THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Status Conference
September 2, 2010

Jefferson City, Missouri
Volume 2

In the Matter of the Application) of Kansas City Power and Light) Company for Approval to Make) Certain Changes in Its Charges) Case Nos. ER-2010-0355 and for Electric Service to Continue) ER-2010-0356 Implementation of Its Regulatory) Plan)

RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding
SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.

NANCY DIPPELL, Presiding
DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.

REPORTED BY: LISA M. BANKS, CCR TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC

1		APPEARANCES
2	For the	Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission:
3		Mr. Steve Dottheim Mr. Nathan Williams
4		Ms. Jaime Ott Public Service Commission
5		200 Madison Street P.O. Box 309
6		Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-6514
7	con Off	ice of Public Counsel:
8	FOI OII	
9		Mr. Lewis Mills Office of Public Counsel
10		200 Madison Street P.O. Box 2230
11		Jefferson City, MO 65102
12	For the Via tele	Missouri Joint Missouri Electric Utility Commission: ephone
13		Mr. Doug Healy
14		Healy & Healy 939 North Boonville Avenue Springfield, MO 65802
15		(417) 864-8800
16	For Kans	sas City Power & Light:
17		Mr. James Fischer Fischer & Dority, P.C.
18		101 Madison Street, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101
19		(573) 636-6758
20	For AGP	/SIEUA/MEUA:
21	101 /(01)	Mr. David Woodsmall
22		Attorney at Law 428 E. Capitol, Suite 300
23		Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 635-2700
24		(3.3) 333 2.00
25		

1		Empire District Electric Company
2	For the	Empire District Electric Company:
3		Mr. James Swearengen Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 E. Capitol Avenue
4		P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
5		(573) 635-0427
6	For U.S.	. Department of Energy: Via telephone
7	101 0.5	
8		Mr. Arthur Perry Bruder Attorney at Law 1000 Independence Avenue, SW
9		Washington D.C. 20585 (202) 586-3409
10		(202) 300 3103
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

1

JUDGE DIPPELL: This is Case Nos.

5

ER-2010-0355 and 0356, both dealing with the application of KCP&L and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for

6

approval to make changes to its electric service tariffs.

7 8

9

I am Nancy Dippell. I'm the regulatory law judge assigned

to the GMO case and with me on the bench today is Judge Ron

Pridgin, who is assigned to the KCP&L case.

10

13

14

And we're having these joint status

11 conferences to -- we're having them jointly. One thing;

12 we've set the procedural schedule, the hearing -- what

parts of the hearings are going to be joint and a lot of

the issues are related, so we're having the status

15 jointly.

16

20

21

24

The purpose of the status conference is to

17 any issues you all are having with each other or with the

18 Commission or whatever, to our attention early. We want

19 things to come to us ahead of time and we don't want to hear

them on the eve of the hearing that there is some big issue

is going to prohibit you from presenting your case that we're

22 not aware of. So that's really the reason we've come here

23 today.

And I'm going to begin by asking for entries

25 appearance. We have several people on the phone this

1	and I have excused several people from appearing as well. So
2	I'm just going to go down my service list to start with. And
3	you have given a written entry of appearance, you don't need
4	give your address and so forth again. But if you could state
5	who you are and who you're representing, that would be
6	I would ask you to speak into a microphone when if there's
7	any discussions later. For the entries of appearance, if you
8	could stand up and speak loudly if you're not next to a
9	microphone, that would be helpful.
10	And for the aid of everyone in the room and
11	the aid of the people on the phone, if you could identify
12	yourselves when speaking, that will also help things. So
13	begin with the Public Service Commission staff.
14	MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Jaime Ott,
15	Nathan Williams appearing on behalf of the staff of the
16	Public Service Commission.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Office of Public
18	Counsel?
19	MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office of Public
20	Counsel and the public, my name is Lewis Mills and my address
21	on the written entry.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: I have excused Mr. Kaufman
23	for AARP.
24	Ag Processing?
25	MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor.

1	Appearing on behalf of Ag Processing and Sedalia Industrial
2	Energy Users Association in the 0356 case, David Woodsmall.
3	making my an appearance on behalf of Praxair and the
4	Energy Users Association in the 0355 case. My written entry
5	been previously entered.
6	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. City of Kansas
7	MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge Dippell. The
8	record should reflect the entry of Mark W. Comley of Newman,
9	Comley and Ruth on behalf of the City of Kansas City. And
10	would be with respect to both the 0355 and 0356 case. Also
11	entering my appearance on behalf of the City of Lee's Summit
12	today on behalf of in the 0356 case.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: City of St. Joseph has also
14	excused, Mr. Steinmeier (ph.).
15	Dogwood Energy?
16	MR. LUMLEY: Thank you, Judge. Carl Lumley
17	appearing for Dogwood Energy in both cases.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Empire District Electric
19	Company.
20	MR. SWEARENGEN: James C. Swearengen appearing
21	for Empire District Electric Company.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's see, the Federal
23	Agencies? I believe I told Ms. McNeil she was going to
24	to call in, but I told her that she may be excused if she did
25	not do so.

1	The IBEW Local Union? Is anyone present for
2	them? I'm not seeing anyone.
3	MR. BRUDER: Interventions, one on 0355,
4	which is mine and 356 which is Miss
5	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. We didn't hear all
6	of that. Is this Mr. Bruder speaking?
7	MR. BRUDER: Yes, it is.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And you said at the end
9	that the latter case was Miss who's handling that one?
10	you hear me okay, Mr. Bruder?
11	MR. BRUDER: Yes, I can. Can you hear me now?
12	JUDGE DIPPELL: Now, I can hear you.
13	MR. BRUDER: Okay. 0355 case it will be the
14	Department of Energy, my department.
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And for the
16	MR. BRUDER: 0356 is Ms. McNeil or Captain
17	McNeil.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. Okay. Thank you. And
19	told Miss or Captain McNeil that she may be excused.
20	you for clarifying that. But that was with regard to
21	of Energy and federal executive agencies. Correct? You are
22	speaking on behalf of the unions, Mr. Bruder, were you?
23	MR. BRUDER: No.
24	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you. Kansas
25	KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company?

1	MR. FISCHER: On behalf of KCPL Greater
2	Operations Company and Kansas City Power and Light Company,
3	James M. Fischer and Roger Steiner may join us by phone
4	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then we have a
5	of hospital intervenors. Is anyone present for them?
6	Missouri Department of Natural Resources, I've
7	previously excused. Missouri Gas Energy?
8	MR. JACOBS: Todd Jacobs for Missouri Gas
9	in both cases.
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: Missouri Retailers
11	MR. SCHWARZ: Tim Schwarz, Blitz, Bardgett and
12	Deutsch in both cases for Missouri Retailers Association.
13	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Robert Wagner. Union
14	Electric Company?
15	MR. TRIPP: Michael R. Tripp, Smith Lewis for
16	AmerenUE.
17	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And Judge Pridgin, did
18	you have others on your service list that I didn't have on
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm double checking. I
20	I have Mr. Healy are you here for MJMEUC? I'm sorry.
21	Mr. Healy, are you on the phone?
22	MR. HEALY: Yes, I am. I'm here for MJMEUC,
23	correct.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Missouri
25	Energy Consumers? Ford Motor Company? Jackson County,

1	Missouri? I don't see anyone else. Is there anyone else who
2	wishes to enter an appearance?
3	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Well, I think as
4	get going with these, this part will take less time once we
5	actually are familiar with who's in what case and so forth.
6	Was there anyone who had we made provisions
7	in the procedural order for sort of cross-over interventions.
8	And was there anyone in the one case that needed to enter an
9	appearance in the other case or are you just going to leave
LO	it as it is and deal with that at the hearing?
L1	That HC material will be the major issue when
L2	comes hearing time. I'm not seeing anybody jumping up and
L3	so we'll assume that that's not an issue unless somebody
L4	it to our attention.
L5	Also, just with logistics of things because
L6	court only allows for eight connections at one time, that
L7	includes this room being one connection, if you could let us
L8	know if you intend to appear by phone early. If we don't
L9	out till the day of the conference then it's really too late
20	make any other arrangements, so.
21	I know in the 356 case we have a current
22	about the public hearing notices and that will be resolved
23	with the local public hearing schedule when that is set. So
24	can stop filing your replies to your responses to your
25	to your responses. I'm hearing you. Or you can keep filing

1	them if you want to.
2	Just to let you know that we have been working
3	on scheduling local public hearings. And if you want to
4	tentatively plan for some dates, they haven't been presented
5	the Commission yet, but right now you can look at November
6	for Nevada in the evening; December 6th for St. Joe in the
7	evening; December 7th for the Kansas City Public Library both
8	noon and in the evening and maybe a listing post during the
9	and Riverside at noon on December 8th; Lee's Summit in the
10	evening on December 8th; and Carrollton at noon on December
11	13th; and Sedalia in the evening on December 13th.
12	Right now, that is the schedule that the
13	and the our assistants have come up and if we get that all
14	confirmed and presented to the Commission and they bless it,
15	then you'll see an order scheduling those soon.
16	Did you have anything else, Judge Pridgin,
17	you wanted to
18	Okay. Well, this would be your opportunity to
19	ask questions of us. Do you all have any questions and/or
20	reports to be made?
21	Staff?
22	MR. DOTTHEIM: There are a number of discovery
23	items that we broached with the Company because these

We haven't had much of an opportunity to visit

proceedings are not the only proceedings that we're all

with.

25

about these specific items that we were bringing up today. we thought that we would approach it one of two ways; we either go into these matters now or we might ask whether the bench might be amendable to going off the record and giving maybe 45 minutes, something like that, to talk amongst with -- with the other parties being present and whoever's on the phone as far as the other parties being present. So at least we could talk a little bit further about some of these items.

I don't know that it's going to result in us raising them with -- with the bench because it seems that of the difficulties that we've gotten into in the past is thinking that we would ultimately resolve matters, which we never resolve and then we bring to the Commission very late the day. But it might benefit matters if we visited amongst ourselves or we can proceed -- proceed forward.

There are some other items just to advise the bench of procedurally that we would -- that we'd do which wouldn't take, I don't think, more than 10 minutes as far as for example, in the Commission's order of August 18th, it indicated in ordered item 3, that the Staff may audit the 1 Air Quality Control System, AQCS cost from an appropriate period from beyond August 6th, 2010.

That will result in the Staff filing reports or testimony regarding the IATAN 1 AQCS, so it can

1	beyond August 6th, 2010. And we can provide dates regarding
2	what date our audit will extend as far as invoices and
3	entries, what have you. We assume those are items of which
4	Commission, the bench, might be interested in on the basis of
5	the orders that the Commission has issued to date.
6	So those are the items that we have to address
7	today.
8	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. With regard to the
9	item of discovery issues and I should have said this
10	also. Judge Pridgin and I wanted to remind you all of the
11	current discovery procedure or dispute resolution discovery
12	procedure with regard to motions to compel and so forth, and
13	bring those things to our attention so that you can go
14	the process to file motions to compel.
15	We'll still be following that rule, having
16	I guess what I'm saying is that I don't intend you intend
17	you all to come in here for the status conference and that be
18	your discovery conference with regard to issues. We were
19	in hopes that the status conferences would bring you all
20	together so that you could discuss these things with each
21	before, then deciding if you need to go the next step; bring
22	to the judge under the rule.
23	Did I cover that correctly, Judge Pridgin?
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I would agree. I mean it's
25	hope that one of the one of the results of these status

1	conferences will be that because you're simply just terribly
2	busy that this, will give you and opportunity to monthly,
3	briefly, visit about things like this and say, Hey we seem to
4	have some sort of discovery dispute. We're all here, let's
5	discuss it. And then if you're unable to resolve your
6	obviously, we follow the Commission rules with getting a
7	telephone conference with the judge or perhaps both of us at
8	same time if it's both cases. And then if that doesn't
9	it to go on to the Commission for resolution.
10	MR. DOTTHEIM: The Staff and the Company visit
11	on a weekly basis. The fact that we visit on a weekly basis
12	doesn't necessarily mean, of course, that we ultimately
13	matters. This just offers a further opportunity to address
14	matters. And frankly, we have been waiting for this session
15	be scheduled on the basis of the prior Commission orders, not
16	knowing exactly what the Commission had in mind in using the
17	status hearings for discovery matters in particular.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. So with regard to
19	unless you feel that your matters need to be on the record
20	a recess or whatever, I would be inclined to say we'll just
21	you all talk about that when we conclude here and then if you
22	have issues and you need to go to the step of a discovery
23	conference to let us know as soon as you can about that.
24	MR. DOTTHEIM: Okay. Well
25	JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Fischer, you look like you

want to say something?

MR. FISCHER: Yes. I was just going to chime in. We did have brief discussions with the Staff late last night and this morning regarding some specific discovery which we believe will be resolved rather quickly if we have opportunity to talk among ourselves. There maybe one or two items that we might have to bring back to the Commission or the RLJs to, you know, but I think most if not all will be resolved very quickly based on what I understand the issues Although, it would be helpful from my perspective to have time to visit among the parties to make sure I do understand issues.

I was also going to offer to give the a brief status report on the IATAN 2 project. There has been some significant activity at IATAN 2 in the last few months I could briefly summarize that if that would be helpful.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and do that Mr. Fischer and then when you're finished there may go back to Staff's report then about the timeline that see with further procedures on the audit and so forth.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. As I mentioned there has been significant activity at IATAN 2 in the last several Steam blowers that were intended to clean the boiler and the critical piping systems began in April and they were in early June. There's been subsequent restoration of the

steam, hot and cold reheat piping and the main steam systems.

Those were all completed in June as well.

The unit was synchronized to the transmission grid and in-service testing began in July. By the August the unit successfully completed the in-service testing to confirmation by the regulatory staff of the Missouri Commission and also the KCC staff. Preliminary plans have been made to host visits by the respective Commission staff mid-September to observe the unit in operation to review the operational testing records and review the remaining documentation required to support the achievement of the in-service criteria.

Late last week the unit executed a controlled shut down to initiate a planned 10-day outage to remove protective screens from the turbines and perform minor maintenance activities. Following the outage, the unit will brought back up to full operation. Overall, I think the Company's perspective -- the start up effort has proceeded The boiler, turbine generator and other major equipment items have performed well.

The unit has experienced normal start-up including numerous minor boiler tube leaks. The leaks have repaired within the course of the startup and none of the have impacted the startup operation. KCPL expects to to actively manage the project, work to manage risks until

1 unit is successfully in-service and providing service to our 2 customers. 3 Additionally, KCPL is currently in the final 4 stages of working through the commercial acceptance with key 5 project vendors. And with that, I would try to answer any questions. But that's basically a status report of where we 6 7 stand at IATAN 2. JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you. Mr. 8 9 do you have a status report from Staff's perspective then? MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. And in regards to what 10 Mr. Fischer just addressed, the Company declaring that the 11 2 unit had met the Missouri Staff's in-service criteria on 12 August 26th, a week ago today; the Staff expects to receive 13 data results by the end of this week. As Mr. Fischer 14 15 Staff intends to be on the site mid-month, September 16th and 17th to review operation files, log books, review other 16 The Staff may have a determination by the end 17 18 this month as to whether in the Staff's view the unit has met the Staff's in-service criteria. On the basis of the 19 procedural schedule, which the Commission has accepted, the 20 21 Staff would be filing IATAN 2 testimony, which would also 22 include in-service testimony on November 3. But prior to that, of course, there is the 23 executive directors report, which the Commission is directed 24 a monthly basis. The first executive directors report was 25

1	mid-August. The second executive directors report would be
2	filed mid-September. And the next status hearing is
3	for October 7th. So even though the Staff would be filing
4	testimony on in-service on November 3, the Staff would be
5	addressing in-service in the executive directors report and
6	in the next status hearing on October 3.
7	Excuse me. I said October 3. I believe the
8	next status hearing is scheduled for October 7th. So that's
9	the Staff has at the moment regarding the in-service of IATAN
10	Regarding I just might go back at this
11	to the thing or item I started with and that was ordered
12	3 in the Commission's August 18th. Orders both in the 0355
13	the 0356 dockets as far as authorizing the Staff to audit
14	1 AQCS costs for an appropriate period beyond August 6th,
15	The Staff had previously ordered a termination of Staff audit
16	activities as of August 6th. So as a consequence, the Staff
17	have not planned to file anything further on IATAN 1 AQCS
18	the Commission authorizing the Staff to audit beyond August
19	2010.
20	The Staff intends to file on November 3, a
21	further report on on IATAN 1 reviewing, for example,
22	and journal entries through June 30, 2010. And the Staff
23	intends to involve IATAN 1 in the Staff's true-up audit. And
24	that would involve the Staff reviewing invoices and journal
25	entries to October 31 of 2000. The Staff had previously

1	indicated to the Commission in the proposed procedural order				
2	the Staff's July 18th filing of audit scope for IATAN 2, that				
3	that the Staff's filing on IATAN 2 and common plant the				
4	filing on November 3, the Staff would review invoices and				
5	journal entries through June 30, 2010 and for the true-up,				
6	the originally the Commission had ordered the Staff to				
7	terminate audit activities as of January 30th, 2011. But the				
8	Commission in its order of August 18th, authorized the Staff				
9	extend its audit beyond January 30th, 2011 of IATAN 2 and				
10	plant.				
11	The Staff contends with its true-up direct				
12	filing on February 22, 2011, to include a true-up of IATAN 2				
13	common plant involving invoices, journal entries to October				
14	2010.				
15	JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Dottheim.				
16	MR. DOTTHEIM: The basically, the other				
17	matters that the Staff has to address are of discovery nature				
18	and there are other matters, which the Staff is going to				
19	in the executive directors report such as I think as				
20	previously indicated, as actually occured, a number of Staff,				
21	myself among them, attended the IATAN hearings at the Kansas				
22	Corporation condition and those are the nature of any				
23	items we have.				
24	The major the major remaining items are of				
25	discovery nature.				

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: Great. Are there any other
2	status reports as it may be that anyone wants to bring up?
3	Mr. Woodsmall?
4	MR. WOODSMALL: Two things: One, kind of
5	similar to Staff; we have a small discovery dispute. I just
6	the objection last week, so I haven't had a chance to talk to
7	Company, but that will proceed. And I heard your statement
8	earlier that we need to follow proper rules, so I just wanted
9	alert you to that.
10	The bigger thing that I wanted to indicate, we
11	filed a motion for clarification of the prudence audit order,
12	you will, some time back in you addressed much of it by
13	allowing Staff to continue their audit, but one of the parts
14	our motion for clarification had to do with the concern about
15	pulling in the transcripts, evidence, briefs and everything
16	that case into this case. That raises questions as this is a
17	contested case, not the same parties. And so that remains
18	outstanding. And I don't know if you're going to address
19	or if I need to elevate that somehow to the Commission's
20	attention. But I just wanted to flag that.
21	JUDGE DIPPELL: No. You're right. We did not
22	address that specifically and we can we can take a look at
23	that and figure out what to present to the Commission on that
24	point.
25	MR. WOODSMALL: Okay.

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: On that question. 2 MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you. 3 JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any -- was there anything else along those lines? There were some other 4 5 for clarification that we didn't address specifically. there anything else outstanding in those that someone needed 6 7 answer to that we didn't answer specifically? Okay. 8 Mr. Dottheim? 9 MR. DOTTHEIM: Frankly, we can take a look at that again. By the Commission not addressing matters, we 10 11 thought we have received a response from the Commission. If you're indicating that's not necessarily the case, then --12 if you're asking if there are items in the -- that those 13 for clarification that -- that the parties that filed them 14 consider to be outstanding, then we need to take a look at 15 16 and get back with you on that. JUDGE DIPPELL: And it may be that Judge 17 and I also need to take another look at those in light of 18 happened since they were filed and make sure that we did, in 19 fact, address or fail to address on purpose the things that 20 that were intended. But I do recall the records issue and 21 22 that's a very sort of technical procedural issue with what records are coming in and all that's happening and so forth. 23 24 we do need to address that. 25 Because I mean, frankly, MR. DOTTHEIM: Yeah.

1	in one of the initial orders, I think the Commission					
2	for example, depositions to be filed. And I can read that					
3	clearly to indicate that the depositions from the 0259 case					
4	being directed to be filed in 0355 and 0356. I have that					
5	have not done that of yet and I may have been remiss in not					
6	doing that as as yet. I can hold off doing that, waiting					
7	the Commission to rule on Mr. Woodsmall's motion for					
8	clarification or I can or if I'm reading or if that if					
9	proper interpretation of the Commission's prior order was					
10	literally everything in 0259 and I've was to be or					
11	anything that had occurred in 0259 because I don't believe					
12	that we filed the depositions that occurred in 0259 in 0259.					
13	But there were depositions that occurred of					
14	KCPL witnesses and the Staff witnesses and again, it's my					
15	recollection that they were not filed in 0259. But one could					
16	interpret one of the orders of the Commission in 0355 and					
17	being that everything in 0259 should be filed in 0355 and					
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Why don't you hold off					
19	for now on doing that Mr. Dottheim until we address that					
20	specific question.					
21	Is there anything else that you all have					
22	questions or concerns about?					
23	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. I was wondering if we're					
24	having problems with the ventilation in the room. The court					
25	reporter seems to be on a respirator.					

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: She's got one of those funny
2	little court reporting mechanisms. But she's also not
3	well and she's anxious for us to be done.
4	Well, I don't have anything else. We'll say
5	that if we go along, if it turns out that these conferences
6	not helping anyone or not scheduled at the right time or
7	whatever, we can take that as we go. They could be
8	or done away with. Or we went ahead and scheduled them right
9	to before the hearing, not knowing whether we'd really need
10	have one close to the hearing.
11	But just keep us in the loop and informed and
12	try to work out your issues among yourselves, but if you
13	come to us and let us know.
14	Mr. Dottheim?
15	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. Are you looking for us to
16	after we visit amongst ourselves to report back to you and
17	possibly go back on the record, or if we can't resolve what
18	we're what we're going to discuss
19	JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't believe that that'll
20	necessary today, to go back on the record unless that you
21	that there is some specific reason for that. I at this
22	it sounds like you need to have your discussion. If you
23	work it out, then let one or both of us know and we'll set up
24	discovery conference.
25	MR. DOTTHEIM: All right.

1	JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then with that
2	said one more thing?
3	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yeah. I think we probably
4	broach a matter for you to start thinking of. And if
5	we either are going to be able to resolve this in the manner
6	proceeding in this manner or the Commission's going to have
7	resolve whether to proceed in this manner or not, and that is
8	the Staff is going or has raised the issue with KCPL of
9	Commission appointing an RLJ as a special master to our
10	documents or portions of documents that are asserted to be
11	covered by the attorney/client privilege of work product
12	doctrine.
13	And that that is one of the items that we
14	want to discuss with with the Company. So from the
15	perspective, we're either going to be able to reach an
16	to approach or to request that from the Commission or not
17	reach agreement and Staff will request that.
18	JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm glad you brought that up
19	because that is one of the things that I wanted to also
20	and I'm not trying to pick on the companies here, but in
21	previous cases involving KCPL and GMO, specifically the
22	case and the last set of rate cases, there were a lot of
23	disputes about things being marked confidential and
24	being asserted and so forth and we did need to do that.
25	So yes, we will definitely start thinking

about that because it has been an issue in the past. I will the companies, since it's mostly your information, that it marked as HC and so forth, please don't come in here with a stack of documents and say this entire stack, every word in stack of documents is highly confidential. Make some attempt redacting it. I don't want to be sitting in here again hearing trying to redact it myself.

But definitely those are the kinds of things that you can bring up and Judge Pridgin and I will start discussing whether -- you know, how we would proceed with appointing someone if that becomes necessary to review those kinds of documents.

Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. I think I'd just to indicate that we -- the companies take your admonitions seriously. And I think in the last rate case we did a much better job in limiting the redactions and working with Judge Harold Stearling whenever there was a concern. I don't think the companies have any problem at all with a special master RLJ working to resolve these issues if necessary. We'll work with whatever the procedures the Commission finds to be most helpful in that process. And we'd like to not have these of disputes of, you know, so.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Would it be helpful to, just at the onset, appoint someone so that when these issues

1	come up, if they come up, you would know who to go to and how
2	handle them?
3	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes, from the Staff's
4	that would be that would be helpful.
5	MR. WOODSMALL: Let me ask: If you appoint a
6	special master, would that be the person we'd go to for our
7	discovery dispute conferences, or do we still go to you or
8	is the overlap between what the presiding officer does and
9	special master?
10	JUDGE DIPPELL: I guess that would be a good
11	question that we would have to work out; whether it would be
12	just someone to deal with confidential confidentiality
13	disputes, privileges or confidentiality or whether it would
14	all discovery-type disputes. I'm just sort of thinking out
15	loud. That would be something that we would have to make
16	Mr. Dottheim, anything?
17	MR. DOTTHEIM: One last thing. And since
18	going off the record, it's I raise it because the Staff in
19	the past has always, to my knowledge, advised the Commission,
20	you know one of the things that the Staff and the Company
21	going to discuss is whether a certain data responses to data
22	requests have been misplaced or lost. And Staff takes very
23	serious when the treatment of highly confidential,
24	information or any information we received. It doesn't have
25	be stamped highly confidential or proprietary under 386.480.

1	the information we receive is not otherwise a document
2	containing public information, we are to protect it.
3	So we may have to deal with this further, but
4	don't want to let this time pass since we're not go back
5	probably not go back on the record just to alert the bench
6	this is an item that is being discussed between the Company
7	Staff as to whether some information documents have been
8	MR. FISCHER: Judge, could I address that just
9	briefly. And we are going to discuss that matter, but I just
LO	want to make it clear that there's a lot of data in these
L1	of cases. We filed some in Caseworks, DR responses. We file
L2	EIFS and we provide hard copies to some members of the staff.
L3	The Company based on Mr. Dottheim's remarks, I don't want
L4	bench to think that the Company in any way at this point in
L5	alleging any inappropriate activity by anyone in this.
L6	We're it's possible that our dispute may
L7	be that we didn't provide some hard data that we thought we
L8	So that's all we'd like to visit about. I just want to make
L9	clear we were not making any allegations regarding loss of
20	at this point that we might bring to the attention of the
21	Commission.
22	JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Well, those are exactly
23	the kinds of things that we wanted to know today, that you
24	are having these issues, you're going to try to work them
5 5	If you can't work them out, we'll be hearing more from you.

1	that is much better than coming in here the night before the
2	hearing and saying we couldn't work these things out, please
3	help us do something about it. So I appreciate you all
4	those issues up. Please do talk and see if you can figure
5	issues out. If not, contact us, let us know.
6	Any last words? All right then, I'm finally
7	going to go off the record. Thank you all.
8	(Off the record.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 3 4 I, Lisa M. Banks, CCR within and for the State of 5 Missouri, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; 6 the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of 8 ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor 10 employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a relative 11 12 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the 13 of the action. 14 15 16 17 Lisa M. Banks, CCR 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	STATUS CONFER	LINCL 3/2/2010	
0	3	64111 23:8	35:15,22 47:15
0259 42:3,10,11,1	3 32:21 37:22	65101 22:18,23	actually 30:5
2,15,17	38:4,6,7,12, 20 39:4	23:12	39:20
0355 27:4,10 28:3,13	30 38:22 39:5	65102 22:6,10	additional 32:24 39:22
38:12 42:4,16,17	300 22:22	65102-0456 24:4	Additionally
0356 25:4	301 23:15,19	65102-0537	37:3
27:2,10,12	308 23:11	23:16,20	address 26:4,20 33:6
28:16 38:13 42:4,16,17	309 22:5	65201 23:24 65802 22:14	34:13 39:17
	30th 31:5 39:7,9	6th 31:6	40:18,22 41:5,20,24
$\frac{1}{1}$ 32:22,25	31 38:25	32:23 33:1	42:19 47:8
38:14,17,21,	39:13 312 24:3	38:14,16,18	addressed 37:11 40:12
23 10 32:19	312 24.3 314 23:4	7	addressing
1000 24:8	3420 23:7	725-8788 23:4	38:5 41:10
101 22:18	356 28:4	751-6514 22:6 7th 31:7	admonitions 45:15
10-day 36:14	30:21 360-5976 23:8	38:3,8	advise 32:17
111 23:23	386.480 46:25		advised 46:19
130 23:3		816 23:8	afternoon 25:25
13th 31:11 16th 37:15	4	864-8800	Ag 26:24 27:1
17th 37:16	400 22:18 417 22:15	22:15	agencies
18th 32:20	428 22:22	8th 31:9,10	27:23 28:21
38:12 39:2,8	45 32:5	9	ago 37:13 AGP/SIEUA/
2	456 24:4	939 22:14	MEUA 22:20
2 21:6,8 35:14,15,22		9th 23:23	agreement 44:15,17
37:7,12,21	573	A	ahead 25:19
38:9 39:2,3,9,12	22:6,19,23 23:12,16,20	AARP 26:23	35:17 43:8 44:25
200 22:5,9	24:5	ability 49:8 able 44:5,15	aid 26:10,11
23:3	586-3409 24:9	acceptance	Air 32:22
2000 38:25 2010 21:6	6	37:4	alert 40:9
32:23 33:1	601 23:15,19	accepted 37:20	47:5
38:14,19,22 39:5,14	63105 23:4	achievement	allegations 47:19
2011	634-2266 23:16,20	36:11	alleging
39:7,9,12	634-2500	action 49:10,14	47:15 allowing
202 24:9 20585 24:9	23:12	actively	40:13
20383 24.9 22 39:12	635-0427 24:5 635-2700	36:25	allows 30:16
2230 22:10	22:23	activities 36: <u>1</u> 6 38:16	am 25:7 29:22 49:9,11
26th 36:4	636-6758 22:19	39:7	amendable
37:13	22:19	activity	

	STATUS CONFER	LIVEL 3/2/2010	
32:4	30:20	23:11 29:11	32:14
AmerenUE	Arthur 24:7	based 35:9	33:13,19,21 35:7 40:2
23:21 29:16	asserted	47:13	45:9 47:20
among 35:6,11 39:21 43:12	44:10,24	basically 37:6 39:16	bringing 32:1
	assigned 25:7,9	basis 33:4	48:3
amongst 32:5,15	•	34:11,15	<pre>brings 30:13</pre>
43:16	assistants 31:13	37:19,25	broach 44:4
and/or 31:19	Association	becomes 45:11	broached
answer 37:5	23:9 27:2,4	begin 25:24	31:23
41:7 anxious 43:3	29:10,12	26:13 behalf	Broadway 23:7
anybody 30:12	assume 30:13 33:3	26:15,19	brought 36:17 44:18
anyone 28:1,2	attempt 45:5	27:1,3,9,11,	Bruder 24:7
29:5	attended	12 28:22 29:1	28:3,6,7,10,
30:1,6,8	39:21	believe 27:23	11,13,16,22, 23
40:2 43:6 47:15	attention	29:19 35:5	Brydon 24:3
anything	25:18	38:7 42:11 43:19	busy 34:2
31:16 38:17	47:20	Bemiston 23:3	
41:4,6 42:11,21	attorney	bench 25:8	C
43:4 46:16	22:22 23:7 24:8 49:12	32:4,11,18	Capitol 22:22 24:3
appear 30:18	attorney/	33:4 47:5,14	Captain
appearance	client	benefit 32:15	28:16,19
25:25 26:3,7 27:3,11	44:11 audit	<pre>best 49:7 better 45:17</pre>	Carl 23:2
30:2,9	32:21,25	48:1	27:16
appearing	33:2 35:20	beyond 32:23	Carrollton 31:10
26:1,15 27:1,17,20	38:13,15,18, 23 39:2,7,9	33:1	case 21:12
appears 49:6	40:11,13	38:14,18 39:9	25:3,8,9,21
application	August	bigger 40:10	27:2,4,10,12 28:9,13
21:10 25:4	32:20,23 33:1 36:4	bit 32:8	30:5,8,9,21
appoint 45:25	37:13	bless 31:14	40:16,17 41:12 42:3
46:5	38:12,14,16, 18 39:8	Blitz 23:11	44:22 45:16
appointing 44:9 45:11	authorized	29:11	cases 27:17
appreciate	39:8	blowers 35:23	29:9,12 34:8 44:21,22
48:3	authorizing	boiler 35:23 36:19,22	44:21,22 47:11
approach 32:2	38:13,18	books 37:16	Caseworks
44:16	Avenue 22:14 24:3,8	Boonville	47:11
appropriate 32:22 38:14	aware 25:22	22:14	CCR 21:21
approval	aware 23.22 away 43:8	Box 22:5,10	49:4,17 cease 39:6
21:11 25:6		24:4	certain 21:12
April 35:24	<u>B</u>	brief 35:3,14	46:21
AQCS 32:22,25 38:14,17	Banks 21:21 49:4,17	briefly 34:3 35:16 47:9	CERTIFICATE
arrangements	Bardgett	briefs 40:15	49:2
ar rangements	Dai age e e	bring 25:16	certify 49:5

	STATUS CONFER	ENCE 9/2/2010	
chance 40:6 changes 21:12 25:6 Charges 21:12 checking 29:19 CHIEF 21:19 chime 35:2 City 21:7,11 22:6,10,16,1 8,23 23:8,12,13,1 6,17,20 24:4 27:6,9,11,13 29:2 31:7 clarification 40:11,14 41:5,14 42:8 clarifying 28:20 Clayton 23:4 clean 35:23 clear 46:15 47:10,19 clearly 42:3 close 43:10 cold 36:1 Columbia 23:24 comes 30:12 coming 41:23 48:1 Comley 23:14,15,18,19 27:7,8,9 commercial 37:4 Commission 21:1 22:2,4,11 25:18 26:13,16 31:5,14 32:14 33:4,5 34:6,9,15,16 35:7,13 36:7,8 37:20,24 38:18	42:1,7,16 44:9,16 45:21 46:19 47:21 Commission's 32:20 38:12 40:19 42:9 44:6 Common 39:3,9,13 Companies 44:20 45:2,15,19 Company 21:11 24:1 25:5 27:19,21 28:25 29:2,14,25 31:23 34:10 37:11 40:7 44:14 46:20 47:6,13,14 Company's 36:18 Compel 33:12,14 Completed 35:24 36:2,5 Concern 40:14 45:18 Concerns 42:22 conclude 34:21 condition 39:22 conference 21:5 25:16 30:19 33:17,18 34:7,23 43:24 Conferences 25:11,14 33:19 34:1 43:5 46:7 confidential 44:23 45:5 46:12,23,25 confidentiali ty 46:12,13	confirmed	37:12,19 critical 35:24 cross-over 30:7 current 30:21 33:11 currently 37:3 Curtis 23:3 customers 37:2 D D.C 24:9 data 37:14 46:21 47:10,17,19 date 33:2,5 dates 31:4 33:1 David 22:21 27:2 day 30:19 31:8 32:15 deal 30:10 46:12 47:3 dealing 25:4 31:24 December 31:6,7,9,10, 11 deciding 33:21 declaring 37:11 definitely 44:25 45:8 department 24:6 28:14,20 29:6 deposition 49:6,11 depositions 42:2,3,12,13 DEPUTY 21:19 determination
39:1,6,8 40:23	confirmation	criteria 36:12	37:17
41:10,11	36:6	50.12	Deutsch 23:11

	STATUS CONFER	ENCE 9/2/2010	
29:12	40:5 46:7	44:5,15	47:22
difficulties 32:12	47:16 disputes 34:5	electric 21:12 22:11	example 32:20 38:21 42:2
Dippell 21:19	44:23 45:23 46:13,14	24:1 25:6 27:18,21	Excuse 38:7
25:3,7 26:17,22	District 24:1	29:14	excused
27:6,7,13,18	27:18,21	elevate 40:19	26:1,22 27:14,24
,22 28:5,8,12,15	dockets 38:13	else 30:1	28:19 29:7
,18,24 29:4,10,13,1	doctrine 44:12	31:16 41:4,6 42:21 43:4	executed 36:13
7 30:3 33:8 34:18,25	document 47:1	Empire 24:1 27:18,21	executive
35:17 37:8 39:15	documentation 36:11	employed 49:10,12	27:22 28:21 37:24,25 38:1,5 39:19
40:1,21 41:1,3,17	documents 44:10	employee 49:12	expects 36:24 37:13
42:18 43:1,19 44:1,18	45:4,5,12 47:7	Energy 23:1,5 24:6	experienced 36:21
45:24 46:10 47:22	Dogwood 23:1 27:15,17	27:2,4,15,17 28:14,21	extend 33:2 39:9
direct 39:11	done 42:5 43:3,8	29:7,8,25	39.9
directed	Dority 22:17	England 24:3	<u></u>
37:24 42:1,4 direction	Dottheim 22:3	enter 30:2,8 entered 27:5	fact 34:11 41:20
49:9	26:14 31:22 34:10,24	entering	fail 41:20
directors	37:8,10	27:11	familiar 30:5
37:24,25 38:1,5 39:19	39:15,16 41:8,9,25	entire 45:4	February 39:12
discovery	42:19,23 43:14,15,25	entries 25:24 26:7 33:3	federal 27:22
31:22 33:9,11,18	44:3	38:22,25 39:5,13	28:21
34:4,17,22 35:4	46:3,16,17 Dottheim's	entry 26:3,21	feel 34:19
39:17,25	47:13	27:4,8	feeling 43:2
40:5 43:24 46:7	double 29:19 Doug 22:13	equipment 36:19	figure 40:23 48:4
discovery- type 46:14	DR 47:11	ER-2010-0355 21:12 25:4	file 33:14 38:17,20
discuss 33:20 34:5 43:18	duly 49:6	ER-2010-0356	47:11 filed 37:25
44:14 46:21	during 31:8 45:6	21:12	38:2 40:11
47:9		eve 25:20	41:14,19 42:2,4,12,15
discussed 47:6	E earlier 33:9	evening 31:6,7,8,10, 11	,17 47:11 files 37:16
discussing 45:10	40:8	everyone	filing
discussion	early 25:18 30:18 35:25	26:10	30:24,25
43:22	effort 36:18	everything 40:15	32:24 37:21 38:3
discussions 26:7 35:3	EIFS 47:12	42:10,17	39:2,3,4,12
dispute 30:21	eight 30:16	evidence	final 37:3
33:11 34:4	either 32:3	40:15 exactly 34:16	finally 48:6
	l	Exactly JT.IU	<u>financially</u>

STATUS CONFERENCE 9/2/2010				
49:13	handle 46:2	hot 36:1	informed 43:11	
finds 45:21	handling 28:9		initial 42:1	
finished	happened 41:19	IATAN		
35:18	1 - 1 - 3	32:21,25	initiate 36:14	
first 33:8 37:25	hard 47:12,17	35:14,15,22	in-service	
Fischer 22:17	Harold 45:18	37:7,11,21 38:9,13,17,2	36:4,5,12	
29:1,3 34:25	haven't	1,23	37:1,12,19,2	
35:2,18,21	31:4,25 40:6	39:2,3,9,12,	2 38:4,5,9	
37:11,14 45:13,14	having 25:10,11,14,	21	intend 30:18 33:16	
47:8	17 33:15	IBEW 28:1	intended	
flag 40:20	42:24 47:24	I'd 45:14	35:23 41:21	
Ford 29:25	HC 30:11 45:3	identify	intends 37:15	
foregoing	Healy 22:13	26:11	38:20,23	
49:6	29:20,21,22	I'm 25:7,24 26:2 27:2,10	interested	
forth 26:4	hear 25:19 28:5,10,11,1	28:2,5	33:4 49:13	
30:5 33:12 35:20 41:23	2	29:19,20,22 30:12,25	interpret 42:16	
44:24 45:3	heard 40:7	33:16 42:8		
forward 32:16	hearing	44:18,20	interpretatio n 42:9	
frankly 34:14	25:12,20	46:14 48:6	intervenors	
41:9,25	30:10,12,22, 23,25	impacted 36:24	29:5	
full 36:17	38:2,6,8	Implementatio	interventions	
funny 43:1	43:9,10 45:7 47:25 48:2	n 21:13	28:3 30:7	
	hearings	inappropriate	invoices 33:2 38:21,24	
Garrett 23:3	25:13 31:3	47:15	39:4,13	
Gas 23:5	34:17 39:21	<pre>inclined 34:20</pre>	involve	
29:7,8	Heinz 23:3		38:23,24	
generator	help 26:12	include 37:22 39:12	involving	
36:19	48:3	includes	39:13 44:21 issue 25:20	
getting 34:6	helpful 26:5,9	30:17	30:11,13	
given 26:3	35:10,16	including	41:21,22	
giving 32:4	45:22,24 46:4	36:22	44:8 45:1	
glad 44:18	helping 43:6	Independence 24:8	issued 33:5	
GMO 25:8	hereby 49:5	indicate	issues 25:14,17	
44:21	Hey 34:3	40:10 42:3	33:9,18	
gotten 32:12	High 23:11	45:15	34:22	
Great 40:1	highly 45:5	indicated	35:4,9,12 36:21 43:12	
Greater 25:5 28:25 29:1	46:23,25	32:21 37:14 39:1,20	45:20,25	
grid 36:4	hold 42:6,18	indicating	47:24 48:4,5	
group 29:4	Honor 26:25	41:12	item 32:21 33:9 38:11	
guess 33:16	hope 33:25	Industrial	47:6	
46:10	hopes 33:19	27:1 29:24	items 31:23	
	hospital 29:5	information 45:2 46:24	32:1,9,17 33:3,6 35:7	
Н	host 36:8	47:1,2,7	36:19	

	STATUS CONFER	ENCE 9/2/2010	
39:23,24	35:17 37:8	22:22 23:7	
41:13 44:13	39:15	24:8 25:7	M
it's 30:19	40:1,21 41:1,3,17	leaks	Madison 22:5,9,18
32:10 33:24 34:8 42:14	42:18	36:22,23	main 35:25
45:2 46:18	43:1,19	least 32:8	main 33:23 36:1
47:16	44:1,18 45:9,14,17,2	leave 30:9	maintenance
I've 29:6	4 46:10	Lee's 23:17 27:11 31:9	36:16
42:10	47:8,22	less 30:4	major 30:11
	judges 31:12		36:19 39:24
Jackson 29:25	July 36:4 39:2	let's 26:12 27:22 34:4	manage 36:25
Jacobs 23:6		Lewis 22:8	manner
29:8	jumping 30:12	23:23 26:20	44:5,6,7
Jaime 22:4	June 35:25 36:2 38:22	29:15	Mark 23:14,18 27:8
26:14	39:5	Library 31:7	marked 44:23
James 22:17 24:2 27:20		light 21:11 22:16 29:2	45:3
29:3	K	41:18	master 44:9
January	Kansas 21:11 22:16	limiting	45:19 46:6,9
39:7,9	23:8,13	45:17	material
Jefferson	27:6,9 28:24	lines 41:4	30:11
21:7	29:2 31:7 39:21	Lisa 21:21	matter 21:10 44:4 47:9
22:6,10,18,2 3	Kaufman 26:22	49:4,17	matters
23:12,16,20	KCC 36:7	list 26:2	32:3,13,15
24:4	KCP&L 25:5,9	29:18	34:13,14,17,
job 45:17	28:25	listing 31:8	19 39:17,18 41:10
Joe 31:6	KCPL 29:1	literally 42:10	may 27:24
join 29:3	36:24 37:3	little 32:8	28:19 29:3
joint 22:11 25:10,13	42:14 44:8,21	43:2	32:21 35:19 37:17 40:2
•	key 37:4	LLC 21:22	41:17 42:5
jointly 25:11,15	kinds	23:1	47:3,16
Joseph 27:13	45:8,12,22	LLP 23:23	maybe 31:8
journal 33:2	47:10,23	local 28:1	32:5 35:6
38:22,24	knowledge	30:23 31:3	McNeil 27:23 28:16,17,19
39:5,13	46:19	log 37:16	, ,
Jr 23:10		logistics 30:15	mean 33:24 34:12 41:25
judge	last	loop 43:11	mechanisms
21:18,19 25:3,7,8	35:3,15,22	•	43:2
26:17,22	36:13 40:6 44:22 45:16	loss 47:19	members 47:12
27:6,7,13,16	46:17 48:6	lost 46:22 47:7	mention 44:19
,18,22 28:5,8,12,15	late 30:19	lot 25:13	mentioned
,18,24	32:14 35:3	44:22 47:10	35:21
29:4,10,13,1 7,19,24 30:3	36:13	loud 46:15	merger 44:21
31:16	later 26:7 29:3	loudly 26:8	met 37:12,18
33:8,10,22,2	latter 28:9	Lumley 23:2	Michael 23:22
3,24 34:7,18,25		27:16	29:15
3117,10,23	law 21:18,19		<u>microphone</u>

	STATUS CONFER	1	
26:6,9	33:12,14 41:4,13	October 38:3,6,7,8,2	outcome 49:13
mid-August 38:1	Motor 29:25	5 39:13	outstanding 40:18
mid-month 37:15	myself 39:21 45:7	offer 35:13	41:6,15
	45:7	offers 34:13 Office 22:7,9	Overall 36:17
mid-September 36:9 38:2	N	26:17,19	overlap 46:8
Midwest 27:3	Nancy 21:19 25:7	officer 46:8	P C 22:17
Mills 22:8 26:19,20	Nathan 22:3	okay 28:8,10,13,1	P.C 22:17 P.O 22:5,10
mind 34:16	26:15	5,18,24 29:17 31:18	24:4
mine 28:4	Natural 29:6 nature	33:8 34:24	particular 34:17
29:18 minor	39:17,22,25	35:17,21 37:8 40:25	parties
36:15,22	necessarily 34:12 41:12	41:7 42:18 45:24 47:22	. 32:6,7 35:11 40:17 41:14
minutes 32:5,19	necessary	O'Keefe 23:3	49:10,12
misplaced	43:20 45:11,20	onset 45:25	pass 47:4
46:22	neither 49:9	operation 36:9,17,24	<pre>past 32:12 45:1 46:19</pre>
Miss 28:4,9,19	Nevada 31:6	37:16	PC 23:15,19
Missouri 21:2,7	Newman 23:15,19	operational 36:10	<pre>people 25:25 26:1,11</pre>
22:2,11	27:8	Operations	perform 36:15
23:5,9 25:5 26:15 28:25	night 35:4 48:1	25:5 28:25 29:2	performed
29:1,6,7,8,1 0,12,24 30:1	none 36:23	opportunity	36:20 perhaps 34:7
36:6 37:12	noon	31:18,25 34:2,13 35:6	period 32:23
49:5 MJMEUC	31:8,9,10 nor 49:9,13	order 30:7	38:14
29:20,22	normal 36:21	31:15 32:20 39:1,8 40:11	Perry 24:7
MO 22.6 10 14 1	North 22:14	42:9	person 46:6 perspective
22:6,10,14,1 8,23	Nos 21:12	ordered 32:21 38:11,15	35:10 36:18
23:4,8,12,16 ,20,24 24:4	25:3 notices 30:22	39:6	37:9 44:15 46:3
moment 38:9	November 31:5	orders 33:5 34:15 38:12	ph 26:22
Monroe	37:22 38:4,20 39:4	42:1,16	27:14 phone 25:25
23:15,19 month 37:18	numerous	originally 39:6	26:11
monthly 34:2	36:22	others 29:18	29:3,21 30:18 32:7
37:25	0	otherwise	pick 44:20
months 35:15,22	objection 40:6	47:1 49:13 Ott 22:4	<pre>piping 35:24 36:1</pre>
morning 35:4	obviously	26:14	plan 21:13
mostly 45:2	34:6	ourselves	31:4
motion 40:11,14	occured 39:20	32:5,16 35:6 43:16	planned 36:14 38:17
42:7	occurred 42:11,12,13	outage	plans 36:7
motions	. ,	36:14,16	

	317(103 CON EX		
plant	problems 42:24	31:3,7 47:2	45:6
39:3,10,13 please 45:3	42:24 procedural	pulling 40:15	redactions 45:17
48:2,4	25:12 30:7	purpose 25:16 41:20	reduced 49:8
<pre>point 38:10 40:24 43:21</pre>	37:20 39:1 41:22		reflect 27:8
47:14,20	procedurally	<u>Q</u> Quality 32:22	regard 28:20
portions 44:10	32:18 procedure	question 41:1	33:8,12,18 34:18
possible	33:11,12	42:20 46:11	regarding 32:25 33:1
47:16	<pre>procedures 35:20 45:21</pre>	questions 31:19 37:6	35:4 38:9,10
possibly 43:17	proceed 32:16	40:16 42:22	47:19
post 31:8	40:7 44:7	quickly 35:5,9	regards 37:10 regulatory
Power 21:11	45:10 proceeded		21:13,18,19
22:16 29:2	36:18	<u>R</u> raise 46:18	25:7 36:6
Praxair 27:3 Preliminary	proceeding 44:6	raise 40:18	reheat 36:1 related 25:14
36:7	_	raises 40:16	49:9
present 28:1 29:5 32:6,7	proceedings 21:4 31:24	raising 32:11	relative 49:11
40:23	<pre>process 33:14 45:22</pre>	rate 44:22 45:16	remaining
presented 31:4,14	Processing	rather 35:5	36:10 39:24
presenting	26:24 27:1	reach	remains 40:17 remarks 47:13
25:21	product 44:11 prohibit	44:15,17	remarks 47:13
presiding 21:17,19	25:21	reading 42:8	remiss 42:5
46:8	project 35:14	really 25:22 30:19 32:18	remove 36:14
previous 44:21	36:25 37:5 proper 40:8	33:18 43:9 reason 25:22	repaired 36:23
previously	proper 40:8 42:9	43:21	replies 30:24
27:5 29:7 31:25	proposed 39:1	recall 41:21	report
38:15,25	proprietary 46:23,25	receive 37:13 47:1	35:14,19 37:6,9,24,25
39:20 Pridgin 21:17	protect 47:2	received	38:1,5,21
25:9	protective	41:11 46:24	39:19 43:16 REPORTED
29:17,19,24 31:16	36:15 provide 33:1	recess 34:20	21:21
33:10,23,24 41:17 45:9	47:12,17	recollection 42:15	reporter
prior 34:15	providing 37:1	record 27:8	42:25 49:2 reporting
37:23 42:9	provisions	32:4 34:19 43:17,20	21:22 43:2
privilege 44:11	30:6	46:18´47:5 48:7,8	reports 31:20 32:25 40:2
privileges	prudence 40:11	records 36:10	representing
44:23 46:13	public 21:1	37:16 41:21,23	26:5
probably 44:3 47:5	22:2,4,7,9 26:13,16,17,	redact 45:7	request 44:16,17
problem 45:19	19,20	redacting	requests
-	30:22,23		46:22
TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC			

	STATES CONTEN		
required	RONALD 21:17	35:15,22	46:18,20,22 47:7,12
36:11	room 26:10 30:17 42:24	similar 40:5	Staff's 35:19
rescheduled		simply 34:1	37:9,12,18,1
43:7	rule 33:15,22 42:7	site 37:15	9 38:23
resolution		sitting 45:6	39:2,3 44:14
33:11 34:9	rules 34:6 40:8	small 40:5	46:3
resolve 32:13,14	Ruth 23:15,19	Smith 23:23	stages 37:4
34:5,8,12	27:9	29:15	stamped 46:25
43:17 44:5,7		somebody	stand 26:8
45:20		30:13	37:7
resolved	schedule	somehow 40:19	start 26:2
30:22 35:5,9	25:12 30:23	someone 41:6	36:18
Resources	31:12 37:19,20	45:11,25	44:4,25 45:9
29:6	'	46:12	started 38:11
respect 27:10	scheduled 34:15 38:2,8	sorry 28:5 29:20	startup
respective	43:6,8		36:23,24
36:8	scheduling	sort 30:7	start-up 36:21
respirator	31:3,15	34:4 41:22 46:14	
42:25	Schwarz 23:10		state 21:2 26:4 49:4
response 41:11	29:11	sounds 43:22	
	scope 39:2	speak 26:6,8	statement 40:7
responses 30:24,25	screens 36:15	speaking	status 21:5
46:21 47:11	second 38:1	26:12 28:6,22	25:10,14,16
restoration	Sedalia 27:1	special 44:9	33:17,19,25
35:25	31:11	45:19 46:6,9	34:17 35:14
result	seeing 28:2	specific 32:1	37:6,9 38:2,6,8
32:10,24	30:12	35:4 42:20	40:2
results 33:25	seem 34:3	43:21	steam 35:23
37:14	seems 32:11	specifically	36:1
Retailers	42:25	40:22 41:5,7	Stearling
23:9 29:10,12	SENIOR 21:18	44:21	45:18
· ·	September	Springfield 22:14	Steiner 29:3
review 36:9,10	21:6 37:15		Steinmeier
37:16 39:4	serious 46:23	St 27:13 31:6	27:14
44:9 45:11	seriously	stack 45:4,5	step 33:21
reviewing	45:16	staff 22:2	34:22
38:21,24	service	26:13,15 31:21	Steve 22:3
risks 36:25	21:1,12	32:21,24	Steven 26:14
Riverside	22:2,4 25:6 26:2,13,16	34:10 35:3	stop 30:24
31:9	29:18 37:1	36:6,7,8 37:13,15,17,	Street
RLJ 44:9	session 34:14	21	22:5,9,18
45:20	several 25:25	38:3,4,9,13,	23:15,19,23
RLJs 35:8	26:1 35:22	15,16,18,20,	subject 36:5
Robert 29:13	she's	22,24,25 39:4,6,8,11,	subsequent
Roger 29:3	43:1,2,3	17,18,20	35:25
Ron 25:8	shut 36:14	40:5,13	<pre>successfully 36:5 37:1</pre>
	significant	42:14 44:8,17	30:3 3/:I
	Jighti Icanic	44.0,1/	

	STATUS CONFER	ENCE 9/2/2010	
Suite	that'll 43:19	U.S 24:6	45:20 47:25
22:18,22 23:3,15,19	that's 25:22 30:13 37:6	ultimately 32:13 34:12	we're
summarize	38:8	unable 34:5	25:10,11,14, 21 31:24
35:16	41:12,22,23 47:18	understand	34:4 42:23 43:18 44:15
Summit 23:17 27:11 31:9	thereafter	35:9,11	46:17
support 36:11	49:8	Union 28:1	47:4,16
sure 35:11	there's 26:6	29:13 unions 28:22	we've 25:12,22
41:19	35:25 47:10 thereto 49:13	unit	32:12
SW 24:8	Thomas 23:10	36:3,5,9,13,	whatever 25:18 34:20
Swearengen 24:2,3 27:20	TIGER 21:22	16,21 37:1,12,18	43:7 45:21
sworn 49:6	till 30:19	unless 30:13	whenever
synchronized	Tim 29:11	34:19 43:20	45:18
36:3	timeline	Users 27:2,4	whether 32:3 37:18 43:9
System 32:22	35:19	Utility 22:11	44:7 45:10
systems 35:24 36:1	today 25:8,23 27:12 32:1	V	46:11,13,21 47:7
	33:7 37:13 43:20 47:23	vendors 37:5	whoever's
T talk 32:5,8	Todd 23:6	ventilation 42:24	32:6
34:21 35:6	29:8	Via 22:12	who's 28:9 30:5
40:6 48:4	TRANSCRIPT 21:4	24:6	whose 49:5
tariffs 25:6	transcripts	view 37:18	Williams 22:3
41:22	40:15	visit 31:25 34:3,10,11	26:15 wishes 30:2
telephone	transmission	35:11 43:16	witness
22:12 24:6 34:7	36:3 treatment	47:18 visited 32:15	49:5,7
tentatively	46:23	visite 32:13	witnesses
31:4	Tripp 23:22	Volume 21:8	42:14 wondering
terminate 39:7	29:15		42:23
termination	true-up 38:23 39:5,11,12	W 20 12	Woodsmall
38:15	try 27:23	Wagner 29:13	22:21 26:25 27:2
terribly 34:1	37:5 43:12 47:24	waiting 34:14 42:6	40:3,4,25
test 37:13	trying 44:20	Washington	41:2 46:5 Woodsmall's
testimony 32:25	45:7	24:9	42:7
37:21,22 38:4 49:5,7	tube 36:22	ways 32:2 we'd 32:18	work 36:25
testing	turbine 36:19	43:9 45:22	43:12,23 44:11 45:20
36:4,5,10	36:15	46:6 47:18	46:11 47:24,25
Thank 26:17:25	turns 43:5	week 36:13 37:13,14	48:2
26:17,25 27:6,7,16	typewriting	40:6	working 31:2
28:18,19,24 29:24 37:8	49:8	weekly 34:11	37:4 45:17,20
39:15 41:2	U	we'll 30:13 33:15 34:20	written
48:7		43:4,23	

	STATUS CONFER	ENCE 3/2/2010	
26:3,21 27:4			
Y Y 31:5			
yet 31:5 42:5,6			
you'll 31:15 yourselves 26:12 43:12			
26:12 43:12			