1	STATE OF MISSOURI				
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
3					
4					
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
6	Pre-Filing Conference				
7	January 10, 2012				
8	Jefferson City, Missouri				
9	Volume 1				
10					
11	In the Matter of Kansas City Power)				
	& Light Company's Request For) File No. ER-2012-0174				
12	Authority To Implement A General)				
	Rate Increase For Electric Service)				
13					
	In the Matter of KCP&L Greater)				
14	Missouri Operations Company's) File No. ER-2012-0175				
	Request For)				
15	Authority To Implement A General)				
	Rate Increase For Electric Service)				
16					
17					
	DANIEL JORDAN, Presiding,				
18	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE				
19	KEVIN D. GUNN, Chairman				
	JEFF DAVIS,				
20	TERRY M. JARRETT,				
	ROBERT S. KENNEY,				
21	STEPHEN M. STOLL,				
	COMMISSIONERS				
22					
23	REPORTED BY:				
	Janna L. Tayon, CCR No. 1260				
24	TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC				

1		APPEARANCES
2	KEVIN	THOMPSON, Legal Counsel
	SARAH	KLIETHERMES
3	NATHAI	N WILLIAMS
	STEVE	DOTTHEIM
4		200 Madison Street, Suite 700
		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
5		573.751.8700
	FOR:	Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission
6		
7	JAMES	M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law
		Fischer & Dority, P.C.
8		101 Madison, Suite 400
		Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
9		573.636.6758
	For:	Kansas City Power & Light Company
10		
11	ROGER	W. STEINER, Corporate Counsel
		Kansas City Power & Light Company
12		1200 Main Street, 16th Floor
		Kansas City, Missouri 64105
13		816.556.2314
	For:	Kansas City Power & Light Company
14		
15	LEWIS	R. MILLS, JR., Public Counsel
		Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
16		P.O. Box 2230
		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
17		573.751.4857
	For:	Office of the Public Counsel and the Public
18		
19	DAVID	WOODSMALL, Attorney at Law
		Finnegan Conrad & Peterson
20		428 E. Capitol, Suite 300
		Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
21		573.635.2700
	For:	Unidentified Potential Industrial Parties
22		
	JENNII	FER FRAZIER, Assistant Attorney General
23		P.O. Box 899
		Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
24		573.751.8795
	For:	
25	·	

1	CARL	LUMLEY,	Attorney	at Law			
		Curtis	, Heinz,	Garrett	and	O'Keefe,	PC
2		130 S.	Bemistor	n, Suite	200		
		St. Lo	uis, Miss	souri 63	105		
3		314.88	4.7503				
	For:	Dogwood	Energy				
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE JORDAN: Good morning, everyone. The
3	Commission is calling two files. The first file number is
4	ER-2012-0174. And that file is called: In The Matter Of
5	Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request For Authority
6	To Implement A General Rate Increase For Electric Service.
7	The other file is number ER-2012-0175. And
8	that is: In The Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri
9	Operations Company's Request For Authority To Implement A
LO	General Rate Increase For Electric Service.
L1	I'm Daniel Jordan; I'm a regulatory law
L2	judge assigned to these files. We'll begin with entries of
L3	appearance. Let's start with the parties that filed these
L4	pre-filing
L5	MR. FISCHER: Let the record reflect the
L 6	appearance of James M. Fischer, Fischer & Dority, PC,
L7	101 Madison Street, Suite 400, Jefferson City,
L8	Missouri, 65101, appearing on behalf of Kansas City Power &
L 9	Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations
20	Company.
21	JUDGE JORDAN: For Staff?
22	MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. Kevin
23	Thompson, Steve Dottheim, Nathan Williams, Sarah

Kliethermes for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service

Commission, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City,

23

24

25

- 1 Missouri, 65102.
- JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. For the Office of
- 3 Public Counsel?
- 4 MR. MILLS: On behalf of the Office of the
- 5 Public Counsel and the Public, my name is Lewis Mills. My
- 6 address is Post Office Box 2230, Jefferson City,
- 7 Missouri, 65102.
- 8 JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. Any others who
- 9 wish to enter an appearance?
- 10 MR. WOODSMALL: David Woodsmall on behalf of
- interested, but as yet unidentified potential industrial
- 12 parties.
- 13 JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. And has someone
- joined us on the telephone?
- 15 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, this is Roger
- 16 Steiner, representing KCP&L.
- 17 JUDGE JORDAN: Good morning, Mr. Steiner.
- 18 Did you get that?
- MR. STEINER: Good morning.
- JUDGE JORDAN: It's a little bit faint here.
- 21 I'm turning up the volume. All right.
- 22 And who else is with us on the phone today?
- 23 MS. FRAZIER: Your Honor, Jennifer Frazier,
- 24 with the Missouri Attorney General's Office, appearing for
- 25 the Department of Natural Resources, address is P.O.

- 1 Box 899, Jefferson City, Missouri.
- JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. Anyone else on
- 3 the line with us today?
- 4 MR. LUMLEY: Carl Lumley, appearing for
- 5 Dogwood Energy, address on file.
- THE COURT REPORTER: What was his last name?
- JUDGE JORDAN: Lumley. I'm trying -- I'm
- 8 trying to boost the volume for us here. There we go. And,
- 9 Mr. Lumley, will you spell your -- spell your name for the
- 10 reporter here?
- 11 MR. LUMLEY: Carl, C-a-r-l, Lumley,
- 12 L-u-m-1-e-y.
- 13 JUDGE JORDAN: Thank you. That was a little
- faint. I think we've got it now though. Anyone else on
- 15 the line today? Okay.
- 16 Well, thanks to everyone for being here
- 17 today. There is, we're aware, no action pending in these
- 18 files currently. What we have are notices of pre-filing
- 19 the intent to file a rate case not less than 60 days after
- the file of those notices.
- 21 And what we're doing when we receive such
- 22 filings, we've begun a practice of convening conferences
- 23 before the filings occur. And the purpose of the
- 24 conference like this is to discuss procedures that may be
- 25 implemented to accommodate the parties, particularly

- 1 utilities, who have suggested that 11 months is a
- 2 burdensome time frame, too long for them to get adequate
- 3 rate relief.
- 4 So one of the things that we have
- 5 experimented with is an accelerated time line for rate
- 6 cases and certain other procedural devices that will keep a
- 7 rate case on track and on a schedule. And we will probably
- 8 use some of these whether the parties request them or not.
- 9 But we also want to take into consideration the parties'
- 10 ideas on what works for them and what does not.
- 11 Some of these devices include periodic, what
- 12 we call, complaint reports -- that is, we have staff
- assembling complaints against the filing utility and filing
- 14 a report with the Commission on what those are. Discovery,
- 15 we've used early service of data requests, we've used
- 16 periodic conferences, and we've also set deadlines for the
- 17 accomplishment of discovery. So those are some of the
- things that might come to pass if, as and when, a rate
- 19 action is actually filed here.
- I wanted to get the parties' thoughts on
- 21 this, and I'll begin with the utility that filed these --
- 22 utilities -- the entities that filed these notices and ask
- 23 whether KCPL&G will have any thoughts on the time line to
- 24 begin with.
- MR. FISCHER: Well, Judge, if by time line,

- 1 you mean when are we filing, we've -- we have filed a
- 2 notice of intent to file, which would basically allow us to
- 3 file around the first of February. We don't know at this
- 4 point what date we will file. We're in the process of
- 5 preparing a case.
- 6 We don't anticipate asking for any kind of
- 7 accelerated procedure, so that may short circuit some of
- 8 the discussion today. There will be a need for a True-Up.
- 9 And I think under some of the discussions I've heard on the
- 10 accelerated process, that a True-up is more problematic.
- 11 So because we will need that, I think we
- will probably anticipate a traditional approach.
- JUDGE JORDAN: Okay.
- 14 MR. FISCHER: And as far as -- we can talk
- 15 with the parties about ways to -- to make the case go
- 16 smoother. We certainly would like to do that. And we can
- 17 talk today about drivers for the case, or anything like
- 18 that.
- 19 But as far as any unusual procedures, I
- don't think we'll be requesting any at this point. But we
- 21 would be interested in -- in, you know, working with --
- 22 with parties to make it go as smoothly as possible.
- JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Thank you. I
- 24 appreciate that. And I emphasize that these -- these
- 25 procedures are for -- partly at least, for the

- 1 accommodation of the parties.
- 2 Anything from Staff? Any reflection on
- 3 these -- on these procedures that we've been experimenting
- 4 with?
- 5 MR. THOMPSON: Not really, Judge. We find
- 6 the early DRs and the regular discovery conferences to be
- 7 very helpful.
- 8 JUDGE JORDAN: Good. Anything from the
- 9 Office of Public Counsel on these matters?
- MR. MILLS: No, your Honor.
- JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. Anything from anyone
- 12 else? Any other comments? Okay. Anything from our --
- from our parties participating by telephone?
- MS. FRAZIER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
- 15 JUDGE JORDAN: Okay. I'm not hearing
- anything. Well, that's all I had. And I appreciate the
- parties presence here, and I hope you can use this time to
- discuss procedures for the eventual filing of a rate
- 19 action.
- That's all I have. Anything else that I can
- 21 help the parties with before we go off the record?
- MR. DOTTHEIM: Just a question, Judge. Are
- 23 the parties expected to make any sort of filing concerning
- 24 discussions that occur after this conference? I'm
- certainly not encouraging that.

1	JUDGE JORDAN: Right. Right. You
2	mean like the report that I often ask for after a
3	prehearing conference?
4	MR. DOTTHEIM: Yes, sir.
5	JUDGE JORDAN: Yeah. I didn't have anything
6	in mind like that. I think that the utility who is
7	contemplating filing this action knows that it can request
8	or suggest procedures when it does its filing. So anything
9	else before we go off the record?
10	Not hearing anything, thank you very much.
11	This conference is adjourned, and we'll go off the record.
12	(Off the record.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Janna Tayon, CCR No. 1260, within the State of
4	Missouri, do hereby certify that the testimony appearing
5	in the foregoing matter was taken by me to the best of my
6	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
7	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor
8	employed by any of the parties to the action in which this
9	hearing was taken, and further, that I am not a relative
10	or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
11	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested
12	in the outcome of the action.
13	
14	
15	
16	Janna Tayon, CCR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	