```
Exhibit No.:
Issue: Rate of Return
```

Witness:
Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party:
Case No.:
Date Testimony Prepared:

Michael P. Gorman
Surrebuttal Testimony
Noranda Aluminum, Inc. EC-2014-0223
July 3, 2014

Filed
August 5, 2014 Data Center

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Missouri Public

 OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI Service Commission
## Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

 (Complainant)v.

Case No. EC-2014-0223
Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri (Respondent)

Surrebuttal Testimony and Schedules of
Michael P. Gorman

On behalf of
Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

July 3, 2014


Brubaker \& Associates, Inc.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION <br> OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI 

| Noranda Aluminum, Inc. | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Complainant) | ) |
| V. |  |
| Union Electric Company, d/b/a |  |
| Ameren Missouri (Respondent) |  |
|  | ) |

## Case No. EC-2014-0223

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) $\quad$ ss

## COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS

## Affidavit of Michael P. Gorman

Michael P. Gorman, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

1. My name is Michael P. Gorman. I am a consultant with Brubaker \& Associates, Inc., having its principal place of business at 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140, Chesterfield, Missouri 63017. We have been retained by Noranda Aluminum, Inc. in this proceeding on its behalf.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes are my surrebuttal testimony and schedules which were prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014-0223.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that the testimony and schedulps are true and correct and that they show the matters and things that they purport to show.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2nd day of July, 2014.


## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| Noranda Aluminum, Inc. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| v. <br> (Complainant) <br> v. <br> Union Electric Company, d/b/a <br> Ameren Missouri (Respondent) |  |
|  | ? |
|  |  |

## Table of Contents to the <br> Surrebuttal Testimony of Michael P. Gorman

## Page

$\qquad$RESPONSE TO ROBERT HEVERT2
Proxy Group ..... 9
Response to My DCF ..... 12
Response to My Multi-Stage Growth DCF Model ..... 18
Response to My CAPM Study ..... 21
Risk Premium Analysis ..... 25
Schedule MPG-SR-1 through Schedule MPG-SR-3

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| Noranda Aluminum, Inc. | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Complainant) | ( |
| v. |  |
| Union Electric Company, d/b/a | ) |
| Ameren Missouri (Respondent) |  |

Case No. EC-2014-0223
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Q

A

Q ARE YOU THE SAME MICHAEL P. GORMAN WHO PREVIOUSLY FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF COMPLAINANTS IN THIS CASE?

A Yes.

Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A
I will respond to the rebuttal testimony of Ameren Missouri ("Company") witness Robert Hevert.

Q AFTER REVIEWING MR. HEVERT'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, WHAT IS YOUR OPINION AS TO THE PROPER RETURN ON EQUITY FOR AMEREN MISSOURI?

A My opinion continues to be that $9.4 \%$ is a proper return on equity for Ameren Missouri.

Q DOES MR. HEVERT COMPARE YOUR RECOMMENDED RETURN ON EQUITY WITH RECENT INDUSTRY AUTHORIZED RETURNS?

A Yes. At page 7 of Mr. Hevert's rebuttal testimony, he compares my recommended return on equity of $9.4 \%$ with the median authorized returns for vertically integrated electric utilities in 2013 and 2014. He concludes this indicates industry returns on equity of around $9.9 \%$, with the highest at $10.95 \%$, above my $9.4 \%$.

Q PLEASE RESPOND TO MR. HEVERT.
A Contrary to Mr. Hevert's assertions, my recommendation is generally consistent with the trend in industry authorized returns on equity. The Regulatory Research Associates ("RRA") report published in April of this year summarizes decisions for electric and gas utilities as follows:

The average return on equity (ROE) authorized electric utilities was $10.23 \%$ in the first quarter of 2014 (eight observations), compared to the $10.02 \%$ authorized in calendar-2013. We note that the 2014 data includes three surcharge/rider generation cases in Virginia that incorporate plant-specific ROE premiums. Virginia statutes authorize the State Corporation Commission to approve ROE premiums of up to 200 basis points for certain generation projects (see the Virginia Commission Profile). Excluding these Virginia surcharge/rider generation cases from the data, the average authorized electric ROE was $9.57 \%$ for the first three months of 2014 versus $9.8 \%$ in calendar2013. The average ROE authorized gas utilities was $9.54 \%$ for the first quarter of 2014 (six observations). compared to $9.68 \%$ in calendar-2013. The data do not include a Feb. 20, 2014 New York Public Service Commission steam rate decision for Consolidated Edison Co. of New York that adopted a $9.3 \%$ ROE. (We note that this report utilizes the simple mean for the return averages. $)^{1}$

As noted in this report, electric utility authorized returns on equity, excluding the Virginia decisions with mandated 200 basis point premium adders to surcharges,

[^0]was $9.8 \%$ in calendar year 2013, but had dropped to $9.57 \%$ in the first quarter of this year. Similarly, gas utilities' authorized returns on equity decreased to $9.54 \%$ in the first quarter of this year, compared to $9.68 \%$ during 2013. This trend suggests a decline in authorized returns on equity for electric utilities of 23 to 25 basis points, and 14 to 15 basis points for gas utilities, respectively, in the first quarter of 2014 relative to calendar year 2013. This trend supports my recommendation that Ameren Missouri's return on equity of $9.8 \%$ authorized in December 2012 should be decreased.

## Q HAVE DECLINING AUTHORIZED RETURNS ON EQUITY CONTINUED TO SUPPORT THE FINANCIAL INTEGRITY AND ACCESS TO CAPITAL OF UTILITY COMPANIES?

A Yes. Indeed, with the significant declining trend in authorized returns on equity, which track declines in capital market costs for utilities, credit rating agencies and investors in general have viewed the utility industry as low-risk stable investments. Indeed, credit analysts have actually increased the overall bond ratings of the utility companies in face of these declining authorized returns on equity.

Credit rating agencies are raising the credit outlook for regulated utility companies, and noting their strong credit metrics. All of this is occurring most recently, as authorized returns on equity have declined in line with declines in overall capital market costs. Statements by Standard \& Poor's ("S\&P") and Fitch are indicative of these observations.

S\&P recently published a report titled "Stable-To-Modestly Improved Industry Outlook Supports Ratings For U.S. Regulated Electric, Gas, And Water Utilities." In that report, S\&P noted the following:

## Effect on ratings

Notwithstanding the slow economic recovery, credit quality in the domestic utility industry has continued a long shift to greater stability, and even modest improvement in some cases, especially as many companies re-emphasize their core competencies.

## Industry Ratings Outlook

## Good access to funding expected to continue

Liquidity is adequate for most utilities and investor appetite for utility debt remains healthy, with deals continuing to be oversubscribed at very attractive rates. The amount of medium- to long-term debt and hybrid securities issued through the three months ended March 31, 2013 was about $\$ 8.7$ billion. Credit fundamentals indicate that most, if not all, utilities should continue to have ample access to funding sources and credit. The relative certainty of financial performance provided by the regulatory framework under which utilities operate, their effective monopoly position, long-lived assets, and the financing necessary to fund these assets are all factors that make the utility sector attractive to investors. These elements have also helped utilities more effectively manage their rate-relief needs and mitigate the effect of sizable rate increases on customers. ${ }^{2}$

Similarly, Fitch states:

## Rating Outlook

Stable Ratings Outlook: Fitch Ratings expects the ratings and ratings outlook for the overall U.S. Utilities, Power, and Gas (UPG) sector to remain stable in 2014.

## Got Gas?

Gas utilities are benefitting from stable and low natural gas prices, and growing volumes from system build-outs and growing usage in electricity generation and as transportation fuel. In the northeast and mid-Atlantic regions, conversions from heating oil are also propelling strong customer and volume growth. Fitch expects continued strong growth and improved credit metrics for the sector in 2014, although ratings are expected to be stable.

[^1]
## Sector Outlook

The sector outlook for regulated gas distribution companies is positive. Relatively low and stable natural gas prices, customer growth, expanded use of natural gas for power generation and transportation fuel, and customer switching from heating oil or propane will drive substantially higher throughput volumes and drive improved profitability. ${ }^{3}$
Q DOES MR. HEVERT ATTEMPT TO DISTINGUISH THE REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT IN MISSOURI FROM THE INDUSTRY IN HIS ASSESSMENT OF INDUSTRY RETURNS ON EQUITY?
A Yes. He concludes that the rate of return should reflect comparable risk. He implies that the Missouri regulatory environment has greater risk than the industry in general because of the following:

1. Missouri does not include construction work in progress ("CWIP") in rate base.
2. Missouri sets rates based on an historical test year.
3. Missouri has very limited ability to implement interim rates.

Q PLEASE COMMENT ON MR. HEVERT'S CONCLUSIONS ABOUT THE REGULATORY RISK IN MISSOURI.

A Mr. Hevert's assessment of these risks is far from constructive or enlightening. The risk factors he notes are clearly evident to all investors, credit rating agencies and equity analysts, and are reflected in utility risk factors. As such, market participants would consider these and all other risk factors in assessing Ameren Missouri's risks, and the risks of all alternative utility investments. As such, fair compensation for

[^2]these risks and all other investment risks would be estimated using a proxy group of other electric utilities with investment risk similar to Ameren Missouri's. The fair return on equity for the proxy group, would be reasonable compensation to investors for assuming Ameren Missouri's investment risk, including the risk factors Mr. Hevert singles out.

Moreover, I believe Mr. Hevert's assessment of the risk is incomplete and flawed for several reasons. First, I agree with him that Missouri does not allow for CWIP, and that many regulatory commissions do allow utilities to include CWIP in rate base if they are in the midst of a major construction program, and doing so is necessary to preserve its financial integrity as it significantly increases its amount of invested capital.

While Mr. Hevert is right that Missouri does not permit CWIP in rate base, it does however implement regulatory mechanisms to support a utility's cash flows during a major construction program. For example, to support construction of the latan 2 generating station by Kansas City Power and Light ("KCPL"), the Missouri Commission approved a regulatory mechanism that allowed KCPL's rates to be set higher than its cost of service to enhance its cash flows during this major construction program. The increased cost of service was tracked as a regulatory liability that is now being used to offset the revenue requirement of latan 2 now that it has been placed in service. S\&P stated as follows about the Missouri regulatory plan:

Stipulated agreements with the Missouri and Kansas commissions permit KCPL to accelerate plant-related amortization expense in rate proceedings occurring before the completion of its various projects, including latan 2. This mechanism enables KCPL to request rate increases (during the impending construction period) that enable it to maintain cash-flow protection ratios commensurate with the 'BBB' rating category. Although use of the mechanism reduces future rate base (and, subsequently, future cash flows) in amounts equal to plant-related amortization expense booked in the previous year,

Standard \& Poor's views the arrangement as favorable since it provides for more stable cash flows through the construction cycle. ${ }^{4}$

The net effect of this regulatory plan is economically comparable to including CWIP in rate base. Hence, Mr. Hevert's assessment of this regulatory/construction risk is incomplete and his conclusions are flawed.

Second, it is accurate that Missouri uses a historical test year, however, Mr. Hevert fails to recognize that Missouri uses a true-up to the historical test year period. As such, there is far less lag in the rate-effective period and the true-up period in Missouri, relative to other jurisdictions that use a historical test period without a true-up. Further, based on my experience, I believe Missouri's use of interim rates is not significantly different than other jurisdictions. Missouri, like other jurisdictions, will allow a utility to implement interim rates if necessary to maintain its financial integrity. Use of interim rates is typically used sparingly and only in the event a utility demonstrates financial need.

More importantly, Mr. Hevert's itemization of these risks for Missouri, is not made in comparison to any other company, much less his proxy group companies. Hence, it is a superficial assessment of risk, with no quantitative support and no real measure of whether or not Ameren Missouri's risk for these factors, or on total, is any different than his proxy group.

[^3]Q WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE RISK FACTORS IDENTIFIED BY
MR. HEVERT ARE RISK FACTORS WELL KNOWN TO MARKET
PARTICIPANTS?
A Supporting a utility's cash flow during construction, regulatory mechanisms that allow
for timely recovery of cost of service, and flexibility to respond to financial need of a
utility are factors carefully considered by equity and credit analysts when assessing a
utility's investment risk. As an example, S\&P assesses the business risk of a
company, as part of its review of total risk when assigning a bond rating. (Total risk
analysis consists of business risk analysis, and financial risk analysis.)
$\quad$ As part of its business risk analysis, S\&P considers the industry risk. S\&P
generally considers the utility industry to be a very-low risk industry. Regulated
industries receive this low business risk assessment based on limited cyclicality,
limited risk in sales, and low competitive risk.

With respect to regulatory risk considerations, S\&P states as follows:

## Assessing regulatory advantage

21. The regulatory framework/regime's influence is of critical importance when assessing regulated utilities' credit risk because it defines the environment in which a utility operates and has a significant bearing on a utility's financial performance.
22. We base our assessment of the regulatory framework's relative credit supportiveness on our view of how regulatory stability, efficiency of tariff setting procedures, financial stability, and regulatory independence protect a utility's credit quality and its ability to recover its costs and earn a timely return. Our view of these four pillars is the foundation of a utility's regulatory support. We then assess the utility's business strategy, in particular its regulatory strategy and its ability to manage the tariff-setting process, to arrive at a final regulatory advantage assessment.
23. When assessing regulatory advantage, we first consider four pillars and sub-factors that we believe are key for a
utility to recover all its costs, on time and in full, and earn a return on its capital employed:
24. Regulatory stability:

- Transparency of the key components of the rate setting and how these are assessed
- Predictability that lowers uncertainty for the utility and its stakeholders
- Consistency in the regulatory framework over time

25. Tariff-setting procedures and design:

- Recoverability of all operating and capital costs in full
- Balance of the interests and concerns of all stakeholders affected
- Incentives that are achievable and contained ${ }^{5}$

As outlined by S\&P above, the specific regulatory risks identified by Mr. Hevert are not unique and need not be singled out as unique to Ameren Missouri. Rather, the risks are known to market participants, and are reflected in market risk factors that Mr. Hevert and I both rely on to select other utility companies of comparable total investment risk.

## Proxy Group

Q DID MR. HEVERT COMMENT ON YOUR SELECTION OF A PROXY GROUP TO ESTIMATE AMEREN MISSOURI'S COST OF EQUITY?

A Yes. Mr. Hevert asserts that several of the companies should not have been included in the proxy group because he believes they are not risk comparable to Ameren Missouri. These companies include the following:
${ }^{5}$ Standard \& Poor's RatingsDirect: "Criteria/Corporates/Utilities: Key Credit Factors For The Regulated Utilities Industry," November 19, 2013 at 6.

1. Edison International. Mr. Hevert observed that Edison International recently wrote off its Edison Mission Energy subsidiary, an unregulated subsidiary that owns merchant generating assets.
2. Consolidated Edison and UIL Holdings, companies he concludes are principally transmission and distribution utilities with significant natural gas operations.
3. He also notes that UIL Holdings recently announced the acquisition of Philadelphia Gas Works.
4. He is also critical of including companies in the proxy group that contain both electric and gas utility operations.

## Q PLEASE RESPOND TO MR. HEVERT'S COMMENTS ON YOUR PROXY GROUP SELECTION.

A Mr. Hevert has not provided adequate justification to remove any of the companies from my proxy group. Based on publically observable risk factors, and normal proxy group selection criteria, Mr. Hevert's conclusions are unsupported and without merit.

However, and importantly, Mr. Hevert's implication that the selected group of proxy companies is impacting the measurement of a fair return on equity for Ameren Missouri is simply without merit. As I will discuss later in this testimony, Ameren Missouri's current market cost of equity is well below $9.5 \%$ based on studies applied to my proxy group, and to Mr. Hevert's proxy group. Indeed, use of both of these proxy groups provides even stronger evidence that Ameren Missouri's last authorized return on equity of $9.8 \%$ is excessive and well above its current market cost of equity.

## Q PLEASE DEFINE AND RESPOND TO MR. HEVERT'S COMMENTS CONCERNING COMPANIES INCLUDED IN YOUR PROXY GROUP WITH WHICH HE TAKES ISSUE.

A Mr. Hevert argues that Edison International is not risk comparable to Ameren Missouri because it recently divested an affiliated non-regulated generation subsidiary. This
argument is without merit. Ameren Missouri's parent company, Ameren Corporation, has also recently divested an affiliated merchant generating company (Ameren Generation). As such, Edison International's efforts to divest itself of its unprofitable and unsuccessful merchant generation affiliate, is similar to the experience Ameren Missouri's parent company has recently undertaken as it sought to divest its merchant generation company which was also an unsuccessful and unprofitable affiliate.

Mr. Hevert's concern about Consolidated Edison and UIL Holdings is based on only one risk factor which describes the companies' total risk. Mr. Hevert asserts that Consolidated Edison and UIL Holdings are delivery companies, and not integrated companies, and both have large gas delivery businesses. With this one factor, he concludes that they are not risk comparable to Ameren Missouri. I disagree. Consolidated Edison does own generating assets, and does buy power to resell to its retail customers in New York City. This is a very complex process, and does expose Consolidated Edison to the risk of fully recovering its purchased power costs. Consolidated Edison's market risk factors are comparable to Ameren Missouri.

UIL Holdings' bond rating suggests it has greater risk than the proxy group average, and slightly greater risk than Ameren Missouri. Its bond rating from S\&P and Moody's as shown on my Schedule MPG-1 attached to my direct testimony, is "BBB" from S\&P and "Baa3" from Moody's. These bond ratings are one notch lower than Ameren Missouri's "BBB+" and "Baa2." To suggest as Mr. Hevert does, that UIL Holdings has lower risk than Ameren Missouri because it is a delivery company, is fundamentally flawed. Indeed, based on independent assessment of credit rating agencies, UIL Holdings has reasonably comparable investment risk to Ameren Missouri's, and if anything, it has slightly greater risk than Ameren Missouri.

Mr. Hevert's proposal to identify investment risk based on one single risk factor, rather than a complete assessment of investment risk is inconsistent with credit rating agencies' establishment of utility bond ratings, and is an incomplete method of identifying companies that have comparable risk to the subject company, in this case Ameren Missouri.

## Response to My DCF

## Q DID MR. HEVERT COMMENT ON YOUR DCF STUDIES?

A Yes. Mr. Hevert commented on each of my three DCF studies, including my constant growth DCF analysis based on analysts' growth rate forecasts, my sustainable growth rate DCF analysis, and my multi-stage growth DCF analysis.

Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE MR. HEVERT'S COMMENTS CONCERNING YOUR CONSTANT GROWTH DCF ANALYSIS BASED ON ANALYSTS' THREE- TO FIVE-YEAR GROWTH RATE FORECASTS.

A Mr. Hevert summarizes the results of my DCF analyses, after acknowledging that the constant growth DCF model application I propose, is generally consistent with his methodology.

While Mr. Hevert and I develop constant growth rate DCF studies using analysts' growth rate analyses in a similar manner, we interpret the results of those studies in a very different way. Specifically, I evaluate the total results of the studies in an attempt to measure the central tendency of all the companies within the proxy group total. In contrast, Mr. Hevert separates DCF studies based on high estimates and low estimates, and makes certain arguments about removing low-end estimates to produce what he believes to be a more reasonable result.

IS MR. HEVERT'S INTERPRETATION OF A CONSTANT GROWTH DCF STUDY BASED ON ANALYSTS' GROWTH RATE FORECASTS BALANCED AND REASONABLE?

A No. It is inappropriate for Mr. Hevert to judge the results of the DCF analysis simply because he finds certain estimates to be too low. A more balanced approach would be to exclude high-end and low-end outlier estimates from the interpretation of the group results, but not simply low-end estimates as Mr. Hevert has done.

I agree with Mr. Hevert that the Edison International return appears to be too low. That is principally why I considered both the average and the median of my proxy group DCF estimates. Relying on the median group estimate excludes outliers, either too high or too low, in interpreting the central tendency of the results of the proxy group. In significant contrast, Mr. Hevert's proposal to ignore low-end estimates, without similarly excluding high-end estimates, produces a biased and unreasonable conclusion.

Q WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THAT YOUR INTERPRETATION OF YOUR CONSTANT GROWTH DCF RESULTS BASED ON ANALYSTS' GROWTH RATE ESTIMATES PRODUCES A BALANCED AND REASONABLE ESTIMATE OF A FAIR RETURN ON EQUITY FOR AMEREN MISSOURI?

A As shown in my direct testimony on my Schedule MPG-3, my proxy group produces an average DCF return of $8.53 \%$, and a group median return of $8.66 \%$. The group median is higher than the average because of some of the low-end DCF results like Edison International's. However, the median proxy group estimate of $8.66 \%$ is reasonably comparable to the median proxy group estimate using Mr. Hevert's mean proxy group results as shown on his Schedule RBH-9. Specifically, his proxy group's
median DCF returns were $8.86 \%$ for his 30 -day average stock price, $9.01 \%$ for a 90 -day stock price, and $9.14 \%$ for a 180 -day stock price for the mean estimate. ${ }^{6}$ The constant growth DCF analyses that I performed, and those that reflect all of the earnings growth estimates used by Mr. Hevert, support a return on equity for Ameren Missouri of $9.0 \%$. Both my and Mr. Hevert's constant growth DCF studies support my recommended return of $9.4 \%$ for Ameren Missouri.

## Q IS IT BALANCED AND REASONABLE FOR MR. HEVERT TO EXCLUDE ONLY LOW-END DCF RESULTS?

A No. Mr. Hevert's proposal to exclude DCF results because he finds them to be too low is a biased and imbalanced method of interpreting the proxy group results, and measuring a fair return on equity for Ameren Missouri. While Mr. Hevert may choose to ignore it, capital market costs are very low in this market. The utility industry is generally regarded by the capital markets as a low-risk, stable investment vehicle. The market is providing significant access to capital for utility companies because of the stable investment characteristics. Because the market recognizes the stable and low-risk nature of electric utility companies, the security prices for utility companies (both common equity and debt) have been bid up, and utilities' costs of capital have declined.

[^4]DOES MR. HEVERT COMMENT ON THE RESULTS OF YOUR SUSTAINABLE GROWTH DCF APPROACH?

A Yes. Like his previous comments on my constant growth DCF analysis using analyst growth rate estimates, Mr. Hevert arbitrarily uses his judgment to determine whether or not the DCF results are reasonable and represent the current market cost of equity for utility companies. Since his judgment is biased, his conclusions simply have no merit.

Further, he is also critical of the sustainable growth rate because it assumes a constant payout ratio and earned return on equity assumptions he believes may not be constant into perpetuity. He believes that historical data, and independent research do not support these assumptions.

Q PLEASE RESPOND TO MR. HEVERT'S CONCERNS ON THE SUSTAINABLE GROWTH RATE MODEL AND THE ASSUMPTION OF A CONSTANT PAYOUT RATIO, EARNED RETURN ON EQUITY, AND HISTORICAL EXPERIENCE.

A Mr. Hevert's interpretation and conclusions on the sustainable growth model are again biased and without merit. He is correct that it is not clear that the growth characteristics of a utility will reflect a constant payout ratio, or earned return on equity. However, those assumptions are also inherent in the constant growth DCF study using analysts' growth rate forecasts. Mr. Hevert found no reason to make these same arguments, which are equally applicable, to the constant growth DCF model he has relied on. Rather, Mr. Hevert is simply arbitrarily and inconsistently making arguments against one DCF model that are equally applicable to the DCF model he relied on.

Further, and more importantly, the assumptions of the constant growth DCF model are reasonable over time given the payout ratio, earnings retention and reasonable valuation metrics of electric utilities today. While the payout ratio is not constant from year to year, it is reasonably constant on average over a long period of time.

## Q DOES MR. HEVERT PROVIDE ANY OTHER CRITICISMS OF THE SUSTAINABLE GROWTH RATE ESTIMATE?

A Yes. He makes the following arguments concerning the sustainable growth rate model:

1. He argues that the growth rate is circular because it uses a projected return on equity in order to forecast a growth rate.
2. He uses historical data and the DuPont model to decompose the proxy group's return on equity data over the period 2007-2013 and projections (2017-2019).
3. He also compares the historical earnings retention ratio of the companies in the proxy group with their growth rate and argues that historical data does not support the expectations that earnings growth will increase as the earnings retention ratio increases as the sustainable growth formula suggests.

Q DO THESE ARGUMENTS MR. HEVERT MAKES DEMONSTRATE THAT A SUSTAINABLE GROWTH RATE MODEL SHOULD NOT BE USED?

A No. Mr. Hevert's analyses simply demonstrate why most market participants and academics do not recommend basing growth rate estimates on only historical data. Analysts' growth rate projections are found to be more accurate than growth rates derived from only historical data, because analysts will make informed judgment to the historical data in formulating growth rate outlooks for the future. However, what Mr. Hevert does not recognize is that analysts use accepted financial models and judgment to form their published growth rates.

While the sustainable growth rate model may produce unreliable results if based on only historical data, it does produce reasonable results using projected normalized data and reasonable assumptions. Those projected data used by analysts, including Value Line, include projected earnings, dividends, earnings retention ratios, and earned return on book equity. It is simply irrational for Mr. Hevert to think that an analyst can make a growth rate projection without forming outlooks for the financial parameters of the companies under examination.

As such, I agree with Mr. Hevert that using only historical data will not allow for the development of a reasonable growth rate estimate going forward. However, projections of future earnings, balance sheet items, and operating margins are necessary to form outlooks for the growth in earnings and dividends going forward. One simply cannot project a growth rate of a company without projecting the expected financial results of the company.

## Q PLEASE COMMENT ON MR. HEVERT'S USE OF THE DUPONT MODEL AND A

 REVIEW OF HISTORICAL EARNINGS RETENTION RATIOS TO SUPPORT HIS CONCLUSIONS CONCERNING THE UNRELIABILITY OF THE SUSTAINABLE GROWTH DCF METHODOLOGY.A Mr. Hevert's reliance on the DuPont model to assess the historical and near-term projections of profitability, and balance sheet strength, is reasonable in assessing past performance, but incomplete in forming expectations of the future. Again, it is reasonable to believe that analysts rely on informed judgment in using this data to form their outlooks for the future.

Similarly, earnings retention ratios based on historical data can be distorted by actual events that impact a company's accounting statements such as non-recurring
charges, variations in earnings due to non-normal events such as weather, economic conditions, major storms and natural event damages to the system, and timing of adjusting rates to reflect cost of service.

A major flaw in Mr. Hevert's analyses is not in the use of this information to draw information to develop expectations of future growth. Rather, the flaw lies in his logic of using this to make criticisms of one methodology, when the same information can be used to draw criticisms of all the methodologies used to estimate a return on equity. Mr. Hevert's reliance on historical earnings retention ratios, variability of the balance sheet, operating margin, and earnings strength of the utilities (DuPont model), can be used to raise concerns about relying on a constant growth DCF model using analysts' growth rates (a method he uses), or developing a growth rate using a sustainable growth rate methodology. It is simply inappropriate and unbalanced for Mr. Hevert to apply it to only one of the models he chooses to reject. His arguments about the reliability of Company historical data apply to all DCF models.

## Response to My Multi-Stage Growth DCF Model

## Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE CRITICISMS MR. HEVERT MAKES OF YOUR MULTI-

## STAGE GROWTH DCF ANALYSIS.

A Mr. Hevert's criticisms of my multi-stage growth DCF analysis include the following:

1. He concludes that my multi-stage growth DCF model is understated because it did not reflect quarterly dividend payments. He concludes that using a mid-year cash flow convention would have increased the results of my multi-stage model by 18 to 19 basis points. (Hevert Direct Testimony at 16).
2. And he concludes that my long-term sustainable growth rate of $4.8 \%$ is inappropriate because it only applies for a 10 -year period. He derives a four-stage growth component to reflect his independent projection of future GDP growth. He concludes that this higher GDP growth rate estimate he derived would increase the DCF return by 62 to 63 basis points.

DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. HEVERT THAT A FAIR RETURN ON EQUITY FOR AMEREN MISSOURI SHOULD BE BASED ON A QUARTERLY COMPOUNDING MULTI-STAGE GROWTH DCF ANALYSIS?

A No. A quarterly compounding adjustment to the DCF analysis reflects cash flows received by investors from the utility, and by reinvesting utility dividends in other enterprises of corresponding risk and return. The cash flows received by reinvesting dividends are not a cost to the utility. Hence, the quarterly compounding adjustment overstates the utility cost of capital because it represents the rate of return investors earn by receiving cash flows from the utility, and receiving cash flows from other enterprises.

A quarterly compounding DCF analysis has been largely rejected across the country for setting rates. In any event, an 18 to 19 basis point adjustment to my multi-stage growth DCF analysis would still show that my recommended return on equity for Ameren Missouri is reasonable. As shown on my direct testimony Schedule MPG-8, an 18 to 19 basis point adjustment to my median multi-stage growth DCF return of $9.02 \%$ would suggest a fair return on equity for Ameren Missouri of $9.20 \%$. As such, Mr. Hevert's proposal for use of a quarterly compounded DCF model is flawed and overstates Ameren Missouri's cost of capital.

Q PLEASE RESPOND TO MR. HEVERT'S CONTENTION THAT A LONG-TERM GDP GROWTH RATE SHOULD BE 5.7\%, RATHER THAN THE CONSENSUS ECONOMISTS' PROJECTED GDP GROWTH RATE OF 4.8\%.

A This is the same argument Mr. Hevert and I have had in several proceedings before the Missouri Commission. My GDP growth rate of $4.8 \%$ is based on the 5 - to 10-year consensus economists' projections of long-term GDP growth. It includes a real GDP
growth outlook of $2.4 \%$ to $2.7 \%$ and a GDP inflation outlook of $2.1 \%{ }^{7}$ In contrast, Mr. Hevert's GDP growth rate of $5.7 \%$ reflects a historical real GDP growth estimate of $3.27 \%$, which represents the real growth of the U.S. GDP over the period 19292013, and a projected inflation outlook of $2.36 \%$.

The primary difference between Mr. Hevert's GDP growth forecast and my use of consensus analysts' growth projections is based on the real GDP growth outlook. Mr. Hevert believes the future GDP growth rate will mirror the GDP growth rate experienced by the U.S. economy over the last 80 years and average $3.27 \%$ in the future. However, independent economists are projecting a slower real GDP growth outlook of $2.7 \%$ for the economy going forward.

I would also note, that in his criticisms of my use of a GDP growth forecast, Mr. Hevert argues that my use of 5- to 10-year growth rates is not consistent with the market's outlook for a GDP growth rate over longer periods of time. That argument is without merit. Indeed, in my direct testimony at page 17, I show that the consensus economists' 5- to 10-year GDP growth forecast is consistent with the Annual Energy Outlook GDP growth forecast through 2040 made by the EIA, and also with the Congressional Budget Office's real GDP forecast through 2023. In significant contrast, Mr. Hevert has not provided any evidence that any market participant believes, as he does, that future GDP growth will mirror that of historical real GDP growth.

[^5]
#### Abstract

IS THERE REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE U.S. REAL GDP GROWTH GOING FORWARD MAY NOT BE AS STRONG AS IT HAS BEEN OVER THE LAST 80 YEARS?

A Yes. In significant contrast to the last 80 years, the U.S. is now competing with other countries in the world that are significantly stronger economic competitors now than they have been in the past. For example, countries that are now major economies that compete with the U.S. include China, Brazil and India. These major economies are now in direct competition with the U.S. This is in significant contrast to the last 80 years where these countries largely had very little economic output, and represented very little economic competition to the U.S.


## Response to My CAPM Study

## Q DID MR. HEVERT TAKE ISSUE WITH YOUR CAPM RETURN ESTIMATE?

A Yes. Mr. Hevert argues that my market risk premium of $6.7 \%$, which is based on the arithmetic average of historical actual achieved market returns above the U.S. Treasury bond income returns, is understated. He reaches this conclusion by looking at some selected historical data presented on several charts he offered in his testimony.

First, in Chart 1, he looks at the annual frequency distribution of observed market returns from 1926-2013. Here, he states that approximately $50 \%$ of the annual return observations are higher than a market return of $12.31 \%$ or higher, and around 45 of 88 observations were in excess of $13.91 \%$. He argues these returns support a market risk premium well over the historical average, when both high market returns and low market returns are considered.

In his Chart 3, he looks at the frequency distributions of market risk premium estimates. There, he shows that approximately $54.6 \%$ of the historical market risk premiums (1926-2013) were above $10.31 \%$.

In his Chart 4, he states that the market risk premium as measured by Morningstar, and the recommendation for a market risk premium included in the Ibbotson Associates publications, $6.7 \%$, are heavily influenced by a small number of years in which the market risk premium fell because of significant losses. He cites that in 2008 the market lost $37 \%$ and as a result the market risk premium in that year was a negative $41.45 \%$.

Mr. Hevert concludes all of these charts support his finding that a reasonable market risk premium is significantly in excess of the historical average actual achieved market risk premium.

## Q DO YOU BELIEVE MR. HEVERT HAS PROPERLY RELIED ON HISTORICAL DATA TO FORM REASONABLE EXPECTATIONS OF THE FUTURE?

A No. Ibbotson Associates recommends measuring actual achieved investment results over very long periods of time, in order to smooth out market aberrations that are caused by looking at shorter time periods. In direct contradiction to Morningstar, Mr. Hevert found a market risk premium by looking at limited historical data that supports his desired market risk premium estimates.

More specifically, Mr. Hevert's arguments are unreasonable and flawed for the following reasons:

1. In his Chart 1 on page 21, Mr. Hevert concludes that an expected return on the market is more reasonably predicted by only looking at approximately $50 \%$ of actual achieved market returns. Those achieved market returns he selected are when the returns are relatively strong. Mr. Hevert ignores market risk premiums in bad years. In effect, Mr. Hevert is developing an expected return on the market assuming that the return on the market will only reflect years of strong investment
returns, and will not be impacted by years of soft or bad investment returns. This is unreasonable, because history tells us the market will have up years and down years, and investment returns over the long term will reflect both good and bad years. In contrast, Mr. Hevert's market risk premium reflects predominantly only the returns in good years.
2. His Chart 3 on page 24 shows frequency distributions of actual market risk premiums. These are annual market risk premiums, not expected averages over longer periods of time. Again, market risk premiums can be strong or weak in any given year, but on average should smooth out to a normalized sustainable level. While the actual results of having invested in the market could vary from year to year, the net result on investment returns over the long term will reflect the good years and the bad years. As such, it is not appropriate to exclude the bad years, as Mr. Hevert proposes, simply because he wants to use a higher market risk premium estimate than is justified from actual historical investment returns.
3. On his Chart 4 on page 25 , he tries to imply that the probability of future market risk premiums is more heavily influenced by historical data if you exclude years that have abnormal results. However, market events that cause significant declines in the market are going to occur in the future, and therefore should be included in the probability of annual return expectations. Indeed, it is this significant volatility in market returns which helps describe the risk of making investments in the stock market. By excluding market risk premiums reflecting these annual returns that are low or negative, Mr. Hevert is mitigating the actual investment characteristics of the market and falsely inflating his return outlook. No rational investor would adopt Mr. Hevert's outlook that the market going forward would only have strong annual returns, without the probability of having low annual returns or even negative annual returns. It is simply not a rational and enlightened outlook of the risk of investing in the market.

For all these reasons, it is clear that Mr. Hevert is simply manipulating and choosing historical data to support his inflated market risk premium estimate. A balanced and impartial assessment of historical data supports a market risk premium that is no higher than $7.0 \%$, which is the highest market risk premium estimate by Morningstar.

## TO ALL ANNUAL RETURNS AND USING HISTORICAL DATA TO FORM EXPECTATIONS OF FUTURE RETURNS?

A Yes. Morningstar itself describes the appropriate use of historical data in measuring market risk premiums. It says as follows:

Some analysts estimate the expected equity risk premium using a shorter, more recent time period on the basis that recent events are more likely to be repeated in the near future; furthermore, they believe that the 1920s, 1930s, and 1940s contain too many unusual events. This view is suspect because all periods contain "unusual" events. Some of the most unusual events of the last hundred years took place quite recently, including the inflation of the late 1970s and early 1980s, the October 1987 stock market crash, the collapse of the high-yield bond market, the major contraction and consolidation of the thrift industry, the collapse of the Soviet Union, the development of the European Economic Community, the attacks of September 11, 2001 and the more recent liquidity crisis of 2008 and 2009.

It is even difficult for economists to predict the economic environment of the future. For example, if one were analyzing the stock market in 1987 before the crash, it would be statistically improbable to predict the impending short-term volatility without considering the stock market crash and market volatility of the 1929-1931 period.

Without an appreciation of the 1920 s and 1930 s, no one would believe that such events could happen. The 87-year period starting with 1926 is representative of what can happen; it includes high and low returns, volatile and quiet markets, war and peace, inflation and deflation, and prosperity and depression. Restricting attention to a shorter historical period underestimates the amount of change that could occur in a long future period. Finally, because historical event-types (not specific events) tend to repeat themselves, long-run capital market return studies can reveal a great deal about the future. Investors probably expect "unusual" events to occur from time to time, and their return expectations reflect this. ${ }^{8}$

As advised by Morningstar, use of historical data should give some consideration to all actual investment returns over long periods of time. While the events that caused the positive or negative annual returns may not repeat themselves, other events that have similar impact on market returns may be

[^6]experienced in the future. Therefore, using historical data to draw conclusions about what the market return will be going forward is necessary. Arbitrarily excluding data from the historical time period as Mr. Hevert has done is improper. He has biased his analysis, and overstated a reasonable estimate of a market risk premium.

## Risk Premium Analysis

DOES MR. HEVERT TAKE ISSUE WITH YOUR RISK PREMIUM STUDY?
A Yes. He has three criticisms of the study:

1. First, he states that relying on the fourth lowest and highest risk premiums is arbitrary and establishes a range of return on equity estimates that is not predicated on the current market conditions.
2. He says my model ignores the relationship of a strong negative correlation between interest rates and equity risk premiums revealed by the data.
3. He states that the low-end of my recommended risk premium range is far lower than any authorized return on equity since at least 1986. Therefore, he concludes that the low-end has no relevance in estimating the Company's cost of equity in this proceeding.

## Q IN RESPONDING TO YOUR RISK PREMIUM STUDY, MR. HEVERT OFFERS

 CHART 5 WHERE HE COMPARES TREASURY BOND YIELDS AND RISK PREMIUM DATA. DOES HE USE THIS CHART TO ESTIMATE A FAIR RISK PREMIUM?A Yes. However, the flaw in Mr. Hevert's analysis is that he is not observing what actual risk premiums have been over time, and does not recognize that risk premiums are driven by changes in perceived risk of equity versus debt securities. Rather, he is simply employing an opportunistic review of actual historical data to grab numbers that support a higher risk premium estimate, while ignoring data that produces returns on equity that are lower than what he likes. His proposal to exclude low risk premium estimates is based on observations of what authorized returns on equity have been more recently. As such, he does not perform an unbiased and balanced assessment of historical data to estimate a risk premium. Rather, he looks for arguments to support high estimates, and provides very sparse and unconvincing evidence to reject low risk premium estimates.

He also observes that there is a point of inversion in comparing Treasury bond yields to risk premium data. He states that early in the analysis, Treasury bond yields are higher than risk premiums. More recently, risk premiums are higher than Treasury bond yields. He uses this to imply that low market risk estimates should not be considered in forming a fair return on equity for Ameren Missouri in this case. This argument is again faulty because it is not based on the assessment of risk premiums that reflect investment risk of equity versus bond securities. Rather, it is an opportunistic review of historical data to try to arbitrarily reject low risk premium estimates, and support his high risk premium estimates.

The market evidence from the past supports equity returns based on prevailing market conditions and observable bond yields. Those returns for both equity and debt securities reflect the relative investment risk of each of the securities. Risk characteristics are completely absent from Mr. Hevert's selection of data that he uses to support his preferred outcome.

MR. HEVERT SUGGESTS THAT INSTEAD OF EXCLUDING THE THREE HIGHEST AND THREE LOWEST PREMIUMS, THAT YOU SHOULD INSTEAD CONSIDER THE SECOND HIGHEST AND THE LOWEST DATA TO PRODUCE A RISK PREMIUM ESTIMATE. PLEASE RESPOND.

A Mr. Hevert notes that the highest and lowest observations produce a return of equity estimate of $10.26 \%$. He does not explain how he arrived at that number. Further, he says using the second highest and the lowest produces a return on equity of $9.99 \%$.

The point of removing high and low data is to try to capture the central tendency of all the observations in the data set. Simply relying on extreme estimates does not produce a more reliable nor accurate return on equity estimate. In fact, what Mr . Hevert has done simply produces a higher return on equity estimate. This is particularly the case since using the high and low DCF returns on equity does not support the $10.26 \%$ finding Mr. Hevert recognizes at page 30 of his rebuttal testimony. Rather, it would support a return on equity of $9.85 \%$ using my comparable risk gauge that includes interest rate changes as described in my direct testimony.

Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE MR. HEVERT'S RETURN ON EQUITY ESTIMATES.
Mr. Hevert's return on equity estimates are summarized below in Table 1. In Column 2, I show the results with prudent and sound adjustments to Mr. Hevert's common equity return estimates. With reasonable adjustments to his proxy group's DCF, CAPM and Risk Premium return estimates, Mr. Hevert's own studies show my recommended return on equity (as described above) is reasonable for Ameren Missouri.

| TABLE 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hevert's Return on Equity Estimates |  |  |
| Description | Mean ${ }^{1}$ | Adjusted ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | (1) | (2) |
| Constant Growth DCF |  |  |
| 30-Day Average Stock Price | 9.48\% | 8.86\% |
| 90-Day Average Stock Price | 9.59\% | 9.01\% |
| 180-Day Average Stock Price | 9.67\% | 9.14\% |
| Multi-Stage Growth DCF |  |  |
| 30-Day Average Stock Price | 9.92\% | 9.12\%/8.94\% |
| 90-Day Average Stock Price | 10.05\% | 9.25\%/9.07\% |
| 180-Day Average Stock Price | 10.14\% | 9.35\%/9.17\% |
| CAPM Results (Bloomberg Beta) |  |  |
| Current Treasury Yield (Bloomberg DCF - 3.60\%) | 11.50\% | 8.35\% |
| Current Treasury Yield (Value Line DCF - 3.60\%) | 11.53\% | 8.93\% |
| Proj Treasury Yield (Bloomberg DCF - 4.15\%) | 12.05\% | 8.90\% |
| Proj Treasury Yield (Value Line DCF - 4.15\%) | 12.08\% | 9.48\% |
| CAPM Results (Value Line Beta) |  |  |
| Current Treasury Yield (Bloomberg DCF - 3.60\%) | 10.27\% | 8.37\% |
| Current Treasury Yield (Value Line DCF - 3.60\%) | 10.29\% | 8.95\% |
| Proj Treasury Yield (Bloomberg DCF - 4.15\%) | 10.82\% | 8.92\% |
| Proj Treasury Yield (Value Line DCF - 4.15\%) | 10.84\% | 9.50\% |
| Risk Premium | 10.34\% | Flawed |
| Range | 8.85\%-9.309 |  |
| Sources: <br> ${ }^{1}$ Hevert Rebuttal Testimony at 61-62. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Schedule MPG-SR-1 through MPG-SR-3. |  |  |
|  |  |  |

As shown in the Adjusted column, either making modifications to Mr. Hevert's DCF and CAPM risk premium studies, or simply more accurately interpreting the results of his studies, support a return on equity in the range of $8.9 \%$ to $9.3 \%$ for Ameren Missouri in this case. These adjustments support my recommended return on equity of $9.4 \%$ for Ameren Missouri.

## PLEASE DESCRIbe the adjustments you made to mr. hevert's CONSTANT GROWTH DCF STUDY.

A Mr. Hevert's constant growth DCF analysis and mine are reasonably comparable. My criticisms of Mr. Hevert's analysis more relate to the interpretation of his results and not the constructs of the model. Specifically, Mr. Hevert places significant weight on high-end outlier estimates without also considering low-end results. A more balanced methodology is to interpret the results based on the central tendency of all the proxy group estimates to produce a more reasonable and reliable estimate for Ameren Missouri.

As shown on my Schedule MPG-SR-1, I have summarized Mr. Hevert's constant growth DCF return estimates, and ranked them from high to low for his low, high and median estimates. The purpose of this is to show the central tendency of the results, and show that overwhelmingly his DCF results produce return on equity recommendations of $9.5 \%$ or less. As shown on his 30 -day estimates approximately $67 \%$ of all estimates are less than $9.5 \%$, for the mean over $80 \%$ are lower than $9.5 \%$ for the low estimates, and even on the high estimates approximately $30 \%$ are $9.5 \%$ or less. Based on his 90-day and 180-day DCF estimates, overwhelmingly his DCF return estimates are $9.5 \%$ or less. The high-end estimates are skewed by consistent high-end estimates for Portland General Electric Company ("POR"), PNM Resources, Inc. ("PNM") and Otter Tail Corporation ("OTTR"). These numbers are clearly too high to be reasonable estimates of Ameren Missouri's cost of equity.

Because of the existence of low-end and high-end estimates that skew the group average results, I recommend placing primary weight on the median proxy group estimate from the mean estimate of Mr. Hevert's DCF studies. The mean
estimate reflects all his growth rate estimates, and provides a more accurate description of the central tendency of all the proxy group DCF results.

As shown on this schedule, for the 30-day, 90-day and 180-day, the mean proxy group estimate relying on the proxy group median is $8.86 \%, 9.01 \%$, and $9.14 \%$, respectively. These estimates support the reasonableness of my $9.4 \%$ recommended return on equity for Ameren Missouri.

## Q WHAT ARE YOUR CRITICISMS OF MR. HEVERT'S MULTI-STAGE DCF ANALYSIS? <br> A Primarily I have two criticisms. First, he has relied on a quarterly compounding methodology, which he acknowledges increases his proxy group estimates by 18 to 19 basis points. Second, he relies on a GDP long-term growth rate of $5.7 \%$ which significantly exceeds current market participants' outlook for future GDP growth. <br> As shown on pages 1, 4 and 7 of my attached Schedule MPG-SR-2, using a long-term GDP growth outlook of $4.7 \%$, and with and without his 18 basis point quarterly compounding adjustment, Mr. Hevert's DCF studies support a return on equity - using a 30 -day, 90 -day and 180 -day average yield of $9.12 \% / 8.94 \%$, $9.25 \% / 9.07 \%$ and $9.35 \% / 9.17 \%$, respectively. ${ }^{9}$ All of these estimates support my recommended return on equity of $9.4 \%$.

## Q PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ISSUES YOU HAVE WITH MR. HEVERT'S CAPM RETURN ESTIMATES.

A My primary criticisms of Mr. Hevert's CAPM return estimates is he has overstated the market risk premium estimate. As described above, Mr. Hevert's market risk

[^7]premium estimates of $8.71 \%$ and $10.31 \%$ significantly exceed reasonable estimates of the future market risk premium. These estimates are based on DCF return estimates of $13.91 \%$ and $12.31 \%$, respectively. These DCF returns reflect growth rates of $11.75 \%$ and $10.18 \%$, respectively. These growth rates simply are not sustainable indefinitely as required by the constant growth DCF study.

Q CAN MR. HEVERT'S CAPM RETURN ESTIMATES BE MODIFIED TO PRODUCE REASONABLE RETURN ON EQUITY ESTIMATES FOR AMEREN MISSOURI?

Yes. Use of a market risk premium in the range of $6.2 \%$ to $7.0 \%$ produces reasonable CAPM results. As shown on my Schedule MPG-SR-3, using these results supports CAPM return estimates of $8.35 \%$ to $9.50 \%$, using Mr. Hevert's current Treasury yield of $3.6 \%$, and $4.15 \%$, and the Bloomberg and Value Line beta estimates of 0.766 and 0.769 , respectively.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS CONCERNING MR. HEVERT'S RISK PREMIUM STUDY?

A Yes. Mr. Hevert gauges appropriate equity risk premiums by considering only changes in nominal interest rates. He fails to consider the relative difference in investment risk of equity versus debt securities. Indeed, his analysis makes no effort to try to gauge the movement of equity risk premiums based on changes in differences of investment risk of these two competing securities. As a result, his equity risk premium is fundamentally flawed, and his design is simply to enhance a return on equity estimate. Therefore, it should be rejected. A reasonable application of a risk premium model would show that Ameren Missouri's cost of common equity is

1
2

3 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
4 A Yes.
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## Ameren Missouri

Revision of Exhibit RBH-10
Constant Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model

| 30 Day Average Stock Price |  |  |  |  |  | 90 Day Average Stock Price |  |  |  |  |  | 180 Day Average Stock Price |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { ROE } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & \text { ROE } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { ROE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | MeanROE |  | HighROE |  | Ticker | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { ROE } \end{aligned}$ | Ticker | Mean ROE | Ticker | High <br> ROE |
| IDA | 5.15\% | IDA | 6.51\% | IDA | 7.18\% | IDA | 5.25\% | IDA | 6.60\% | IDA | 7.28\% | IDA | 5.36\% | IDA | 6.71\% | IDA | 7.39\% |
| WR | 6.90\% | EDE | 7.66\% | EDE | 8.34\% | WR | 7.05\% | EDE | 7.80\% | EDE | 8.48\% | WR | 7.17\% | EDE | 7.90\% | EDE | 8.58\% |
| POR | 6.99\% | SO | 8.35\% | SO | 8.47\% | POR | 7.13\% | DUK | 8.50\% | DUK | 8.56\% | POR | 7.23\% | PNW | 8.50\% | DUK | 8.59\% |
| EDE | 7.32\% | AEP | 8.42\% | DUK | 8.51\% | EDE | 7.46\% | SO | 8.51\% | SO | 8.63\% | EDE | 7.56\% | SO | 8.51\% | SO | 8.63\% |
| CNL | 7.48\% | DUK | 8.45\% | AEP | 8.58\% | CNL | 7.58\% | AEP | 8.56\% | AEP | 8.72\% | CNL | 7.65\% | DUK | 8.52\% | PNW | 8.86\% |
| NEE | 7.65\% | PNW | 8.49\% | PNW | 8.85\% | NEE | 7.80\% | PNW | 8.56\% | PNW | 8.93\% | NEE | 7.92\% | AEP | 8.72\% | AEP | 8.88\% |
| PNW | 8.24\% | WR | 8.50\% | GXP | 9.58\% | PNW | 8.32\% | WR | 8.64\% | GXP | 9.75\% | PNW | 8.25\% | WR | 8.77\% | GXP | 9.92\% |
| SO | 8.26\% | NEE | 8.86\% | NEE | 9.66\% | SO | 8.42\% | NEE | 9.01\% | NEE | 9.81\% | SO | 8.43\% | NEE | 9.14\% | NEE | 9.94\% |
| AEP | 8.31\% | GXP | 9.02\% | WR | 10.16\% | AEP | 8.45\% | GXP | 9.20\% | WR | 10.31\% | HE | 8.43\% | GXP | 9.37\% | WR | 10.44\% |
| DUK | 8.41\% | HE | 9.69\% | CNL | 11.03\% | HE | 8.46\% | HE | 9.55\% | HE | 11.02\% | DUK | 8.48\% | HE | 9.52\% | HE | 10.99\% |
| HE | 8.59\% | CNL | 9.85\% | HE | 11.16\% | DUK | 8.46\% | CNL | 9.94\% | CNL | 11.13\% | AEP | 8.60\% | CNL | 10.02\% | CNL | 11.20\% |
| GXP | 8.73\% | POR | 10.54\% | NU | 11.65\% | GXP | 8.91\% | POR | 10.69\% | NU | 11.75\% | GXP | 9.08\% | POR | 10.79\% | NU | 11.82\% |
| NU | 9.90\% | NU | 11.00\% | POR | 14.50\% | NU | 10.00\% | NU | 11.10\% | POR | 14.66\% | NU | 10.07\% | NU | 11.17\% | POR | 14.76\% |
| OTTR | 10.10\% | PNM | 12.16\% | PNM | 14.93\% | OTTR | 10.23\% | PNM | 12.34\% | PNM | 15.11\% | OTTR | 10.29\% | PNM | 12.48\% | PNM | 15.26\% |
| PNM | 10.47\% | OTTR | 14.69\% | OTTR | 19.28\% | PNM | 10.65\% | OTTR | 14.82\% | OTTR | 19.42\% | PNM | 10.79\% | OTTR | 14.89\% | OTTR | 19.48\% |
| Average Median | $\begin{aligned} & 8.17 \% \\ & 8.26 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Median | $\begin{aligned} & 9.48 \% \\ & 8.86 \% \end{aligned}$ | Average Median | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10.79 \% \\ 9.66 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Median | $\begin{aligned} & 8.28 \% \\ & 8.42 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Median | $\begin{aligned} & 9.59 \% \\ & 9.01 \% \end{aligned}$ | Average Median | $\begin{gathered} 10.90 \% \\ 9.81 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Median | $\begin{aligned} & 8.35 \% \\ & 8.43 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Median | $\begin{aligned} & 9.67 \% \\ & 9.14 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Average Median | $\begin{gathered} 10.98 \% \\ 9.94 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & \frac{3}{15} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \frac{9}{9} \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \frac{3}{15} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \frac{6}{15} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 9 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \frac{3}{15} \end{gathered}$ | $\mid<9.50$ <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ \frac{6}{15} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <9.5 \\ & >=9.5 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 9 \\ \hline 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
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| Etes | 68.25 | s9zs | zLzs | 0925 | 65\％ 25 | cezs | crzs | bizs | ¢0 25 | 2615 | 1815 | 023s | 195\＄ | zils | tris | seis | axs |  |
| 09 \％ | Ot25 | 482s | 22てs | 912s | 1025 | $83^{3} 55$ | 6915 | 18.15 | teis | 1915 | 1915 | －15 | 9tis | Etis | ce＇is | 2¢1s | 303 |  |
| 18／5 | 865 | $29 \% 5$ | 280s | 8095 | 18 ss | 59.55 | 2どs | 60ss | 68 ts | 69.5 | 150s | tets | 14ts | 10＇ts | cres | 116s | $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | Lajerotios 1890uz suno |
| ¢ 815 | $00 \% 5$ | 69\％s | 68.95 | 01．95 | E8ss | tiss | ctss | $26 \pm 5$ | 09 bs | 82 | L8ES | $19 \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ | 0ヶ\％s | Sless | zezs | OLZs | 2NO | usperdios coay |
| 11．9s | dess | 85.5 | cess | 60.55 | 99 ts | t9its | 加比 | $t 2 t s$ | 80） 5 | 时旡 | Lets | 58.8 | Ores | ¢2¢ | 515s |  | d3v |  |
| 820z | 2202 | 9202 | szoz | \＄202 | \＆zoz | $2 z 02$ | 1202 | 0202 | 6102 | 8102 | 402 | 9102 | 5108 | ploz | floz | 2102 | saxald | 7 Natan |





[^8]！noss！p uasauy

| 29898 | \＄rzs | Se 25 | せでく | H2s | 10 zs | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{LS}$ | 0315 | 1215 | 2935 | Stis | 8\％＇15 | 1ris | 48．1s | 8305 | cos 0 | （t9tを¢） | sy | 1208003 Sersen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c9 285 | ¢ $\tau$ ¢ | 6055 | 56 て\＄ | 29 zs | zizs | c9zs | ¢¢ S | いでく | orzs | te 25 | 9Zで | \＆2 2 \＄ | 8125 | 0 cis | coos | （68¢rs） | OS | ANedioj warpos |
| 02654 | 9\％ | sizs | 20 25 | 26.15 | －3＇1s | 2e＇s | 1915 | criss | 1\％15 | 2eis | tess | 9715 | szis | ¢ 0 Os | 000 | （602Es） | yod | Nucdum xpray |
| c8595 | uczs | H28 | 10て5 | 26.15 | 2LS5 | ¢915 | sris | ceis | 12 ls | 8015 | 9\％0s | 69 OS | 2808 | zsos | coos | （oL9zs） | nud | 201＇seamesea lind |
| 1c80］5 | けrts | ues | ¢0\％ | s8¢ | c9es | 6\％Es | ccs | biss | 6szs | 58.25 | として | 09 zs | 8） 25 | tols | 0005 | （19＇5ss） | Mid |  |
| L6＇6ss | 9515 | $6{ }^{5} 15$ | でいく | scis | L2is | 61．15 | 1135 | tow | 2608 | cos | esos | 2805 | 1605 | Lios | 00cs | （6e0cs） | －110 | uspeotios |
| ＋3055 | 48 zs | ti2s | 29てs | csis | tezs | 6175 | $560^{\circ} \mathrm{zs}$ | 68 is | SLis | 19.5 | 6）＇bs | 0ris | E 8 is | 2805 | 000 | （1itrs） | ก14 |  |
| H6815 | E6s\％ | 2LS5 | 959 | ezss | $03 \% 5$ | cats | てと切 | cis es | 18\％s | LSEs | se\％s | thes | to 25 | 29－15 | 0005 |  | ヨ̇М |  |
| 2t 601s | ascs | tris | 6tes | HEs | 9825 | 0925 | 49 2s | 5 ts | tris | ¢¢ zs | くzてs | 80 己s | 08 IS | 1135 | 000 os | （605ss） | 8 O |  |
| 9297s | sozs | tois | 9815 | 2L15 | 2Lis | 29.15 | 2915 | Esis | tris | 1515 | 8 F 15 | 59.15 | 2618 | estos | coos |  | Ј | 201 ＇swismput |
| 4で5 | LBIS | 6L15 | 1215 | c9： 15 | ssils | 9\％ 35 | ＋ris | 525 | LCIS | 121s | Stis | 50＇s | S60s | csos | 0008 | （6bocs） | dx9 |  |
| 99 9rs | ssis | $9{ }^{\text {gris }}$ | Oris | tils | 2015 | 1215 | cils | 0215 | 9015 | 201s | 960s | 1605 | ceas | 4903 | 000 | （88\％zs） | 703 |  |
| téLels | b9ts | Etrs | ¢でも | nots | E9¢ | c9\％ | Stics | LZをs | 21¢s | ＜8てS | Erzs | 93 zs | 6975 | cres | 0006 | （zs）04s） | 1／50 | voseroder bisway ovo |
| ¢80015 | $55^{515}$ | $6 \mathrm{Ec5}$ | とEEs | coss | 6828 | 0 CS | 2525 | 9825 | ozzs | Sozs | 2615 | I91s | 1215 | 1615 | 0000 | （itists） | 703 | waserodios 0any |
| E\＆\％6¢ | ç＇$¢$ | 89 ES | 6tes | res | 91．Es | 65 zs | H20 | 6925 | geics | Etizs | 18.5 | 2czs | Hizs | Stis | 000s | （9y－0ss） | dyy |  |
| 8 BL | L208\％9 | 9でたA | STSEA | 120619 | E＜NOF＇ | でひORの | ［20939 | 0200\％9 | 610\％ | 81／0209 | LINEA | 91029 | swotis | B1／EスL | －1／sint | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nowio } \\ \text { fexul } \end{gathered}$ | bar＊el | \％uelimos |
| ［02］ | ［84］ | ［84］ | （14） | ［9］ | ［st］ | （6） | ［EL］ | ［ $\bar{i}$ ］ | （th） | 104］ | ［59］ | （69］ | ［19］ | ［991 | ［ccl | ［69］ |  |  eyed texury porsoord |


| 6299s | 2S2s | Sr2s | sezs | LCZS | tizs | 10.25 | cais | 0315 | 123 | 2915 | 93＇ts | 9tis | Iris | N＇1s |  | 2215 | 84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scisis | 8ย \＆ | とてを | 60 ¢ | Stes | 29てs | でくを | c9zs | 59 zs | Lbて | Otz | ters | ezzs | とて 35 | stzs | 2tzs | 10\％s | os | Aurtwoy wavios |
| c8195 | くとで | 9くて\＄ | stzs | 4025 | 28.15 | reis | 20゙5 | 19 is | osis | itis | 2eis | reis | 9 cts | 92.5 | Less | ezis | yod |  |
| t9t5s | 1とてS | しでる | 112s | 10zs | 28.15 | Lis | Ests | Stis | Fils | 1218 | 8015 | 96.05 | 68.08 | 2sos | 9Los | OLOS | WNd |  |
| 55 cols | 29 ＇s | しtis | 2 cos | coss | Sses | g9es | L4Es | 0e¢s | Hits | 5628 | 5825 |  | 0973 | $8{ }^{\text {cres }}$ | Lez\％ | 9\％zs | Mid |  |
| reiss | 89＇15 | 9515 | 5tic | 2b＇ls | estis | L2＇15 | 6135 | H15 | 2015 | L60s | Osos | c80s | 2805 | 16.08 | 5005 | 20.65 | 8110 | voyerdios leit too |
| 18， 265 | 00ES | 282\＄ | SCzs | 297s | OSTs | rezs | 652s | E0＇zs | 6915 | SL1s | 19.15 | 6ris | oris | ¢عis | sEIs | 61.55 | OM |  |
| 5acels | L2＇ss | 88．5s | 2iss | 9rss | 2Jss | 093＇ts | 0975 | zets | 50ts | 18 £ | t¢ ¢\％ | ¢ $¢$ ¢ $¢$ | 11ヶ\＄ | t6 zs | stzs | 1925 | 93n |  |
| 8 rsols | Lくを5 | 09Es | －1をs | 62 Es | Hiss | gsizs | cats | 19 zs | －sizs | \＄525 | sezs | 2 zs | eozs | 0815 | zeis | ssis | VOH | 364＇d8o3val |
| 1915s | EIZS | cozs | 6615 | 93915 | L2＇LS | Cits | 1915 | 29＇s | 8515 | tsis | 1s＇ss | 时is | syis | 2ris | 6eis | OE is | \＃ |  |
| 180985 | gsis | 2815 | 6Lis | 1215 | c9＇5 | scis | Bybs | \＄tis | 5e＇s | L219 | 32ss | stis | tois | s60s | 9605 | otios | dxo |  |
| So＇sts | 1915 | Esis | 9 Fls | 0r＇ts | －¢＇ıs | 22＇ss | とでs | Stis | 0315 | cois | 20.35 | $8 s^{\circ} 08$ | 18.6 | seos | 5808 | 2808 | 707 |  |
| g\％zes | gats | H9\％s | ¢5＇5s | cres | toiss | E\％¢5 | を9\％s | St＇ss | LZES | で¢ | 2625 | ¢825 | 98.25 | 6825 | 182s | \＆bzs | $\times \mathrm{OC}$ | voxerodios |
| EZ－16s | 12¢S | Scis | 68 Es | －285 | 60es | 68.25 | 0tzs | 2s 25 | ¢くて | czzs | 502s | 20is | 1915 | 123s | 1915 | 29－15 | 180 | vojexdios cosp |
| Z E ＇¢6s | 10ヶ5 | EqEs | 99 cs | 6\％Es | －tes | 918s | 6825 | ＋20ts | 69 Cs | csis | Erzs | 18て | zezs | H＇zs | 9）2s． | 6015 | dy | Bil＇fuestios ravod |
| 0ヶtin fexpurai | 9202 | 1202 | 9002 | szoz | 1202 | £20z | 2202 | 1203 | OzCz | 6108 | 8102 | 2102 | 9102 | sioz | ble | Eloz | $59 \times 1$ | kuetion |
| ［ 19$]$ | ［29］ | （19］ | 109 | ［6t］ | Fosl | ［25］ | ｜ss） | （s）］ | trat | ［¢s］ | ［2s］ | ［19］ | ［0s］ | ［64］ | （80） | ［45］ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ivci } \ddagger 4590 \\ \text { fenury poppopid } \end{array}$ |


| \％50 19 | \％ 80.19 | 850 29 | \＄5929 | \＄5019 | \％ 10049 | \％80＇59 | \＄80\％9 | \％20゙を9 | \％ 2029 | 81019 | 50009 | \％SL59 | \％os 15 | 5928i | 20059 | EM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45029 | \％ 12029 | 4，50． 29 | \％50 29 | \＄50． 19 | \％ 512 | 49589 | ちL469 | 4．9369 | \％ssiol | \％az 12 | \％ 200 zL | 5sくで | \％orse | \％sと》2 | 50052 | os | Muethoy wapres |
| \％90 19 | \％50． 29 | 45019 | \％50 29 | 9．50 29 | 4 215 | \％ 58 s ¢9 | \％1ヶz9 | \％¢ 209 | 4，91－6s | 4885149 | 48095 | Hosss | 4．0019 | \％0sc9 | 4.0099 | yod |  |
| \％50 29 | \％ 5029 | \％ 5018 | \＄50\％ 29 | \％50229 | \％sity | 49\％29 | \％ 209 | s．9924 | \＄485 59 | fress | 500 is | HS $\angle 09$ | 500：0s | \％szos | 2020s | LNS | 201＇ร2300s\％widd |
| \％ 5029 | ¢50 29 | \＄50． 29 | \％50 29 | \％ 50.29 | \％／1999 | 4／53＇99 | \＄7259 | \％18゙¢9 | \％ 28 ta | 4trivs | 4030 49 | \％03Es | \＄00 83 | mos 29 | \＄60389 | M2d |  |
| 45029 | \％ 50.19 | 9850.29 | 5850\％ | צs0 $\angle 9$ | 4．08．59 | \％sity | \＄力f\％ 9 | \％ちぢ29 | \％0¢19 | 乡St09 | 40065 | 5800 99 | \％00EL | 58000 0 | 80028 | 8140 |  |
| \％ 50.19 | \％ 80.29 | \＄50\％ 29 | \＄80． 99 | \％50 19 | \％ 515 | 49ris | \％ 14.15 | ＂79839 | 488509 | \％ 6368 | 440085 | \％sc ss | \％oss | \％ 510 | \％0069 | DN |  |
| \％ 50.29 | 450．29 | 850 29 | \＄ 5022 | 4590 19 | ress 9 | \＄5Lts | 40989 | xcriz9 | \％0e＇s9 | \％ 5309 | 420065 | \％sLics | 4 scs | \％sz ss | 50065 | 3 N |  |
| \％ 8019 | \％ 40.29 | s， 50.29 | \％5029 | 4 515018 | 48159 | mices9 | 45t＇s | 4\％5s $¢ 9$ | \％とLz9 | 4．53：9 | 4.0919 | \％ 00.19 | \％ 00 ¢¢ | \％006t | 4，00s\％ | VOH | Th dyonvor |
| 450.29 | \＄50． 29 | \％5029 | \＄550 29 | \％ $50<19$ | \％， 10.89 | ＊ 1069 | \＄ 8002 | creow | \％¢ ¢ ZL | \％108 2 | 48017 | \＄ 10095 | \％009L | $400 \%$ | \％2092 | 3 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| \％ 5019 | 450219 | \＄50． 29 | \％50 29 | 450249 | \％08＇59 | \％st＇99 | \＄609 98 | 45S＋99 | \％or99 | 851．89 | \＄00099 | \＄szes | Trosios | sscizs | $5 / 5005$ | dxo |  |
| 45029 | ＊ 515029 | \％ 515029 | \％50－19 | 5150 29 | \＄1999 | 4815 | ＊ 21.59 | \％1859 | \％18 F\％ | Sutyta | \％200\％ | 500\％9 | 400 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | \＄900 29 | \％ 6098 | 303 | Ruedwoy xpera mpso erdus |
| \％50． 29 | 450＇＜9 | 350． 29 | \％s0． 29 | \％ $50<19$ | \％1V99 | ＊5898 | xics9 | \％ects | \％91媛 | 48989 | 4，0069 |  | \％0s 69 | 45SLzL | \％ 102 | xก9 | voserodios ismas evol |
| \％ 5029 | \％so＇49 | 4，50． 29 | \％50 49 | \％ 85014 | 419 59 | 48318 |  | \％1819 | \％ 18 65 | \％tyres |  | 乡sz＇93 | 40\％ss | そsLty | \％095s | \％\％ | प0120800 039 |
| 45029 | 45024 | 5580 29 | \％ 80.29 | 9，5022 | \％ 2 Tig | 48859 | \％15．59 | \％¢Lit9 | \％9159 | \％getc | \％0989 | StSCE9 | 40389 | \％SLEG | 4，00 59 | div |  |
| 8202 | 28 | 920Z | 5202 | 5202 | 8zoz | 2202 | 1202 | 020z | 6182 | ssez | 1108 | 9102 | sloz | 5102 | Eioz | 19x＋1 | रuedran |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | hoked puaplo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 18．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1985 | OSES | rexs | 815 | S0¢\％ | 2625 | $19 \%$ | H2S | 29\％s | －9\％ | 1ヶで | 0ヶでて | 5とて | 222s | 30て5 | 5128 | 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| soss | 29ts | 19\％s | 0rbs | 02.85 | 10 bs | ＊－Es | 83¢ | －¢ ¢ | Or $¢ \$$ | 8 8¢ | L＇ss | 90 ¢ | 5625 | 98 zs | 9L2s | 49 zS | os | finduop visinos |
| tres | $8 \varepsilon$ \＆ | とてを | 80.5 | 76て5 | 1925 | 6925 | 8sis | gris | －8zs | 08 zs | くる 25 | s3＇2s | 2025 | 0025 | ＋8：15 | 2915 | yod |  |
| stis | 8Z ¢ | Hiss | 00ヶs | 28て5 | H2zs | 09＇25 | 952s | てもで | 8125 | EOzs | 6915 | 9215 | ¢91s | てら゙s | じ15 | 18is | kidd | 2if＇saomosay Wind |
| 68.95 | 85 | 82 9s | 10.95 | － 2 Ss | byss | せで5 | 20：ss | 1855 | 1975 | Ests | 92 bs | 60.5 | tots | 6L5 | －9\％ | UfES | Hid |  |
| Et2s | でで | zuz | zuzs | 20＇zs | ¢81\＄ | te＇ts | ¢Lis | 5915 | 8ils | aris | じい | EE＇LS | sでs | 81315 | 415 | 50is | 8110 | volerodion iep nino |
| gios | 92ts | 60＇ts | 06\％s | ELES | csiss | 68 ¢ 6 | くZEs | sots | 65 | z2Z5 | gszs | 1575 | くでS | ct：zs | 1025 | 6315 | nm |  |
| 0¢\％${ }^{\text {c }}$ | t8 Es | E585 | 91．as | 6cis | Wics | 0t2s | 6L93 | 6riss | 1295 | 55ss | 895 | $t$ ¢ss | 02 ss | g6ts | Lits | 9s\％ | 338 | 24 96 mis ejzxen |
| c9ss | 88.55 | tiss | osirs | 8975 | Ltrs | 6zivs | ctos | －0゙っ | 68 ¢s | Ogss | ze＇ES | 59＇Es | 8c¢s | 15 ¢ | b－Es | LEES | YOA | 2n＇deojvos |
| 8tes | ¢0¢ร | 08zs | LCzs | 4925 | zsts | でで | てもで | ミくてs | －3＇2s | L02s | 00 ct | ع6．5s | ¢3is | 0315 | －tiss | 89 35 | 3 H |  |
| za＇zs | －LZEs | 192s | \＄9 $\mathbf{~ \$ ~}$ | Etてs | てどてS | でてS | 25゙てs | 202s | 2sts | Eatis | － 2 is | 5915 | 2s＇ss | 65＇15 | 2tis | sciss | dxo |  |
| 0r2s | $6 \sim$ zs | 612s | 50.25 | e8＇ts | 0815 | 2815 | SLis | 69.15 | E9 IS | Est 15 | Esis | 6ris | tris | Ofis | 9235 | 2¢is | 303 | Kueduco sipax prassic exdug |
| sels | 26.5 | 19＇ss | 2¢5\＄ | ¢095 | 925s | 15＇ss | 82 ss | 90＇ss | csis | 496s | 6rits | ことを5 | 930 | 10.75 | 59\％s | HEss | xno |  |
| Hss | ezss | soss | ¢\％＇ps | 19.5 | Orts | 125s | 20.3 | tess | 9985 | 29ts | がとs | でEs | 90¢s | sezs | 2\％zs | 0＜Cs | W\％ | vojerodios osx |
| 88，5s | Liss | 9\％＇ss | 1255 | 88\％ | stits | tsits | cess | 9505 | Ests | \％） | 4985 | 2sts | Le¢s | tess | 15 L | 85 2s | d3v |  |
| 8202 | Lzoz | 9zaz | szoz | 520z | ezoz | 2202 | 1zoz | 020z | 6302 | 8108 | 4102 | 9108 | sioz | tioz | cloz | 2102 | 39x） | detum |



| ［51］ | \sz］ | ［rz） | Te］ | ［zz） | ［12］ | ［02］ | ［81］ | （818 | （eb） | ｜81） | （9i1 | ｜r1］ |  |  роли，popsiond |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 652 | sout | \％592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 015 | $66 \varepsilon \square$ | S8076 | xent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $9 / 8$ | 694 | 8218 | verni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 898 | 92.15 | \％918 | cos | \＄59029 | ＊00＇03 | \％ojs9 | \％02\％ | \％082 | \％00＇9 | ＊082 | \％0\％ | F9） | ¢ 71 |  |
| $18 ⿷$ | OLS | \％ 2178 | 00 ct | \＄50\％29 | ¢かってL | Hosis | rotr | \％ $08 \%$ | 4085 | HSs¢ | ш02 | 6 E Ets | os | Ajectuoj uruncos |
| ZLE | $6+24$ | \％159 | 00s | 45929 | \％0098 | rojes | \％oL＇ | \％05 $\varepsilon$ | sos＇ | 856901 | 4098 | 60 zes | \％od |  |
| Lع\％ | 5 ¢5 | \％EV6 | cocs | \％59019 | \％ouls | \％000s | \％ort | $4.09 \%$ | \％osu | 40z8 | \％092 | 9L9z3 | mhd | 210＇rymosay ind |
| $0 ¢ \mathrm{E}$ | cosi | \％LE6 | aus | 450． 29 | \％60\％9 | \％0059 | \％02t | \＄00\％ | 500＇t | $4.81 \%$ | \％09\％ | 19 tcs | Madd |  |
| 015 | 68 EC | $4 \mathrm{HEP}^{2}$ | © ${ }^{\text {cs }}$ | Y90\％ 49 | 850 58 | \％00 29 | \％otr | 4，009 | rossi | \％009 | 6H | 68 0es | 4150 |  |
| 478 | 2961 | 5， 2 Cz | coss | \＄590 68 | \％00＇es | \％0069 | \％ 202 | \％829 | \％00： | \％st 9 | cosil | －1．tos | กit |  |
| $91 \%$ | －561 | \％ 888 | cact | 4590 29 | \％00＇6s | 4 | 402\％ | 40st | \％osiv | K85＇9 | \％01＇s | 11.765 | 33 |  |
| 658 | TC8b | 4558 | arcs | 450 29 | \％00＇s9 | \％osst | \％02\％ | $4 \times 002$ | \％00 | \％00\％ | $400{ }^{\prime}$ | 60.558 | VO： | 3 Ol ＇d8OOVC｜ |
| 682 | cotb | \％026 | coos | 859 29 | \％00＇tL | \％ 60018 | \％0L | \％ose | \％ose | \％oz\％ | \％00＇9 | 1ぐもく | 3 H | NH＇sang |
| 0 cc | 2¢26 | 8\％2\％ | cous | \＄50 29 | \＄0199 | \％015s | \％ot\％ | \％／25：5 | \％00＇9 | ＊ 21 ＇s | \％ozs | 6t92\％ | dxo |  |
| －\％ | 1898 | \％\％¢ \％ | cocs | stcec9 | ＊＊0， ta | 480089 | \％0ぐ | 4008 | \％ $00^{\circ}$ | 5008 | 4008 | 888 85 | FG3 | Aveduca xunata pursx asdul |
| 088 | โ¢＇8\} | \％ 598 | coss | \％ 59029 | \％ 8089 | 80094 | \％otr | 4088 | \％00\％ | ＊ 268 | \％ 088 | csols | YR0 | unerodias fixug exnc |
| $\varepsilon<\varepsilon$ | Scill | nots | coso | \％ $50 \% 19$ | 800＇2s | 4.0305 | \％OCL | \％．os it | cosit | \％ 8008 | 48008 | － 2 6rs | 219 | waspodiog osay |
| $6 ¢$ | 5esi | ＊016 | cout | 859029 | 400．cs | \％00 19 | \％ozr | \％ 2 E $Z$. | cosit | yatt | 4ocy | sooss | dyy |  |
| Deay 93 | 998 3 Id | Hit | $\infty^{\infty} \mathrm{d}$ | 5 LCO | 402 | ع102 | 4005 | 40089 | 047 | ［83 1514 | 5932 | －2x） | 5xal |  |


 oyld yous semay fea or



|  | 10．6ss | 61．es | sors | 16 25 | 8 LzS | 19 28 | ¢tizs | OとてS | S32s | coses | 9815 | ELis | 6515 | 2vis | 26.05 | coos | （b＇ecs） | 8 m | 20．faras repen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sotoss | 6zes | 5－を\％ | coss | 53.25 | 9çs | 1925 | 652s | 1s 25 | ctiz | Leて\＄ | tezs | s $\mathrm{cs}^{\text {c }}$ | 8125 | 1sis | coos | （93158） | os | Rusturj weymos |
|  | 97895 | 80\％s | 68\％ | 2LEs | 55 ¢S | เع์\＄ | coss | 6L2S | zs | 9zてs | 0025 | 923s | 5915 | 9 cos | ¢0＇1s | coos | （cososs） | yod |  |
|  | 49155 | 15Es | 46 zs | vazs | 1025 | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{Cs}$ | \＆でs | cozs | 2815 | 6515 | 88.55 | 81.15 | 5015 | cocs | ços | coos | （ 61.525 ） | wind |  |
|  | olicos | ＋9．03 | ¢t\％ | とで5 | tots | と¢¢ | 59を5 | Stes | 2でs | いとs | 9625 | 0325 | 99てs | z¢zs | 9915 | coss | （59\％ss） | nind | vogevodos｜Fydej iswn eperrid |
|  | Oとtos | \＆1es | esizs | ¢9 $2 \$$ | 2czs | ${ }^{95} \mathrm{CS}$ | cezs | Hizs | 28.15 | 69 is | 9ris | çis | 12 is | Lis 15 | 0308 | asos | （stisz5） | －110 |  |
|  | E6 685 | SZEs | Hes | 0085 | 9975 | 89 てs | osts | 1805 | ¢12\％ | 9615 | etis | 1915 | osis | 6 E is | 6805 | 0000 | （6vers） | ON | รวมw |
|  | 65rers | ع0\％15 | 1295 | 1595 | ciss | Stss | scis | 20） 5 | 295\％ | E\％） | 0065 | 89 ¢ | 6ess | H¢ | EA＇s | 000s | （ 28.685 ） | э3， |  |
|  | irgoss | çz rs | 50.5 | 23¢5 | OLEs | 6tes | oses | いEs | tess | stzs | －9 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Osts | SEzs | 1025 | 51.15 | 000 | （ $8 \mathrm{r}^{-\varepsilon}$ Ss） | $\checkmark$ ¢ | 501 dyozval |
|  | ge 6 r 5 | 6tizs | $8 \varepsilon$ をS | こてを | L＇zs | 0125 | c02s | 95＇35 | 6815 | 28．15 | － 215 | g9＇s | 6515 | zsis | 20.15 | coos | （ 5 ¢ $¢ 25$ ） | 34 |  |
|  | 28005 | 032s | l6＇ts | E915 | beis | 9935 | 6515 | Oq＇s | 2tis | vits | くで1s | 8515 | cols | 2508 | 6905 | coos | （cz czs） | dx9 |  |
|  | 59 crs | 97 is | 68.5 | 2¢＇15 | stis | ficis | L8is | ttis | 81.15 | c）＇ss | 80.15 | cois | 0018 | 88.05 | 8905 | coos | （č\＆く§） | Jay |  |
|  | Stigets | 89.5 | 2 t 5 | L2） | gots | gess | 99 Es | 2ves | 08 ¢ | H2¢\％ | 88.25 | t9\％ | 9325 | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{zs}$ | E1＇2s | coos | （ $81-695$ ） | Y |  |
|  | Lezals | OLts | 8pis | 2 CHS | soss | 28¢5 | ¢s＇¢s | 8 8 を | 20．Es | 9L゙zs | cos 25 | 9くて | 1028 | 68.5 | Ltis | 0005 | （02srs） | างง | vegerioj 0393 |
|  | Ospos | 16 Es | bLes | 15 ES | tres | とZ¢ | 95Es | csizs | ¢Lてs | 0925 | ＜tes | － | çz\％ | 9125 | stis | 0030s | （ s ¢ 8 ess ） | djy | Out \％reckoj sumad oppera texpaty |
|  | 820099 | ［208\％9 | 9200 $2 / 9$ | surcios | H2089 | عZ\％t＇9 | 220069 | ． 12 N 0249 | 0Z458／3 | 65105／9 | －10\％sis | 21FOR9 | 9108\％9 | Stios．a | ロッ1！ | bl／slib | $\begin{aligned} & \text { namion } \\ & \text { fexul } \end{aligned}$ | मур1 | गuextos |
|  | for | ［64］ | ［82］ | （12） | ［92） | ［91］ | ［ti］ | 1¢a | ［zal | ［12） | 601 | （69） | f69］ | ［ 1 ¢ | ［99］ | ［5¢ | ［9］ |  |  pied fenery papeford |
| 09．595 | － | 65 | coss | 1625 | 8278 | 1925 | 5t25 | ©と¢ | 512 | ので | 5215 | ELIS | 6 Cl 15 | 2\％1s | 98.15 | 5 St 15 |  | प\％ |  |
| 19．925 | Hess | BCES | Hess | coss | Os zs | 9L25 | 192\％ | 6s 2s | 1925 | をtで | Le2s | 182s | çで | 61.25 | ¢t－2s | 80 をs |  | os | Nuedtes watros |
| atoss | LCss | 80.5 | 68.8 | zLes | Sces | ¢¢¢ | $90 \% 5$ | 6Lzs | 2s 25 |  | 00.25 | 92：15 | 5915 | \％S＇s5 | 9 T ¢5 | 2E1s |  | Hos |  |
| terss | 2でと | 15 Es | 2625 | tazs | 1225 | OSes | 2でく | sozs | 28.15 | 6919 | 8eis | 91－1s | cois | ¢60s | Esos | ctos |  | Hend | Ous＇raminasy wind |
| 52 zols | civs | 59\％ | Etrs | cz | to＇rs | £¢を | t9es | stis | 8̌を5 | H＇E\＄ | 5625 | 0325 | 99 zs | 25－zs | 68.25 | くでS |  | Midd |  |
| 20.195 | LZES | EVEs | Es＇zs | 58 zs | 2LZS | $55^{\text {ciz }}$ | scizs | H2s | 28.15 | 6915 | 9615 | szis | して1s | 21.15 | W15 | 50 is |  | ylio | wozerodios leit rino |
| ts 835 | Hess | \％ 25 | \％ 5 Es | 0）Es | 9828 | 89 2s | csis | 18．zs | E12s | ssis | 82， | 19 ＇s | Os＇15 | 5 Cl ［5 | Oどis | oz is |  | กN | 80．7n |
| をrills | 88．25 | co＇ls | tis | 1\％95 | ziss | SLss | se＇ss | 20.58 | 495 | ¢ 8 rs | 00.7 | csis | 6¢€ | bしを | 98.25 | z928 |  | 3318 |  |
| 98 cols | 5yts | Scts | 90ts | 88 ¢ | 01\％ | Eves | 0¢\％ | 115s | tots | $812 \$$ | t9 zs | OSS 2 | stzs | 10＇2s | 62：15 | $85 \cdot 15$ |  | vor | －39＇dyovvas |
| Li＇sys | 19 zs | 6 \％＇zs | 6ezs | LEZS | 42 L |  | ¢02s | sois | 69：5 | 28＇s | tois | giss | 6 S ＇5 | 25 55 | $5{ }^{5}$ is | 6 Ec เs |  | 3H | OJ＇serginpul tnotg uexever |
| ELers | 0 c 2 s | 002\％ | 15.5 | ¢8． 15 | －Ets | 59.15 | 85 is | 0sis | zris | teis | 2 CSS | 61.55 | 20 is | 26.65 | $\mathrm{cs}^{\circ} \mathrm{OS}$ | 6LOS |  | dxo |  |
| 16£ts | 02.15 | 89.15 | $6{ }^{\prime}$＇s | 2sis | 5\％＇s | 8 cits | 18.15 | tzis | 21.15 | ¢1：1s | Su＇s | 501s | 03is | 88.08 | 9305 | Etos |  | $\pm 03$ |  |
| csicis | 08.5 | 89 ＇rs | 2b＇s | く2） | 89.5 | $99 \%$ | 99 cs | LrEs | cess | カ¢\％ | 6fics | razs | 88 2\＄ | 0825 | 282s | csizs |  | xind | Leastodiog／iraul aqna |
| $6 c^{\circ} 265$ | 26．bs | 0t＇ts | 8r＇s | 8255 | 60 ＇s | 28E5 | ¢sts | 2L¢ 5 | 20¢5 | 922s | $0 \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | 92 28 | 1025 | 6815 | 2235 | 29\％15 |  | 730 | uorematios 00x\％ |
| orzes． | O1－5 | 16.85 | Hecs | LSES | HES | ¢ 2 ¢s | $90 \%$ | Ofzs | St2s | 0925 |  | rezs | szzs | 9125 | 2025 | 88 is |  | div | \％9 Ruetwoos savod proang uexuerty |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Even } \\ & \text { reviunal } \end{aligned}$ | 8202 | LZOZ | 902 | szoz | 1202 | £ごひ | 2202 | 1202 | 020 | 6102 | 8108 | L10t | 9102 | gioz | \＄102 | Esoz |  | $1 \square^{1011}$ | 7uterios |
| ［¢9］ | ［29］ | ［19］ | 1091 | ［6s］ | ［891 | ［25］ | fos］ | ［ss］ | ［rs） | ［ 59$]$ | ［2s］ | ［1s］ | （0）］ | ［6t） | ［8\％］ | 4\％1 |  |  |  |
|  | \％ 60.29 | \＄50：29 | \％ 5012 | \％50 29 | 450\％ 29 | Wrocs | 48059 | Y $20 \% 19$ | ¢20¢9 | \＄1029 | \＄1019 | 40009 | \％9288 | 50： 15 | \％9203 | 40） 59 |  | $8 x$ |  |
|  | \％50＇19 | \％50\％ 29 | \％50 29 | \％50＇29 | 8500 29 | \％S129 | \％98＇8才 | \％ 5169 | 589769 | \＄2s 02 |  | 40025 | \％¢1で | Hos＇el | \％sてもL | \％$\%$ S S 2 |  | os | Avednoj waxy |
|  | \％ 5150 | \％ $50<19$ | \＄50\％ 19 | \＄5022 | 525029 | \％1t59 | 45859 | \％1とて9 | 58LO9 | \％9169 | 48sils | \％，0］9s | \％ 6889 | \％00 19 | 50sE9 | \％09 99 |  | yos |  |
|  | \％ 5029 | \％50＇t9 | \＄50 49 | \％50． 29 | \％ 50.29 | \％sicg | \＄95゙ze | \＄2t09 | \％ 898.29 | chas 58 | chaz es | \％00 ts | \％SCOS | zosios | \％szos | \％osos |  | WNd | Sul esencsay lind |
|  | 450\％19 | ¢59029 | 45949 | 450\％ 29 | 850 $<9$ | 45959 | \％si＇s3 | \％tLis9 | 5／18．59 | \％ 18 ts | \％trits | \％09 69 | \％OS \＆9 | 40089 | \％OS 29 | 40929 |  | turd | vosperdes leydejis\％m areutd |
|  | \％ 50.29 | \％s0： 29 | ¢¢0 29 | \％50：19 | \％ $50 \% 19$ | \％0399 | 乡gcite | 809 69 | \＃srで | \％octe | \％ 5109 | 4，0985 | \％0099 | 4．008 1 | 80003 | \％00228 |  | － |  |
|  | 45029 | \％5029 | \＄50＇19 | ¢50 29 | Y50 29 | \＄352．59 | \％95199 | \＄21－c9 | \％8819 | \％8509 | \％sezes | 5， 3189 | \％¢Ess | \％os 85 | 5920 | \％ 2069 |  | nN | sominisearpon |
|  | 850 29 | \％5029 | \＄50 29 | 550 19 | \％，5019 | 80859 | 454．79 | प09 ¢9 | $45+29$ | \％0219 | \％sios | 80089 | 5SSLE | \％os 59 | scress | 4，0） 5 |  | 3314 |  |
|  | \＄50219 | 850．29 | \％s0 29 | 550219 | \％ 50.29 | \％81¢9 | 4zE 59 | \％ 515 | ¢555t9 | ＊\＆LZ9 | 4\％919 | 88019 | 40025 | 4，00Es | \％006 | \％osst |  | 자 | xs＇dwojvor |
|  | 559\％ 29 | \％ $50 \% 9$ | \＄ 515019 | \＄50． 29 | \％s0 $\angle 9$ | 8\％989 | \％ 8069 | \％ 5000 | 42012 | \％10z2 | \％ 10 EL | \＄ 20012 | 40051 | 420092 | 500012 | 400 28 |  | 3 H |  |
|  | \％50． 19 | \％ 5029 | 45029 | 450.29 | \％ 50219 | $5_{2} 055$ | ＊ 5 St 83 | 88599 | \％Stics | tocs 99 | 45189 | 60099 | \％stes | 510509 | \％stic | $\% 035$ |  | dxa | Tup kiars 5updixats |
|  | \％ 5102 | \％50 29 | 9650 29 | 550 29 | \％ 50129 | \％19＇59 | 885 93 | \％ 61.59 | \％ 48.59 | \％ 18 ＇to | 4．ris | 40959 | $\% 0059$ | \％00 99 | \％0029 | \％0089． |  | 303 |  |
|  | \％${ }^{\text {Sa＇} 29}$ | \％ 50.29 | \＄50\％29 | \％50． 29 | 8500＜9 | \％ 1 tic9 | ＊ 88859 | \％1559 | 4e2t9 | \％91＇t9 | 48859 | \％00 89 |  | NOS 69 | \％stizL | \％ 2090 |  | yeno |  |
|  | \％ 50.19 | 545029 | 450 29 | \％ 60.29 | 8／50 219 | \％1959 | 481．t9 | ダででつ | \％ 1819 | $\%_{18} 189$ | \％\％ 6 ¢ | 408.29 | ちsを） | \％ 055 | \％st＇ts | \＄0075 |  | 7＊ 3 | wherosw 0 coxy |
|  | \％850＇19 | \％ $50 \cdot<9$ | 4，50＇ 19 | \％50\％29 | \％ 29019 |  | 48589 | \％1Es9 | 880．9 | \％915 | 8：85 ¢9 |  | Lasteg | \％oste | \％GLEg | 40079 |  | d ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
|  | 9202 | L20Z | 9202 | 9202 | 1202 | ¢zOz | zese | 1202 | 0202 | 6108 | 8102 | 1102 | $910 z$ | siez | 102 | ह102 |  | depl | Ruthuos |



| E\％） | 9 cts | rits | 或ts | plys | 96Es | LLES | 6ses | けと今 | £ $¢ ¢$ | SoEs | 935 | $1 く \%$ | 9sts | 2tzs | 92Cs | 51．2s | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| El＇ss | 0515 | 89.5 | 2trs | 2Z ts | ©0ts | 0685 | －LES | $65 \varepsilon 5$ | ¢5 Es | て¢๕S | ezes | 60.15 | 862s | 282s | 127\＄ | 292\＄ | os | fluedioj waypos |
| 8s． 5 s | 8058 | 1858 | H5：5s | Of 55 | 905s | 92， 55 | 8 ys | 91＇ss | 2985 | 8tts | カとき | ¢8 て5 | ¢czs | cezs | 2025 | L8is | 80d |  |
| ssits | t9ts | ¢t＇s | E2ts | tos 5 | c9\％s | ters | Ores | ＋18 | 93 Cs | 6sizs | 18で | 90 zs | trics | to＇ts | Lots | 18．ts | WNad | 2us |
| － 615 | 18.58 | 098 | เどか | 20.95 | ¢ciss | 0iss | çs | 20.58 | ciss | 65 ＇s |  | 61．ts | 10 ts | を๕を\＄ | 93Es | 0 cts | Matd | uozerodes tevtej rsem operwd |
| 89 is | 9975 | 5ヶ\％ | sers | 80 \％ | $88 ร 5$ | ¢9¢ | LE¢ $¢$ | 20 Es | SL゙で | cris | いて\＄ | ta＇s | 095 | 6835 | 1215 | Sols | － 110 |  |
| Eiss | 08＇ts | 89 ＇\％ | ないけ | 22 $\downarrow$ | 805s | 8s＇$\%$ S | 99Es | OES | 2Z ¢ | cots | 82 zs | 2sis | sezs | O2zs | tozs | 68 is | ก |  |
| 28015 | 9tors | 10065 | 93.58 | Es 65 | 2L8s | 16．85 | 63.25 | 20゙くら | 80.15 | s99s | ＋29s | c9：5 | 1sss | 2 Sc | c9＊ 5 | 9\％ts | 33： |  |
| 59.95 | ＋Ess | 509 | 815s | tsiss | 2Zss | H0， 58 | c8．5 | ¢9 5s | が「5 | Orts | or＇rs | to $\varepsilon$ s | 6LES | F9\％s | oses | ＜e¢s | vol | OH＇duOJVO |
| 69 cs | 2LES | 5s＇$\varepsilon$ \％ | 6 Ecs | －2¢5 | 60 ¢s | 5625 | 0925 | 997s | zs zs | E82s | sでて | zozs | 00025 | 68.15 | e2is | 8315 | \＃H |  |
| El＇es | 66.25 | 588 | zezs | cozs | $6+75$ | LE ZS | sezs | 12\％ | E0＇zs | 2sis | 18.15 | 0 CL 15 | 1915 | zi＇s | Eris | se＇ls | dx9 | 2uf／ijavg sumbjeas |
| 0925 | 8b＇zs | 2¢ 35 | LZてs | 912 | cazs | 88.5 | 6915 | 18 ＇s | plibs | 2915 | 1915 | tsis | 8tis | Etis | Le＇ts | 2¢15 |  | Aresum |
| 18.15 | gess | 29＇8s | Less | 8095 | 1958 | 5 scs | zess | 60.58 | 68 ts | 69ts | is．ss | teis | $21 \pm 5$ | 30 ms | 98Es | Hes | \％ 10 |  |
| ceils | 0025 | 69.95 | 68．95 | 01.98 | Es＇ss | Hiss |  | 26 \％ | 09 ts | ezts | LeEs | 1988 | ores | ¢1＇ES | 26－25 | 0Lzs | 7NJ |  |
| $11 / 6$ | H85s | 6ss ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | EESS | 60ss | 9975 | P9\％ | triss | $t 20$ | 90\％s | 69 es | LeEs | Ss＇es | ores | sces | 16ts | csic | day |  |
| 9zoz | 4802 | ozoz | 9202 | 7202 | guez | zzot | 1202 | のzoz | 6102 | 8102 | 2102 | 9108 | sioz | bloz | Eloz | zıax | $13 \times 1$ | kiredura |

［01［62］［87］［द2］


[^9]jmossif uedaury



| 62 298 | 61.8 | 90\％ 5 | 1625 | 8L28 | 5925 | stzs | orzs | \＄125 | costs | 9815 | ¢Lis | 6515 | 2bis | 2805 | 0005 | （6r） $\mathrm{c}^{\text {cs }}$ ） | y\％9 | 9\％／8＊sa resem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18615 | 6 ES | tiを5 | 00Es | 692\％ | 9Lて5 | 1925 | $65 \% 5$ | 1s\％s | crizs | くとで | 18て\＄ | czus | 6izs | lsis | 0005 | （zs＇trs） | os | Anedios uraros |
| 98958 | 80 ts | 69 ¢S | ZLES | ¢s¢s | $1 \varepsilon ¢ 5$ | 90＇$\varepsilon$ 今 | 6Les | zs zs | 9z2S | 0025 | 92＇1s | s915 | geis | 6015 | 0005 | （85 OzS ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 80d |  |
| Lesss | いを\＄ | 1825 | t9 く5 | LiCS | Osts | ezzs | cozs | 2835 | 65－3s | 88＇5 | 91．15 | sois | ¢605 | scos | coos | （ 20 ヤて5） | rind | Sul＇simunsoy hnd |
| Licsols | H3＇s | Er＇ps | ¢ 5 ts | rots | ¢8¢ | \％$\%$ ¢ | Sbes | とてを§ | U＇£ | 5635 | 092s | 99 2s | 2s 25 | 59：15 | 0008 | （ $251+5 s$ ） | Midd |  |
|  | Et＇ss | 6425 | 59 て\＄ | zĽS | 9925 | 6ezs | H2s | 2015 | 69 is | 5 c is | ¢2 15 | 1215 | Lits | 0005 | 0008 | （00．625） | 8110 | woserodros rel 1 aro |
| zsfes | eves | tits | 0058 | 98.25 | 9925 | OSts | $18 \%$ \％ | ¢3） | 56 is | 2Lis | 1915 | osis | 68.15 | 6305 | 000s | （ 6 （2から） | nir |  |
| 599855 | c0：2s | 1498 | 1t9s | 21ss | SLSS | 8css | 209s | 19ts | cevs | 00 ts | cses | gits | HES | E61s | osos | （t995s） | 33， |  |
| to sols | Strs | gus | 83 ¢5 | 0LEs | Stes | CeEs | HEs | 1628 | atzs | t9 C \＄ | csics | Stes | 102s | sils | 0000 | （eLics） | 먼 | ） $\mathrm{HH}^{\text {＇}}$－ |
| ¢96\％ | 652s | eczs | くでき | 2＇zs | Oizs | sozs | 5515 | 69.15 | 2815 | －215 | 5931s | 5915 | 2s＇ss | 2015 | 0905 | （8s＇çs） | 3 H |  |
| ع＜2\％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 0023 | 1615 | Efis | beis | 9315 | 8s＇s | OG＇15 | zois | ve＇s | Lでし5 | 61／35 | 00.15 | c60s | 8 cos | 0005 | （91－ 2 ¢） | dX9 |  |
| 597ts | ss＇ts | 69.15 | 2sis | stis | ge 15 | 18＇5 | 比15 | 91．＇s | cils | 80 15 | co is | wis | 9800 | estos | cos | （1－2z5） | 303 | f． |
| 69 Sels | 89.5 | cits | 42 s | cuts | ¢ ¢ ¢ | 0965 | 2t¢s | OEES | ＋18s | 88.25 | te 25 | gazs | 03 zs | csis | cocs | （68．695） | т |  |
| evouls | 0143 | Bris | 2で姑 | E0ts | 2e\％s | ¢¢¢ | ¢ $¢ 5$ | 20 ES | 92\％ | 0 CL | 9z2s | 202s | 69 1s | 415 | 0005 | （6vics） | าง | vosero30j0085 |
| 23－665 | 16 cs | pLes | 15 Es | 1－Es | ¢zes | goss | osts | St2s | 02 cs | 4 HzS | tezs | cres | 9125 | ctis | cosos | （699rs） | d3y | Oul＇fuedwog ravod orpers uextany |
| $8 \mathrm{COEP9}$ | 220029 | 92002／9 | ร206\％ | －202／9 | とてやだ9 | 2006 | 120029 | 02108／9 | 619089 | siosio | 210¢r9 | 91\％0¢＇9 | Stiocs | 万1／ER」 | blisiv | N0\％30 | rapll | NuETHOO |




| $56 \mathrm{c9}{ }^{\text {S }}$ | － 1 Es | 6185 | Soes | 1625 | 8L2S | 6975 | \＄92\＄ | 0 O\％ | S12s | $\infty$ cis | 9815 | Ecis | 6 SF 15 | L＇IS | 88.15 | SEIS | प414 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＆ $5 \cdot 8 L 5$ | HES | \＄ 5 ¢ | HES | 00Es | 08 28 | 9L2S | 1925 | 6s 25 | 1s 25 | Erzs | Leてs | 18 くS | ¢̧zs | 61zs | cizs | 802\％ | os | Aueduos warmos |
| 09295 | くでか | 80.58 | 68＇Es | 2EEs | 59\％\＄ | 1¢¢ | 9\％\％ | elzs | 2scs | a゙て | 00 zs | 9tis | 5915 | 985 | 9\％＇15 | Leis | Hod | Aredum xppar riaus pueand |
| 1625s | gzes | ちど | L6＇zs | tazs | LCOS | Ogizs | ezzs | 507s | 29.15 | 6915 | feis | 815 | 50＇15 | ¢50\％ | ceos | cicos | wind |  |
| 16 E¢1\％ | cs＇rs | ＋975 | erts | c2 rs $^{\text {c }}$ | Tobs | E\％ES | Hess | Stes | 82 ¢s | HEs | sezs | 08＇25 | 99 2\＄ | esizs | $6 ¢$ 2\＄ | LCES | suld |  |
| 12098 | LUES | EしEs | Ests | 58 zs | 2LZS | Stizs | 982s | H＇zs | 26.15 | 69．1s | 9\％15 | szis | tris | 2455 | His | 50.5 | － |  |
| 80.585 | －\％ | 62¢s | tiss | 0）Es | 9328 | 8925 | csizs | sezs | 21．25 | Stils | 92is | 19.5 | 0s＇ss | 6E is | 0¢its | 0 Lts | ON |  |
| 61.1215 | 98． 15 | ¢0， 6 | Hess | 1\％95 | 2＇ss | SLiss | cess | zo＇ss | 29.5 | cess | 00.5 | 8985 | 8EES | 31Es | 9925 | z92s | 33N |  |
| 5 c 0015 | 5tbs | ¢5ts | 90．5s | 82¢5 | OLES | 6 t | cess | 118s | 48.25 | 8ics | tsis | coses | ¢を Cs | 5028 | 6215 | 85.5 | vol |  |
| 20its | 19.25 | 6rizs | \＆ 8 くS | Lzes | Ltてs | 012s | £02s | 56 is | 6315 | 2815 | Stis | g9\％15 | 6 C ＇15 | 2sis | stis | beis | 3 H |  |
| E99\％s | 0825 | 008 zs | 1615 | $\mathrm{cg}^{2} 15$ | 51／5 | 9515 | 8s．1s | ociss | でじ | EEIS | LtS | 61.15 | 8015 | 1508 | 6ios | 6LOS | dxo |  |
| Leits | 2215 | 99－15 | 69＇5s | zsis | 5tis | 88.15 | 18．5s | be＇ss | 81＇s | Elis | cors | ¢0＇1s | 00.15 | 80， 6 | 9305 | Escs | \＃03 |  |
| blioss | O3．5 | 89 ＇s | 2tos | くで5 | coits | 9985 | 99\％s | L－ES | Ociss | ヤ185 | gizs | 58zs | ¢\％ 25 | O62 | 26.28 | ¢5 zs | Y x （10 | woxerdios 10：903 0tra |
| 12＇565 | 26）s | OL＇s | atts | ects | 6sbs | 28＇Es | ss＇ts | 8 c ¢ | 20¢5 | 9128 | ctizs | －でで | 4025 | 69．3s | 22：5 | 1515 | 7\％ | vorapdios 0030 |
| 20.685 | Ot＇s | 16.85 | ＋2．${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Lses | 1tcs | とZEs | 9085 | csizs | SLes | cazs | 2tes | rezs | sczs | 912\％ | 2025 | $80^{\prime} 15$ | d3y |  |
|  | 8202 | 2208 | 8 coz | szoz | \％2OZ | \＆टOZ | 2208 | 120z | 0202 | 6102 | 8102 | 408 | 910z | sioz | 4102 | E！az | F911 | Kuthoos |
| ¢¢91 | ［29］ | ［19］ | ［0］ | ［69］ | ［8s］ | ［is］ | ［ss］ | ［93］ | ［tos］ | ［Es］ | ［2］ | ［s］ | ［0s］ | ［67］ | ［87］ | ［ 24$]$ |  |  |


|  | 850＇19 | \％ 45019 | 950.29 | \％so 19 | \＄1099 | 880．59 | 4280 | ¢ 270 E9 | \％ 3029 | 41019 | \％0309 | द्र9185 | 4 | \％ちでった | 40059 | du |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％ 5019 | 5450 29 | 450． 29 | \％50＜29 | \％ $50 / 19$ | \＄5 212 | 498189 | そし669 | ＊ 8869 | ¢5s 0 | \％6ziL | 403 zL | \＄912L | yosel | 5St「L | \％0ss 5 | os |  |
| \％50＇19 | \％50 29 | \％50＇ 29 | \％50 29 | 45024 | \％ 2159 | 4sseg | \％しEて9 | \％ 5 L＇ç | \％91＇6s | \％8sils | 40315 | H0s ¢s | 400＇6 | tuscs | 4.0399 | yod |  |
| 45029 | \％ 5929 | \＄590 49 | \％50 29 | \％S0＇49 | \％sity | \％srzs | \％ 14.9 | \％ 88.29 | \％$\%$ S 55 | 4 ¢¢z ¢ $¢$ | \％00＇ts | \％SLOS | yosios | ciszos | 85008 | MHd | out sejurasay find |
| \＄50\％ 29 | \％ 5029 | \％ 5029 | \％c90 19 | 450\％19 | ＊19 \＆s | 483＇99 | 4 4 L＇s9 | \＄18．59 | 41859 | \％trit9 | 480059 | 40sc9 | \％09 ¢9 | \＄0529 | \％ 8029 | Meld |  |
| \＄50＇19 | \％50．29 | \％50 29 | 850＇49 | \％ 50.19 | \％0899 | ¢Sぐ53 | \％0989 |  | HDE＇t9 | \％5109 | 40065 | 90099 | ＊00\＆L | ¢0003 | \％0348 | －110 | vozerodtoj 5ex |
| \％50． 29 | \＄50 29 | \％ 50729 | \＄50 29 | \％ $50 \% 9$ | 45159 | \＄9\％＇ts | \％ 2189 | 48819 | \％8509 | \％ 6 E 65 | 46089 | \％szis | 40885 | \％sL＇\％s | \％00＇65 | กn |  |
| 850\％ 19 | \％50 29 | \％50． 29 | 540029 | \＄50\％ 49 | 40859 | \％sL＇t9 | \％09 ¢9 | \＄5tて9 | 4585 | KStos | 40965 | 59125 | Kesss | \％szss | \％（0）ts | 3 N |  |
| ＊50＇19 | 450\％29 | S50 28 | \＄50\％29 | 450\％ 49 | \％815 | पz¢ 59 | \％ 54.8 | \＄65\％$\% 9$ | \％とくて9 | \％9819 | 500 19 | \％ $400<9$ | \％00 ¢ | \％ 80.6 V | \％oscy | 여 | sun＇dxojvor |
| \％50\％ 29 | \％ 50.29 | \＄50\％ 19 | \＄50． 29 | \％ 50.49 | \％roes | \％ 20.69 | \＄800 2 | \％ $20 \cdot 1 /$ | \％，10 21 | \％1082 | 500012 | 40051 | 40092 | \＄50＇Ll | \％0392 | З ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 1 reyunpur pameaj venever |
| \％ $50 / 2$ | ¢50\％ 19 | \％ 50229 | \％ 50.29 | 450\％9 | \％ 2085 | \％52．99 | \％ 2099 | \％ 55.99 | \％ 5859 | \％st 69 | \％ 20099 | ち¢をを9 | yos 09 | \％9CLS | \％035s | dx0 |  |
| \％50＇19 | 8590＇＜9 | \％502 29 | \％50．19 | 5190 29 | \％／1959 | 481.93 | \％ 24.59 | ¢ 18.59 | \％ 285 | 90\％t9 | \％00 \％ 9 | 400＇59 | 80099 | ¢50\％19 | \％ 80889 | $\exists 03$ | Ruedury sposg rutio exchis |
| 45029 | \％ 50.49 | \％50． 19 | 850.29 | 45019 | \％ 12.59 | \＄25959 | \％1Es9 | KとL＇t9 | ＊9159 | \％sse9 | 42089 | \％sて 99 | zost 69 | \％sizz | \％ $0 \cdot 91$ | บก็ | vesenatios kbrag axa |
| \％ 5019 | \％ 25019 | 45029 | \％ $50 \% 2$ | 4／5029 | \＄419¢9 | 4．93＇ts | 4\％2でて9 | あし¢19 | $\% 1885$ | \％\％tiss | ＋6026 | 4steg | sos 59 | \＄SLtrs | \＄00465 | TNJ | uejerodoj 03\％ |
| \％90\％ 19 | 4／50\％ 29 | \％SO\％ 29 | \％50：29 | \％ 85020 | \％ 2 L 93 | 88859 | \％1E．59 | ysita | 49159 | 4，8989 | \％0088 | ¢รて¢9 | \％ 8589 | \＄fsicg | 9，0099 | dIV |  |
| 202 | 20z | 202 | 202 | 202 | czoz | てZOZ | 20z | OZOZ | 8102 | 010\％ | 4102 | 9102 | sioz | 1102 | ع102 | अ\％ | knero |



| c3＇ts | 92ts | cris | 85 | 9305 | 95\％s | 11.15 | 65\％s | 15¢5 | ¢ $¢$ | coss | 6） 25 | Lくて | gics | ででら | 9で§ | cizs | 84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Etss | 0815 | 89 ts | 如が | くでs |  | 08 ct | －2Es | 65ES | Stes | ひと「ら | ＠ags | 6085 | 6625 | 198s | L2てS | 2928 | os | hreduos uxamos |
| geis | 80.58 | 185 | tsss | 0855 | 909s | 8Livs | 8rıs | 91.55 | zses | 8tes | ＋185 | ¢8 25 | 5s2s | cezs | 20 zs | 28.15 | yod |  |
| 59＇ts | 1996s | Et＇s | cets | tors | ¢3Es | ress | 06＇cs | biss | 982s | 6925 | sezs | 902s | ＋315 | tols | 1015 | 1815 | whed | 24，＇seamesty pind |
| tcı 15 | 16.58 | 09.95 | 385 | 20.95 | SL＇ss | 085s | szss | zoss | 03 \％ | \％s\％s | sets | 61.55 | 10ヶ | E\＆ES | \＄9\％5 | $0 ¢ \mathrm{Es}$ | WHd |  |
| 89＇rs | g9ts | Stits | ¢ 2 － | 20\％ 5 | 878 | 59 ¢S | 18を5 | 20¢s | stes | Etics | 1625 | 1815 | 0915 | 6c：35 | 1215 | 50．15 | y 110 | vosecosoo E21 $=0$ |
| Es＇ss | 08ts | 69＇ts | ぐい | LZ 5 | 80 ts | 88 ES | 99 ¢ 5 | ゆ゙くら | てくを | coss | 8Lzs | 15 zs | อย2 | ozzs | 902s | 6315 | กn |  |
| 26015 | grois | 50015 | 95 65 | \＆16\＄ | zLes | 5 c 85 | 6815 | 2515 | 00．2s | s90s | tcss | 59.58 | 1555 | 2155 | 83.5 | 954s | 730 |  |
| 1993 | － 9 os | 9095 | gics | 2sss | 8 ccs | toss | E\％${ }^{\text {cs }}$ | ¢ง＇s | trit | ercts | －515 | 50Es | 64．ES | H＇ E \％ | OFES | LEES | 매 | Off＇dצojzas |
| 69\％s | 2LES | Ss．$¢$ | 6ยะs | もてEs | 80 Es | ssizs | 0825 | 9 gcs | 2sて\＄ | Eとで | sezs | \％5 28 | 00 2s | 6815 | 9215 | 89＇s | эН |  |
| E1＇รs | 65 ZS | 5925 | zizs | 09 ZS | $6{ }^{6} 25$ | LECS | ¢くて\＄ | bizs | と0で | 23.15 | 1915 | 0iss | 1915 | zsis | ¢t＇ss | seis | dx9 |  |
| 0925 | 2\％＇25 | cezs | LCZS | 95： 2 s | く0てs | 88.5 | 63－15 | 1815 | 1215 | 1915 | 19.15 | triss | 8bis | Etis | 20：3s | 2¢1s | 903 |  |
| どくら | 6855 | 1995 | Less | 80．95 | \＄859 | Ss＇ss | 2¢゙st | 60， 5 | 635 | 69.5 | 15 ＇ps | tits | 4．ts | 3015 | 9\％¢ | Hess | ＞ 10 |  |
| ce＇ls | 00.25 | $69 \% 5$ | 6895 | 03.65 | ¢85s | \％959 | ecss | 26ts | 0975 | 22） 5 | L6ES | 19 cs | Ores | ¢1Es | 28.25 | OLzs | าผア | vajerasto，00\％\％ |
| Hiss | te＇ss | 85 ss | EESS | 60 ss | gers | 99ts | －rrs | $42+5$ | 90 ¢f | 89 ES | 12es | cses | Orics | ¢でも | 1685 | 883 | d 37 |  |
| szoz | 1202 | 9202 | SOOZ | ＋208 | \＆zoz | 2202 | 1202 | 020z | 6102 | 8102 | 4102 | 9102 | slas | ploz | cloz | 2182 | Prx1 | SuEthas |
| ［0］ | ［6］ | ［82］ | ［12］ | （s） | ［s］ | ｜r2］ | ［价］ | ［22］ | ｜l｜ | ［0］ | （6） | \81） | ［17］ | （01］ | ｜c1 | ［r1］ |  |  |



[^10]fnoss！w uesauy

| zitas | 958 | SEzs | －2 2 S | Hizs | 1025 | 0315 | 0815 | W15 | 29is | Ss＇is | 8 t 15 | tris | 5eis | 896 | 0000 | （6rzes） | 84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2\％625 | をट¢ | $60 \% 5$ | 9625 | 292s | zizs | ¢9 で | Sscs | ぐで | orizs | 5\％て | 8でく | cz $2 \$$ | 8125 | cosis | coss | （25，35s） | os | finedion uxtros |
| fioss | 9 Z ¢ | 958 | 20\％s | 26 ＇1s | ta＇is | eits | 19 is | 0ils | H15 | てどち\＄ | tzis | gis | 92 LS | Es os | coos | （89675） | Hod | ANedxom xamer refuro furwid |
| OLiLSS | しくて | い＇zs | 10.25 | 26.15 | 22＇3s | E915 | 8\％＇1s | reis | LCLs | 80 ＇s | gics | 69.05 | 28 cs | 2 SOS | 0008 | （40．625） | reld | sit sesminosal kixid |
| E0，8015 | 17゙ワ3 | 2 tbs | cors | S9Es | c9es | ぐをร | 08 ES | thes | 55.25 | 59 zs | 8Lです | 0928 | －tics | 1915 | 0005 | （2s55s） | Mad | Hop lewdej isan epeurd |
| 8¢． 255 | ssis | 6715 | 2015 | 98＇5 | Lels | 61 is | 1515 | 90.15 |  | $00^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | ¢8 0 s | 2805 | 1605 | 1 cos | coos | （to＇bzs） | － 10 | traerotios |
| 03 gss | 28\％ |  | 29 zs | coss | 1825 | 8LIS | 60 zs | 58.15 | Stis | 1915 | 6ris | oris | Esis | 1805 | coos | （cl＇zos） | na | S9Pwh isceuthen |
| 12\％L1s | 65.58 | 2255 | 9riss | zCSs | 0875 | 09 | 28ts | sots | เ8を¢ | L9E\％ | scics | Hes | tozs | 28.15 | 090s | （69985） | 3зヶ |  |
| orzots | 09\％s | tres |  | Hi¢s | 95 zs | 08 zs | 19 25 | tsics | tris | sezs | くてで | 8025 | 06.15 | H1s | 0005 | （EL＇Lss） | VOn |  |
| evers | 6028 | tois | 9315 | 2015 | $22^{15}$ | 2935 | 29 ＇s | $85^{\circ} 15$ | t915 | 15.15 | 9tis | Stis | 2tis | etos | 000 S | （6s szs） | 3 H |  |
| 2z bts | 29＇ts | 6LIS | Lis | E9＇s | S9its | 8515 | เris | eris | Less | 12\％ | 51.15 | rois | seos | 2908 | coss | （91－ヶて5） | d×0 | Tn／tarug sumd tears |
| zでが | csits | 9ris | 05＇15 | Feis | LCIS | $12 / 5$ | S5， 15 | 0515 | 9015 | 20.5 | 8tos | 4605 | stos | 1908 | cos | （12Zzs） | 303 |  |
| Stsis | 19\％ | Erys | ¢ 5 ¢ | Whts | cess | ¢9¢ | Sres | LZEs | て！＇s | ＜8＇25 | sezs | 9325 | 68.25 | Elizs | ooses | （68695） | y y （1） |  |
| 19，56s | 5s．cs | 6E¢5 | せてES | 60cs | 68 zs | 0L2s | zs 25 | 9\％25 | Oczs | 5025 | 26is | 18.15 | 12＇15 | 1915 | owos | （ 80.2 trs ） | บง | vabpordmjosaj |
| th26s． | E9\％s | spes | 6 t 5 | re ¢ | 91ES | csis | － | 69 zs | 95：25 | cres | 182\％ | 22 zs | tizs | $5 \rightarrow 15$ | 0， 0 S | （69．95s） | dyy |  |
| 8Lんだ， 9 | L2108／9 | 92005／9 | 920029 | F70819 |  | 22080／9 | 1200 ¢9 | 02\％だ9 | 6108\％9 | 815099 | 21／08／9 | 91108／9 | S11089 | HIMER1 | blsan | Mand | गaxal | ／iredwo |


ivolf lsej misent

| 91．29s | Lsizs | 9r2s | $5 \varepsilon \% \$$ | をでで | blis | 1025 | C6＇15 | Criss | 1215 | 29\％15 | 59.15 | $8{ }^{3}$ | 1＋75 | teis | 82＇15 | 275 | 84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Etists | sces | ¢z¢ | 60\％ 5 | 5825 | zszs | 2tiz | ¢92s | ssizs | Lrzs | Ot2s | ters | ezてs | とで | 8tizs | 2125 | 1025 | os | Ruersuos uatinos |
| 9ELSS | くもで | 9てて\＄ | ง1．Zs | 202s | 26.15 | ra＇s | zils | 1915 | osis | げしく | 2ess | T2＇s | 9 cts | 92－ss | 12＇s5 | 82IS | yod | fueduos upara reasay preind |
| 6E65s | 1 c 25 | しです | H2¢ | 102\％ | 20，is | Lils | E915 | Stis | reis | tets | 80.15 | 8605 | 68＇0s | 280s | 9tos | ocos | and |  |
| oreols | 29\％s | じけ | 2zts | cors | ¢9\％s | c9\％s | ぐをS | Oど¢ | tes | 6625 | 58.25 | ELzs | 0925 | 8 Br 5 | 1825 | Qでく | MNd |  |
| SLiscs | Esis | $93^{\text {a }}$ is | 66＇15 | で15 | 98＇s | LZ゙しs | 61＇15 | 4315 | H0＇5s | 1505 | 0805 | C805 | 1805 | 3805 | ta 05 | $16^{\circ} \mathrm{CS}$ | －110 |  |
| 0ates | 00\％s | 1825 | ＋1／zs | 2925 | 0 Ocs | rezs | 6125 | cozs | 6835 | SLIS | 19：5 | 6ris | oris | E¢＇ss | Sでıs | $61 / 15$ | ns | Soxma 158.400 |
| － 8915 | くで9 | 685 | 2Ls | 975s | Uss | os＇rs | c9．13 | ても $\downarrow$ S | sots | 18 ¢ | L5 ¢5 | ¢ $\varepsilon$ ¢ | がをs | 76 ＇zs | ¢2てs | 1925 | ヨ |  |
| 20605 | 1285 | 09 E | bics | 6LES | H2s | Ssics | 6825 | 4925 | － 125 | $t \begin{gathered}\text { cts }\end{gathered}$ | sczs | 2 CzS | Ests | OBIS | 20．15 | ssis | 병 |  |
| 96＇ers | Eizs | co－zs | 5＇is | 9315 | 12.15 | zics | 2915 | z9＇1s | ¢15 | tris | 1515 | $8 \div 15$ | Stis | 2tis | 68.15 | oris | 3 H |  |
| てと＇9rs | Sels | 29＇1s | 62：38 | 12.15 | \＆9＇1s | 55．15 | 87＇15 | tils | － | Lz 15 | 1215 | stis | wois | csios | gros | 8LO | dxo | 2以／6נニr3 sumedieas |
| 1920s | 1915 | Es＇15 | ghis | 0ris | －8゙s | L2＇ss | でくな | Sils | 01.35 | 50．18 | 20＇ss |  | 20.08 | cous | 3805 | zecos | 303 |  |
| OLOEIS | 98＊s | 59.4 | Ebis | czos | 50．ts | ¢9＇£ | ¢9 \＆ 5 | Stes | L2¢5 | でes | 262S | c8\％s | 88.25 | 6825 | 1625 | cezs | $\chi_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| 60265 | H2Es | Scts | 6ces | ャてEs | 60\＆s | 68.25 | 0LEs | 2s 25 | 082s | OZて5 | 50．25 | 28：15 | 1815 | L2LS | 19 Is | 2sis | 2\％ | vocienodroy osen |
| c8\％${ }^{\text {c\％}}$ | 10．0s | cees | 9955 | $\underline{6+5 s}$ | － t Es | $91 . \mathrm{Es}$ | 58.25 | 9225 | 6923 | csis | ctis | 182s | 2 czs | ＋12s | 9025 | 68＇15 | d 3 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { aryen } \\ \text { Fevxinf } \end{gathered}$ | 9208 | 1208 | sacz | crez | 1202 | 82OZ | 2208 | 1202 | czoz | 6102 | 8102 | 4102 | 9102 | 5102 | b10t | と10z | 13011 | 万2eram |
| โ¢9］ | ［zㅋ］ | ［19］ | 1091 | ［65］ | ［89］ | ［24］ | ［95］ | （s） | ［rs］ | ［¢］ | ［2s］ | （15］ | ［0s］ | ［64］ | ［8v］ | ［17］ |  |  |


| 850．19 | ${ }^{4} 90.49$ | ${ }^{5} 58029$ | \％ 89.18 | ${ }^{4} 50.19$ | \％ 6093 | 48059 | $480 \% 9$ | \＄20629 | 81029 | 410 19 | 50009 | ¢9¢8\％ | \％0926 | F9283 | 400059 | 8 | 3 feisun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \＄50． 29 | 450 29 | \％50\％ 20 | \％50． 29 | 450． 19 | \％stcis | \％9r＇89 | \％LV69 | \＄ 89869 | 49502 | \％6z以 | 90521 | 々SLてL | \％osct | \％sで巾L | 40092 | os | Avetuos uxatios |
| \％50 29 | 450\％29 | 650\％ 19 | 45029 | \％50 29 | \％ 1459 | 4588＇ 59 | ＊ 1 ¢ 29 | \％ $2<09$ | 493＇69 | \％88ics | 400＇95 | mosss | ＊0019 | StOSE9 | $4 / 20999$ | yod |  |
| \＄50\％ 49 | \％s0＜9 | \％ $450<8$ | \＄50\％29 | 450． 29 | \％SLT9 | \＄59\％29 | \％ 2109 | \％8825 | \％ 8559 | \％ 62 \＆s | 50015 | \％stios | Hos OG | 5szos | $4{ }_{2} 00000$ | n：Nd | Tut＇resmosay widd |
| \＄50 29 | \％50\％29 | \％ 50.49 | 450 29 | \％50\％ 29 | \％1959 | ＊ 818199 | \％ 72.59 | \％เど¢9 | \％19 ts | \％\％r＇r9 | \％09\％9 | \％03E9 | \％00 59 | \％os 29 | 4，09 29 | NHJ | jerodion lepdej 159M weresd |
| Scol 29 | \％50＇29 | \％s0＇ 28 | \％s0 29 | \％ร0 29 | \％0859 | \％92\％ | \＄0989 | \％ 5129 | ＊0と＇19 | \％ 41209 | 400365 | \％00＇99 | \％00 EL | 80009 | ¢ $800<8$ | － 410 |  |
| \＄5029 | \％ 5029 | 550.29 | \＄5029 | 450.49 | \％5159 | 49\％＇t9 | \％ 14.89 | 488．39 | ${ }_{4} 8859$ | \％$/ 6265$ | \％00 85 | \％scss | \％os 89 | 4sciss | \％ 2005 | ถ\％ |  |
| \％50＇29 | 45019 | \％ 50.29 | \％50\％29 | \＄59029 | 40659 | 54259 | \％0989 | \％sriz9 | Hests | \％9109 | 40056 | 45125 | nosis | \％szss | 400\％s | 33N | xi \％68ave exire\％ |
| \％s0．29 | \％ $50 \%$ | \％50：\％9 | \％ 50.29 | \＄590．29 | \％／8199 | 42 c ¢99 | \％ 5 5\％9 | 45989 | \％ 12 Z | 59319 | 40019 | \％00 25 | 400\％s | 8006 6 | \％095t | YOH |  |
| \％50 29 | \％ 50.29 | ＊ $510 \%$ | 550＇L | 450.29 | \＄4089 | 45069 | 50002 | 820＇ti | \＆1ozl | \％ 10 ¢ 1 | 40072 | 9：0092 | 40092 | ※00\％ 21 | \％03 82 | $3{ }^{3}$ |  |
| \＄50＇29 | \％ $50 \% 29$ | \％s0 29 | \％ 50.19 | 450\％ 29 | 40859 | \％ 51.99 | ${ }_{8} 80999$ | \＄5「99 | \％cess | 4\％S1＇83 | \＄03＇99 | \％，¢¢ ¢9 | \％os 09 | ssics | 8005 | dX0 |  |
| \％sol2 | \％ 50.29 | H50 29 | \＄550．29 | म¢0 29 | 8／1999 | \％81．99 | \％ 72.59 | ¢51¢59 | \％18＇t9 | Stbtts | 4003 t9 | 4，00 59 | \＄0099 | 50029 | 4 8088 | 307 |  |
| \％S019 | 450．29 | \＄500 29 | \％5029 | \％ 50.19 | \％ 2 － 99 | \＄58：59 | \＄1859 | \％85＇99 | \％9st9 |  | \％ 20 ¢9 | \％ 5859 | \％ 0569 | 5sclz | 400．92 | $\times 10$ | vosercdion $10,304 \mathrm{Fx}$ |
| $450 \% 19$ | \＃50：19 | 450 29 | \％50． 19 | 850\％29 | 41959 | 495199 | \％$\%$ L 29 | W1E！9 | \％ 18.65 | y\％r8 | $400 \% 19$ | \％sC\％s | cosiss | をsitrs | 403 ts | 7\％3 | vosendm oxaj |
| \％ 50.19 | 550\％19 | 45016 | \％ 50.29 | \％ 50.19 | H 2 Lr 99 | 4,5859 | \％ 16.59 | \％Etip9 | 8915 | \％ascs | 400¢9 | ¢¢も ¢9 | \％ostg | ¢9LE9 | 5，09 09 | dJy |  |
| 9208 | L2C | 8202 | çoz | \＄202 | 8202 | 220z | 1202 | 0202 | 6102 | 9102 | L102 | 9508 | 5102 | toz | c10z | इस1 | kredmo |



| ＋9］s | 2985 | 0 Cs 8 | ress | 61.55 | ¢0¢s | 2825 | 1825 | SLZS | 292\＄ | －s＇zs | 2 zzs | 0ヶてs | もどてS | 2225 | 12で | St＇zs | 81 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50ss | 29＇ts | 19\％ | $0{ }^{0} \mathrm{HS}$ |  | 10 bs | 73¢ | 89 ES | －fes | 0tes | eてを§ | いとを | coes | 95 zs | 98 く5 | 912\％ | L8 25 | OS | Auetsho wrum |
| － 5 Es | gés | £Z ¢s | 60\％ | 5625 | 1928 | 6925 | 8 sczs | 9rizs | 8 Cl | O¢CS | zzzs | stes | 20 2s | cozs | ＋8＇15 | 28＇s | 80d |  |
| G\％ES | －2を5 | Hess | Coses | 2825 | 12．zs | 0925 | 9t 2 s | 2¢ cs | 8125 | ¢0\％ | 6315 | 923s | ع915 | 2s＇ss | 1615 | \＄81s | wNd | $x^{3}$｜＇s30）nosay wild |
| 63.95 | 8 c ¢5 | 6295 | 10＇0s | ＋2．ss | 8 rcs | t2ss | 20.58 | 58.5 | 19\％s | \＆tis | 9 OH | 60ts | toss | 6LEs | tges | Oses | H3Ad |  |
| \＆゙で | てどで | z\％zs | 2s ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 20＇zs | ¢815 | te＇ss | S215 | 991s | 8935 | 6ris | じけs | ¢cis | stıs | 8 Cl 15 | 15.55 | sols | 》山O | unerdrospal mio |
| 9ヶts | 82 bs | E0 bs | 06 ES | とLES | $95 \% 8$ | 68.5 | てz¢ | ¢0．Es | 692S | ztzs | 85 zs | tres |  | E1－2s | 10 2\＄ | 68.15 | mis |  |
| 9865 | F6es | Es）${ }^{\text {c }}$ | sles | 62． $2 \$$ | －t／3 | 0125 | 6cts |  | scis | t8．5s | 89．5s | －tss | $0 \mathrm{OS5}$ | $86+5$ | L2Hs | gsis | 33 A | \％ $1 / 5$ arg erguen |
| ¢9＇ss | gess | H－5s | 08＇5 | 8955 | tots | 时 55 | Efts | 0005 | 69 ¢5 | OSES | 2LES | s9\％s | gs＇ss | 19 ¢5 | －2es | くとEs | vot |  |
| eles | ¢0¢5 | 08.25 | LCCS | t9zs | て¢ Cs | 2゙で | 2¢て§ | ezzs | bizs | 20：2s | 0 OLS | E6＇s | 98.15 | 0 O is | teis | 89 IS | $\exists \mathrm{H}$ |  |
| 2 COS | 6LZS | 1925 | Scis | ¢\％てら | zezs | で25 | 20゙てs | 2025 | zsis | 89\％） | yils | 59 is | cis 15 | 65：15 | 2tis | seis | dxo | Fultrav sumb lear |
| 0 O\％ | 62 Cs | 6s 25 | 60 zs | 6s＇ss | 0815 | 2e＇ss | SLIS | 6915 | c915 | geis | esis | 6ris | tris | oris | \％e＇s | z¢＇ts | \＃03 |  |
| SCLS | 26：5 | 19.93 | 2¢9\％ | 8095 | 9Lss | 15.58 | 8258 | 9055 | 93\％ | 19＇4s | 6riss | zess | 91.75 | 10.5 | Sats | Lecs | ＞0\％ |  |
| －s．ss | ezss | 50 ss | E\＆ts | 19\％s | 06\％ | しでしく | 20 ts | ta＇Es | 89 ES | 2Ses | 0cts | てもes | 60 ¢ | S6 zs | 2825 | 042s | 7NO | wiendos coso |
| 8 sis | Liss | 925s | 12 st | $86^{145}$ | Stits | tigs | scts | 9175 | 6585 | 29Es | 19 gs | 2s＇ss | 288s | t2es | 1685 | 8525 | d ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ |  |
| 8202 | 2208 | 9202 | S208 | 「20z | Ezoz | zzoz | 1202 | 020z | 6102 | gsez | Lloz | 910 | sioz | ¢102 | eloz | Z102 | Prxi | suesios |




[^11]
## Ameren Missouri

## Revised Hevert CAPM Return

| Line | Description | High <br> Market Risk <br> Premium | (1) <br> Market Risk <br> Premium |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Risk-Free Rate |  |  |

[^12]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Regulatory Research Associates Regulatory Focus, "Major Rate Case Decisions-JanuaryMarch 2014," April 9, 2014 at 1, double underlining indicates emphasis added.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Standard \& Poor's RatingsDirect: "Industry Report Card: Stable-To-Modestly Improved Industry Outlook Supports Ratings For U.S. Regulated Electric, Gas, And Water Utilities," April 19, 2013 at 3-4 and 6-7, emphasis added.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ FitchRatings: "2014 Outlook: Utilities, Power, and Gas," December 12, 2013 at 1-2, emphasis added.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Standard \& Poor's RatingsDirect: "Kansas City Power \& Light Co.," September 27, 2007 at 3 .

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Mr. Hevert's DCF studies include low, mean and high DCF results. His mean DCF results include all the growth rate estimates used in his DCF studies. The median of the group mean results represents all the growth rate information available in his studies that best represents the central tendency of most of the results in his DCF studies. Mr. Hevert's proposed high-end estimates include significant outliers and growth rates which do not produce reasonable DCF results. His low-end estimates support the reasonableness of my findings on a fair return on equity based on his studies as described here.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Gorman Direct Testimony at 16.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8} 2013$ lbbotson SBBI Valuation Yearbook at 59, emphasis added.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ The first number in each pair is the mean value using average growth rates, and the second number is the first reduced by 18 basis points.
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[^12]:    Sources:
    ${ }^{1}$ Exhibit RBH-13.
    ${ }^{2}$ Morningstar, Inc. Ibbotson SBBI 2014 Classic Yearbook at 91 and 152.

