Exhibit No.:

Issues: Low Income Program Witness: Carol Gay Fred

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Case No.: ER-2011-0004

Date Testimony Prepared: April 7, 2011

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**GENERAL COUNSEL DIVISION** 

### REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

**CAROL GAY FRED** 

# EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

**CASE NO. ER-2011-0004** 

Jefferson City, Missouri April 2011

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

## **CAROL GAY FRED**

## **CASE NO. ER-2011-0004**

BACKGROUND OF WITNESS	1
PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2

#### REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### **OF**

#### **CAROL GAY FRED**

#### EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

#### CASE NO. ER-2011-0004

- Q. Please state your name and business address
- A. My name is Carol Gay Fred, and my business address is 200 Madison Street, Suite 800, Jefferson City, MO 65101.
  - Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am the Consumer Services Manager for the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission or MoPSC).
- Q. Are you the same Carol Gay Fred who testified in Empire's previous Case ER-2010-0130 in April 2010, concerning Empire's Experimental Low Income Program ("ELIP")?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is Staff recommending the discontinuance of the ELIP in this case?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Why?
- A. As I stated previously in Case No. ER-2010-0130, the *Third Stipulation and Agreement as to Certain Issues* entered into in Case No. ER-2008-0093 provided that the existing ELIP will continue until the new rates are implemented in the Iatan 2 rate case as specified in the Regulatory Plan Stipulation and Agreement, Case No. EO-2005-0263.

Rebuttal Testimony of Carol Gay Fred

Q. Was there any other consideration to your recommendation to discontinue the ELIP program?

A. Yes, I reviewed the final version of the third party evaluation on the ELIP, which suggested that arrearage levels increase for all ELIP participants when they no longer participate in the program. It also suggests that ELIP participants' arrearage levels increase beyond the level they carried prior to their participation in the ELIP program. Therefore, I believe the program is a subsidy to the customer that participates.

Q. What would be Staff's recommendation for any shareholder's unspent dollars associated with the ELIP program?

A. Staff would recommend that any shareholder's unspent dollars from the ELIP program be used in the Company's low income weatherization programs.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of The Empire I Company of Joplin, Missouri File Tariffs Increasing Rates Provided to Customers in the Area of the Company	for Authority to for Electric Service	) ) ) )	Case No. ER-2011-0004
<u>AFF</u>	IDAVIT OF CARC	L GAY	'FRED
STATE OF MISSOURI	) ) ss )		
preparation of the following of <u>A</u> pages of Rebuttal Ten the following Rebuttal Te	Rebuttal Testimony Testimony to be present Testimony were given	in quest ented in by her;	that she has participated in the ion and answer form, consisting the above case, that the answers that she has knowledge of the ers are true to the best of her
	· <u>(</u>	au	L Hay Fred. Carol Gay Fred
Subscribed and sworn to before	ore me this 7th day	y of Apr	vila Suelers Notary Public
,		<u></u>	

CARLA K. SCHNIEDERS Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: August 25, 2012 Commission Number: 08533187