FILED December 5, 2014 Data Center Missouri Public

Service Commission

Ex 401

# Sport Sport Sport Sport Sport Sport Power Pool

0-0-0

0-0-0

0-0-0-0-0

Helping our members work together to keep the lights on... today and in the future



# Addressing Cost Estimates and Cost Increases

#### Project Cost Task Force February 18, 2011





#### **Regional State Committee Motions**

- <u>MOTION 1</u>: RSC recommends that SPP review what is the best manner to address significant cost increases and/or overruns of transmission projects that are regionally funded.
- <u>MOTION 2</u>: RSC recommends that SPP review the SPP review the Novation Process and report to the RSC by April 2011.
- MOTION 3: RSC recommends that SPP consider establishing design and construction standards for transmission projects at 200 kV and above that are regionally funded.
- <u>MOTION 4</u>: SPP evaluate how cost estimates are established for transmission projects before Cost Benefit Analysis are performed.
- MOTION 5: CAWG to study various methods on how costs that exceed some standard can be addressed with different cost allocation mechanisms and recommend strategies to RSC.

#### **Activities since October RSC meeting**

- BOD referred Motions 1 4 to the SPC (Oct)
- Staff developed white papers
- Reviewed what other entities are doing
- Presented white papers to SPC (Dec)
- MOPC formed a Project Cost Task Force to expand the Staff white papers and agree to details (Jan)

## Project Cost Task Force (PCTF)

- Further develop whitepapers for RSC Motions 1 and 4
  - Develop method for creating project cost estimates
  - Help ensure rigorous evaluation of cost estimate variances
  - The group consists of 10 members from different entities with experience in the following sectors:
    - Construction cost estimation/control, Rate-making, Project management

### Members of Project Cost Task Force (PCTF)

- Terri Gallup, Chairman (American Electric Power)
- Tom Hesterman (Sunflower Electric Power Corp.)
- Al Ackland (Kansas City Power & Light Company)
- Peter Day (Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.)
- Sam McGarrah (Empire District Electric Company)
- Brian Slocum (ITC Great Plains, LLC)
- Tom Littleton (Oklahoma Municipal Power Authority)
- Ray Harold (Midwest Energy)
- Dave Kimball (Nebraska Public Power District)
- Jason Fortik (Lincoln Electric System)

# Strategic Planning Task Force Meeting (Feb)

- SPC task force generally supportive of the direction of whitepaper
- Provided Guidance on Tracking/Cost Estimates
  - PCTF should define what needs to be included in each level of estimate (i.e., everything that the TO needs to provide to SPP and everything that SPP is to provide to each TO to develop consistent estimates)
  - PCTF should establish the bandwidth percentages at each estimate level

### **Strategic Planning Task Force Meeting**

- Form Group to provided Guidance on projects with estimates outside a bandwidth (Project Cost Working Group)
  - These recommendations can include restudies, though the task force did not identify automatic triggers
  - These recommendations would follow SPP's existing processes for approval to the Board
  - These recommendations should not include reallocation of the costs related to the variance
  - These recommendations could include a revocation of the NTC by the BOD

### **Today's Goals**

- Further develop whitepapers for RSC Motions 1 and 4
  - Start with SPP's strawman document
  - Refine whitepaper for Motion 4: Cost Estimate Standard
  - Refine whitepaper for Motion 1: Cost Variances

#### SPS Buthwest Motion 4: Wer Pool

# **Cost Estimate Standard**



### **Estimating Before and After NTC – Motion 4**

Conceptual Estimate	•ITP20 •ITP10	•Based on historical costs •Rough straight line path – no detail
Study Estimate	•ITP10 •ITPNT	<ul> <li>Rough measure of Distance</li> <li>Based on Historical Costs</li> <li>More refined review provided to SPP</li> </ul>
<b>NTC issued</b> Design Estimate	•Construction Design	<ul> <li>Detailed alternatives</li> <li>Firm Routing</li> <li>Known Environmental Issues</li> </ul>
Construction Estimate	•Build the project	•All Labor, Material, Equipment, Contingencies
Actual Cost		

UNCERTAINTY

Highest

0-0-0-0-0

---

None

•SPP

#### **Project Cost Estimating Process (4 Stages)**



•Spp 12

0-0-0-0-0

0-0-0

### **Motion 4: Cost Estimate Standard**

Estimate Name	Stage	Level of Project Definition	End Usage	Precision and Range	Suggested Contingency
Conceptual	1	0% to 10%	Concept screening for ITP20/ITP10	-50% to + 100%	30% to 50%
Study	2	10% to 40%	Study of feasibility and plan development for ITP10/ITPNT	-30% to +50%	15% to 30%
NTC Project Estimate	3			-20% to +20%	
Design	4	40% to 70%	Construction design after NTC issued	-20% to +20%	10% to 20%
Construction	5	70% to 100%	Build the project	-10% to +15%	5% to 10%

00000

0-0-0

A3

Standardized Cost Estimate Application 0-0-0

00000

#### Standardized Cost Estimate Application (SCEA)

	ect Description			
	nate Provider			
	timate Date			
	Dollars Used in Estimate			
Tear Dasis of I	Details		Cost Estimate	Comments
Conductor	Size Design Electrical Capacity ( Other	i <u>mps)</u>		
Structure	Type Material Base NESC Assumption Dead Ends Underbuild			
Substations	Transformers Transformers Protection Scheme Voltage Control			
Construction	Amount			
Labor	DONE AVE			
Right of Way (ROW)	ROW (Mileage ROW Condition (e.g., Urba			
Eng. Design,	Permitting/Certifica			
Project	Escalation Rate			
Management, Permitting	Eng. Design/Proj. Mang.			
Loadings	Туре І	Туре 1		
Other Cost	Other Cost Factor Notes			
Construction Financing Type	Construction Work In 1 or Allowance for Funds Used Dur			Includes Cost of Capital and Taxes associated with construction financing
	Yearly Revenue Requireme	nt for CWIP		% debt v. % equity
Construction Financing Recovery	or Amount of Incremental Rate Base to be added for AFUDC			may also be useful but not required if they self report all estimate values.
Cost Allocation Method	ls Regional Recovery approved All or partial? Maybe entered t Resource?			Also should include project type here: le, Regional Reliability, Gl, Sponsored, etc.
Construction Inflation	Use only if estimates are given where n=(in-service year – e i =construction inflation %, sa sticking with nominal \$ to av annualized compoundin	stimate year), y 2.5%, suggest oid lesser than g periods	\$ Sub-Total * (1+i)^n	Inflates sub-total before contingency to move from current \$ to nominal \$
Sub-Total	Sub-Total of al			
% Contingency	Dollar Amount of Contingency	Used in Estimate	% Contingency * \$ Sub-Total	Contingency % may be adjusted to match confidence of estimate

i

 -	
Slide 14	
A3	this is a new slide. one of the objects can be deleted. Author, 2/17/2011

.

#### SPR towest Motion Fower Pool

#### **Cost Variances**



#### Staff Proposed Process Improvements – Motion 1

- Baseline cost estimate used at issuance of NTC
  - Detailed estimate required
- Baseline estimate utilized to compare to actual cost
- Independent group (PCWG) formed to inquire into the reasonableness of any variance outside a predetermined bandwidth
- Determination if the project is still feasible
- PCWG's recommendation will be presented to TWG, MOPC, RSC and BOD for quarterly review

### Project Cost Working Group (PCWG) Charter

- **Project Cost Working Group should:** 
  - Provide monthly review of regional projects with estimated costs outside threshold
  - Recommend next steps of a project to MOPC and ultimately to the BOD
  - PCWG should not recommend allocation of overages but instead issue recommendation for revocation of the NTC or continuance of project
  - Reset project tracking estimate baselines for projects once they have been reviewed when approapriate
  - Provide quarterly report to MOPC

#### **PCWG Review Cycle**



0-0-0-

0-0-0-0-0



Katherine Prewitt Director, Planning 501.614.3518 kprewitt@spp.org 0-0-0

000000