

EX 1 - Amers
(1)

currently expects to invest between \$1.8 billion and \$2.2 billion to retrofit its coal-fired energy centers with pollution control equipment in compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Any pollution control investments will result in decreased energy center availability during construction and significantly higher ongoing operating expenses. Any pollution control investments at Ameren Missouri are expected to be recoverable from ratepayers..." By Ameren's own admission, the rate increases are going to keep coming unless they begin moving away from such a heavy reliance on coal. ~~Again, I ask that the PSC reject all funds that would go toward the continued operation of the Meramec coal plant.~~ Thank you.

FILED³

AUG 30 2012

Missouri Public
Service Commission

Ameren's SEC Filing: Form 10-K for FY 2011

Environmental Integrity Project Report entitled Net Loss: Comparing the Cost of Pollution vs. the Value of Electricity from 51 Coal-Fired Power Plants


Arnold Witness

Exhibit No. 1

Date 8-15-12 Reporter ARK

File No. ER-2012-0166

EX1-Aitken
(2)



Boundaries

States

Source: [U. S. Geological Survey](http://www.usgs.gov)

☒ States

People

Residential Energy Per Capita 2008

Source: [Energy Information Administration](http://www.eia.doe.gov)

Residential Energy Consumption
Per Capita - 2007 (in millions BTU)

