NOV 1 8 2007

Exhibit No.:

Issue: Rulemaking Impacts Witness: William P. Herdegen, III

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company
Case No.: ER-2007-0291
Date Testimony Prepared: November 2, 2007

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2007-0291

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIAM P. HERDEGEN, III

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri November 2007

## TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

### OF

### WILLIAM P. HERDEGEN, III

#### Case No. ER-2007-0291

1	Q:	Are you the same William P. Herdegen, III, who submitted Direct Testimony in this
2		proceeding?
3	A:	Yes.
4	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
5	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the operational and monetary impacts to
6		Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL") to comply with recent Missouri
7		rulemakings on Infrastructure and Vegetation Management Standards.
8	Q:	Do you believe the Missouri Public Service Commission ("MPSC") final
9		rulemakings in 4 CSR 240-23.020 and 4 CSR 240-23.030 will have significant annual
0		impacts to KCPL?
1	A:	Yes. There will be significant operational and monetary impacts on an annual basis to
2		KCPL beginning in 2008.
3	Q:	Please explain those impacts.
4	A:	I'll begin my discussion with Rule 4 CSR 240-23.020 - Electrical Corporation
5		Infrastructure Standards. The rule establishes the minimum requirements for
6		transmission and distribution facilities regarding inspection, including maximum
7		allowable inspection cycle lengths, condition rating, scheduling and performance of
8		corrective action, recordkeeping and reporting in order to provide safe and adequate

electrical service. Therefore, my estimated impacts include transmission and distribution components and focus on facility inspections and repairs identified during the inspections.

The rule contains a chart entitled, "Electrical Corporation System Inspection Cycles." The chart is attached to my testimony as Schedule WPH-1. To summarize the chart, visual inspections of utility poles, wires, transformers and underground facilities will have to be conducted every four years in urban areas and every six years in rural areas. A more detailed inspection is required every eight years in urban areas and every 12 years in rural areas. Utility poles will have to undergo a thorough inspection every 12 years. The annual impacts of Infrastructure inspections and repairs associated with Schedule WPH-1 are outlined below:

12	Distribution Facility Inspections:		
13 14	Poles/Overhead Structures Overhead Circuit Components and Equipment	\$ 318,000 351,000	
15	Pad-mounted Transformers and Equipment	85,000	
16	Underground Structures and Network Equipment	416,000	
17	Total	\$1,170,000	
18	Distribution Facility Repairs:		
19	Overhead Circuit Components and Equipment	\$ 468,000	
20	Pad-mounted Transformers and Equipment	74,000	
21	Underground Structures and Network Equipment	<u>788,000</u>	
22	Total	\$1,330,000	
23			
24	Transmission Facility Inspections:		
25			
26	Detailed Overhead Structures	\$ 245,000	
27	Intrusive Poles, Overhead Structures	70,000	
28	Underground Structures Pipe Type Cable	5,000	
29	Total	\$ 320,000	
30			

1 2 3 4		Overhead Lines and Equipment Underground Facilities	\$ 70,000 _ 120,000
5 6		Total	\$ 190,000
7		TOTAL ANNUAL ESTIMATED RULE COMPLIANCE	\$3,010,000
8	Q:	Do the impacts discussed above represent incremental expense	es that KCPL will
9		incur over and above its normal operating expenses?	
10	A:	Yes, they do.	
11	Q:	Are the impacts discussed above based on an annualized sched	lule? That is, do they
12		assume that an equal amount of inspection and repairs are per	formed annually?
13	A:	Yes, the impacts presented assume an equal amount of inspection	and repairs are
14		performed each year, even though actual plans may vary from an a	annualized schedule.
15	Q:	Do the impacts discussed include capital repairs?	
16	A:	No. The impacts discussed above are non-capital repairs?	
17	Q:	Please discuss the new Vegetation Management Rule.	
18	A:	MPSC Rule 4 CSR 240-23.030 – "Electrical Corporation Vegetati	on Management
19		Standards and Reporting Requirements," sets forth requirements the	hat electrical
20		corporations shall follow in managing vegetation in proximity to a	n energized
21		distribution conductor and sets reporting requirements for transmis	ssion line vegetation
22		management in order to promote a safe, efficient and reliable supp	ly of electric power.
23		The requirements in the rule provide the minimum standards for the	ne vegetation
24		management programs of electrical corporations. This rule require	es aggressive tree
25		trimming policies and will have a significant operational and mone	etary impact to KCPL
26		on an annual basis.	

1 Q: Please discuss those operational and monetary impacts.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

- 2 A: There are four sections of the rule which carry the largest impacts. Those sections deal with the following:
  - Vegetation conditions that pose an imminent threat to the reliable or safe function of electrical facilities;
  - More frequent and expanded maintenance cycle (visual inspections at least once
    every two years of all urban energized distribution conductors and once every three
    years of all rural energized distribution conductors, and associated tree trimming);
  - Implementation requirements set forth by year with mandatory compliance of all
    urban distribution miles within four years and all rural distribution miles within six
    years;
  - Removal of all overhanging limbs on backbone.

The rule addresses other vegetation management requirements as well. Those
requirements include additional debris cleanup associated with scheduled maintenance;
additional recordkeeping requirements; and notification of pending vegetation
management activities for each county and municipality affected.

The annual estimated monetary impacts to KCPL (transmission and distribution) to comply with the Vegetation Management Rule are outlined below:

19	Description	Annual Cost
20	Danger tree threat reduction	\$ 520,000
21	Visual inspections	27,000
22	Tree trimming associated with inspections	473,000
23	Debris cleanup requirements	80,000
24 ·	Recordkeeping and Annual Report to MPSC	20,000
25	County and Municipal notification	20,000
26	Implementation requirements (timing)	530,000
27	Overhang elimination on backbone	<u>475,000</u>

1 2 3		TOTAL ANNUAL ESTIMATED RULE COMPLIANCE \$2,145,000
4 5	Q.	Do the impacts discussed above represent incremental expenses that KCPL will
6		incur over and above its normal operating expenses?
7	A:	Yes, they do.
8	Q:	Do you anticipate the requirements associated with the new rules will improve
9		KCPL's reliability?
10	A:	KCPL excels in delivering reliable electric service to its customers. Recently, KCPL
11		received the National Reliability Excellence Award from PA Consulting Group at the
12		2007 ReliabilityOne™ awards. KCPL was also selected as the recipient of the
13		ReliabilityOne™ award in the Plains Region. By maintaining exceptionally high
14		reliability standards within its industry, KCPL is committed to delivering outstanding
15		service and reliable power to its customers. The new rules will be over and above
16		KCPL's current programs and commitment to achieve this goal.
17	Q:	Does that conclude your testimony?
18	A:	Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City  Power & Light Company to Modify Its Tariff to  Continue the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan  Continue the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan  Continue the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan
AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM P. HERDEGEN, III
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON )
William P. Herdegen, III, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:
1. My name is William P. Herdegen, III. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am
employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Vice President, Customer Operations.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my True-up Direct
Testimony on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company consisting of
(5) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-
captioned docket.
3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and
William P. HERDEGEN, III
Subscribed and sworn before me this 2nd day of November, 2007.
My commission expires: The Hack Motary Public  "NOTARY SEAL"  Nicole A. Wehry, Notary Public Jackson County, State of Missouri My Commission Expires 2/4/2011 Commission Number 07391200

## Electrical Corporation System Inspection Cycles (Maximum Intervals in Years)

•	Pat	Patrol		Detailed		Intrusive	
	Urban	Rural	Urban	Rurai	Urban	Rural	
Poles/Overhead Structures				Ī			
Wood	4	6			12	12	Note
Non-wood	44	6	12	12			Note
Conductors, Transformers, Reclosers, Regulators, Capacitors,							
Switching/Protective Devices, and Streetlighting							
Overhead	4	6	8	12			
Overhead (with real-time remote monitoring)			12	12			
Underground-direct buried and conduit	4	6	8	12			Note
Underground-direct buried and conduit (with real-time remote monitoring)			12	12	7		Note
Underground Networks	4	•	8				ļ
Underground Networks (with real-time remote monitoring)			12				
Manholes, vaults, tunnels, and Other underground structures	4	6	8	12	,		

Note 1: No intrusive inspection required for first 12 years after installation, however, intrusive inspection required between years 12

and 18. For poles/structures greater than 12 years of age at inception of program, intrusive inspections must be completed within 12 years. Note 2: No detailed inspection required for first 12 years after installation, however, detailed inspection required between years 12

and 18. For poles/structures greater than 12 years of age at inception of program, detailed inspections must be completed within 12 years.

Note 3: Some components of underground-direct buried and conduit distribution systems are above ground (e.g., pad-mounted transformers, pad-mounted switches, pad-mounted reclosers, etc.) The inspection intervals also apply to these above ground devices. These inspection requirements do not apply to direct-buried cable or cable installed in underground conduit.