EXHIBIT 306 Exhibit No.: Issues: Plant in Service Witness: Noumvi G. Ghomsi Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony File No.: ER-2010-0355 Date Testimony Prepared: February 22, 2011 **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY** OF

ĩ

7

NOUMVI G. GHOMSI

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

FILE NO. ER-2010-0355

Jefferson City, Missouri February 2011

KCPL306 <u>CZ</u>95 ΛB Date 3 3 Report File No. ER-2010-0

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas) City Power & Light Company for) Approval to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service to Continue) the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan)

Ţ

File No. ER-2010-0355

AFFIDAVIT OF NOUMVI G. GHOMSI

STATE OF MISSOURI J) ss **COUNTY OF COLE**)

Noumvi G. Ghomsi, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following True-Up Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 5 pages of True-Up Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following True-Up Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Noumvi G. Ghomsi

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{18^{7}}{100}$ day of February, 2011.

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER Notary Public - Notary Seat State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: October 03, 2014 Commission Number: 10942086

Públic Notary

$\frac{1}{2}$	Table of Contents
3 1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY
5	OF
7	NOUMVI G. GHOMSI
8 9	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
10 11	FILE NO. ER-2010-0355
12 13	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
14	PDAIECT DESCRIPTION
14	2
15	IN-SERVICE CRITERIA

÷

Ŧ

ł

1 7 7

.

1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY					
3	OF					
4 5	NOUMVI G. GHOMSI					
6 7	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY					
8 9	FILE NO. ER-2010-0355					
10 11						
12	Q. Please state your name and business address.					
13	A. Noumvi G. Ghomsi, Governor Office Building Suite 700, 200 Madison Street,					
14	P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.					
15	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?					
16	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) as a					
17	Utility Engineering Specialist I in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations Division.					
18	Q. Please describe your educational and work background.					
19	A. I graduated from the University of Missouri-Columbia with a Bachelor of					
20	Science degree in Industrial & Manufacturing Engineering and an Associate's degree in					
21	Business Administration in December 2007. I interned as a Utility Engineer for the School of					
22	Engineering Industrial Assessment Center (IAC) at the University of Missouri-Columbia from					
23	June 2006 to February 2008. While working for the IAC I helped conduct energy audits as					
24	well as researched and developed new solutions for private businesses to improve their energy					
25	efficiency and increase their operational capacity. After graduation I worked as a Process					
26	Engineer for Hallmark Cards Metamora Fixtures Operation from March 2008 to June 2009.					
27	While at Hallmark Cards, I worked in various departments including engineering, operations					
28	distribution, quality, and environmental health and safety (EHS). In addition to these specific					
29	department functions; my work experience also consisted of project management, process					

1

ĩ

l

1.5.1.1

ļ

Ì

True-Up Direct Testimony of Noumvi G. Ghomsi

=

.

7

.

1	improvement events, time-studies, quality control, procurement, research and development,			
2	creating work orders and manufacturing instructions, and completing managerial requests.			
3	During my employment with Hallmark Cards, I also participated on the Industrial Safety &			
4	Green Teams. The tasks for both teams included monthly safety inspections on plant-wide			
5	equipment and hazardous material, as well as providing energy efficient solutions for the			
6	Manufacturing and Distribution departments. In July 2010 I began my employment with the			
7	Commission.			
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY			
9	Q. Please provide an executive summary of your testimony.			
10	A. This testimony details the in-service criteria review for thirty-two (32) Kansas			
11	City Power & Light Company (KCPL) wind turbine generators (WTGs) at the Spearville			
12	Wind Energy Facility in Spearville, Kansas known as Spearville II. Each of these WTGs at			
13	Spearville II have satisfactorily met the in-service criteria established in File No. ER-2010-			
14	0355 and Staff recommends the Commission find they are "fully operational and used for			
15	service."			
16	PROJECT DESCRIPTION			
17	Q. Please describe the project designated as the Spearville Wind Energy Facility.			
18	A. The Spearville Wind Energy Facility is located near Spearville, Kansas			
19	(approximately 15 miles northeast of Dodge City, Kansas). The site consists of			
20	approximately 5,500 acres of land. The facility originally had 67 General Electric wind			
21	turbine generators (1.5 MW each) for a nominal electrical capacity of 100.5 MW. They are			
22	now known as Spearville I. KCPL has added 32 additional General Electric wind turbine			
23	generators (1.5 MW each) with a total nominal electrical capacity of 48 MW, which is known			
i				

True-Up Direct Testimony of Noumvi G. Ghomsi

ĵ

ł

1	as Spearville II. Therefore the entire Spearville facility (Spearville I and Spearville II) has a	
2	total nominal capacity of 148.5 MW. KCPL contracted with MasTec Renewables	
3	Construction Company to manage the development and construction of the additional 32 wind	
4	turbine generators. The WTGs connect to the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) through	
5	Sunflower Electric, Inc.'s Spearville substation (adjacent to the KCPL transmission	
6	substation). The status of the WTGs at Spearville II, with regard to the Staff's in-service	
7	criteria was reviewed before the end of the true-up period (December 31, 2010).	
8	Q. Have you personally visited Spearville II?	
9	A. Yes. I visited the site on December 17, 2010, with Greg Brossier of the	
10	Energy Department Staff and John Robinett of the Engineering & Management Services	
11	Department Staff. During the site visit, we reviewed folders for each WTG at the site to	
12	verify compliance with the in-service requirements. The review revealed that five (5) WTGs	
13	still needed to be commissioned by means of a 6-hour test. The test generates a power curve,	
14	which is used in determining a pass or fail of in-service criteria number three (3). In addition	
15	to our findings, four (4) wind turbine generators were missing brake test documentation.	
16	KCPL provided electronic copies of all in-service test criteria documentation by the end of the	
17	True-Up period, the final documents being received on December 27, 29, and 30. I also	
18	analyzed a one-line diagram layout of the SPP Interconnection, which designated the location	
19	of the new 32 WTGs. The diagram indicates that there is extra transmission capacity for more	
20	than the 148.5 MW currently being generated at the Spearville Wind Energy Facility. I	
21	observed operating WTGs and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system	
. 22	displays at local stations (located at the WTG). I also observed an inventory of WTG spare	

True-Up Direct Testimony of Noumvi G. Ghomsi

parts at the site, along with maintenance equipment used to gain access to all WTG service
roads.

IN-SERV	ICE	CRII	ERIA

4

3

ĩ

Q. What are in-service criteria?

5 Α. In-service criteria are a set of operational tests or operational requirements 6 developed by Staff to determine whether a new generating unit is "fully operational and used 7 for service." Appendix H from the Stipulation and Agreement in Case No. EO-2005-0329 8 contains Staff's in-service criteria used for the WTGs at Spearville II. 9 Q. Where does the phrase "fully operational and used for service" come from? 10 Α. The phrase comes from Section 393.135, RSMo. 2000, a statute that was adopted by Initiative, Proposition No. 1, on November 2, 1976. Section 393.135, RSMo. 11 12 2000, provides as follows: 13 Any charge made or demanded by an electrical corporation for service, or in 14 connection therewith, which is based on the costs of construction in progress 15 upon any existing or new facility of the electrical corporation, or any other cost 16 associated with owning, operating, maintaining, or financing any property before it is fully operational and used for service, is unjust and unreasonable, 17 18 and is prohibited. (Emphasis added) 19 20 Q. How did Staff develop the in-service test criteria it used for these WTGs at 21 Spearville II? Α. 22 The criterion were developed in a collaborative process with KCPL and others, 23 and approved by the Commission as part of KCPL's Experimental Regulatory Plan (Case No. EO-2005-0329). 24 25 Why are in-service criteria important? **Q**. The criteria established in Case No. EO-2005-0329 provides an accepted basis 26 Α. 27 for in-service evaluation. In-service criteria are the operational basis upon which Staff makes

True-Up Direct Testimony of Noumvi G. Ghomsi

1	its determination to recommend to the Commission that it finds a generation unit to be "fully			
2	operational and used for service" and be given ratemaking treatment.			
3	Q.	Were there performance guarantees in the General Electric (GE) contract?		
4	А.	Yes.		
5	Q.	Has the Staff evaluated Spearville II utilizing the in-service criteria from		
6	KCPL's Experimental Regulatory Plan?			
7	А.	Yes.		
8	Q.	Relative to the in-service criteria, what were the results of those evaluations?		
9	Α.	The results are consistent with the established in-service criteria. The results		
10	of the evaluati	ons are summarized in Schedule-1.		
11	Q.	Were there any significant deviations during the performance of the		
12	evaluations that should be discussed?			
13	Α.	No.		
14	Q.	What is your conclusion regarding in-service criteria for the WTGs at		
15	Spearville II?			
16	А.	Based on my review and analysis of the data and inspection of the facilities,		
17	the WTGs at	Spearville II have met all of the required in-service criteria. Therefore, I		
18	recommend th	hat the Commission find the WTGs at Spearville II "fully operational and used		
19	for service."			
20	Q.	Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?		
21	А.	Yes, it does.		

ĩ

ł

ļ

1

Date: 01/26/2011

In-Service Test Criteria

KCPL—Spearville II Wind Energy Facility

32--1.5 MW General Electric Wind Turbines

چ

1. All major construction for each of the units to be considered for inclusion in rate base shall be completed.

Based on review of the Foundation Completion Certificates, Electrical Commissioning Certificates, and Mechanical Completion Certificates for each of the wind turbine generators (WTG); construction was completed prior to December 31, 2010. Also, based on personal observations of the facility on December 17, 2010; all major construction of the WTG was completed by December 31, 2010 (end of the true-up period).

2. All preoperational tests for each of the units to be considered for inclusion in rate base shall be completed.

Based on review of the WTG Commissioning Certificates and WTG Substantial Completion Certificates for each wind turbine; preoperational tests were completed prior to December 31, 2010.

3. Unit has operated at several different wind speeds and delivered power output near or in excess of anticipated output based on a guaranteed power curve while vibrations are within design limits. The analysis necessary to meet this requirement will involve: 1) taking the guaranteed power curve for each of the unit types and dividing the range of design wind speeds into three (3) equal ranges of wind speeds, 2) reviewing wind speed data vs. power output for each of the units being evaluated, 3) confirming that each of the units being evaluated had a power output of 95% or more of guaranteed output for the wind speed observed in at least two (2) of the three (3) wind speed ranges noted above with at least one point at or above the 50% design wind speed, and 4) confirming that each of the units being evaluated did not exhibit any unusual vibration outside of design specification requirements.

Based on a review of the power curve for each wind turbine, all the units met this criterion. The power curves are computer plots consisting of the guaranteed power curve and superimposed data points from the actual operation of the wind turbine. The horizontal axis of the plot is wind speed (measured in meters per second) and the vertical axis is power output (kilowatts). All units had operational data points in at least two (2) of the three (3) wind speed ranges and delivered a power output greater than 95% of guaranteed power output in at least two (2) of the three (3) ranges [including at least one (1) data point above the 50% design wind speed].

ř

The wind turbines contain two (2) vibration sensors. One (1) sensor monitors vibration associated with the rotating equipment (blades, rotor hub, rotor shaft, gearbox, coupling, and generator). The second sensor monitors vibration associated with the tower structure. Either of the vibration sensors can shut down the wind turbine if its set-point is exceeded. Since the wind turbines were operating successfully during the time periods the power curves were developed, it can be inferred that the vibrations were not outside of design requirements. Additionally, the Field Commissioning Acceptance Test Checklists for verification of proper operation of the vibration sensors were reviewed for all of the wind turbines.

4. The operational testing required in item 3 above shall be conducted on the first five (5) units constructed and if all five (5) operate in an acceptable manner as described in item 3 above, testing will only be required on every other unit built thereafter at each particular wind generation site utilizing these exact unit types. If any of the units tested during the period where every other unit is being tested fails to operate in an acceptable manner as described in item 3 above, the next five (5) units installed will be required to be tested and operate in an acceptable manner as described in item 3 above, the next five (5) units installed will be required to be tested and operate in an acceptable manner as described in item 3 above before testing can resume on an every other unit basis again.

All 32 of the units were tested and test results have been provided and reviewed.

5. Unit rotor lock or brake has been checked and confirmed to be installed correctly for each of the units to be considered for inclusion in rate base.

Based on review of Field Commissioning Acceptance Test Checklists for validating secondary brake operations for each of the wind turbines; the brakes have been checked and confirmed to be installed correctly. 6. Sufficient transmission interconnection facilities shall exist to carry the total net electrical capacity from the completed number of generating units into the distribution/transmission system.

1

Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) is still utilizing firm and nonfirm transmission service to deliver the power produced at the Spearville Wind Energy Facility (Spearville) to KCPL customers. KCPL is working with the Southwest Power Pool (SPP) in the aggregate study process. This process should result in Spearville being designated as a network resource and thus able to serve KCPL customers under SPP rules.

7. Only units that have been constructed and are operating in an acceptable manner as described in item 3 above shall be considered for inclusion in rate base. Units under construction or that have been constructed but have not met these in-service criteria will not be considered for inclusion in rate base, until such time units have met in-service criteria.

Based on review of the Project Substantial Completion Certificate and Electrical Substantial Completion Certificate, the additional thirty-two (32) wind turbines located at the Spearville Wind Energy Facility have been constructed and are operating in an acceptable manner.