the amounts billed hereunder will be so designated and added as a separate item to bills rendered to customers under the jurisdiction of the taxing authority.

#### 6. RATE APPLICATION

This rate shall be applicable, at customer's request, to any customer operating an aluminum smelter that 1) meets the Rate Application conditions of the Large Primary Service rate, 2) can demonstrate to Company's satisfaction that such energy was routinely consumed at a load factor of 95% or higher or that customer will, in the ordinary course of its operations, operate at a similar load factor, 3) if necessary, arranges and pays for transmission service for the delivery of electricity over the transmission facilities of a third party, 4) does not require use of Company's distribution system or distribution arrangements that are provided by Company at Company's cost, excepting Company's metering equipment, for service to customer, and 5) meets all other required terms and conditions of the rate.

#### 7. CHARACTER OF SERVICE SUPPLIED

Company will supply a standard three-phase alternating current transmission service voltage. The appropriate adjustments under Rider C will apply; however, there will be no adjustments under Rider B.

Schedule MEB-1 Page 3 of 4

			No. 1. Company of the	
DATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIVE		
ISSUED BY				
	NAME OF OFFICER	TITLE	ADDRESS	

**EXEMPLAR Tariff** 

MO.P.S.C. SCHEDUL	.E NO				SHEET NO.
CANCELLING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDUL	E NO.				SHEET NO.
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	QEDWTCE	ADEA	 	
APPLIING TO	MEDOCOKI	DEKAICE	AKSA		<u>-</u>

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 10 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE APPLICABLE TO ALUMINUM SMELTERS (Cont'd.)

#### 8. DEMAND METERS

Company will be responsible for the demand meters which have been installed for the measurement of demands.

9. During a period of ten (10) years from the initial date of service under this rate no changes shall be made in the terms and conditions in Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, and no new terms or conditions may be added.

#### 10. GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

In addition to the above specific rules and regulations, all of Company's General Rules and Regulations shall apply to the supply of service under this rate.

Schedule MEB-1 Page 4 of 4

DATE OF ISSUE	DATE EFFECTIVE	
ISSUED BY		

NAME OF OFFICER

TITLE

ADDRESS

#### **Ameren Missouri**

## Base Rate Revenue Change Attributable to Noranda's Rate Request

Line	Description	<u>Amount</u> (1)
1	Revenue per kWh under SC 12(M) approved in Case No. ER-2012-0166 and Noranda's Test Year kWh Purchases	3.794¢
2	Requested Rate	3.000¢
3	Difference	0.794¢
4	Noranda's Test Year kWh	4,168,922,201
5	Amount of Adjustment (\$000)	\$33,100

#### Ameren Missouri

#### Revenue-Neutral Adjustment to Base Rates of Other Major Customer Classes

		Test Year Base Rate Revenue	Adjustment*
Line	Class	(000)	(000)
		(1)	(2)
1	Residential	\$1,298,918	\$16,254
2	Small General Service	316,651	3,962
3	Large General Service	593,843	7,431
4	Small Primary Service	228,989	2,865
5	Large Primary Service	206,716	2,588
6	Total	\$2,645,117	\$33,100

<sup>\*1.2514%</sup> 

**EXEMPLAR Tariffs** 

	MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO. 6			Original	SHEET NO.	54
CANCEL	LING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO.	9			SHEET NO.	
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE	AREA			

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 1(M) RESIDENTIAL SERVICE RATE

#### RATE BASED ON MONTHLY METER READINGS

Summer Rate (Applicable during 4 monthly billing periods of June through September)	
Customer Charge - per month	<del>\$8.00</del> \$ 8.10
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$0.03
Energy Charge - per kWh	11.36¢ 11.51¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh	0.12¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh	0.33¢
Winter Rate (Applicable during 8 monthly billing periods of October through May)	
Customer Charge - per month	<del>\$8.00</del> <b>\$ 8.10</b>
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$0.03
Energy Charge - per kWh	
First 750 kWh	-8.08¢ 8.19¢
Over 750 kWh	<del>-5.38</del> ♦ <b>5.45</b> ¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh	0.07¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh	0.33¢
Optional Time-of-Day Rate	
Customer Charge - per month	\$16.01 <b>\$17.03</b>
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 0.03
Energy Charge - per kWh (1)	
Summer (June-September billing periods)	
All On Peak kWh	<del>16.51</del> ♦ <b>16.73</b> ¢
All Off Peak kWh	<del>-6.76</del>
Winter (October-May billing periods)	
All On Peak kWh	-9.74¢ 9.87¢
All Off Peak kWh	<del>-4.02</del> ¢ 4.88¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh	
Summer (June-September billing periods)	0.12¢
Winter (October-May billing periods)	0.07¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh	0.33¢

(1) On-peak and Off-peak hours applicable herein shall be as specified in Rider I, paragraph A.

Schedule MEB-4 Page 1 of 6

DATE OF ISSUE	May 31, 2013	DATE EFFECTIVE	June 30, 2013
ISSUED BY	Warner L. Baxter	President & CEO	St. Louis, Missouri
	NAME OF OFFICER	TITLE	ADDRESS

	MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO. 6			Original	SHEET NO.	55
CANCE	ELLING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO.				SHEET NO.	
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE	AREA			

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 2 (M) SMALL GENERAL SERVICE RATE

#### RATE BASED ON MONTHLY METER READINGS

Currey Pate /Applicable during A monthly billing	
Summer Rate (Applicable during 4 monthly billing periods of June through September)	
Customer Charge - per month	AA 74 6 0 00
Single Phase Service	\$9.74 \$ 9.86
Three Phase Service	<del>\$19.49</del> <b>\$19.74</b> \$ 0.05
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 0.05 <del>10.34</del> ¢ <b>10.47¢</b>
Energy Charge - per kWh Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (3)	0.04¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (3)	0.16¢
Energy Efficiency investment charge - per kwn (3)	0.100
Winter Rate (Applicable during 8 monthly billing	
periods of October through May)	
Customer Charge - per month	
Single Phase Service	<del>\$9.74</del> \$ 9.86
Three Phase Service	\$19.49 <b>\$19.74</b>
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 0.05
Energy Charge - per kWh	
Base Use	<del>-7.71</del> ♦ 7.81¢
Seasonal Use(1)	4.45¢ 4.51¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (3)	0.03¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (3)	0.16¢
Optional Time-of-Day Rate	
Customer Charge - per month	
Single Phase Service	<del>\$19.53</del> <b>\$19.78</b>
Three Phase Service	<del>\$39.05</del> <b>\$39.55</b>
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 0.05
Energy Charge - per kWh (2)	1
Summer (June-September billing periods)	AT AT AT TO
All On Peak kWh	15.35¢ 15.55¢
All Off Peak kWh	<del>-6.25</del> ¢ 6.33¢
Winter (October-May billing periods) All On Peak kWh	10 114 40 244
All Off Peak kWh	10.11¢ 10.24¢ -4.64¢ 4.70¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (3)	-1.014 4.10¢
Summer (June-September billing periods)	0.04¢
Winter (October-May billing periods)	0.04¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (3)	0.16¢
2.	

- (1) The winter seasonal energy use shall be all kWh in excess of 1,000 kWh per month and in excess of the lesser of a) the kWh use during the preceding May billing period, or b) October billing period, or c) the maximum monthly kWh use during any preceding summer month.
- (2) On-peak and Off-peak hours applicable herein shall be as specified in Rider I, paragraph A.
- (3) Not applicable to customers that have satisfied the opt-out provisions of Section 393.1075, RSMo.

DATE OF ISSUE	The	ay 31, 2013	DATE	EFFECTIVE	June	≥ 30 <b>,</b> 2013
ISSUED BY V	Warner L.	Baxter	President &	CEO	St.	Louis, Missouri

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY	ELECTRIC SERVICE		EXEMPLAR Tariff
MO.P.S.C. SCHEDI CANCELLING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDI		Original	SHEET NO. 56
	SERVICE CLASSIFICATION N		
RATE BASED ON MONTHLY MET	ER READINGS		
	cable during 4 monthly bods of June through Septem	170	
Customer Charge	- per month		\$88.32 <b>\$89.47</b>
Low-Income Pilot	Program Charge - per mon	nth	\$ 0.50
Next 200 kWh	per kWh per kW of Billing Demand per kW of Billing Demand kWh per kW of Billing Dem	d	-9.89\(\phi\) 10.02\(\phi\) -7.44\(\phi\) 7.54\(\phi\) -5.00\(\phi\) 5.06\(\phi\)
Demand Charge -	per kW of Total Billing	Demand	\$ 4.62 <b>\$ 4.68</b>
Energy Efficience	cy Program Charge - per k	Wh (1)	0.08¢
Energy Efficience	cy Investment Charge - pe	r kWh (1)	0.21¢
the state of the s	cable during 8 monthly bods of October through May		
Customer Charge	- per month		<del>\$89.32</del> \$89.47
Low-Income Pilot	Program Charge - per mo	nth	\$ 0.50
Next 200 kWh	rge - per kWh n per kW of Base Demand n per kW of Base Demand kWh per kW of Base Demand	d	-6.23\(\phi\) 6.31\(\phi\) -4.62\(\phi\) 4.68\(\phi\) -3.63\(\phi\) 3.68\(\phi\)
Seasonal Energy	Charge - Seasonal kWh		-3.63¢ 3.68¢
Demand Charge -	per kW of Total Billing	Demand	\$ 1.71 <b>\$ 1.73</b>
Energy Efficience	cy Program Charge - per k	Wh (1)	0.05¢
Energy Efficience	cy Investment Charge - pe	r kWh (1)	0.21¢
(1) Not applicable to Section 393.1075,	customers that have sat	isfied the opt-out	provisions of
Optional Time-of-Day  Additional Custo	Adjustments mer Charge - per Month	<b>\$19.75</b> <del>\$19.50</del> pe	r month
Energy Adjustmen	t - per kWh	On-Peak	Off-Peak
	-September billing periods)	Hours (2) +1.17¢ +0.35¢	Hours (2) -0.66¢ -0.20¢
(2) On-mark and	off-neak hours applicable	o horoin chall ha	as specified in

1-7	Rider I, paragraph A.	apparous noto.	J., 20 40	Schedule MEB-4 Page 3 of 6

DATE OF ISSUE	-May 31, 2013	DATE EFFECTIVE	<del>June 30, 2013</del>
ISSUED BY	Warner L. Baxter	President & CEO	St. Louis, Missouri
	NAME OF OFFICER	TITLE	ADDRESS

**EXEMPLAR Tariffs** 

	MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO6			Original	SHEET NO	57
CANCELLI	NG MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO.				SHEET NO	
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE	AREA			

### SMALL PRIMARY SERVICE RATE

#### RATE BASED ON MONTHLY METER READINGS

Summer Rate (Applicable during 4 monthly billing periods of June through September)	
Customer Charge - per month	<del>\$299.60</del> \$303.59
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 0.50
Energy Charge - per kWh First 150 kWh per kW of Billing Demand Next 200 kWh per kW of Billing Demand All Over 350 kWh per kW of Billing Demand	
Demand Charge - per kW of Total Billing Demand	<del>\$ 3.82</del> \$ 3.87
Reactive Charge - per kVar	<del>-35.00</del> ♦ <b>35.47¢</b>
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (1)	0.09¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (1)	0.22¢
Winter Rate (Applicable during 8 monthly billing periods of October through May)	
Customer Charge - per month	<del>\$299.60</del> \$303.59
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 0.50
Base Energy Charge - per kWh First 150 kWh per kW of Base Demand Next 200 kWh per kW of Base Demand All Over 350 kWh per kW of Base Demand	
Seasonal Energy Charge - Seasonal kWh	<del>3.50</del> ♦ 3.55¢
Demand Charge - per kW of Total Billing Demand	\$ 1.39 <b>\$ 1.41</b>
Reactive Charge - per kVar	<del>-35.00</del> ♦ <b>35.47</b> ¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (1)	0.06¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (1)	0.22¢

(1) Not applicable to customers that have satisfied the opt-out provisions of Section 393.1075, RSMo.

Optional Time-of-Day Adjustments	\$19.76	
Additional Customer Charge - per Month	<del>\$19.50</del> per	month
Energy Adjustment - per kWh	On-Peak Hours(2)	Off-Peak Hours(2)
Summer kWh(June-September billing periods)	+0.85¢	-0.48¢
Winter kWh (October-May billing periods)	+0.32¢	-0.17¢

(2) On-peak and Off-peak hours applicable herein shall be as specified within this service classification.

> Schedule MEB-4 Page 4 of 6

DATE OF ISSUE	May 31, 2013	DATE EFFECTIVE	June 30, 2013
ISSUED BY Wa	arner L. Baxter	President & CEO	St. Louis, Missouri

**EXEMPLAR Tariffs** 

	D.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO. 6			Original	SHEET NO	61
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE	AREA			

### SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 11(M) LARGE PRIMARY SERVICE RATE

#### RATE BASED ON MONTHLY METER READINGS

Summer Rate (Applicable during 4 monthly billing periods of June through September)	
Customer Charge - per month	<del>\$299.60</del> <b>\$303.41</b>
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 50.00
Energy Charge - per kWh	<del>-3.24</del> ¢ <b>3.28</b> ¢
Demand Charge - per kW of Billing Demand	<del>\$ 19.36</del> \$ 19.61
Reactive Charge - per kVar	<del>35.00</del> ♦ <b>35.45</b> ¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (1)	0.04¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (1)	0.21¢
Winter Rate (Applicable during 8 monthly billing periods of October through May)	
Customer Charge - per month	<del>-\$299.60</del> <b>\$303.41</b>
Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month	\$ 50.00
Energy Charge - per kWh	-2.87¢ 2.91¢
Demand Charge - per kW of Billing Demand	<del>\$ 8.79.</del> \$ 8.90
Reactive Charge - per kVar	<del>-35.00</del> → 35.45¢
Energy Efficiency Program Charge - per kWh (1)	0.03¢
Energy Efficiency Investment Charge - per kWh (1)	0.21¢

(1) Not applicable to customers that have satisfied the opt-out provisions of Section 393.1075, RSMo.

Optional Time-of-Day Adjustments  Additional Customer Charge - per month	\$19.75 - \$19.50 per month		
Energy Adjustment - per kWh	On-Peak Hours(2)	Off-Peak Hours(2)	
Summer kWh(June-September billing periods)	+0.63¢	-0.35¢	
Winter kWh(October-May billing periods)	+0.29¢	-0.15¢	

(2) On-peak and off-peak hours applicable herein shall be as specified within this service classification.

Schedule MEB-4 Page 5 of 6

DATE OF ISSUI	May 31, 2013	DATE EFFECTIVE	June 30, 2013	
ISSUED BY	Warner L. Baxter	President & CEO	St. Louis, Missouri	
PERCENCE SERVERS SERVERS OF SERVE	NAME OF OFFICER	TITLE	ADDRESS	

**EXEMPLAR Tariffs** 

	MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO. 6			Original	.SHEET NO.	63
CAN	CELLING MO.P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO.				SHEET NO.	
APPLYING TO	MISSOUR	I SERVICE	AREA			

#### MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES

A. Reconnection Charges per Connection Point

Sheet No. 79, Par. B-3 (Annually Recurring Service) \$30.00 Sheet No. 145, Par. I (Reconnection of Service) \$30.00

B. Supplementary Service Minimum Monthly Charges

Sheet No. 78, Par. C-3

Charges applicable during 4 monthly billing periods of June through September

Primary Service Rate

Customer Charge per month, plus Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month All kW @

\$50.00 <del>\$19.36</del> **\$ 19.61** 

\$299.60 \$303.41

Charges applicable during 8 monthly billing periods of October through May

Primary Service Rate

Customer Charge per month, plus

Low-Income Pilot Program Charge - per month
All kW @

\$50.00

<del>\$8.79</del> \$ 8.90

#### C. Service Call Charge

Customer's reporting service problems may be charged a \$50.00 fee for a service call, if it is determined the problem is within the customer's electrical system.

<u>Tax Adjustment</u> Any license, franchise, gross receipts, occupation or similar charge or tax levied by any taxing authority on the amounts billed hereunder will be so designated and added as a separate item to bills rendered to customers under the jurisdiction of the taxing authority.

Schedule MEB-4 Page 6 of 6

DATE OF ISSUE May 31, 2013

DATE EFFECTIVE June 30, 2013

ISSUED BY Warner L. Baxter President & CEO St. Louis, Missouri

NAME OF OFFICER TITLE ADDRESS