

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

2

1

3 In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to

Service Commission) Case No. GR-2004-0209

5

Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area

CRIGINAL

6

7

8

9

THE DEPOSITION OF JAMES OGLESBY, produced, sworn and examined on behalf of the Missouri Public Service Commission, pursuant to Notice, between the hours of eight o'clock in the forenoon and six o'clock in the afternoon of Friday, April 23, 2004, at Missouri Gas Energy, 3420 Broadway, in the City of

Kansas City, in the County of Jackson and State of

10

Missouri, before me,

11

SHEILA A. KOETTING, C.C.R. of

12

JOHN M. BOWEN & ASSOCIATES

13

14

15

a Notary Public, in a certain cause now pending Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri, In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

16

17

Appearances

18

For Missouri Public Service

Commission:

Economic

Development:

Mr. Robert Franson Senior Counsel

19

Missouri Public Service Commission

20

P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

21 22

For Office of Department of

Mr. Douglas E. Micheel the Public Counsel, Senior Public Counsel

Department of Economic Development Governor Office Building

23

200 Madison Street

24

Suite 650

Post Office Box 2230

25

Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

	exhibit	s. 8	49
Qate	Case	No. G	R-2004-026
Reporter	270-keya		

JOHN M. BOWEN & ASSOCIATES, COURT REPORTERS 1930 Commerce Tower, 911 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64105

Kansas Missouri 913-894-8800

Toll Free 1*888*352*1212

Fax 816+421+2482

bowen@johnmbowen.com

1	Appearances (Cont'd)
2	For MGE: Mr. Robert J. Hack Vice President, Pricing &
3	Regulatory Affairs Missouri Gas Energy
4	3420 Broadway Kansas City, Missouri 64111
5	Also Present: Mr. Michael R. Noack
6	Manager, Pricing & Regulatory Affairs
7	Missouri Gas Energy 3420 Broadway
8	Kansas City, Missouri 64111
9	Mr. Mark L. Oligschlaeger Utility Regulatory Auditor
10	Department of Economic Development Governor Office Building
11	200 Madison Street Suite 400
12	Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 64102
13	
14	INDEX
15 16	James Oglesby Page
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Franson 3
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Micheel 84
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Hack 157
20	
21	EXHIBITS
22	No. Description Page
23	1 Missouri Gas Energy Abandoned Call Rates chart
24	2 Missouri Gas Energy Average Speed of Answer chart42
25	42

JAMES OGLESBY, 1 2 a Witness, of lawful age, being produced, sworn and 3 examined on behalf of the Missouri Public Service Commission, deposeth and saith: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 By MR. FRANSON: 7 Mr. Oglesby, my name is Robert Franson. I am an 8 attorney representing the Missouri Public Service Commission staff. I need to ask you, have you 9 ever had your deposition taken before? 10 11 Α Yes, I have. 12 So you are generally familiar with the way it 13 works? 14 Α Generally, yes. 15 Okay. A couple things I would like to tell you. 16 Number one, I will be asking you questions. It is 17 possible that during that process I might ask you 18 a question that you don't understand or you need 19 repeated or need clarified. Will you tell me that if that is the case? 20 Yes, I will. 21 A 22 Q Now, the other thing is, as we go along, Mr. Hack 23 may make an objection. And during that time, Mr. Hack and myself and maybe Mr. Micheel will 24 25 need to make a record, but at some point, I will

probably tell you to answer the question and you 1 2 will need to do that unless Mr. Hack specifically 3 tells you otherwise. 4 Α Okay. 5 Okay. Would you just state your name, please? 6 Α James Oglesby. 7 And what is your current position? 8 Α I am the president and chief operating officer of 9 Missouri Gas Energy. 10 Q And how long have you been with Missouri Gas 11 Energy? 12 Α I have been with the company since 1968. 13 Thirty-five years. 14 Q And how long have you been in your current 15 position? 16 A little over two years, two years in September. Α 17 Q Now, as part of the rate case, I believe you 18 prepared some testimony, is that correct? 19 Yes, I did. Α And most of this information is set out in Pages 1 20 0 21 through 2 of your testimony, is that correct? 22 Α I believe that is -- I believe that is 23 correct. 24 Okay. Do you have -- have you seen in your

testimony any corrections or changes you need

1		make?
2	A	I don't believe so.
3	Q	Now, as part of this deposition, did you review
4	<u> </u>	any documents before you came in here today?
5	A	Only my testimony.
6	Q	Didn't review anything else?
7	A	Well, I did review a couple of data requests.
8	Q	Okay. And which data requests were those?
9	A	Oh, gosh, I couldn't tell you right now, it was
10		like three or four of them.
11	Q	Did you review any of the testimony filed by MGE
12	 	in this case or any testimony of staff or public
13		counsel or anyone else?
14	A	I reviewed a little bit of testimony of Mike
15		Noack.
16	Q	Any others that come to mind?
17	A	No, I think that's it.
18	Q	Other than maybe talking to Mr. Hack, did you talk
19		to anybody else in preparation for your
20		deposition?
21	A	Yes, Jim Swearengen.
22	Q	Other than your attorneys, did you speak to anyone
23		else?
24	A	No, I did not.
25	Q	Now, as part of this rate case, there was a

l'	Ĭ	
1	<u>.</u>	decision made to do that. Who made that ultimate
2	<u> </u>	decision?
3	A	I did.
4	Q	And how did you arrive at the decision that a rate
5		case was, in fact, needed?
6	A	Based on information and analysis that had been
7		done by Mike Noack and Rob Hack.
8	Q	And what was your primary reason for filing a rate
9		increase?
10	A	To improve the revenues as they are generally
11	i 	associated with MGE.
12	Q	Okay. And you are familiar with all of the
13		testimony prepared by and filed by MGE witnesses?
14	A	I would say that I am not familiar with all of it,
15		no.
16	Q	But at some point, have you reviewed it?
17	A	All of it? No.
18	Q	Now, as part of your process in making this
19		decision that you would file a rate case, once
20		you'd made it, some decisions were made that
21		certain witnesses would be needed, is that
22	7	correct?
23	A	I would believe that would be correct, yes.
24	Q	Who would have made decisions, for instance, to

hire Mr. Quain, who would have made that decision?

That decision was made really between Rob Hack and 1 Α 2 myself. Would that be true of other witnesses, such as 3 Q Mr. Dunn? 4 I would believe that would be the case, yes. 5 Α 6 Now, specifically about Mr. Quain, what is it that 7 you believe he brings to this case on behalf of 8 MGE? 9 I believe John brings an excellent background and Α 10 knowledge on the regular -- the regulatory process 11 and the intimate workings of how to proceed with a rate case, and how, you know, what to document, 12 13 what not to document, that kind of thing. 14 And his testimony, as it is presented, do you think it adds to MGE's case as far as educating 15 16 the triers of fact, in this case, the Public Service Commission? 17 18 Α I have not read John Quain's testimony. 19 So you don't know whether it serves the purpose 20 that he was hired for or not? 21 At this point, no. Α 22 Q And do you think it's important that you should 23 know such a thing prior to the time that his 24 testimony was actually filed?

I believe that I will at the appropriate time,

25

Α

yeah, read it, yeah. I have not read it yet. 1 2 Well, I guess what I am asking you is this. 3 Mr. Quain's testimony has been filed, been prefiled, you are aware of that? 4 Um-hum. 5 A 6 0 And you are also aware that all the other parties 7 to the case actually have access to it? Um-hum. Yes. 8 Α 9 But you don't know at this point in time whether 10 or not Mr. Quain's testimony serves the purpose 11 that you want it to serve? 12 Α No. 13 0 What about Mr. Dunn's testimony, have you reviewed that at this time? 14 15 No, I have not. A 16 Q So at this point, you don't know whether 17 Mr. Dunn's testimony serves the purpose that you 18 desire it to serve? 19 A No, I do not. 20 What's the subject matter of Mr. Dunn's testimony? 21 Mr. Dunn -- I don't know. Α 22 Q Okay. Do you have your testimony in front of you? 23 Α Um-hum. 24 Now, when I say your testimony, I am referring to Q

your direct testimony prepared in November of

2003. 1 2 Um-hum. Α And, in fact, filed in this case with the Missouri 3 Public Service Commission? 4 5 Α Um-hum. Would you look at beginning at Page 2, Line 18 6 7 and running through Page 3, Line 2, would you review that, please? 8 9 Um-hum. Between 17 and --Α 10 Actually, between Page 2, Line 18 and Page 3, 0 Line 2. 11 12 Α Okay. 13 And when you -- well, when you prepared this testimony, you're talking about your fundamental 14 15 business strategy. And you're talking about being 16 a low cost provider of quality service. 17 specific goals does MGE have in implementing this 18 strategy? 19 Α Well, our fundamental business strategy is to be 20 the low cost provider of quality customer service 21 and we strive to keep our rates as low as 22 possible. We have lot of things that we have done

23

24

25

to improve the efficiency of our operation.

work very diligently, especially in our phone

center, to improve customer service down there.

The last few months have been rather difficult because for some reason the volume of calls are way up. Could be because of the current, you know, natural gas issues in the United States. But because of that, we have implemented several pieces of technology to continue to improve our customer service. So we're continually spending money to do the things

that it requires to improve our customer service,

keep us a low cost provider.

An example of one of the things that we have done to help maintain our low cost is the ITRON system, I am sure you're familiar with, which is an automatic meter reading system.

Basically, it has eliminated estimated meters, which is good for the customers and also the system itself, we are able to operate with a lot fewer employees than we had as meter readers. And meter readers notoriously were subject to all kinds of injury and whatnot.

So we have tried to use technology where possible. We look at the best ways to provide good service to our customers. We spend a lot of time communicating with our employees about those issues. We spend -- actually, Paul Snider, who

works for us, right now is heading up a task force and is spending quite a bit of his time on that task force. I have asked him to look into how best can we make Missouri Gas Energy easier to do business with.

So I think all of those come back to the core business strategy that we want to be the low cost provider. And I think if you look at rates across the state with other utility companies, that our rates are low. I think that efficiency of our operation has greatly improved over the last three to four years with things like ITRON, some of the technology that we've put in place in the phone center. So -- several examples, I mean, there's just a few of the many examples that we have done to try to maintain low cost, yet still continue to provide good customer service.

- Q Now, you mentioned Paul Snider. Who is Paul Snider?
- A Paul Snider works for MGE.
- Q And what does he do for MGE?
- A He is -- basically, he is the media person. He is our on-camera person. You know, when we have any issues that require contact with the newspaper, the television stations, radio stations, he is

Email

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that person. He also provides some liaison work for us in Jeff City as it relates to legislative issues down there. So keeps me informed of what's going on down there.

- Q Now, I believe you stated that you asked him to look into making MGE easier to do business with.
 Do you remember saying that?
- A Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q What do you mean by that?
- A Well, I mean by that I've asked him to form a task force, which he has done, to look at some of our processes that we have as it relates to how customers contact us. And once they contact us, get it to a one-contact type source. In other words, I don't want a customer calling and having to go through three or four people to get the answer they want. They should be able to call in, make one phone call and be able to get, you know, the information or the answer or get to the right person. So he has formed that task force.
 - Q And so he's formed that. Has he --
- 22 | A Yes.
 - Q Is it -- has he given you any other information about his progress or is he just really starting the process?

- 1 Α He just -- well, he's been working on it some 2 time. We worked on looking at our public business offices and how they operate and how can we make 3 4 them more customer friendly. So he's been working on it quite a while. 5 6 Let me --Q That was where he first started, that was the 7 Α 8 first project he started. 9 And how has he done on that project? 10 Α He's done very well. He gave us some good 11 information. And we've already made some changes 12 to hopefully improve some of the processes, PBO's. 13 What are some those changes that you've made? 14 Α Off the top of my head, I can't really -- I 15 couldn't give you detail right off the top of my 16 I will be happy to supply them to you, but 17 I can't tell you off the top of my head what those 18 would be. 19
 - Q Let me go back a moment. I was asking you about some of your witnesses earlier. Mr. Carlton Ricketts, do you know who he is?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q And have you reviewed his testimony?
- 24 A Um-hum.

20

21

22

23

25 | Q And did you review that prior to the time that it

was actually filed in November of 2003? 1 Yes, I did. Yes, I did. 2 3 And did his testimony that was filed meet with 4 your approval? Yes, it did. 5 Α 6 And you knew the contents of it? 7 Yes, I did. 8 Q What about Mr. Noack's testimony, did you review 9 it before it was filed? I reviewed it, yes, I did. 10 Α And did it meet with your approval? 11 12 Yes, it did. Α And what about Mr. Cummings, did you review his 13 14 testimony? 15 Α Jay Cummings? 16 Yes. Q Yes, I did. 17 Α 18 Q And you did that --19 Α On revenue? Yes. 20 Before it was filed? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And it met with your approval? 23 Α Yes. 24 Now, on Page 3 of your testimony, directing your Q 25 attention -- actually, this is the whole area from

Line 4 through Line 19 on Page 3. But if you'd read over that, but in particular, I would like to ask you about the part down at Lines 17 through 19 if you would tell me when you are ready, when you 4 have had a chance to review that. 5 6 Α Um-hum. Okay. 7 Okay. Now, that states that, "I believe that shareholders are not likely to be satisfied if 8 9 customers are not pleased. And the customers are 10 not likely to be satisfied if employees are not satisfied. So, therefore, MGE places heavy 11 12 emphasis on employee and customer satisfaction." 13 Um-hum. 14

- In regard to shareholders and employees, you seem to use satisfied and you want customers to be pleased. Is there any particular reason for that?
- Α No, not really. I want them all to be satisfied. I think employees, customers, and shareholders are our prime constituents and they all should be very -- very satisfied with our financial performance and our customer service.
- Q Okay. Now, I believe I asked you earlier why you filed this rate case, you've stated that. Now, is it true that you filed this to satisfy shareholders?

1

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	A	I think that it's very important I think the
2		financial condition of the company is very
3		important for all those three groups that we just
4		talked about, the customers, the employees, and
5		the shareholders. They all have a part in it. So
6		the rate case was filed because if MGE is
7		financially secure, then that is important to all
8		those groups. If we don't have the financial
9		wherewithal to provide the customer service, to do
10		some of the things that I said earlier, as it
11		relates to technology, then that doesn't didn't
12		help us provide customer satisfaction. In order
13		to be able to acquire the money to do those
14		things, we have to return a reasonable rate of
15		return to the shareholders because they will not
16		invest the money in the company that we need to do
17	 	the things that are important to both the
18		employees, the customers, and the shareholders.
19	Q	Okay. My specific question is, was this the
20		filing of this rate case a demonstration by MGE of
21		their efforts to please shareholders?
22	 	MR. HACK: I guess I'll just object and
23		say he's answered the question. But you can go
24		ahead and answer.

I -- I don't know any other way to answer it other

25

Α

1 than the filing of the rate case was in the best 2 interest of all the parties involved, including the customers, the shareholders, and the 3 employees, because the company has to have the 4 financial wherewithal to do -- to operate. 5 6 0 (By Mr. Franson) Could you turn to Page 9 of your testimony beginning at Line 15 and read to Line 7 8 20, please? Fifteen? 9 Α 10 Yes, sir. 0 11 Α Okay. 12 Now, therein, isn't it true specifically at Lines 13 -- on Page 9, Lines 19 through 20 that you cite 14 this specific rate case and the filing of it as an example of the emphasis you place on shareholder 15 16 satisfaction? 17 I think that that is another example, it's not the Α 18 sole example. It's another example of the 19 importance of filing a rate case. I don't say 20 that is the only example. 21 Okay. But isn't it true as you read from Page 9, Q 22 Line 15 to Page 10, Line 3, that toward the end of 23 that question, that you -- only at the end do you 24 get to the question of sufficient earnings?

25

Α

But it all -- it all ties -- you know, to me,

that's kind of semantics because it all ties together. Without sufficient earnings, we are in no position to provide our employees and our customers with -- the employees with a job, our customers with good customer service, and the shareholders have to have a return because they are going to invest the money in the company that allows us to do the things that we need to do.

I think that is all -- I don't think you can take that apart and dissect it. I think it is all one issue. We have to have -- we have to have sufficient earnings in order to do all of that and provide -- provide for our employees, which is very important because, without the employees, we don't provide the customer service. Without the customer -- without the employees providing good customer service, the shareholders sure are not going to be happy.

So I think -- I think it all ties together and I think it's also very important that the customers and employees have to have a certain ability to do things and with that comes a lot of shareholder value.

Q Now, isn't it true that MGE is, in fact, a division of Southern Union?

JOHN M. BOWEN & ASSOCIATES, COURT REPORTERS

1930 Commerce Tower, 911 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64105 Missouri Kansas

Toil Free

816-421-2482

bowen@johnmbowen.com

Email

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α Yes. 1 And Southern Union has other divisions and I 2 believe at least one subsidiary? 3 Yes. 4 Α So it is not possible for someone to buy stock in 5 Missouri Gas Energy, they, in fact, buy Southern 6 7 Union stock, isn't that true? Yeah, that is true. Α 8 So let's talk about shareholder satisfaction a 9 little bit. Besides this rate case, how do you 10 11 communicate with your shareholders about what management is doing and how the business is going? 12 I provide a quarterly report about MGE to the 13 board of directors that indicates, basically, how 14 15 the business is going, both -- you know, and in 16 that report is the financials as well as any other 17 issues we have. Maybe an incident is included in 18 The statistics from downstairs, the ACRSA statistics are included in that report. So that 19 20 is communicated on a quarterly basis to the board 21 of directors. 22

And on every Monday morning at nine o'clock central time, we have a phone -- I have a phone conference with the executive vice presidents of Southern Union, along with the

23

24

presidents of the other operating areas. And we talk about, you know, how we're doing financially, what the through-put -- especially in the wintertime, what the through-put for the previous week or previous day was. Any major issues or concerns that we have that we need to be resolved.

An example for you of that is when we -when we realized that the number of phone calls we
were having in the phone center was far exceeding
anything we'd ever seen in the past, on one of the
Monday morning calls, I asked the CFO, I said I
need X number of dollars because I need to upgrade
some technology to help us with that. So as part
of that conversation was that issue.

And it's rather difficult sometimes for me at Missouri Gas Energy to acquire capital to do projects like that because the issue always comes why should the shareholders provide you with \$225,000 and get a 6 percent return when we can put that money somewhere else. Then it becomes an issue where I have to really work diligently to put my story together so that I can sell it. And in this particular case, I did sell it, I got the money. And as of yesterday, the equipment was operating. So...

And then every quarter, I think this is 1 answering your question, every quarter, I also am 2 invited to a face-to-face meeting with Tom Karam. 3 And who --4 And the executive vice presidents. 5 Α Who is Tom Karam? 6 7 He is the chief -- president and chief operating Α 8 officer at Southern Union. 9 Let me -- if you've finished your answer? 10 I'm happy if you are. Α 11 Let me ask you about the rate-making Okay. 12 process. Do you believe that rates must be 13 sufficient to guarantee sufficient compensation to shareholders? 14 15 Α Say that again. 16 0 Do you believe that rates paid by customers must 17 be sufficient to guarantee sufficient compensation 18 to shareholders? 19 I believe the rates -- I believe the ability of Α 20 the company using rates to earn a reasonable rate 21 of return is important. Again, to all entities, 22 shareholders, employees, customers. 23 Q Do you believe rates should be sufficient to 24 guarantee adequate compensation to shareholders or

should it be enough that they have that

opportunity? 1 2 Α I believe that we should be given every reasonable opportunity to earn our authorized rate of return, 3 which would include providing all three entities, 4 again, the right -- the right satisfaction. 5 6 Q Okay. Can I direct your attention to Page 7, 7 beginning at Line 4? And I believe this runs to the -- actually, it goes into Page 8, Line 7. 8 9 you could review that again. 10 Α Say that again, Page 8? 11 Yeah, it ends at Page 8, Line 4. It begins at Page 7, Line 4 through Page 8, Line 7. 12 13 Α Okay. 14 Okay. On this, you relied on some analysis done 15 by Mr. Noack, is that correct? 16 Α Yes, I did. And did you talk to Mr. Noack or learn from his 17 18 testimony, either one or both, where this 19 information came from that he used in his 20 comparison? 21 I took the information he provided Α No, I did not. 22 me and I believe I have every reasonable 23 expectation to believe that how he came up with 24 this analysis to be accurate. 25 Well, I am not asking that. You didn't do any --Q

i i		
1		you didn't gain an understanding of where
2		Mr. Noack got this specific information in order
3		to do his comparison?
4	A	No, I did not.
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	I assume actually, I assumed I assumed that
7		he got it from the Missouri Public Service
8		Commission.
9	Q	Okay. But other than that assumption
10	A	I didn't ask him.
11	Q	you didn't ask him?
12	A	I did not, no.
13	Q	But you have all full faith and confidence in
14		Mr. Noack's work?
15	A	Absolutely.
16	Q	Now, I want to talk to you a little bit about your
17		collection process.
18	A	Okay.
19	Q	Is it fair to say that Missouri Gas Energy is
20		aggressive, within the bounds of your tariffs and
21		the law, as far as trying to collect amounts of
22		money owed by customers?
23	A	Based on the fact that in every year that I am
24		aware of the amount of uncollectibles out there
	11	

always exceeds our ability to recover in rates, I

would have to say that we try to be as aggressive as possible within the tariffs to collect that money to try to get to the point where the amount of money that we actually end up writing off is nominal.

- Okay. Now, as part of that, is it fair to say that sometimes a customer might feel that they have a particular grievance because of the particular facts of their situation? Let me give an -- let me ask you, what is MGE policy on the following situation? A landlord owns a building with eight units in it. Each of the eight units are individually metered. And one of the tenants decides -- just runs up a debt, you shut them off. Besides -- and the customer in that specific unit was the tenant. Besides that tenant, and using all efforts you might use to try and collect that debt from the tenant, do you look to anybody else to pay that debt?
- You know, I'd have to refer to Kim Lambert, the person that does our collections, but I would -if the actual person owes the debt, is what you are saying?
- Q Yes. And the actual customer was the tenant in this particular unit that was individually

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

metered? 1 2 I would think that if the particular customer owes the debt, then that particular customer is who we 3 would look to collect from. 4 Would you look to the landlord for any reason that 5 Q 6 you can think of? 7 Again, I would have to refer to Kim Lambert, who Α 8 is the person that does the collections for that. 9 I mean --10 Well, have you ever --11 I don't have any personal knowledge of that. Α 12 You've never discussed with Kim Lambert or anyone 13 else whether -- about MGE policy of seeking 14 payment from landlords when a tenant does not pay 15 a bill? 16 I am sure I have had that discussion with Kim. Α 17 But, you know, to be truthful, do I remember those discussions? No, I do not. 18 19 You don't remember making a decision about any Q 20 specific policy? 21 Α Well, I've made decisions on specific policies, 22 but what you are asking me is about a specific 23 case and I don't have any idea what that would 24 be --25 Q No, actually, I'm not trying to ask you about a

specific case, I am trying to ask about a specific policy. Does MGE, with your knowledge and approval, have a policy that when a tenant does not pay the bill, they may seek payment from a landlord?

MR. HACK: And I am going to object and say it has been asked at least a couple of times and answered to the best of Mr. Oglesby's ability a couple of times. You can answer again, but...

- A I don't -- you know, I don't -- I am not aware of the policy if there -- but there could be one and wouldn't necessarily mean that I can remember it, you know, at this moment. I might remember it when I -- later on, but right at this point, I do not remember that policy. I would expect that if a customer, a particular customer, owes us a bill, then we would look at that particular customer to recover that bill.
- Q (By Mr. Franson) Are you familiar with the definition of customer in your tariffs?
- A Off the top of my head, I couldn't quote it to you, no.
- Q Okay. Now, let me direct your attention to Page 16, Line 14 through Page 17, Line 9.
- A Okay. Page 16, Line --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Line 14 and ending at Page 17, Line 9.
- 2 A Okay.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Now, could you take the opportunity to review that, please?
- 5 A Okay.
- 6 | Q Please tell me when you're finished.
- 7 | A Okay.
- 8 | Q You have had an opportunity to review that?
- 9 A Yes, I have.
 - Q Okay. You talk about several things, but what I'd like to ask you about there is on Page 17, Lines 1 through 3. You state that you want the Commission to reconsider whether all of its, and then you've got in quotation marks, traditional disallowances make sense in today's environment. What specific tradition disallowances are you talking about there?
 - A I think there is a lot -- I think it's in my testimony somewhere. Some disallowances for billing improvement costs, disallowance of imprudent gas supply expenditures, and things like that. I think that it is my perception that -- and an example is the disallowance for some of the customer contacts that we -- that we use to deal with agencies, deal with government, local

governments. Some of our employees that deal in area of agencies and those type of areas.

Because I think that, looking back, it's my perception that we get disallowances in rate cases sometimes that are traditional disallowances that go back for years. And I think what I am saying there is I think we -- my staff and the Commission staff needs to sit down and talk about those disallowances and really have a conversation around whether those really, in today's business environment, should be disallowed or not.

When you look at disallowances as it relates to dealing with legislative areas or government areas or anything that has impact on our customers, it doesn't seem fair to disallow that when it's in the best interest of everybody.

- Q Okay. Let me ask you, one example there would be charitable donations?
- A Um-hum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Is that one area that you're talking about that you think needs to be reevaluated?
- A I think it is -- I think there needs to be a conversation around it, and I would think there are some charitable contributions out there that might need to be included in the rates, maybe not

Ι

all. I think, again, it's an issue that we need 1 to sit down and we need to talk about. 2 Have you prior to the time that you filed this 3 rate case initiated such a discussion with the 4 Commission staff or the Office of Public Counsel 5 6 or anyone else? Not to my knowledge. I would assume that we would 7 have had those conversations. I would have to 8 refer to Rob Hack, who is the regulatory person 9 10 that generally has most of those conversations. Let me ask you for some examples of, for instance, 11 12 charitable contributions you think should be recovered in rates? 13 Well, I think one of them is -- that is very 14 Α important in Kansas City is the March of Dimes. 15 16 think that we provide not necessarily financial support, but we provide a lot of people for the 17 18 March of Dimes. It seems to me they usually have 19 the big March of Dimes walk on a weekend. But we provide -- we provide some equipment, you know, we 20 21 help them in every way we can. 22 I think the March of Dimes is a very, 23 very important charity. I think most people would

24

25

agree with that. And we don't -- because of our

ability to earn a reasonable rate of return in the

last few years, we really cut back on what we do as it relates to community involvement, we can't afford to do it anymore. We don't earn the kind of moneys it requires to be in a lot of charitable areas and do some of the things that some of the other utilities, like KCP&L and the others are involved in. So there is only a few areas that are considered charitable or that are considered that, you know, Chambers of Commerce is not charitable, but we have to be involved in some Chambers of Commerce. But again, I would have to single out March of Dimes as one that I feel very, very serious about.

- Now, how is it that donating to the March of Dimes 0 benefits ratepayers?
- Α I think that it's in the best interest of everybody, the March of Dimes is an area that -particularly as it relates to children, and as a viable business in the community, I think that if we are going to be -- we should be a good corporate citizen as well as -- as just doing the business day-to-day. And being a good corporate citizens means that we should be involved in some things in the community and I think that is -- I think being a good corporate citizen is important

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to our ratepayers. 1 2 Can we have just a minute? I need to get out a couple things to go to our next area. 3 Okay. Mr. Oglesby, if you could turn to 4 Page 5, Lines 10 through 15 of your testimony. Of 5 you could review that, please. Actually, I would 6 7 direct your attention from Page 5, Line 4 through 8 Page 5, Line 21. From Line 5, you say? 9 Α 10 Line 4, actually, through Line 21. There is a question and answer there, that whole thing I want 11 12 to ask you to review. Please tell me when you have reviewed that. 13 14 Okay. Α Okay. Specifically, beginning at Line 10 into 15 Line 11, you talk about -- you cite the testimony 16 17 of MGE witness Carlton Ricketts that MGE has 18 achieved and generally maintained high quality customer service performance levels. Can you 19 20 elaborate on that, please? 21 That is -- that is relating to the ASA and the ACR Α 22 as it relate to the ability of customers to get 23 into our phone center.

Now, as -- so that -- the ASA, what is that?

Average speed of answer.

24

25

Q

Α

You used another acronym, was it ACR? 1 Q Α 2 Yes. And what is that? 3 0 Α That is the... 4 Abandoned call rate? 5 Q Yeah, abandoned call rate, yeah. 6 Α 7 Do you believe that those are important ways to Q 8 measure customer service performance? 9 Α Yes, I do. 10 Can you think of other ways to measure customer 11 performance? As it relates to the phone center or as it relates 12 Α 13 to --Well, let's start with the phone center. 14 O relates to the phone center? 15 16 I think that those are probably two of the key Α 17 ones, mainly because they are easy to track, easy 18 to manage. But there's others, you know, the courtesy of the phone reps, the training of the 19 20 phone reps is all very important. The way that 21 the customer service reps actually take care of 22 the customers is very important. 23 Isn't it true that if you are looking at results of whether customers when they have a specific 2.4

reason that they call in to the call center that

ASA and ACR wouldn't actually measure whether the 1 customer got the result the customer needed or the 2 information the customer wanted? 3 That is true. 4 Α 5 How do you measure that? Q That is measured -- we do some customer 6 Α satisfaction surveys that we send out. And then 7 in some way we measure it by complaints that is 8 9 received both by Missouri Public Service 10 Commission and by our own offices. 11 And do you also ever have supervisors in the call 0 center monitor some of the calls? 12 Α 13 Yes, we do. 14 And would that be a measure, also? Yes, it would. 15 Α Now, I want to direct your attention to three 16 17 areas, specifically, Page 5, where I believe might 18 still be Lines 10 through 14, actually, and you 19 talk about high quality customer service 20 performance levels and cite the testimony of 21 Mr. Ricketts. Do you see that? 22 Um-hum. Α 23 Could you then go over to Page 8, and starting at

24

25

Line 9, and then you talk about your -- MGE's

commitment to achieving customer service

performance levels and you -- you explain some

history there. And that goes on over to Page 9,

Line 13. Could you review that, please?

A Over to Page 9, what line on Page 9?

Q Line 13.

A Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Then one other place I would like to ask you to look at is Page 7, Lines 1 through 2. And if you would please tell me when you are done with that.

A Okay.

Q Okay. Have you had an opportunity to review those?

A Um-hum. Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you. On Page 7, Lines 1 through 2, you describe your customer satisfaction efforts as good. On Page 5, Lines 10 through 15, you stated that you have achieved and generally maintained high quality customer service performance levels, and then yet over on Page 8, Line 9 through -- I'm sorry, Page 8, Line 9 through Page 9, Line 13, you seemingly qualify it with rather substantial difficulties that you've had in the last several years. What is your overall belief about the level of MGE's customer service efforts?

1		
1	A	I think overall customer service efforts as it
2	3	relates to this area has been very good. Like I
3		indicated earlier, we have been doing everything
4		we possibly can to maintain the a good customer
5		service level. We've hired employees in the phone
6		center, we've added we spent a half a million
7		dollars over the last 12 months in new technology
8		down there to to maintain a good balance as it
9		relates to the ACR and ASA numbers and the quality
10		of service customer which we provide down there.
11		So I would say it is very good. I am very pleased
12		with it at this point.
13	Q	Now, we talked about ACR, which I believe is
14		abandoned call rate. Do you remember that?
15	A	Yes.
	ll .	

16

17

18

20

- And I believe you stated that you believe the abandoned call rate is one good measure of your level of customer service, is that correct?
- 19 Α Yes.
 - Let me ask you, what exactly is the abandoned call rate?
- The abandoned call rate is -- you mean what it is 22 Α 23 is?
- 24 Q Yeah. What that thing is, yes?
- 25 That is the percentage of people that call in to Α

talk to a customer (sic) that end up not talking 1 2 to anyone, hanging up and going away. Let me ask you if you would agree with the 3 4 following definition. And I will tell you where I am reading from. And I will be happy to show it 5 It's the direct testimony of Deborah Ann 6 to you. 7 Bernsen, Page 4 -- Page 4, Line 6 through Line 10. 8 Actually, I am going to ask you if you would read 9 that. 10 Α Page 4 --11 Line 6 through Line 10. If you would actually 12 read --13 Α "Please define these two indicators, ACR's used to 14 measure" --15 MR. HACK: Did you want him to read it 16 into the record or just read it to himself, 17 Robert? 18 MR. FRANSON: Read it into the record 19 about the ACR. 20 Α "The ACR is used to measure the number of customer 21 calls that are abandoned by the customer prior to 22 being handled by a customer representative. 23 number is a percentage and is a total number of incoming calls divided by the total number of 24

25

abandoned calls."

1	Q	(By Mr. Franson) Do you agree with that
2		definition?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Thank you. I need to ask you I need to also
5		hand you and have marked as an exhibit the
6		following.
7		(A short break is taken, Oglesby
8		Deposition Exhibit Number 1 is marked for
9		identification, and the following further
10		proceedings are had.)
11	Q	(By Mr. Franson) Mr. Oglesby, I've handed you
12		what has been marked as Exhibit 1. And what that
13		is is actually Schedule 2 from the direct
14		testimony of staff witness Deborah Ann Bernsen.
15		That's B-e-r-n-s-e-n. And could you review that,
16		please?
17	A	Um-hum.
18	Q	Please tell me when you have had an opportunity to
19		do that.
20	A	Okay.
21	Q	What does that appear to be?
22	A	It is a chart that tracks Missouri Gas Energy
23		abandoned call rate for years '01, '02, and '03.
24	Q	Do you know Deborah Ann Bernsen?

25

Α

No, I don't think so.

1	Q	Well, she is a staff witness. Would you have any
2		reason to doubt that this is, in fact, an accurate
3		tracking of information she received from Missouri
4		Gas Energy?
5	A	I would have no reason to doubt that.
6	Q	Okay. And you see how these Exhibit 1 has
7		specific lines, the blue line do you see that?
8	A	Um-hum.
9	Q	I believe it's labeled Target. Then you've got
10		2001 in a pink color, 2002 in a yellow, and 2003
11		in what appears to be a green. Do you see that?
12	A	Um-hum.
13	Q	Now, let's talk about the target. Do you know if
14		in prior Commission cases or prior stipulations
15		and agreement whether MGE has agreed to have a
16		certain target for the abandoned call rate?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Do you know what that percentage is?
19	A	I think it is 8-1/2 percent. I think.
20	Q	Is it possible it is 7-1/2 percent?
21	A	I guess it is possible. I didn't think it was.
22	Q	Okay. If it's 8-1/2 percent as a target, is it
23		possible that came out of a specific case before
24		the Public Service Commission, that being, I

believe, GM-2003-0238, which was the situation --

1		the case in which Southern Union received
2		Commission approval to purchase Panhandle
3		Pipeline?
4	A	I don't know.
5	Q	Okay. But you understand there is a target, you
6		believe it's 8-1/2 percent
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	abandoned call rate? In 2001 and 2002, is it
9		fair to say that especially 2002 was calendar
10		year was a pretty good year for you?
11	A	Excellent, yes.
12	Q	2001 wasn't bad either?
13	A	Right.
14	Q	But let's look at 2003. Is it fair to say that in
15		October, November, going into December, that the
16		abandoned call rate got very high?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Prior to this deposition today, were you familiar
19	1	with that?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you know the reason for the abandoned call rate
22		getting so high?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	What is that reason?
25	A	The number of calls coming into our call center

from -- for some unexplained reason started to 1 2 elevate drastically over that time period. some cases, twice as many calls coming in a daily 3 period that had been coming in previously. 4 looked and tried to analyze the reasons for that. 5 Some of that probably has an impact based on what 6 7 was going in the United States as it relates to public awareness of natural gas issues, rates, and 8 9 deliverability. However, we contacted several of 10 the other utilities and everybody was seeing that 11 spike in the number of calls.

We believe that in a conversation with some of the folks downstairs was -- I actually had conversations with phone reps, that a lot of it has to do with the abundant availability of cell phones.

- Q So some of these calls may actually be coming in from cell phones?
- A lot of them are coming in from cell phones and people can call us now on the move where before they had to be in an office and at home, actually, pick up a phone and call us. They no longer have to do that, which -- which a lot of what we have determined is repeat calls. People calling up, get a busy signal, hang up, hit redial.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 0 If that explains some of the volume, did you discuss with your customer service reps or anyone 2 3 else what the subject matter of these calls were? Yes, we have. 4 Α 5 What was the result of those conversations? Well, the calls -- the subject matter is varied, 6 you know, it just depends. I mean, some of them 7 8 were people wanting to not be shut off for nonpay, 9 some of them are wanting service turned on. 10 mean, just the general gamut of phone calls that 11 might come in to a natural gas utility. 12 And --13 We do have a tracking record of that. 14 Mr. Ricketts could better tell you what -- you 15 know, what the calls were than I could. 16 Let me have just a moment. Okay. So as a result of this high level of ACR in late 2003, have you 17 18 taken any specific steps to ensure that your ACR 19 does not remain that high? 20 Α Absolutely. 21 Q What are those steps? 22 Α Several. Hiring people. As I indicated earlier 23 in my testimony, we have dedicated a little over 24 half a million dollars to providing technology

that will help us with that and continuing --

continuing to analyze what the cause of it is and 1 what we need to do to get that down to our goal, 2 which we are committed to reaching that goal. 3 4 Now, given everything that you have talked about and you know about your customer service efforts, 5 6 does it justify, by itself, an increase of one-quarter point in return on equity? Do you 7 believe that it does? 8 9 I am not sure I understand the question. 10 Okay. Let's move on then. Going to have Exhibit 11 Number 2 marked. 12 (Oglesby Deposition Exhibit Number 2 is 13 marked for identification.) 14 (By Mr. Franson) Okay. What I have handed you is 15 actually Schedule 3 from the direct testimony of 16 Deborah Ann Bernsen and it has been marked as 17 Exhibit 2, the Missouri Gas Energy average speed 18 of answer. Have you had an opportunity to review that? 19 20 Α Yes, I have. 21 What does this appear to be to you? This is a chart that indicates the Missouri Gas 22 Α 23 Energy's average speed of answer. 24 Q Okay.

For the years '01, '02, and '03.

25

Α

And do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy Q 1 2 of this document? 3 No, I do not. Α Okay. Let's talk a little bit about the target. 4 Q 5 Do you know how many seconds is the target for the 6 average speed of answer? 7 I believe it is 75. Α Let's review each year on here. 8 First of all, 9 2001. That was -- how would you characterize 10 2001? 11 I would say that the average speed of answer was elevated. A lot of that had to do, I think, with 12 13 the fact it was a cold -- cold, cold fall. 14 What about 2002? How would you evaluate that 15 year? 16 Α Same way. Average speed of answer is elevated. 17 What about 2003? Absolutely, it's elevated. It tracks with the 18 Α 19 ACR. 20 Okay. On Page -- I am going to direct your 21 attention, hand you -- on Page 4, Lines 11 through 22 12 of Ms. Bernsen's testimony and ask you to read 23 that sentence into the record, please. 24 Which lines? Α

25

Q

Page 4, Lines 11 through 12.

"The ASA is the average amount of time in seconds 1 Α 2 between receiving customer's calls and having them 3 answered by customer service representatives." 4 Q Do you agree with that statement? 5 Yes, I do. Α And I believe you've also stated that the average 6 7 speed of answer, ASA, is also an important measure 8 of the level of customer service that MGE 9 provides, is that correct? 10 Α That is correct. 11 Now, in your call center, have you had problems 12 with staffing levels? I'm not sure what you mean by problems with 13 Α 14 staffing levels. 15 Q Well, have you had trouble retaining good trained people? 16 17 In any call center, it seems to be an ongoing Α 18 situation where you have people going and having 19 to hire new people. Call centers generally have a 20 high rate of, I think they call it turnover. 21 have a name for it in call centers, I'm not sure 22 what it is. So, yes. 23 Q Do you know how long it takes to train a new 24 employee in the call center?

816 • 421 • 2876

25

Α

913-894-8800

I think it takes eight weeks.

816-421-2482

bowen@johnmbowen.com

Q Okay. Let me move on a little bit here. Let's 1 2 talk a little bit about gas supply. Are you done with this? 3 Α 4 Q. Yes. If you could hand that back to the court 5 reporter, please. Thank you. Do you believe that MGE should have 6 price protection in place for natural gas 7 8 purchasing in order to protect customers? 9 Can you explain what your question means? Α 10 Well, let me try and rephrase it for you. Missouri Gas Energy currently have a plan in place 11 that governs how they make their gas purchasing 12 13 decisions? Absolutely. 14 Α 15 Okay. And do you believe an important part of 16 that plan is to provide customers with price 17 protection? 18 I believe that is a part of the gas procurement Α 19 area, yes. 20 Do you believe it's an important part of the gas Q 21 procurement area? I believe it is important, yes. 22 Α 23 Q In addition to customer protection, what other

24

25

there?

parts of the purpose of a gas purchasing plan are

Α Well, you know, the plan is rather large, so I can't quote you all the areas of the plan, however, we try to do everything we can, both in the procurement of our gas through callers and hedging and buying at a time when the gas price is 5 6 reasonable to assure that our customers have the 7 lowest -- lowest priced gas that we can possibly -- that we can possibly have for them. 8 9 We try to manage our storage capability 10 so that we put lower price gas in storage. All of 11 the things necessary to try to maintain low cost

- Difficult in today's environment. Who's currently in charge of your gas supply Q
- Α Dave Kirkland.

operations?

- And who does Mr. Kirkland report to?
- 17 He reports to Rob Hack.
- 18 And then Mr. Hack reports to you?
- 19 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

12

13

14

15

- 20 Do you believe that MGE should hedge each and 21 every month of the heating season? And by heating 22 season, I mean from November each year through 23 March of the following year?
- 24 Α I don't have a belief on it one way or the other.
- 25 I would have to refer that to Dave Kirkland.

1	Q	So when you give overall direction to the gas
2		procurement folks that work for you, Mr. Hack and
3		Mr. Kirkland, you don't discuss that or give them
4		direction on that?
5	A	Don't give them specifics. I tell them that I
6		want them to do everything possible to acquire the
7		lowest price gas that they can.
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	And to maintain that security of that.
10	Q	Let me turn your attention to another matter. As
11		part of your testimony or in part of MGE's rate
12		case, isn't it true that you are requesting the
13		Commission to give you a quarter point bump in
14		return on equity with above and beyond anything
15		they may determine to be just and reasonable on
16		the basis of management efficiency?
17		MR. HACK: I'll object to the phrasing
18		of the question because I think it
19		mischaracterizes the testimony.
20	Q	(By Mr. Franson) Okay. Let's go back a little
21		bit, step-by-step. Is MGE specifically asking for
22		a quarter point higher ROE because it is asserting
23		management higher management efficiency, is
24	 	that correct?

I believe it is important for the Commission to

25

A

recognize when a company, when a utility company, 1 2 provides initiatives that lower the cost of service, improve customer service. I believe they 3 4 should be as forthright rewarding with financial means, if that is what you are indicating, as they 5 are in providing for when -- when we do something 6 7 that doesn't appear to be in the favor of our customers, our employees, or our company. 8 9 Specifically, are you suggesting that the 10 Commission has reduced return on equity in the past because of what the Commission deemed to be 11 12 inappropriate actions by Missouri Gas Energy? 13 That is my perception. Α What are those past actions? 14 Well, I think -- you know, I can't go back and 15 16 quote them off the top of my head. I think there's some in my testimony listed. 17 18 Do you know where those are located? I think it's on Page 15 and 16, top of 16, Lines 1 Α

- 19 20 through 3.
 - And, in fact, you --Q

21

- Gas safety incidents, disallowance of some billing 22 Α improvement costs, disallowance of alleged 23 24 imprudent gas supply expenditures.
 - Let's talk about Case Number 96-285. Do you know Q

specifically what the Commission did there? 1 2 believe you cite -- and there was complaints 3 regarding gas safety incidents, disallowance of billing improvement costs. Do you know what 4 5 specifically the Commission did there that you 6 think was inappropriate? 7 Α Off the top of my head, no. 8 And same question about Case Number 98-140. Do you know -- when you say disallowance of 9 10 allegedly, in quotation marks, "imprudent," that's 11 the end of the quotation mark, gas supply 12 expenditures that -- this is at Page 16, Lines 3 through 4 of your testimony, can you elaborate on 13 14 what you're referring to there? 15 Α Specifics of that, no, I cannot at this time. 16 Do you know whether in Case Number GR-96-285 17 whether MGE appealed any aspects of that decision? 18 Do I know whether they -- say that again. Α Whether they sought court review of any aspects of 19 20 Case Number GR-96-285? 21 I do not specifically know whether they appealed Α 22 that or not, no. 23 And you don't know specifically whether Missouri 24 Gas Energy sought court review of this thing that

you are referring to here, complaints regarding

billing issues in 1996 and 1997, complaints 1 2 regarding gas safety incidents and disallowance of billing improvement costs? 3 4 Α Do I specifically know that, no. 5 And in regard to Case Number 98-140, do you know whether Missouri Gas Energy sought disallowance of 6 7 allegedly imprudent gas supply expenditures? 8 Α No, I do not. 9 So is it fair to say that you don't know, and I understand you weren't the president and CEO of 10 11 MGE at that time, you don't know whether MGE 12 sought review of those, court review of those 13 matters? 14 No. I do not specifically know the details of Α 15 that, no. 16 Do you know -- do you know what a stipulation and agreement is, Mr. Oglesby? 17 18 Vaguely. A 19 Q Okay. 20 Α I am not an attorney. 21 Q I understand that. But that wasn't my question. Oh. 22 Α 23 Q For instance, in Case Number GM-2003-0238, do you 24 know what that is?

25

Α

No.

1	Q	Okay. That was the case wherein Southern Union
2		came in and sought Missouri Public Service
3		Commission approval of your acquisition of
4		Panhandle Pipeline.
5	A	Okay.
6	Q	Okay. Are you aware that that case was settled by
7		something called a stipulation and agreement?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And I believe that was signed by Mr. Hack on
10		behalf of Southern Union?
11		MR. HACK: I'll object and just state
12		that the document will reflect whoever signed it.
13	Q	(By Mr. Franson) Okay. Do you know who signed
14		it?
15	A	No, I do not.
16	₽ Q	But your understanding is that that case was
17		settled with a comprehensive agreement among the
18		parties and the end result was you had Missouri
19		Public Service Commission approval of your
20		acquisition of Panhandle Eastern Pipeline?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. Do you know whether in Case Number
23		GR-96-285, there was an actual Commission decision
24		regarding that case or whether there was some

25

agreement among the parties to resolve matters?

1	A	No, I do not.
2	Q	So you don't know whether MGE actually agreed to
3	<u> </u>	these things that you settled that you are
4		referring to here or whether they did not?
5	A	No, I do not.
6	Q	Same question about GR-98-140, you don't know
7		whether that case resulted in a Commission
8		decision regarding these matters or whether it was
9		settled by the parties?
10	A	No, I do not.
11	Q	Your last rate case, GR-2001-292, are you familiar
12		with that case?
13	A	Somewhat.
14	Q	And at the time that that was actually being
15		litigated in 2001, were you the president of MGE?
16	A	I don't believe so.
17	Q	Okay. Do you know how that case was resolved?
18	A	That was settled, I believe
19	Q	Okay.
20	A	or there was a settlement.
21	Q	So anything in the settlement would have been
22		agreed to by MGE, presumably?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Let's go back to your comments about because
25		there you've talked about how you believe the

Commission has, I believe your words are punished 1 And what was the nature of this punishment 2 you are referring to, what specifically was it? 3 My perception is that the low rate of return that 4 Α 5 we seem to generally get in a rate case, some of that is compared back to some of the areas where 6 7 we have not done very well. And I think my comment mainly is geared toward the fact that when 8 9 we do well, when we provide excellent customer 10 service, when we do all of the things that I believe that our customers and the Commission 11 12 would like for us to do, that there should be some 13 reward for some of that. My perception, again, is that that is not the case at this time. 14 15 I'm sorry, go ahead. Q No, that's fine. 16 Α Were you finished? 17 18 Α Yes. Okay. Now, but as part of forming -- preparing 19 20 your testimony and forming your opinions, you did 21 not specifically become aware of what actually 22 happened in these prior cases, that being Case Number GR-96-285 and GR-98-140? 23 24 Α Generally aware, but not specifics.

And by specifics, I am referring to you don't know

25

Q

what it was that the Commission did in those other than a general comment you've already made, is that correct?

A That's right.

- Q Okay. Now, turning to this case, are you asserting that you believe that MGE deserves a higher ROE and what I am referring is the Commission will determine an ROE, whatever that may be, but then you want a higher ROE above and beyond that for a specific reason, is that correct?
- A I would like to see the ability of Missouri Gas
 Energy to earn whatever the authorized rate of
 return is that the Commission sets. And based
 upon that, I would like to see some way that they
 would look at improvements in our operations,
 improvements in the efficiency of our operations,
 improvement in customer service, and provide the
 financial means to actually get to the point where
 we can actually achieve our authorized rate of
 return, whatever that authorized rate of return is
 that is set by the Commission.
- Q Isn't it true that in forming -- you have over all direction and control over this rate case, is that correct?

Α Yes. 1 2 And it was ultimately your decision to file this 3 rate case? 4 Yes. Α 5 And isn't it true that in the testimony of 6 Mr. Dunn that there is a reference to a higher 7 return of equity boost of .25 percent based on, I 8 believe his terms were management efficiency. Are 9 you aware of that? 10 MR. HACK: I object at this point and state that Mr. Oglesby has already testified, I 11 believe, that he didn't read the testimony of 12 13 Mr. Dunn. (By Mr. Franson) Now, do you have a specific 14 Q belief on -- in the terms of this rate case 15 whether or not MGE should receive a higher ROE 16 based on management efficiency? 17 Α I believe that whatever the ROE is that the 18 19 Commission sets, then the process should allow us 20 a reasonable expectation to earn that rate of 21 return. 22 That's not what I am asking, Mr. Oglesby. Q 23 go back. You are aware that as part of MGE's

testimony, they are asking for -- somewhere in

that testimony, do you know that they are asking

24

1 for a specific number for an ROE? 2 Yes. Α Do you know what number is? 3 I believe it is 9 percent. Is that correct? 4 5 don't know. 6 Okay. Would you have any reason to doubt me if I 7 told you that Mr. Dunn says that number is 12 8 percent on return on equity? 9 No, I wouldn't have no reason to doubt it. 10 So is it fair to say that you have an understanding, whatever the number is, that MGE 11 12 wants a specific return on equity and they are 13 saying here's our testimony, Commission, you 14 should credit this and give us a 12 percent or 9 15 percent, whatever your ROE might be? Is that --16 do you understand that and do you agree with that? 17 I am not sure that I understand if that was a Α 18 question or a statement. 19 Q Okay. Let's go back. Is MGE asking for a 20 specific ROE as part of its case? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Whatever that number is --23 Α Yes. 24 -- there is one? 25 Α Yes.

Okay. Now, are you also asking for something 1 Q 2 above and beyond that because of a certain reason? I believe that there should be a reasonable 3 expectation that if we do all of the things that 4 improve customer service, and provide efficiencies 5 6 that actually help lower the rates, that we should 7 be rewarded for that, yes. And how should you be rewarded? 8 Q 9 In the ROE, yes. Α 10 How? With an increase of the ROE. 11 12 Okay. So whatever you are asking for in ROE, there should be an additional addition to that for 13 14 things that you've already done? 15 A Or are going to do. 16 But haven't done yet? Don't know. 17 18 Well, what is it that you're planning to do and tell the Commission that you are going to do to 19 20 earn this higher ROE? 21 A We continually look at our operation to see where 22 efficiency may be gained. Example is just what we 23 just talked about as far as technology down in the 24 call center. We are continually evaluating what 25 we need to do to improve the efficiency of our

operation in going forward. We have done a lot in 1 the past two years to improve the efficiency of 2 our operation, a great deal. And I would expect 3 that that will continue. 4 5 0 Okay. Let's turn to a different area. As part of this, I would like for you to direct your 6 7 attention to your testimony on Page 14, beginning 8 at Line 22 and through Page 15, Line 14. To what line? 9 10 Line 14 on Page 15. Please tell me when you have 11 had an opportunity to review this. 12 Okay. Α 13 Okay. I believe we have talked a little bit about traditional disallowances. But in here, you talk 14 15 about Commission -- community relations and public 16 affairs staffing. And what is your community 17 relations and public affairs division or 18 department? The folks that deal with the -- the agencies that 19 Α 20 deal with the different community issues that are 21 out there that when we go out and meet with 22 community groups as relates to high gas costs or 23 issues like that and try to help people understand 24 what they can do to lower their gas bills or to

make arrangements with agencies. We help people

1 in community relations meetings to understand how 2 to contact agencies and, once they contact them, 3 you know, how the procedures work. They make a lot of contact out in the community with all types 4 5 of groups that have -- an example is right now, 6 the ACORN group that, you know, is very active in 7 the community. 8 Q Is part of the job of the community relations and 9 public affairs -- by the way, is that the current 10 name of that unit? 11 Α I think that we just changed it and I couldn't 12 tell you what we just changed it to, though. 13 Okay. But that --14 I do think the name has changed. 15 But that unit, do they also do some of your 16 legislative activities? 17 Α They do some of the -- a small amount of the 18 legislative work, yes. 19 Q Who else in addition to community relations and 20 public affairs does your legislative work? 21 Α That is pretty well it, I think. That -- the 22 people in that department. 23 Q So, in fact --24 Except for maybe Rob Hack, he may have a contact 25

once in a while. Very, very minimal.

- But any legislative initiatives or activities that 0 1 you have pretty much come out of community 2 relations and public affairs? 3 Yes, that's true. 4 Okay. Now, do you believe that your legislative 5 Q processes and your activities should be paid for 6 7 by ratepayers? 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. Does that includes all of the legislative initiatives you might make? 10 11 I believe it's very important that we stay in Α contact with that area because, you know, the size 12 of company we are, the huge geographical area that 13 we cover, it's important that we are aware of 14 15 initiatives or agendas that are happening in the legislative arena. It's important to our 16 customers to be informed. And so from that 17 standpoint, I think it's a part of doing -- in 18 19 today's world, it's a part of doing business. Well, let me ask, has the Commission ever said, 20 21
 - MGE, you cannot engage in legislative and lobbying activities?
 - Not to my knowledge.
 - Is it fair to say that your belief here is that 0 the Commission should allow this to be recovered

22

23

24

in rates?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A It is my belief that it is a part of doing business in today's business world that's extremely important for all the parties concerned. So, yes, I believe that.
 - Q Now, is that true, and by that, I mean that ratepayers should pay for all legislative initiatives without regard to what they are?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. So if MGE, for instance, comes up with an idea or supports an idea in the legislature that benefits only shareholders, let's assume there was such a situation, should that, in fact, be paid for by ratepayers?
 - A I believe anything that we would do that would impact shareholders would also impact our customers and our employees.
 - Let's assume that there is legislation that's been introduced in the legislature and it impacts MGE's operations and there is a greater benefit, let's say, much greater benefit to ratepayers -- I mean, I'm sorry, to shareholders than there is to ratepayers. Should that be completely paid for by ratepayers in rates, anything MGE would do in regard to supporting such legislation?

JOHN M. BOWEN & ASSOCIATES, COURT REPORTERS
1930 Commerce Tower, 911 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64105

1 Α It seems to be a what-if question and it would be very difficult for me to answer that. 2 So you're not capable of answering that? 3 Not as a what-if. I mean, you know, I believe 4 5 that, as I stated, that the agendas and legislation and issues that arise in government, 6 7 in the state government, have a huge impact both 8 upon my operation as well as my operation's 9 relationship with other entity in Jeff City, 10 including the Commission, and I think we should be 11 aware of those issues and agendas so that we can 12 operate our business in a fiducially sound manner. 13 Let me turn your attention to Page 14, Line 27. 14 You are talking there about advertising costs. 15 your particular complaint here that you don't 16 recover enough for advertising costs in rates? 17 Α Yes. 18 What is it that you're not recovering for 19 advertising costs, what specific things are you 20 not recovering that you should be recovering? 21 Α We -- we do very little advertising, as you look 22 at our revenues, and the ability of us to acquire 23 new customers in the natural qas -- in our natural 24 gas operation as it compares to electricity. 25 do no advertising to acquire new customers, which

has a real impact on our revenues. And it would 1 2 seem that money spent there would be -- if we could attract new customers, would be in the best 3 4 interest of everybody. Have there been specific instances where you've 5 Q had advertisements designed to attract new 6 7 customers that have been disallowed by the 8 Commission? 9 I don't know. So you don't know whether there's any basis for 10 this particular complaint regarding advertising 11 12 costs on Page 14? Yeah, we cannot -- we have not been able to 13 Α 14 recover in the past the advertising costs. And so 15 we at this point, based on our ability to -- the 16 financial condition of the organization, we just 17 don't do any advertising. 18 Do you believe that ratepayers should pay for all 0 19 advertising that MGE decides to do without regard 20 to whether it is designed to attract new 21 customers, promote MGE, inform people about 22 safety, that all of that should be recovered without regard to what it is or the type of 23 24 advertisement?

I believe that -- I believe, again, that that is

25

Α

an integral part of doing business. And if it is an integral of part of doing business, then it should be recovered.

The way the rate cases are filed, it would -- the Commission would have an oversight of any costs that we spend. So I believe that it is an integral part of doing business in today's world. And as an integral part, I believe it should be recovered in rates.

- All advertising without regard to what the actual content of it, is what you are saying, that should be recovered?
- Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Okay. Let me turn your attention to Page 2, Line 23 -- actually, no. Okay. Let's go to Page 7 of your testimony, please. In your testimony here, you state that, "MGE provides service in a considerably more cost effective fashion than other LDC's," at Page 7, Lines 10 through 12. Is that a fair statement?
- Α Yes.
- I believe you've already talked about this information was compiled by MGE witness Michael Noack and you rely on it, is that correct?
- Α That is correct.

1	Q	Do you know when MGE's cost advantage over other
2		Missouri LDC's first appeared?
3	A	No, I do not.
4	Q	Do you know in what specific areas of the
5	:	operations and maintenance that MGE has a large
6		advantage over the other LDC's in Missouri?
7	A	No, I do not.
8	Q	Do you know the same question about the smallest
9		advantage?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Okay. Then down below that, you have let's
12		see. You have another comparison at Page 7, Lines
13		25 through 26. What is that?
14	A	Average customer cost.
15	Q	Okay. Do you know when MGE's advantage over other
16		LDC's, specifically Laclede, AmerenUE, and MoPub
17		first appeared?
18	A	No, I do not.
19	Q	And does what you have at Page 7, Lines 25 to 26
20		of your direct testimony, do you know what time
21		period that is for?
22	A	No, I do not.
23	Q	So you don't know if this low cost per customer
24		that you've got and we've been talking about,
	H	

25

whether that has been true since Southern Union

acquired Western Resources or whether it was true 1 2 before that? I would have to refer to Mr. Noack. 3 A 4 Okay. Let me turn your attention to Page 11 of 5 your testimony, starting at Line 8 through, I 6 believe, Page 12, Line 16 where you're talking 7 about MGE never earning its authorized return. 8 Please tell me when you have had an opportunity to 9 review Page 11, Line 8 through Page 12, Line 16. 10 Α Okay. 11 Now, Mr. Oglesby, is it fair to say that you're an 12 expert in running an operation of the magnitude of 13 Missouri Gas Energy? 14 I don't consider myself an expert in anything. 15 Well, are you knowledgeable about running your 16 company? 17 Yes, I am. Α And are you knowledgeable about various aspects of 18 19 running your company? 20 Yes. Α 21 And as a part of your duties, you at this time Q 22 have decided to file a rate case --23 Α Yes. 24 -- is that correct? Q 25 Α Yes.

ļļ.		
1	Q	Do you have a pretty good understanding of the
2		regulatory process in Missouri?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Now, based upon your understanding as someone who
5	į	is qualified to run an operation like MGE and your
6	i i	understanding of the regulatory process in
7		Missouri, the utility is continually adding plant
8		to rate base, doesn't that make it difficult for
9		that utility to earn its authorized return over
10		the long term?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Is MGE continually adding plant to rate base?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	The recently enacted ISRS legislation, do you know
15		what I am referring to?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	I believe that's also House Bill 208?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Now, do you believe that that recently enacted
20		ISRS legislation will aid MGE in earning its
21		authorized return in Missouri?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Do you believe that there are any other actions
24		that you would like to see enacted by the Missouri
	II	

legislature that would aid MGE in earning its

1 authorized return? 2 By the Missouri legislature? 3 Q Yes. Not at this time. 4 How about the Missouri Public Service Commission? 5 6 Well, I think that the rate case is -- a 7 reasonable expectation from the rate case would be 8 the one thing I would like to see. That based on the ability of us to have the opportunity to 9 10 achieve whatever -- again, like we talked earlier, 11 the rate of return that is set. 12 Q Okay. In the last few years, has MGE reduced its 13 annual construction expenditures? 14 Α Yes, it has. 15 Why is that? 16 One of the reasons was that we had been for 17 18 19

several years been under a safety mandated program through the Commission to replace X number of services and mains every year. And as we come to the end of that program, we took a look at it.

And working with Bob Leonberger and his staff determined that there was -- we were -- under the mandate that we had, we were replacing mains and services really that were -- were okay to operate.

And so working with Bob Leonberger and his staff,

20

21

22

23

24

we determined that, you know, let's go after the 1 mains and service replacements that really are in 2 dire need of replacement rather than just 3 4 replacing mains and services for the sake of the 5 When we did that, it reduced the amount of capital dollars that we need to sustain that 6 7 program. 8 Was that program actually called, I believe, 9 between MGE and Commission staff on a regular 10 basis the safety line replacement program? 11 Α Yes, it was. 12 Okay. Besides the safety line replacement

- Q Okay. Besides the safety line replacement program, are there any other reasons that MGE has reduced its annual construction expenditures in recent years?
- A That is -- I think that is the major -- the major reason.
- Q No others that come to your mind?
- A Not that comes to my mind at this time.
 - Okay. Let me -- let's go to Page 12. And you talk about bad debt. Actually, make this -- this is still part of your question beginning at Page 11, Line 8 regarding MGE not achieving its Commission authorized return, but where I am going is on Page 12, Line 6. You say, "There is no

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

'normal' level of bad debt expense"?

A Um-hum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q If there is no normal, what is the appropriate way to reflect bad debts in MGE's rates?
- A I think the appropriate way is to really look at the reality of it over a smaller time frame than it's normally looked at, maybe three years. And determine from that when -- when every year we continually exceed the bad debt expense as it relates to what we can recover in rates, it would seem to me that it needs to be changed.

 Especially when I think, as you reflected on earlier, we have been rather aggressive in trying to hold that cost down.

So I think that it is very important that that's one area that, whether it be termed normal or whether it be termed level of reality, we need to be assured that the bad debt expense is looked at appropriately.

- Well, turning your attention to Page 14 beginning at Line 5 running through, I believe, about Line 14, you have, in fact, got on the left-hand side actual bad debt and on the right-hand side bad debt allowance in rates?
- A Um-hum.

Is it fair to say that there is a great volatility 1 2 in the actual bad debt incurred by MGE versus that allowance -- the bad debt allowance in rates? 3 Α Yes. 4 5 Q What is your specific proposal to correct Okay. 6 this? You know, it's pretty well impossible to identify 7 what the normal is. However, when you look at --8 if you take an average over several years and even 9 if you look at '02 and discover that that year we 10 actually -- we did pretty well, and realize that 11 12 year after year, we exceed it by at least a 13 million and a half, then seems like that is --14 there is the answer right there. 15 So you suggest that the actual bad debt be averaged for the last several years and that 16 should be the number set in rates to --17 It should be looked at from what 18 I say that, yes. the real -- the reality of the bad debt expense 19 And if -- you know, if there are policy 20 is. changes, either at the Commission or at the 21 legislative level that impacts bad debt, then that 22 should be included in our ability to recover that 23 in rates.

But based on -- do you believe that somewhere in

24

25

Q

MGE's prefiled testimony in the rate case there is 1 2 some specific proposal dealing with bad debt, what should be a number allowed in rates? 3 Not that I recall. I am sure there is. A 4 If there is, you don't know what it is? 5 Q 6 Α I don't know what it is, no. 7 0 Okay. Going back a moment to Page 15 through 16. You talked about how you believe that the 8 Commission has punished MGE for various things 9 10 that are in your testimony. Do you know that in 11 the subsequent rate case after this, specifically 12 GR-2001-292, was there anything in that case where 13 you believe that the Commission punished MGE? I don't know. I don't recall. 14 Okay. Now, in these cases, the 96-285 that you 15 16 referred to on Page 16, Line 1, the GR-98-140 -well, let's move on. 17 In 2001, did Southern Union implement a 18 19 cash flow improvement program? 20 Yes, they did. Α What was that? 21 22 That was a program that was initiated by Southern Union to try to improve the cash flow of the 23 24 corporation. You know, I can't recall all of the 25 specifics. Some of the specifics was some

1		economies that at corporate, I think they did a
2		RIF at the corporate headquarters and some things
3	<u>.</u>	like that.
4	Q ·	Did they give the divisions any more autonomy?
5	A	Any more autonomy?
6	Q	Yes, in part of their overall operations as part
7		of this cash flow program?
8	A	I wouldn't say they gave us any more autonomy, but
9		they didn't give us any less. I can't say that it
10		had any impact on the autonomy at all, that
11		program.
12	Q	Do you know why Southern Union decided to
13		implement this cash flow improvement program?
14	A	No, I do not.
15	Q	And do you know how, if at all, the cash flow
16		improvement program affected gas purchasing
17		decisions?
18	A	No, I do not.
19	Q	So you don't know whether there was an effect on
20		gas purchasing decisions or not?
21	A	No, I do not.
22	Q	What impact did the cash flow improvement program
23		have on Southern Union, specifically MGE upon
24		the divisions, specifically MGE?
25	A	I think we were asked to look at our operations

L)		
1		and see if there was any areas that we could
2		better control expenses. We held down on travel.
3		I can't recall that there was any major specifics
4		that impacted us at this level.
5	Q	So you don't recall any specific measures that MGE
6		took in regard to this cash flow improvement
7	<u> </u>	program?
8	A	No. I really don't recall any, no.
9	Q	Has MGE's cash flow improved under this program
10		since 2001?
11	A	The amount of revenue has continually degraded, so
12		I would have to say no.
13	Q	Okay. Will the ISRS legislation do you
14		remember what I'm talking about there?
15	A	Um-hum.
16	Q	That being House Bill 208?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. That passed last year. Do you believe that
19		that will improve MGE's cash flow versus the
20		traditional way of reflecting plant additions in
21		rates in Missouri?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Why?
24	A	Because we will be able to recover some of the
25		dollars spent on the public improvement projects

without having to go to the expense of filing a rate case. It's extremely -- you know, in filing a rate case, we don't take it real lightly because it requires a huge amount of resource drain on our part, as it does on the Commission staff. So to be able to recover something like that without having to file a rate case is very important.

Q Okay. What exactly was House Bill 208, what is the nature of that legislation?

- A The ISRS bill?
- Q Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- A That any capital dollars that we spend on public improvements that is a government mandated expenditure, that we can recover -- I think we have to file every six months and start recovering it without having to file a rate case. And then every three years, we have to file a rate case so that prudency can be looked at.
- Q But -- okay. When you file a rate case every three years, is that mandated by the ISRS legislation?
- A I believe that it is, yes.
- Q So for instance, this current rate case that you are filing, part of the reason you filed it, is it fair to say, was this ISRS legislation?

i i		
1	A	No.
2	Q	That had no impact on it whatsoever?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. What is regulatory lag?
5	A	Regulatory lag, that is the lag between the time
6		we file a rate case and the time the rates
7		actually become effective.
8	Q	Is there any regulatory lag, for instance, in the
9		time that MGE provides service to a customer and
10		then when they actually collect for it from the
11	 	customer?
12	A	I am not exactly sure what you mean, but yes.
13	Q	Okay. Isn't that another form well, let's call
14		that billing lag. If I say what is billing lag
15	A	Billing lag.
16	Q	what does that mean to you?
17	A	Billing lag means the time that we actually
18		provide service to the customer and the time we
19		collect. That would be a billing lag.
20	Q	Okay. Does the ISRS legislation address billing
21		lag, in your opinion?
22	A	I don't know.
23	Q	Does it address regulatory lag, other than what
24		you already talked about?
25	A	I think it addresses the regulatory lag for a very

small part of capital dollars that we spend. 1 2 Do you know what party or parties or specific legislators were the originator of House Bill 208, 3 which was the ISRS legislation? 4 5 A Do I know who it was? No. Did MGE play any role in the passage of House Bill 6 0 7 208 with --8 Yes. Α What was that role? 9 10 We -- I think we were a part of the drafting of that legislation, had a part in the drafting of 11 12 that legislation. 13 Did the actual idea for the ISRS legislation -that's I-S-R-S -- did that idea for the ISRS 14 15 legislation, House Bill 208, actually originate with Southern Union? 16 Southern Union? 17 Α 18 0 Yes. 19 No. Α 20 MGE? 21 I think MGE based upon conversations with water Α companies and some other utilities felt like that 22 23 that was a good way to solve an issue that we had 24 as it related to public improvement dollars we 25 were spending, yes.

t t		
1	Q	What role has Southern Union played in MGE's
2		lobbying activities in Missouri?
3	A	None.
4	Q	If you want to support legislation in Missouri,
5		any legislation, do you have to get Mr. Karam's
6		approval?
7	A	No.
8	Q	So did you ever discuss the ISRS legislation with
9		Mr. Karam?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And did Mr. Karam support your efforts in regard
12		to the ISRS legislation?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And in your conversations with Mr. Karam, was it
15		just more advising him or were you actually
16		seeking his approval of pursuing that legislation?
17	A	Advising.
18	Q	So the decision about MGE's role in the ISRS
19		legislation was yours?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	What is the Missouri Energy Development
22		Association?
23	A	The Missouri Energy Development Association, that
24		is a group of seven utility presidents, or maybe
25		even eight now, I'm not sure, that formed an

1		organization to provide, in particular,
2		regulatory the regulatory people, the staff and
3		the commissioners are the one call place where
4		they could acquire information as it relates to
5		utilities in the state of Missouri, whether it be
6		gas, natural gas, electricity, water, that type of
7		thing.
8	Q	And to your knowledge, besides MGE, you have said,
9		I believe, the number of members is either seven
10		or eight. Do you know who that is besides MGE,
11		what members?
12	A	Yeah. It is you want me to tell who they were?
13	Q	If you can.
14	A	MGE let's see, it's MGE, Laclede, KCP&L, ATMOS,
15		AmerenUE. How many you got?
16	Q	I've got five.
17	A	I think the American Water Company now is part of
18		that.
19	Q	Would that actually be Missouri American Water?
20	A	Yeah, Missouri American Water. How many you got
21		now.
22	Q	Six. I think you've named MGE, Laclede, ATMOS
23		it's A-T-M-O-S.
24	A	KCP&L.
25	Q	KCP&L, Ameren and MAWC?

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	But the actual is there a governing board of
3		MEDA?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And is it the actual presidents of these various
6		company members?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. What was MGE's role in forming MEDA?
9	A	We were as we came together with those the
L O		presidents of the other organizations and talked
11		about forming that organization, so as a role, we
12		were at the meeting where they a decision was
13		made to form the organization.
14	Q	What is the current level of involvement that MGE
15		has in MEDA?
16	A	Actually, the current level that MGE has is rather
17		minimal. They have a board meeting every quarter,
18		some of which I attend, many of which I do not.
19		And they have a subcommittee, and I'm not sure
20		what the name of that subcommittee is called, that
21		Paul Snider attends sometimes if he happens to be
22		in Jeff City when they happen to have a meeting.
23	Q	Are there any conference calls that you sit in on
24		regarding MEDA?
	! }	

I don't -- I don't recall but maybe one conference

25

A

call that I sat in and that was at the very 1 beginning when the conversation was around forming 2 the organization and kind of how it should be made 3 up and who -- how we would hire an executive 4 5 director. That is the only conference call I recall. 6 7 Has MEDA hired an executive director? Q 8 Yes, they have. 9 Do you have any idea how much time in a month on 10 an average that you spend on MEDA-related 11 activities? 12 On a monthly basis, I may spend -- if I Α 13 happen to go to the board meeting and the board 14 meetings are rotated around, why, I may go to a 15 board meeting once a quarter and, basically, that's my -- it. And I don't attend all board 16 17 meetings. 18 Q Do you know when MEDA was specifically formed? 19 Α No, I couldn't give you the date, no. 20 Would 2001 sound approximately correct? Q 21 No, that's not right. Α 22 Well, since whenever it was formed --23 It's only been in maybe, I don't know, the last Α 24 year, I think, but I am not sure. I mean that is

25

not a --

1 Q Well, didn't MEDA support and lobby for the ISRS 2 legislation in 2003? 3 Α Yes, they did. So it existed, at least, at that time --4 Q 5 Α Yes. 6 -- as a full-going entity? 7 Α Yes. 8 Did you spend any time contacting Missouri 9 legislators personally in advocating for the ISRS legislation? 10 No, I did not. 11 Α 12 Q Do you know whether Mr. Hack did? 13 No, I do not. Okay. Do you fill out a time sheet that reflects 14 15 what you spend your time on? No, I do not. 16 Α 17 So would there be any records of any time Okay. 18 you spent regarding lobbying or legislative 19 activities? 20 Α Yes. And if you don't keep time sheets, what would the 21 22 documentation of that time be? 23 My daily calendar. Α 24 Okay. And you meticulously keep a daily calendar? Q

25

No, I don't meticulously keep it, but my

administrative assistant meticulously keeps it. 1 So if there was a -- if you meet with Mr. Hack, 2 0 3 for instance, and you discuss legislation, would that be reflected in your daily calendar? 4 5 It should be. Α Would it reflect that you met with Mr. Hack or 6 would it reflect more? 7 It would probably just reflect that I met with 8 Α 9 Mr. Hack. 10 So what you and Mr. Hack might have discussed 11 would simply be -- all your daily calendar would 12 show is you met with Mr. Hack, but it wouldn't be 13 any subject matter that you discussed? 14 Α That's true. 15 Are you aware of any company procedures for 16 accounting for the time associated with and the 17 cost of lobbying-related activities, not only for 18 yourself, but for any other employees? 19 Say that again. 20 Okay. Is there any company procedures for 21 accounting that deal with the time that you spend 22 with legislative or lobbying activities? 23 Α No, not that I am aware. 24 0 Do you know whether there's any such procedures 25 for any other company employees?

1	A	Not that I am aware of.
2	Q	Do you know whether any such accounting is
3	it:	required for income tax purposes?
4	A	I would imagine there is some accounting for it,
5		but
6	Q	All right.
7		MR. FRANSON: We need to take a break.
8		I may be finished but I need to consult for just a
9		moment.
10		(A short break is taken and the
11		following further proceedings are had.)
12	Q	(By Mr. Franson) Mr. Oglesby, besides yourself,
13		maybe Mr. Hack and Mr. Paul Snider, is there
14		anyone else at MGE that does any work in regard to
15		lobbying or legislative activities?
16	A	No.
17		MR. FRANSON: I don't believe I have any
18		further questions.
19] 	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	Ву	MR. MICHEEL:
21	Q	Mr. Oglesby, Mr. Franson had asked you some
22		questions about customer service and you had
23		indicated that Mr. Snider has you have directed
24		Mr. Snider to form a task force to look into how
25		MGE can work better with its customers.

- 11	i	
1	A	Actually, I have asked him how to how our
2		customers can do business with MGE easier, I
3		believe.
4	Q	And when was that task force formed?
5	A	Oh, I don't know. I think he started about three
6	,	months ago, something like that. I mean, I
7		couldn't tell you exactly.
8	Q	So it was in calendar year 2004?
9	A	It was in calendar year 2004, I believe so, yes.
10	Q	And what was the genesis of that task force being
11		formed?
12	A	My concern that we were too difficult to do
13		business with.
14	Q	How did you come to that concern that MGE was too
15		difficult to do business with?
16	A	Just in visiting with employees.
17	Q	And what did those employees tell you with respect
18		to the difficulty customers had doing business
19	 	with MGE?
20	A	The main the main issues were centered around
21		the public business office and the issue as it
22		surrounds making a contact at Missouri Gas Energy.
23		An example would be a developer who wants to
24		acquire gas service and he might have to call two
25		or three different people in order to get the

1		answer he needs. And I believe he should only
2		have to make one call.
3	Q	Who specifically at the public business office did
4	[- - -	you discuss this matter these matters with?
5	A	I actually discussed them with Kim Lambert and Ron
6		Crow, and nobody at the particular the
7		particular business office.
8	Q	Ms. Lambert, what is her position with company?
9	A	She is the manager of account services and
10		billing. And she also is a supervisor or the
11		manager over the supervisors at the PBO's.
12	Q	And, Mr. Crow, what is his position with the
13		company?
14	A	Ron Crow is the director of customer service.
15		Actually, Ron Crow and Kim both are on the task
16		force.
17	Q	And, what, Ms. Lambert and Mr. Crow came to you
18		and expressed that parties were having issues in
19		doing business with MGE?
20	A	No, they it was really in my being out I go
21		out twice a year and have all-employee meetings
22		and then I get out and visit with employees on a
23		regular basis. I go downstairs with the phone
24		center reps and talk to them on a regular basis.
25		And just in general conversations with employees,
	1	

my perception became that we had an issue that we needed to deal with.

- Q Other than the example of developers, could you give me some more examples of where customers are having problems doing business with MGE?
- A Yeah, I can give you one other example, probably, off the top of my head is the fact that sometimes a customer would call in to MGE and maybe want to talk with me and end up with someone in human resources or someone in another department based on the way they call in. And so they may end up having to go through two or three folks before they actually end up getting to me.

And I am thinking more of an issue where a customer might have a concern or complaint with our company and wants to visit with the president. And it seems to me that if they have that concern and they want to talk with me, they ought to -- it ought to be a little bit easier to reach my office. Doesn't necessarily mean that they should be talking with me, but they should be able to reach my office so that I know there is a concern.

- Q What specific guidance did you give Mr. Snider in forming this task force?
- A Basic guidance was just what I said. I said look

JOHN M. BOWEN & ASSOCIATES, COURT REPORTERS
1930 Commerce Tower, 911 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64105

Missouri 816•421•2876

Kansas 913•894•8800 Toll Free 1•888•352•1212 Fax 816•421•2482

Email bowen@johnmbowen.com

1 at the different processes that we have, pick a couple of processes and look at the way that our 2 3 customers do business with us and look if there -and look to see if there is an opportunity to 4 5 change a process that might improve the customer's ability to do business with us easier. 6 7 Was there a written memorandum that set out the Q 8 purposes of the task force? 9 No, there was not. A 10 Was there an e-mail? 11 Α There was no e-mail, no. 12 Does Mr. Snider's task force provide you with 13 written reports on the progress? 14 Α Yes, they do. 15 And how many written reports have they provided? 16 Α I think two. I think. What's the name of the task force? 17 18 Α Oh, I don't know that there is a -- I don't know 19 that there is a name for it, actually. 20 Q What would you call it? 21 Α Easy -- customer easy to do business with MGE, I 22 guess. 23 I want to know because I am going to be sending 24 you a DR to get some of that information and I

don't want you to forget.

25

I just forgot. Α 1 2 Fortunately, we're having this transcribed and I will send the line to you in the deposition. 3 How many public -- who's on the task 4 5 force other than Mr. Snider? Let's see, Paul Snider, Kim Lambert, Ron Crow, 6 Α 7 let's see, Kenny Thomas. And then I think they 8 bring different folks in as they are looking for information. And whoever -- whoever they need to 9 10 provide information. 11 What does Kenny Thomas do for MGE? Q 12 Kenny Thomas is director of field operations. 13 And what is the purview, what does the director of 14 field operations do? 15 Α He manages everything that happens basically outside of this building as it relates to our 16 17 servicemen, our construction crews. 18 He took your old job? Q 19 Α Yeah, he did. 20 How many public business offices does MGE operate, 21 sir? 22 Α We have three. Three. And where are those public business 23 Q 24 offices, sir? 25 A Joplin, Monett, St. Joe.

1 0 And the company no longer has a public business 2 office in the Kansas City metropolitan area? 3 Α No, it does not. 4 And so it was in the public business offices in 5 Joplin, Monett, and St. Joe that these issues came 6 up? 7 Α As I said earlier, it really wasn't issues 8 outside of those public business offices, it was 9 perceptions that I had in visiting with all 10 employees, so there was no specific concerns, 11 however, one of the first tasks that we looked at 12 was public business offices. 13 You have indicated in your prefiled direct 14 testimony and in response to some questions from 15 Mr. Franson today that you filed the rate case to 16 satisfy shareholders and customers and employees. 17 And my question is, has MGE heard from customers 18 regarding this rate case filing? 19 To my knowledge, no. Α 20 Have you heard from any customers directly? 21 Α No, I have not. 22 And you're unaware of whether or not Missouri Gas 23 Energy's call center has received any calls from 24 customers regarding the proposed rate increase?

25

Α

I'm not aware of any.

0 And you are not aware of whether or not MGE has 1 received any e-mails from customers regarding the 2 3 proposed increase? I am not aware of any. 4 Α Do you think if your company had received calls 5 6 and e-mails from customers regarding the proposed 7 increase that you would be aware of that? 8 Α I would imagine so, yes. And do you think if you were receiving input, you 9 10 being MGE, was receiving input from customers 11 regarding the proposed rate increase that is a 12 matter that you would like to know about? 13 Um-hum, yes. And have you instructed your staff to inform you 14 15 if you are getting calls and e-mails from 16 customers regarding the rate increase? Specifically, no, I have not instructed them, 17 Α 18 however, we have had conversations around if there 19 are any issues, you know, I mean in a general meeting with staff. I have not given 20 21 instructions, no. 22 Q And who did you have those conversations with, 23 sir? The senior staff. 24 Α

25

Q

And who is on your senior staff?