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JUDGE DIPPELL: This is Case Nos. ER-2010-0355 and 0356, both dealing with the application of KCP\&L and KCP\&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for approval to make changes to its electric service tariffs. I am Nancy Dippe11. I'm the regulatory law judge assigned to the GMO case and with me on the bench today is Judge Ron Pridgin, who is assigned to the KCP\&L case.

And we're having these joint status conferences to -- we're having them jointly. One thing; we've set the procedural schedule, the hearing -- what parts of the hearings are going to be joint and a lot of the issues are related, so we're having the status jointly.

The purpose of the status conference is to any issues you al1 are having with each other or with the Commission or whatever, to our attention early. We want things to come to us ahead of time and we don't want to hear them on the eve of the hearing that there is some big issue is going to prohibit you from presenting your case that we're not aware of. So that's really the reason we've come here today.

And I'm going to begin by asking for entries appearance. We have several people on the phone this
and I have excused several people from appearing as well. So I'm just going to go down my service list to start with. And you have given a written entry of appearance, you don't need give your address and so forth again. But if you could state who you are and who you're representing, that would be I would ask you to speak into a microphone when -- if there's any discussions later. For the entries of appearance, if you could stand up and speak loudly if you're not next to a microphone, that would be helpful.

And for the aid of everyone in the room and the aid of the people on the phone, if you could identify yourselves when speaking, that will also help things. So begin with the Public Service Commission staff.

MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Jaime Ott, Nathan williams appearing on behalf of the staff of the Public Service Commission.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. Office of Public Counse1?

MR. MILLS: On behalf of the office of Public Counsel and the public, my name is Lewis mills and my address on the written entry.

JUDGE DIPPELL: I have excused Mr. Kaufman for AARP.

Ag Processing?
MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor.

Appearing on behalf of $A g$ Processing and Sedalia Industrial Energy Users Association in the 0356 case, David Woodsma11. making my -- an appearance on behalf of Praxair and the Energy Users Association in the 0355 case. My written entry been previously entered.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you. City of Kansas
MR. COMLEY: Thank you, Judge Dippe11. The record should reflect the entry of Mark W. Comley of Newman, Comley and Ruth on behalf of the City of Kansas City. And would be with respect to both the 0355 and 0356 case. Also entering my appearance on behalf of the City of Lee's Summit today on behalf of -- in the 0356 case.

JUDGE DIPPELL: City of St. Joseph has also excused, Mr. Steinmeier (ph.).

Dogwood Energy?
MR. LUMLEY: Thank you, Judge. Car1 Lum1ey appearing for Dogwood Energy in both cases.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Empire District Electric Company.

MR. SWEARENGEN: James C. Swearengen appearing for Empire District Electric Company.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Let's see, the Federal
Agencies? I believe I told Ms. McNeil -- she was going to to call in, but $I$ told her that she may be excused if she did not do so.

The IBEW Local Union? Is anyone present for them? I'm not seeing anyone.

MR. BRUDER: -- Interventions, one on 0355,
which is mine and 356 which is Miss --
JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm sorry. We didn't hear all
of that. Is this Mr. Bruder speaking?
MR. BRUDER: Yes, it is.
JUDGE DIPPELL: okay. And you said at the end that the latter case was Miss -- who's handling that one? you hear me okay, Mr. Bruder?

MR. BRUDER: Yes, I can. Can you hear me now?
JUDGE DIPPELL: Now, I can hear you.
MR. BRUDER: okay. 0355 case it will be the Department of Energy, my department.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And for the --
MR. BRUDER: 0356 is Ms. McNeil -- or Captain MCNeil.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. Okay. Thank you. And told Miss -- or Captain McNeil that she may be excused. you for clarifying that. But that was with regard to of Energy and federal executive agencies. Correct? You are speaking on behalf of the unions, Mr. Bruder, were you?

MR. BRUDER: No.
JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you. Kansas -KCP\&L Greater Missouri Operations Company?

MR. FISCHER: On behalf of KCPL Greater
Operations Company and Kansas City Power and Light Company, James M. Fischer and Roger Steiner may join us by phone JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. Then we have a of hospital intervenors. Is anyone present for them? Missouri Department of Natural Resources, I've previously excused. Missouri Gas Energy?

MR. JACOBS: Todd Jacobs for Missouri Gas in both cases.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Missouri Retailers
MR. SCHWARZ: Tim Schwarz, Blitz, Bardgett and Deutsch in both cases for Missouri Retailers Association. JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Robert Wagner. Union Electric Company?

MR. TRIPP: Michael R. Tripp, Smith Lewis for Amerenue.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. And Judge Pridgin, did you have others on your service list that I didn't have on

JUDGE PRIDGIN: I'm doub7e checking. I I have Mr. Healy are you here for MJMEUC? I'm sorry. Mr. Healy, are you on the phone?

MR. HEALY: Yes, I am. I'm here for mJmeuc, correct.

JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Missouri
Energy Consumers? Ford Motor Company? Jackson County,

Missouri? I don't see anyone else. Is there anyone else who wishes to enter an appearance?

JUDGE DIPPELL: A11 right. We11, 1 think as get going with these, this part will take less time once we actually are familiar with who's in what case and so forth.

Was there anyone who had -- we made provisions in the procedural order for sort of cross-over interventions. And was there anyone in the one case that needed to enter an appearance in the other case or are you just going to leave it as it is and deal with that at the hearing?

That HC material will be the major issue when comes hearing time. I'm not seeing anybody jumping up and so we'11 assume that that's not an issue unless somebody it to our attention.

Also, just with logistics of things because court only allows for eight connections at one time, that includes this room being one connection, if you could let us know if you intend to appear by phone early. If we don't out till the day of the conference then it's really too late make any other arrangements, so.

I know in the 356 case we have a current about the public hearing notices and that will be resolved with the local public hearing schedule when that is set. So can stop filing your replies to your responses to your to your responses. I'm hearing you. Or you can keep filing
them if you want to.
Just to let you know that we have been working on scheduling local public hearings. And if you want to tentatively plan for some dates, they haven't been presented the Commission yet, but right now you can look at November for Nevada in the evening; December 6th for St. Joe in the evening; December 7th for the Kansas City Public Library both noon and in the evening and maybe a listing post during the and Riverside at noon on December 8th; Lee's Summit in the evening on December 8th; and Carrollton at noon on December 13th; and Sedalia in the evening on December 13th.

Right now, that is the schedule that the and the our assistants have come up and if we get that all confirmed and presented to the Commission and they bless it, then you'11 see an order scheduling those soon.

Did you have anything else, Judge Pridgin, you wanted to --
okay. well, this would be your opportunity to ask questions of us. Do you all have any questions and/or reports to be made?

Staff?
MR. DOTTHEIM: There are a number of discovery items that we broached with the Company because these proceedings are not the only proceedings that we're all with. We haven't had much of an opportunity to visit
about these specific items that we were bringing up today. we thought that we would approach it one of two ways; we either go into these matters now or we might ask whether the bench might be amendable to going off the record and giving maybe 45 minutes, something like that, to talk amongst with -- with the other parties being present and whoever's on the phone as far as the other parties being present. So at least we could talk a little bit further about some of these items.

I don't know that it's going to result in us raising them with -- with the bench because it seems that of the difficulties that we've gotten into in the past is thinking that we would ultimately resolve matters, which we never resolve and then we bring to the Commission very late the day. But it might benefit matters if we visited amongst ourselves or we can proceed -- proceed forward.

There are some other items just to advise the bench of procedurally that we would -- that we'd do which wouldn't take, I don't think, more than 10 minutes as far as for example, in the Commission's order of August 18th, it indicated in ordered item 3, that the Staff may audit the 1 Air Quality Control System, AQCS cost from an appropriate period from beyond August 6th, 2010.

That will result in the Staff filing reports or testimony regarding the IATAN 1 AQCS, so it can
beyond August 6th, 2010. And we can provide dates regarding what date our audit will extend as far as invoices and entries, what have you. we assume those are items of which Commission, the bench, might be interested in on the basis of the orders that the Commission has issued to date.

So those are the items that we have to address today.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. with regard to the item of discovery issues -- and I should have said this also. Judge Pridgin and I wanted to remind you all of the current discovery procedure or dispute resolution discovery procedure with regard to motions to compel and so forth, and bring those things to our attention so that you can go the process to file motions to compel.

We'11 still be following that rule, having -I guess what I'm saying is that I don't intend you -- intend you al1 to come in here for the status conference and that be your discovery conference with regard to issues. We were in hopes that the status conferences would bring you all together so that you could discuss these things with each before, then deciding if you need to go the next step; bring to the judge under the rule.

Did I cover that correctly, Judge Pridgin?
JUDGE PRIDGIN: I would agree. I mean it's
hope that one of the -- one of the results of these status
conferences will be that because you're simply just terribly busy that this, will give you and opportunity to monthly, briefly, visit about things like this and say, Hey we seem to have some sort of discovery dispute. we're all here, let's discuss it. And then if you're unable to resolve your obviously, we follow the Commission rules with getting a telephone conference with the judge or perhaps both of us at same time if it's both cases. And then if that doesn't it to go on to the commission for resolution.

MR. DOTTHEIM: The Staff and the Company visit on a weekly basis. The fact that we visit on a weekly basis doesn't necessarily mean, of course, that we ultimately matters. This just offers a further opportunity to address matters. And frankly, we have been waiting for this session be scheduled on the basis of the prior Commission orders, not knowing exactly what the Commission had in mind in using the status hearings for discovery matters in particular.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Right. So with regard to unless you feel that your matters need to be on the record a recess or whatever, I would be inclined to say we'11 just you all talk about that when we conclude here and then if you have issues and you need to go to the step of a discovery conference to let us know as soon as you can about that.

MR. DOTTHEIM: okay. Well --
JUDGE DIPPELL: Mr. Fischer, you look like you

34
TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
573.886.8942 tcr@tigercr.com
want to say something?
MR. FISCHER: Yes. I was just going to chime in. We did have brief discussions with the Staff late last night and this morning regarding some specific discovery which we believe will be resolved rather quickly if we have opportunity to talk among ourselves. There maybe one or two items that we might have to bring back to the Commission or the RLJs to, you know, but I think most if not all will be resolved very quickly based on what I understand the issues Although, it would be helpful from my perspective to have time to visit among the parties to make sure I do understand issues.

I was also going to offer to give the a brief status report on the IATAN 2 project. There has been some significant activity at IATAN 2 in the last few months I could briefly summarize that if that would be helpful.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. why don't you go ahead and do that Mr. Fischer and then when you're finished there may go back to Staff's report then about the timeline that see with further procedures on the audit and so forth.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. As I mentioned there has been significant activity at IATAN 2 in the last several Steam blowers that were intended to clean the boiler and the critical piping systems began in April and they were in early June. There's been subsequent restoration of the
steam, hot and cold reheat piping and the main steam systems. Those were all completed in June as well.

The unit was synchronized to the transmission grid and in-service testing began in July. By the August the unit successfully completed the in-service testing to confirmation by the regulatory staff of the Missouri Commission and also the KCC staff. Preliminary plans have been made to host visits by the respective Commission staff mid-September to observe the unit in operation to review the operational testing records and review the remaining documentation required to support the achievement of the in-service criteria.

Late last week the unit executed a controlled shut down to initiate a planned 10-day outage to remove protective screens from the turbines and perform minor maintenance activities. Following the outage, the unit will brought back up to full operation. Overall, I think the Company's perspective -- the start up effort has proceeded The boiler, turbine generator and other major equipment items have performed well.

The unit has experienced normal start-up including numerous minor boiler tube leaks. The leaks have repaired within the course of the startup and none of the have impacted the startup operation. KCPL expects to to actively manage the project, work to manage risks until
unit is successfully in-service and providing service to our customers.

Additionally, KCPL is currently in the final stages of working through the commercial acceptance with key project vendors. And with that, I would try to answer any questions. But that's basically a status report of where we stand at IATAN 2.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Thank you. Mr. do you have a status report from Staff's perspective then?

MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. And in regards to what Mr. Fischer just addressed, the Company declaring that the 2 unit had met the Missouri Staff's in-service criteria on August 26th, a week ago today; the Staff expects to receive data results by the end of this week. As Mr. Fischer Staff intends to be on the site mid-month, September 16th and 17th to review operation files, log books, review other

The Staff may have a determination by the end this month as to whether in the Staff's view the unit has met the Staff's in-service criteria. On the basis of the procedural schedule, which the Commission has accepted, the Staff would be filing IATAN 2 testimony, which would also include in-service testimony on November 3.

But prior to that, of course, there is the executive directors report, which the Commission is directed a monthly basis. The first executive directors report was
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mid-August. The second executive directors report would be filed mid-September. And the next status hearing is for October 7th. So even though the Staff would be filing testimony on in-service on November 3, the Staff would be addressing in-service in the executive directors report and in the next status hearing on October 3.

Excuse me. I said October 3. I believe the next status hearing is scheduled for October 7th. So that's the Staff has at the moment regarding the in-service of IATAN

Regarding -- I just might go back at this to the thing -- or item I started with and that was ordered 3 in the Commission's August 18th. Orders both in the 0355 the 0356 dockets as far as authorizing the Staff to audit 1 AQCS costs for an appropriate period beyond August 6th, The Staff had previously ordered a termination of Staff audit activities as of August 6th. So as a consequence, the Staff have not planned to file anything further on IATAN 1 AQCS the Commission authorizing the Staff to audit beyond August 2010.

The Staff intends to file on November 3, a further report on -- on IATAN 1 reviewing, for example, and journal entries through June 30, 2010. And the Staff intends to involve IATAN 1 in the Staff's true-up audit. And that would involve the Staff reviewing invoices and journal entries to October 31 of 2000. The Staff had previously
indicated to the Commission in the proposed procedural order the Staff's July 18th filing of audit scope for IATAN 2, that that the Staff's filing on IATAN 2 and common plant -- the filing on November 3, the Staff would review invoices and journal entries through June 30, 2010 and for the true-up, the -- originally the Commission had ordered the Staff to terminate audit activities as of January 30th, 2011. But the Commission in its order of August 18th, authorized the Staff extend its audit beyond January 30th, 2011 of IATAN 2 and plant.

The Staff contends with its true-up direct filing on February 22, 2011, to include a true-up of IATAN 2 common plant involving invoices, journal entries to October 2010.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Thank you, Mr. Dottheim.
MR. DOTTHEIM: The -- basically, the other matters that the Staff has to address are of discovery nature and there are other matters, which the Staff is going to in the executive directors report such as -- I think as previously indicated, as actually occured, a number of staff, myself among them, attended the IATAN hearings at the Kansas Corporation condition and those are the nature of any items we have.

The major -- the major remaining items are of discovery nature.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Great. Are there any other status reports as it may be that anyone wants to bring up? Mr. Woodsmall?

MR. WOODSMALL: Two things: One, kind of similar to Staff; we have a small discovery dispute. I just the objection last week, so I haven't had a chance to talk to Company, but that will proceed. And I heard your statement earlier that we need to follow proper rules, so I just wanted alert you to that.

The bigger thing that I wanted to indicate, we filed a motion for clarification of the prudence audit order, you will, some time back in -- you addressed much of it by allowing Staff to continue their audit, but one of the parts our motion for clarification had to do with the concern about pulling in the transcripts, evidence, briefs and everything that case into this case. That raises questions as this is a contested case, not the same parties. And so that remains outstanding. And I don't know if you're going to address or if I need to elevate that somehow to the Commission's attention. But I just wanted to flag that.

JUDGE DIPPELL: No. You're right. we did not address that specifically and we can -- we can take a look at that and figure out what to present to the Commission on that point.

MR. WOODSMALL: okay.

JUDGE DIPPELL: On that question.
MR. WOODSMALL: Thank you.
JUDGE DIPPELL: Is there any -- was there anything else along those lines? There were some other for clarification that we didn't address specifically. Was there anything else outstanding in those that someone needed answer to that we didn't answer specifically? okay.

Mr. Dottheim?
MR. DOTTHEIM: Frankly, we can take a look at that again. By the Commission not addressing matters, we thought we have received a response from the Commission. If you're indicating that's not necessarily the case, then -if you're asking if there are items in the -- that those for clarification that -- that the parties that filed them consider to be outstanding, then we need to take a look at and get back with you on that.

JUDGE DIPPELL: And it may be that Judge and I also need to take another look at those in light of happened since they were filed and make sure that we did, in fact, address or fail to address on purpose the things that that were intended. But I do recall the records issue and that's a very sort of technical procedural issue with what records are coming in and all that's happening and so forth. we do need to address that.

MR. DOTTHEIM: Yeah. Because I mean, frankly,
in one of the initial orders, $I$ think the Commission for example, depositions to be filed. And I can read that clearly to indicate that the depositions from the 0259 case being directed to be filed in 0355 and 0356. I have that -have not done that of yet and I may have been remiss in not doing that as -- as yet. I can hold off doing that, waiting the Commission to rule on Mr . Woodsmall's motion for clarification or $I$ can -- or if I'm reading or if that -- if proper interpretation of the Commission's prior order was 1iterally everything in 0259 -- and I've -- was to be -- or anything that had occurred in 0259 -- because I don't believe that we filed the depositions that occurred in 0259 in 0259.

But there were depositions that occurred of KCPL witnesses and the Staff witnesses and again, it's my recollection that they were not filed in 0259. But one could interpret one of the orders of the Commission in 0355 and being that everything in 0259 should be filed in 0355 and

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. why don't you hold off for now on doing that Mr . Dottheim until we address that specific question.

Is there anything else that you all have questions or concerns about?

MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. I was wondering if we're having problems with the ventilation in the room. The court reporter seems to be on a respirator.

JUDGE DIPPELL: She's got one of those funny little court reporting mechanisms. But she's also not we11 and she's anxious for us to be done.
well, $I$ don't have anything else. We'11 say that if we go along, if it turns out that these conferences not helping anyone or not scheduled at the right time or whatever, we can take that as we go. They could be or done away with. Or we went ahead and scheduled them right to before the hearing, not knowing whether we'd really need have one close to the hearing.

But just keep us in the loop and informed and try to work out your issues among yourselves, but if you come to us and let us know.

Mr. Dottheim?
MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes. Are you looking for us to after we visit amongst ourselves to report back to you and possibly go back on the record, or if we can't resolve what we're -- what we're going to discuss --

JUDGE DIPPELL: I don't believe that that'11 necessary today, to go back on the record unless that you that there is some specific reason for that. I -- at this it sounds like you need to have your discussion. If you work it out, then let one or both of us know and we'11 set up discovery conference.

MR. DOTTHEIM: Al1 right.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Al1 right. Then with that said -- one more thing?

MR. DOTTHEIM: Yeah. I think we probably broach a matter for you to start thinking of. And if -we either are going to be able to resolve this in the manner proceeding in this manner or the Commission's going to have resolve whether to proceed in this manner or not, and that is the Staff is going -- or has raised the issue with KCPL of Commission appointing an RLJ as a special master to our documents or portions of documents that are asserted to be covered by the attorney/client privilege of work product doctrine.

And that -- that is one of the items that we want to discuss with -- with the Company. So from the perspective, we're either going to be able to reach an to approach -- or to request that from the Commission or not reach agreement and Staff will request that.

JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm glad you brought that up because that is one of the things that $I$ wanted to also and I'm not trying to pick on the companies here, but in previous cases involving KCPL and GMO, specifically the case and the last set of rate cases, there were a lot of disputes about things being marked confidential and being asserted and so forth and we did need to do that.

So yes, we will definitely start thinking
about that because it has been an issue in the past. I will the companies, since it's mostly your information, that it marked as HC and so forth, please don't come in here with a stack of documents and say this entire stack, every word in stack of documents is highly confidential. Make some attempt redacting it. I don't want to be sitting in here again hearing trying to redact it myself.

But definitely those are the kinds of things that you can bring up and Judge Pridgin and I will start discussing whether -- you know, how we would proceed with appointing someone if that becomes necessary to review those kinds of documents.

Mr. Fischer?
MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. I think I'd just to indicate that we -- the companies take your admonitions seriously. And I think in the last rate case we did a much better job in limiting the redactions and working with Judge Harold Stearling whenever there was a concern. I don't think the companies have any problem at all with a special master RLJ working to resolve these issues if necessary. we'11 work with whatever the procedures the Commission finds to be most helpful in that process. And we'd like to not have these of disputes of, you know, so.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. Would it be helpful to, just at the onset, appoint someone so that when these issues
come up, if they come up, you would know who to go to and how handle them?

MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes, from the Staff's that would be -- that would be helpful.

MR. WOODSMALL: Let me ask: If you appoint a special master, would that be the person we'd go to for our discovery dispute conferences, or do we still go to you or is the overlap between what the presiding officer does and special master?

JUDGE DIPPELL: I guess that would be a good question that we would have to work out; whether it would be just someone to deal with confidential -- confidentiality disputes, privileges or confidentiality or whether it would all discovery-type disputes. I'm just sort of thinking out loud. That would be something that we would have to make

Mr. Dottheim, anything?
MR. DOTTHEIM: One last thing. And since going off the record, it's -- I raise it because the Staff in the past has always, to my knowledge, advised the Commission, you know -- one of the things that the Staff and the Company going to discuss is whether a certain data responses to data requests have been misplaced or lost. And Staff takes very serious when the treatment of highly confidential, information or any information we received. It doesn't have be stamped highly confidential or proprietary under 386.480 .
the information we receive is not otherwise a document containing pub7ic information, we are to protect it.

So we may have to deal with this further, but don't want to let this time pass since we're not go back -probably not go back on the record just to alert the bench this is an item that is being discussed between the Company Staff as to whether some information documents have been

MR. FISCHER: Judge, could I address that just briefly. And we are going to discuss that matter, but I just want to make it clear that there's a lot of data in these of cases. We filed some in Caseworks, DR responses. We file EIFS and we provide hard copies to some members of the staff. The Company -- based on Mr. Dottheim's remarks, I don't want bench to think that the Company in any way at this point in alleging any inappropriate activity by anyone in this.

We're -- it's possible that -- our dispute may be that we didn't provide some hard data that we thought we So that's all we'd like to visit about. I just want to make clear we were not making any allegations regarding loss of at this point that we might bring to the attention of the Commission.

JUDGE DIPPELL: Okay. well, those are exactly the kinds of things that we wanted to know today, that you are having these issues, you're going to try to work them If you can't work them out, we'11 be hearing more from you.
that is much better than coming in here the night before the hearing and saying we couldn't work these things out, please help us do something about it. So I appreciate you all those issues up. Please do talk and see if you can figure issues out. If not, contact us, let us know.

Any last words? All right then, I'm finally going to go off the record. Thank you all.
(off the record.)
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\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
\hline 0355 27:4,10 \& 20 39:4 \& 65102 22:6,10 \& additional <br>
\hline 28:3,13 \& 30 38:22 39:5 \& 65102-0456 \& $$
32: 24 \text { 39:22 }
$$ <br>
\hline 38:12 42 , 17 \& 300 22:22 \& 24:4 \& Additionally <br>
\hline $42: 4,16,17$
0356 25:4 \& 301 23:15,19 \& 65102-0537 \& 37:3 <br>
\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& 0356 \text { 25:4 } \\
& 27: 2,10,12
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 308 23:11 \& 23:16,20 \& address <br>
\hline 28:16 38:13 \& 309 22:5 \& 65201 23:24 \& 26:4,20 33:6 <br>
\hline 42:4,16,17 \& 30th 31:5 \& 65802 22:14 \& 40:18,22 <br>
\hline \& 39:7,9 \& 6th 31:6 \& 41:5,20,24 <br>
\hline 132:22,25 \& 31 38:25 \& 32:23 33:1 \& 42:19 47:8 <br>
\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
132: 22,25 \\
38: 14,17,21,
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 39:13 \& 38:14,16,18 \& addressed <br>
\hline 23 3,14,21, \& 312 24:3 \& \& 37:11 40:12 <br>
\hline 10 32:19 \& 314 23:4 \& $\frac{7}{725-878823: 4}$ \& addressing
$$
38: 5 \quad 41: 10
$$ <br>
\hline 1000 24:8 \& 3420 23:7 \& 751-6514 22:6 \& admonitions <br>
\hline 101 22:18 \& $$
\begin{gathered}
35628: 4 \\
30: 21
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 7th 31:7 \& 45:15 <br>
\hline 10-day 36:14 \& 30.21
$360-5976$

$386: 8$ \& 38:3,8 \& advise 32:17 <br>

\hline 111 23:23 \& $$
386.48046: 25
$$ \& \& advised 46:19 <br>

\hline 130 23:3 \& 386.480 46:25 \& $\frac{8}{816.83}$ \& afternoon <br>
\hline 13th 31:11 \& \& 816 23:8 \& 25:25 <br>
\hline 16th 37:15 \& 400 22:18 \& 864-8800 \& Ag 26:24 27:1 <br>
\hline 17th 37:16 \& 417 22:15 \& 8th 31:9,10 \& agencies <br>
\hline 18th 32:20 \& 428 22:22 \& \& ago 37:13 <br>
\hline 38:12 \& 45 32:5 \& 9 \& <br>

\hline 2 \& 456 24:4 \& 939 22:14 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AGP/SIEUA/ } \\
& \text { MEUA 22:20 }
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline 2 21:6,8 \& \& 9th 23:23 \& agreement <br>
\hline 35:14,15,22 \& 5 \& \& 44:15,17 <br>
\hline 37:7,12,21 \& 573 \& A \& ahead 25:19 <br>
\hline 38:9 $39: 2,3,9,12$ \& 22:6,19,23 \& AARP 26:23 \& 35:17 43:8 <br>
\hline 39:2,3, 9, 12 \& 23:12,16,20 \& ability 49:8 \& 44:25 <br>
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\begin{gathered}
20022: 5,9 \\
23: 3
\end{gathered}
$$ \& 586-3409 24:9 \& able 44:5,15 \& aid 26:10,11 <br>

\hline 2000 38:25 \& 586-3409 24.9 \& acceptance \& Air 32:22 <br>
\hline 2010 21:6 \& 6 \& \& alert 40:9 <br>

\hline 32:23 33:1 \& 601 23:15,19 \& | accepted |
| :--- |
| 37:20 | \& <br>
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| Apri1 35:24 | B | brief 35:3,14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { certain } 21: 12 \\ & 46: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AQCS } 32: 22,25 \\ 38: 14,17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Banks } 21: 21 \\ 49: 4,17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { briefly } 34: 3 \\ 35: 1647: 9 \end{gathered}$ | CERTIFICATE $49: 2$ |
| arrangements | Bardgett | briefs 40:15 <br> bring 25:16 | certify 49:5 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 21:18, 19 | 35:3,15,22 | loop 43:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nechantisms } \\ & 43: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 26:17, 22 | $36: 13$ 40:6 | loss 47:19 | members 47:12 |
| 27:6,7,13,16 | $44: 22 ~ 45: 16$ $46: 17$ $48: 6$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lost } 46: 22 \\ 47: 7 \end{gathered}$ | mention 44:19 |
| 28: $18,22,8,12,15$ | 1ate $30: 19$ | $\begin{gathered} 47: 7 \\ \text { lot } 25: 13 \end{gathered}$ | mention 44:19 |
| ,18,24, | 32:14 35:3 | 44:22 47:10 | 35:21 |
| 29:4,10,13,1 | 36:13 | 1oud 46:15 | merger 44:21 |
| 7,19,24 30:3 | 1ater 26:7 | loudly 26:8 | met 37:12,18 |
| 31:16 $33: 8,10,22,2$ | 29:3 | loudly 26:8 | Michae1 23:22 |
| 3,24 | 1atter 28:9 | Lumley 23:2 $27: 16$ | $29: 15$ |
| 34:7,18, 25 | 1aw 21:18,19 |  | microphone |
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| 26:6,9 | 33:12,14 | October | outcome 49:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mid-August | 41:4,13 | 38:3,6,7,8,2 | outstanding |
| 38:1 | Motor 29:25 | 5 | 40.18 |
| mid-month | myself 39:21 | offer 35:13 | 41:6,15 |
| 37:15 | 45:7 | offers 34:13 | Overal1 36:17 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { mid-September } \\ 36: 938: 2 \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{gathered} \text { Office } 22: 7,9 \\ 26: 17,19 \end{gathered}$ | overlap 46:8 |
| Midwest 27:3 | Nancy 21:19 | officer 46:8 | P |
| Mi11s 22:8 | 5:7 | okay | P.C 22:17 |
| 26:19,20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nathan } 22: 3 \\ 26: 15 \end{gathered}$ | 28:8,10,13,1 | P.0 22:5,10 |
| mind 34:16 | 26:15 | 5,18,24 | 24:4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{mine} 28: 4 \\ 29: 18 \end{gathered}$ | Natura | 33:8 $34: 24$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { particular } \\ 34: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
| min | 39:17,22,25 | 37:8 40:25 | parties |
| 36:15,22 | necessarily | 41:7 42:18 | 32:6,7 |
|  | 34:12 41: | 45:24 47:22 | 40:17 41:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { minutes } \\ & 32: 5,19 \end{aligned}$ | necessary | 0'Keefe 23:3 | 49:10,12 |
| misplaced | 43:20 | onset 45:25 | pass 47:4 |
| 46:22 | neither 49:9 | operatio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { past } 32: 12 \\ & 45: 146: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Miss } \\ & 28: 4,9,19 \end{aligned}$ | Nevada 31:6 | $36: 9,17,24$ $37: 16$ | PC 23:15,19 |
| Missouri 21:2,7 | Newman 23:15,19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { operationa1 } \\ 36: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { people } 25: 25 \\ & 26: 1,11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22:2,11 | 27:8 | Operations | perform 36:15 |
| 23:5,9 25:5 | night $35: 4$ | $25: 5 \quad 28: 25$ | performed |
| 29:1,6,7,8,1 |  |  |  |
| 0,12,24 30:1 | none 36:23 | opportunity | perhaps 34:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36: 6 \\ & 49: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { noon } \\ & 31: 8,9,10 \end{aligned}$ | 34:2,13 35:6 | period 32:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { MJMEUC } \\ & 29: 20,22 \end{aligned}$ | nor 49:9,13 norma1 36:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { order } 30: 7 \\ & 31: 15 \quad 32: 20 \\ & 39: 1,8 \quad 40: 11 \end{aligned}$ | Perry 24:7 person 46:6 |
| МО | North 22:14 | 42:9 | perspective |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22: 6,10,14,1 \\ & 8,23 \end{aligned}$ | Nos 21:12 | ordered 32:21 | perspective <br> 35:10 36:18 |
| 23:4,8,12,16 | 25:3 | 38:11,15 | 37:9 44:15 |
| ,20,24 24:4 | notices 30:22 |  | 46:3 |
| moment 38:9 | November 31:5 | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { orders } & 33: 5 \\ 34: 15 \quad 38: 12 \end{array}$ | $\text { ph } 26: 22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monroe } \\ & 23: 15,19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 22 \\ 38: 4,20 & 39: 4 \end{array}$ | $42: 1,16$ | phone 25:25 |
| month 37:18 | numerous | originally $39: 6$ | 26:11 |
| monthly 34:2 | 36:22 | others 29:18 | 30:18 $32: 7$ |
| 37:25 | $0$ |  | pick 44:20 |
| months | $\frac{0}{\text { objection }}$ | $47: 149: 13$ | piping 35:24 |
| 35:15,22 | $40: 6$ | Ott 22:4 | 36:1 |
| morning 35:4 mostly 45:2 | obvious7y 34:6 | $26: 14$ | $\mathrm{plan}_{31: 4} 21: 13$ |
| motion | occured 39:2 | 32:5,16 35:6 | p1anned 36:14 |
| 40:11,14 | occurred | 43:16 | 38:17 |
| $42: 7$ | $42: 11,12,13$ | outage $36: 14,16$ | plans 36:7 |
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| $\mathrm{plant}_{39: 3,10,13}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { problems } \\ 42: 24 \end{gathered}$ | 31:3,7 47:2 pulling 40:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:6 } \\ & \text { redactions } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { p1ease } 45: 3 \\ 48: 2,4 \end{gathered}$ | procedural $25: 12 \quad 30: 7$ | purpose 25:16 | 45:17 |
| point 38:10 | 37:20 39:1 |  | duced 49:8 |
| 40:24 43:21 | 41:22 |  | reflect 27:8 |
| 47:14,20 | procedurally | Quality 32:22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { regard } 28: 20 \\ 33: 8,12,18 \end{gathered}$ |
| portions $44: 10$ | 32:18 | question 41:1 $42: 20 \quad 46: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 8 \\ & 34: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { possible } \\ \text { 47:16 } \end{gathered}$ | 33:11,12 procedures 35:20 45:21 | questions <br> 31:19 37:6 | regarding 32:25 33:1 <br> 35:4 38:9,10 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossibly } \\ 43: 17 \end{gathered}$ | proceed 32:16 40:7 44:7 | 40:16 42:22 quickly | regards 37:10 |
| post 31:8 | 45:10 | 35:5,9 | regulatory |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Power } 21: 11 \\ & 22: 16 \quad 29: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { proceeded } \\ 36: 18 \end{gathered}$ | R | 21:13,18,19 |
| Praxair 27:3 |  | raise 46:18 | reheat 36:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Preliminary } \\ & 36: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $44: 6$ | raised 44:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { re1ated } 25: 14 \\ & 49: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { present } 28: 1 \\ & 29: 532: 6,7 \end{aligned}$ | proceedings <br> 21:4 31:24 | raising 32:11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { relative } \\ 49: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| 40:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { process } 33: 14 \\ 45: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rate } 44: 22 \\ 45: 16 \end{gathered}$ | remaining |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { presented } \\ 31: 4,14 \end{gathered}$ | Processing 26:24 27:1 | rather 35:5 | $36: 10$ 39:24 remains 40:17 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { presenting } \\ 25: 21 \end{gathered}$ | product 44:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reach } \\ & 44: 15,17 \end{aligned}$ | remarks 47:13 |
| residing | prohibit | reading 42:8 | remind 33:10 |
| 21:17,19 |  | really 25:22 | remiss 42:5 |
| 46:8 | project 35:14 | 30:19 32:18 | remove 36:14 |
| previous | 36:25 37:5 | 33:18 43:9 | repaired |
| $44: 21$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { proper } 40: 8 \\ 42: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reason } 25: 22 \\ & 43: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $36: 23$ |
| previously 27:5 29:7 | proposed 39:1 | recal1 41:21 | replies 30:24 |
| 31:25 | proprietary | receive $37:$ | report ${ }_{35} \mathbf{1 4 , 1 9}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 15,25 \\ & 39: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 46:23,25 | $47: 1$ | 37:6,9,24,25 |
| Pridgin 21:17 | protect 47:2 <br> protective | $\begin{aligned} & \text { received } \\ & 41: 11 \quad 46: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 1,5,21$ $39: 19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25: 9 \\ & 29: 17,19,24 \end{aligned}$ | protective $36: 15$ | recess 34:20 | REPORTED |
| 31:16, | provide 33:1 | recollection | 21:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 10,23,24 \\ & 41: 1745: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 47:12,17 | $42: 15$ | reporter $42: 25 \quad 49: 2$ |
| prior 34:15 37:23 42:9 | providing 37:1 provisions | $\begin{gathered} \text { record } 27: 8 \\ 32: 434: 19 \\ 43: 17,20 \end{gathered}$ | reporting <br> 21:22 43:2 |
| privilege 44:11 | rovisions $30: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 1847: 5 \\ & 48: 7,8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { reports } 31: 20 \\ 32: 25 & 40: 2 \end{array}$ |
| privileges 44:23 46:13 | prudence $40: 11$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { records } 36: 10 \\ 37: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { representing } \\ & \text { 26:5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| probably 44:3 <br> 47:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { public } 21: 1 \\ 22: 2,4,7,9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41: 21,23 \\ \text { redact } 45: 7 \end{gathered}$ | request 44:16,17 |
| problem 45:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,20 \\ & 30: 22,23 \end{aligned}$ | redacting | requests 46:27 |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{required} \& RONALD 21:17 \& 35:15,22 \& \[
46: 18,20,22
\] \\
\hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { room } 26: 10 \\
\& 30: 17 \text { 42:24 }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& 0:5 \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { rescheduled } \\
\& 43: 7
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \& simply 34:1 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Staff's } 35: 19 \\
37: 9,12,18,1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \& \[
\operatorname{rupe}_{42: 7} 33: 15,22
\] \& site \(37: 15\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 37: 9,12,18,1 \\
\& 9: 38: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
resolution \\
33:11 34:9
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { rules } 34: 6 \\
\& 40: 8
\end{aligned}
\]} \& sitting 45:6 \& \[
39: 2,3 \quad 44: 14
\] \\
\hline \& \& smal1 40:5 \& \\
\hline reso \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Ruth } 23: 15,19 \\
\& 27: 9
\end{aligned}
\]} \& Smith 23:23 \& stages 37: \\
\hline 34:5,8,12 \& \& 29:15 \& stamped 46:25 \\
\hline 43:17 44:5,7 \& \& somebod \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { stand } \\
\& 37: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \& S \& \& \\
\hline ```
\mp@code{resolved }
``` \& chedule
\(25: 12\)

31:12 \& somehow 40:19 \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { start } 26: 2 \\
36: 18
\end{gathered}
$$ <br>

\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Resources } \\
\text { 29:6 }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 31: 12 \\
& 37: 19,20
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 45:11, 25 \& 44:4,25 45:9 <br>

\hline respect 27:10 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { scheduled } \\
& 34: 1538: 2,8 \\
& 43: 6,8
\end{aligned}
$$} \& sorry 28:5 \& \[

\operatorname{startup}_{36: 23,24}
\] <br>

\hline respective \& \& - \& start-up <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { respirator } \\
& 42: 25
\end{aligned}
$$ \& scheduling

$$
31: 3,15
$$ \& $34: 441: 2$

$46: 14$ \& 36:2 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { response } \\
\text { 41:11 }
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Schwarz } 23: 10 \\
& 29: 11
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} \& sounds 43:22 \& 26:4 49:4 <br>

\hline \& \& speak 26:6,8 \& statement <br>

\hline responses \& | scope 39:2 |
| :--- |
| screens 36:15 | \& speaking \& 40:7 <br>

\hline $$
46: 21 \quad 47: 11
$$ \& second 38:1 \& 26:1 \& tatus 21:5 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { restoration } \\
& 35: 25
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sedalia } 27: 1 \\
& 31: 11
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { specia1 } 44: 9 \\
45: 19 & 46: 6,9
\end{array}
$$
\] \& $33: 17,19,25$

$34: 17,35: 14$
$37: 6,9$ <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { result } \\
& 32: 10,24
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { seeing } 28: 2 \\
30: 12
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

specific 32: 1
\]

$$
35: 4 \quad 42: 20
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 38: 2,6,8 \\
& 40: 2
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { results } 33: 25 \\
& 37: 14
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { seem } 34: 3 \\
& \text { seems } 32: 11 \\
& 42: 25
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
43: 21 \\
\text { specifically }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { steam } 35: 23 \\
36: 1
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Retailers } \\
23: 9 \\
29: 10,12
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40: 22 \quad 41: 5,7 \\
& 44: 21
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& Stearling <br>

\hline \& SENIOR 21:18 \& Springfield \& Steiner 29:3 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { review } \\
& 36: 9,10
\end{aligned}
$$ \& September

$$
21: 6 \quad 37: 15
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 22: 14 \\
& \text { St } 27: 13 \text { 31: }
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& Steinmeier <br>

\hline 37:16 39:4 \& serious 46:23 \& St 27:13 31:6 \& 27:1 <br>

\hline 44:9 45:11 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { serious7y } \\
45: 16
\end{gathered}
$$} \& stack 45:4, \& step 33:21 <br>

\hline reviewing 38:21,24 \& \& staff $22: 2$ \& $34: 22$
Steve 22:3 <br>

\hline risks 36:25 \& 21:1,12 \& $$
31: 21
$$ \& Steven 26:14 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Riverside 31:9} \& 22:2,4 25:6 \& 34:10, 35 : \& stop 30:24 <br>
\hline \& 26:2,13,16 \& 36:6,7,8 \& Street <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { RLJ } 44: 9 \\
45: 20
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{session 34:14} \& 21:13,15,17 \& 22:5,9,18 <br>

\hline \& \& \& 23:15,19,23 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{RLJS 35:8 Robert 29:13} \& | several 25:25 |
| :--- |
| 26:1 35:22 | \& 15,16,18, 20, \& subject 36:5 <br>

\hline \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{she's} \& 22,24,25 \& subsequent <br>

\hline | Robert 29:13 |
| :--- |
| Roger 29:3 | \& \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 39: 4,6,8, \\
& 17,18,20
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 35:25 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Ron 25:8} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{shut $36: 14$ significant} \& 40:5,13 \& successfully <br>

\hline \& \& $$
42: 14
$$ \& 36:5 37:1 <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}
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| Suite | that'11 43:19 | U.S 24:6 | 45:20 47:25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 22: 18,22 \\ & 23: 3,15,19 \end{aligned}$ | that's 25:22 | u7timately | we're |
| summarize | 30:13 37:6 | 32:13 34:12 | 5:10,11,14 |
| summarize | 41:12, 22, 23 | unable 34:5 | 34:4 42:23 |
| Summit 23:17 | 47:18 | understand | 43:18 44:15 |
| 27:11 31:9 | thereafter |  | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 17 \\ & 47: 4,16 \end{aligned}$ |
| support 36:11 | 49:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Union } 28: 1 \\ & 29: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 47:4,16 } \\ & \text { we've } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { sure } 35: 11 \\ 41: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { there's 26:6 } \\ 35: 25 \text { 47:10 } \end{gathered}$ | unions 28:22 | $25: 12,22$ |
| SW 24:8 | thereto 49:13 |  | whatever |
| Swearengen | Thomas 23:10 | 36:3, | 25:18 34:20 |
| 24:2,3 27:20 | TIGER 21:22 | 37:1,12,18 | 43:7 45:21 |
| sworn 49:6 | til1 30:19 | unless 30:13 | henever |
| synchronized | Tim 29:11 | 34:19 43:20 |  |
| 36:3 | timeline | Users 27:2,4 | whether $32: 3$ |
| System 32:22 | 35:19 | Utility 22:11 | $37: 18$ $44: 75: 9$ $45: 10$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { systems } 35: 24 \\ 36: 1 \end{gathered}$ | today 25:8,23 |  | 46:11,13,21 |
|  | 33:7 37:13 | vendors 37:5 | whoever's |
| T | 43:20 47:23 | entilat | 32:6 |
| talk 32:5,8 | Todd 23:6 | 42:24 | who's 28:9 |
| 34:21 35:6 | 29:8 | Via 22:12 | 30:5 |
| $40: 6 \quad 48: 4$ | TRANSCRIPT 21:4 | 24:6 | whose 49:5 |
| i |  | view 37:18 | williams 22:3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { technica1 } \\ 41: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transcripts } \\ & \text { 40:15 } \end{aligned}$ | visit 31:25 | 26:15 |
| 1ephon | transmission | $34: 3,10,11$ $35: 11$ $43: 16$ | wishes 30:2 |
| 22:12 24:6 | 36:3 | 47:18 | witness 49:5,7 |
| 34:7 | treatment | visited 32:15 | witnesses |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { tentatively } \\ & 31: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 46:23 } \\ & \text { Tripp } 23: 22 \end{aligned}$ | visits 36:8 | witnesses $42: 14$ |
| terminate 39:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:15 } \\ & \text { true-up } 38: 23 \end{aligned}$ | Volume 21:8 | wondering $42: 23$ |
| termination | $39: 5,11,12$ | W | Woodsmal 1 |
| 38:15 | try $27: 23$ | Wagner 29:13 | 22:21 26:2 |
| terribly 34:1 | 37:5 43:12 | waiting 34:14 | 40:3,4,25 |
| test 37:13 | 47:24 |  | 41:2'46:5 |
| testimony | $\begin{gathered} \text { trying } 44: 20 \\ 45: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Washingto } \\ 24: 9 \end{gathered}$ | Woodsma11's |
| 32:25 | tube 36:22 | ways 32:2 | 42:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37: 21,22 \\ & 38: 449: 5,7 \end{aligned}$ | turbine 36:19 | we'd 32:18 | work 36:25 |
| testing |  | 43:9 45:22 | 44:11 45:20 |
| 36:4,5,10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { urbines } \\ & 36: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 46:6 47:18 | 46:11 |
| Thank | urns 43 | week 36:13 | 47:24,25 |
| 26:17,25 | turns | 37:13,14 | 48:2 |
| 27:6,7,16 | typewriting | 40:6 | working 31:2 |
| 28:18,19,24 | 49:8 | weekly 34:11 | 37:4 |
| 29:24 37:8 |  | we'11 30 | 45:17,20 |
| 39:15 41:2 | U | 33:15 34:20 | written |
| 48:7 |  | $43: 4,23$ |  |
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| $26: 3,2127: 4$ <br> yet $31: 5$ <br> $42: 5,6$ <br> you'11 $31: 15$ <br> yourse1ves <br> $26: 1243: 12$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
573.886.8942 tcr@tigercr.com

