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J UDGE BURTON: Let's go ahead and go on the record In the Matter of The Empire District Electric Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service. This is File Number ER- 2016-0023. Today is Novenber 10th, 2015, and the time is 11:00 a.m The Commission has set this time for a prehearing conf er ence.

At this time l would go ahead and ask the parties to enter their appearance for the record. And I know that we al so have people, l want to note for the record, that are calling in tel ephonically to partici pate in this. So please let me know if you have any difficulties hearing.
l'Il begin with Empire District Electric Company.

MS. CARTER: Di ana Carter and Dean Cooper from Brydon, Swearengen \& Engl and for Empi re District El ectric Company. Our address is on the written entry.

Thank you.
J UDGE BURTON: Okay. Thank you.
On behalf of the Staff of the Mssouri Public Servi ce Cormíssion.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. Kevin Thompson for the Staff of the Mssouri Public Service Commi ssion, Post Office Box 360, Jefferson City, M ssouri
65102.

JUDGE BURTON: Thank you.
And on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel .

MS. MAYFI ELD: Cydney Mayfield on behal f of the Office of the Public Counsel and the ratepayers, and my information has been previ ously provi ded to the court reporter.

J UDGE BURTON: City of Joplin, M ssouri.
MR. ELLI NGER: Marc Ellinger with the Iaw firm of Blitz Bardgett Deutsch for the City of Joplin. And our address and information has been filed in our formal entry of appearance.

Thank you.
J UDGE BURTON: The M ssouri Di vi si on of Energy.

MR. ANTAL: Al ex Antal with the M ssouri Department of Economic Devel opment, appearing on behalf of the Mssouri Division of Energy. The court reporter has our address.

JUDGE BURTON: Renew M ssouri.
MR. LI NHARES: Thank you, Judge. For Renew M ssouri, Andrew Li nhares, 910 East Broadway, Suite 205, Col umbi a, M ssouri 65201.

J UDGE BURTON: Okay. Now, I will note that
we al so have some applications to intervene that were filed by MECG and MEUA. At this time l believe we have counsel for both of those parties present and participating. Correct me if l'mwrong. Okay.

I was goi ng to go ahead and ask while we're on the record if there are any objections from Empire and any of the other parties first to the admission of MECG as a party to this?

ME. CARTER: No obj ection.
J UDGE BURTON: Okay. That being sai d, the Cormíssion finds good cause to go ahead and admit MECG, and I will let Counsel for MECG go ahead and enter his appearance at this time.

MR. WDODSMALL: Thank you, Your Honor. Davi d Wbodsmall on behalf of MECG. My written appearance has been filed with the court reporter.

J UDGE BURTON: Okay. And do any of the parties have any objections to the application to intervene that was filed on November 7th, 2015 by M dwest Energy Users Association?

MG. CARTER: No obj ection.
J UDGE BURTON: Okay. Hearing none, the
Commission will find good cause and admit M dwest Energy Users Association as a party to this matter, and lill accept any entries of appearance at this time.

MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, thi s is Conrad. Do you want me to go ahead?

JUDGE BURTON: Yes, pl ease.
MR. CONRAD: Okay. Stuart Conrad on behal f of MEUA. WE're at 1209 Penntower, Kansas City, M ssouri 64111. And since I'm not there, I can't gi ve the court reporter our copy, but we can certainly arrange that if it's needed.

JUDGE BURTON: I bel i eve the information you' ve provided is sufficient. And I will be submitting into the record a notice of your admission after we concl ude this hearing.

Now, at this time --
MR. CONRAD: Thank you.
JUDGE BURTON: -- I thi nk we need to --
MR. CONRAD: Thank you, na' am Thank you, ma' am

J UDGE BURTON: All right. Are there any other procedural matters we need to address or any ot her parties that l'mmissing?

Okay. That bei ng said, the purpose of this prehearing conference is obvi ously to proceed with getting a procedural schedule established for an evi dentiary hearing. And this is going to be a little bit different than how thi ngs have progressed in the
past. The commissioners have requested to have some input in the actual hearing dates to ensure that they have an available date to participate. And I know that we are I ooking into the future for five very busy commi ssioners when we set this, but l have submitted potential dates for the actual evidentiary hearing. And I believe two weeks should be sufficient. Let me know if that is not reasonable.

Seei ng no obj ections to a potential two-week schedule for an evi dentiary hearing, the first option would be, if everyone would pull out their cal endars, Mbnday, May 16th, 2016, through Friday May 20th, and the following week of May 23rd through Friday, May 27th, with a potential true-up of June 8th or 9th.

The second option is if we were to have the evi dentiary hearings begi nning on Tuesday, May 31st and going through that Friday, June $3 r d$ and then resuming the next week, June 6th through June 10th, with a true-up hearing schedul ed for June 20th or 21st.

Now, I understand that a lot of the parties have witnesses that you need to di scuss this with, but l'Il just ask if anyone knows of any problems right now with those potential dates?

Okay. All right. So I would ask that the parties work with those dates and try to come up with a
schedul e.
MS. CARTER: Judge, is there anyt hi ng I ater that they had available for the true-up hearing?

J UDGE BURTON: What dates were you thi nking of?

MS. CARTER: Possi bly the begi nni ng of Jul y .
J UDGE BURTON: Coul d you gi ve me some specific dates?

MS. CARTER: July 1 is what we had been I ooking at.

J UDGE BURTON: Okay. So Friday, July 1st? That, I woul d just say right now, is just not going to be possi ble --

MS. CARTER: Okay.
JUDGE BURTON: -- because that's the Friday before the 4 th of July weekend. So I haven't presented them with those dates, but I would imagi ne that there's going to be some potential conflicts with that.

MR. WDODSMALL: Does earlier that week work?
MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, what about --
MR. UDODSMALL: Or is it just because the Friday butts up agai nst the hol iday?

J UDGE BURTON: I bel i eve because that date would be the conflict. Are we thi nking of one or two days for a true-up?

Mb. CARTER: One day.
MR. THOMPSON: One day.
J UDGE BURTON: Okay. Let me -- l'mlooking at our schedul e right now. Let me-- and I know that their schedul es aren't published at this time. So l will follow up. But for right now let's pencil in either the $28 t h$ or the 29th for potential dates for that, and l will send out a notice to the commissioners to see if -- if there are any conflicts with either of those dates --

MG. CARTER: Thank you.
JUDGE BURTON: -- and I will let the parties know.

MS. CARTER: Thank you.
J UDGE BURTON: Okay.
MR. WDODSMALL: Your Honor, one question.
You gave two options --
J UDGE BURTON: Yes.
MR. WOODSMALL: -- and they all seemto run toget her. Wbuld May 23rd through 27th and the following week work, if we take the last week of the first block and the first week of the second block? Or are they some -- is there some reason that they're blocked off like that?

JUDGE BURTON: I presented those dates because I thought it would be conveni ent for the parties.

So if -- if any of those dates within that timeframe works, that's fine.

MR. WDODSMALL: Okay.
JUDGE BURTON: And l'।l let you know if । hear any pushback on wanting sone sort of continuity from the commissi oners on those dates.

MR. CONRAD: Your Honor, Conrad. We can work with either of those alternatives.

JUDGE BURTON: Okay. I don't need to actually set the evi dentiary hearing dates today, because I do want the parties to look at their schedules and talk with your witnesses to address this. But let's go ahead and figure out a date to determine when we' re thinking of actually having a proposed procedural schedule submitted to the Commission.

And I'massuming either Empire or Staff will take the lead on filing that?

MR. THOMPSON: Staff typically files the proposed procedural schedule, presenting the consensus of the parties. And how about a week fromtoday?

J UDGE BURTON: That will work. Let me-- so we' re I ooki ng at Novenber 17th?

MR. THOMPSON: Yes. Yes, ma' am
JUDGE BURTON: Okay. Now I will ask about Iocal public hearings. Has there been any di scussion or
any idea of what time frame we're considering and how many dates or locations we are considering for local publ ic hearings?

MS. CARTER: From Empi re's standpoint, we were thi nking the same as has been in years past, whi ch is two days between Joplin and Reed Springs to get two hearings in Joplin and one in Reed Springs.

JUDGE BURTON: Has Public Counsel had a chance to determine how many dates it thi nks would be sufficient for --

MS. MAYFI ELD: Yeah, our under st andi ng is consistent with Empire's. So those three dates or Iocations articulated by Empire is consistent with Office of Public Counsel's understanding as well.

JUDGE BURTON: Local public hearings are, again, al ways of interest for the commissioners. So we will have to check their availability to determine when we actually set those. So, again, l'mopen to suggestions on those dates or timeframes where l can identify if there's any conflicts.

Mb. CARTER: The schedule Staff proposed bef ore today incl uded April 12th through the 15th, and that works for Empire.

MS. MAYFI ELD: Yes, Your Honor, the Iocal public hearings proposed by Staff would work for the

Office of Public Counsel. We have to di scuss the technical conference timing, because I bel ieve Staff schedul ed the techni cal conference consistent with the local public hearing dates. And, unfortunatel $y$, due to the staffing in our office, overlapping those two would not work for our office.

JUDGE BURTON: It al so appears that Mssouri Anerican Water Company has a true-up hearing schedul ed for Tuesday, the 12th.

MB. MAYFI ELD: That's correct, for the 11th and the 12 th .

J UDGE BURTON: Yeah. So l woul d pref er to avoid those dates, because l would imagi ne the commissioners woul d have sone conflict, if the true-up dates are needed for that case. But, otherwise, I believe that that week should be fine. Again, l'Il doubl e-check with the commi ssi oners' schedul es.

Are there any other issues that we need to have addressed while we are on the record?

Okay. That -- that being the case, just to reiterate, I will provi de the notice into the EFIS system about the entries of appearance -- or the admission of the applications to intervene of those two parties and the deadline for Staff to submit the proposed procedural schedule of Novenber 17th.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge.
J UDGE BURTON: That being said, I thank everyone for your appearance and participation. And we will go off the record. But those of you who are appearing through the phone, do not fret. You may participate as long as your little heart desires for the rest of the day on this phone line, and I will not di sconnect you.

MR. CONRAD: Judge?
JUDGE BURTON: Yes.
MR. CONRAD: Conrad agai $n$. Do you have a sense of when you might find out fromthe Commission whi ch of those two alternatives?

JUDGE BURTON: Oh, for the true-up dates?
MR. CONRAD: Well, I understood it was really two packages.

J UDGE BURTON: Ri ght.
MR. CONRAD: Maybe I di dn't understand you.
JUDGE BURTON: l'mgoing to be sendi ng out an email to the commissi oners today. However, they are at NARUC. So I would imagi ne I will have that information to you by Friday, which I hope will give Staff sufficient time to still work with the 17th deadline, the proposed procedural schedule. And I will eval uate the true-up dates and al so the local public hearings week to give
them a heads up for that week, l believe is what we're looking at in April.

Does that answer your question, Mr. Conrad?
MR. CONRAD: Yes, ma' am
J UDGE BURTON: Okay. All right. Well, thank you, everyone. And we are going to go of $f$ the record now.
( Off the record.)
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