GMO-224

Exhibit No.:

Issues: latan Construction Project

Witness: Charles R. Hyneman

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

File No.: ER-2010-0356
Date Testimony Prepared: December 15, 2010

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY **OF**

CHARLES R. HYNEMAN

KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS FILE NO. ER-2010-0356

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2010

** Denotes Highly Confidential Information **

Staff Exhibit No GMO-224

Date 1/18/11 Reporter LMB

File No ER-2010 0356

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	CHARLES R. HYNEMAN
4	KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS
5	FILE NO. ER-2010-0356
6	GMO Witness Roberts2
7	GMO Witness Bell
8	GMO Witness Blanc

1	1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		CHARLES R. HYNEMAN
4		KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS
5		FILE NO. ER-2010-0356
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	Α.	Charles R. Hyneman, Fletcher Daniels State Office Building, 615 East 13th
8	Street, Kansa	as City, Missouri.
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
10	A.	I am a Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service
11	Commission	("Commission").
12	Q.	Are you the same Charles R. Hyneman who filed direct testimony in
13	File No. ER-	2010-0356?
14	A.	Yes, I am.
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
16	A.	The purpose is to address statements made by KCP&L Greater Missour
17	Operations ("GMO") witnesses Kenneth M. Roberts, Robert Bell and Curtis Blanc in their
18	respective d	rect testimonies in this case related to the Iatan Construction Project, Iatan 1
19	Iatan 2, and	atan Common Plant.

Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman

GMO WITNESS ROBERTS 1 2 Q. At page four of his direct testimony, lines 1-10, GMO witness 3 Kenneth M. Roberts indicates that he and his firm, Schiff Hardin, LLP ("Schiff") are 4 independent of KCPL. Do you agree? 5 A. No. Mr. Roberts states the following: 6 Under my direction, Schiff has reported to KCP&L's Executive 7 Oversight Committee and to senior management from time to time 8 during the course of the planning and construction of KCP&L's CEP Projects. Such reports have been in both oral and written format. 9 10 These reports generally include a summary of Schiff's independent 11 12 view of the CEP projects' schedule, budget, and procurement status and identification of key issues that have the potential to affect or have 13 affected progress. 14 15 16 These reports also generally include metrics that Schiff has developed to independently verify the CEP Projects' then-current status. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

	Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman
1	
2	**
3	Typically the work of an independent auditor does not include evaluating or making
4	recommendations to management based on processes and procedures that the auditor helped
5	create or implement on a project. Schiff does not have such independence. Such a difference
6	was noted in the direct testimony of GMO witness William H. Downey, where at the bottom
7	of page 9 and the top of page 10 of his direct testimony, he states:
8 9 10 11 12 13	KCP&L's Internal Audit Department and Schiff serve very different roles, but do complement each other. As an example, Schiff helped develop policies and procedures in use while Internal Audit reviews the project teams' compliance to those policies and procedures. Schiff has also aided KCP&L in the development and negotiation of the contracts for the CEP Projects which are then subject to audit to ensure that the contracts are being administered as intended.
15 16	Q. **
17 18	A. **
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	**
31	



Q.	**		_
			
A.	**		
		 -	
			_
			_
			 -
			-
			
		**	

Q. What methods were available for KCPL to control contractor performance on the Iatan construction project?

A. There are several options available to KCPL to control contractor performance. These options included writing strong and enforceable contract terms and conditions, effectively assess backcharges, effectively assess liquidated damages, and effectively enforcing these contract terms and conditions during the pendency of the project. It is the Staff's position, based on its audit, there is substantial evidence that KCPL has been



Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman

ineffective at managing its latan construction contracts and enforcing the terms and conditions of its contracts with major latan construction contractors and consultants.

This ineffectiveness at managing Iatan construction contractors and consultants has led to significantly higher Iatan Construction Project costs, which the Staff has characterized as being imprudent / unreasonable / inappropriate. In its previously filed Iatan Construction Project Audit and Prudence Review Reports filed with the Commission, the Staff has identified and removed the costs related to imprudent / unreasonable / inappropriate KCPL management decisions from its Iatan Construction Project cost recommendation.

- Q. What are "back charges" and "liquidated damages"?
- A. According to Wideman's Comparative Glossary of Project Management Terms, version 3.1, a "backcharge" is the "cost of corrective action taken by the purchaser, chargeable to the supplier under the terms of the contract." An example would be when one contractor performs project work incorrectly and the owner has to pay different contractor to correct this work. The cost of paying the second contractor to fix the work should be backcharged to the contractor that performed the work incorrectly.

As defined by Wideman, "liquidated damages" are:

- ... the amount of money set forth in the contract as being the liability of the contractor for failure to complete the work by the contract completion date or adjusted contract completion date. It is an estimate of the damages the owner is likely to incur in the event of late completion by the contractor. Liquidated damages are typically expressed as a daily rate.
- Q. Are there significant cost risks to KCPL by not having a strong and effective backcharge process on the latan Construction Projects?

Direct T	`est	timony	of
Charles	R.	Hynen	nan

1	A. **
_	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
	**
8	
9	Q. **
10	
10	
11	**
12	A. **
12	Α.
13	
14	
[[
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
29	
30	
	U

Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman

	-
	•
	
	····
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*
*:	*



	Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	<u></u>
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24 25	7
دے	

NP

	Direct Testin Charles R. H	nony of yneman	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			
2122232425	Q.	**	
26 27 28	A.	**	
29 30 31		**	

	Direct Te Charles F	stimony R. Hyner	y of man	
1	Q	**	*	**
2	A	**	*	
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				_
8	_			
9				
10	: 			·
11				
12		·		
13	 			
14	ļ			
15				
16				
17 18		_		<u> </u>
19		_		
20 21		_		
22 23				
24 25	1			
26		_		
27		_	**	
28). *	**	
				NID

Direct Testimony	of
Charles R. Hynen	ıan

		A.	**	
2				···-
3				
4				
_				
5				
6				
Ĭ				····
7	<u> </u>			
_				
8	ļ ———			
9				
0				
1	ļ ———			
2				
.3				
4				
5				
6 7				
8				
9			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0.			•	
21				
22				
.3				
24	1			
25				
26 27				
28				
-0				
29				
• •				
30	 			

	Direct Testim Charles R. Hy	ony of meman						
1	\							
2								
3						. 		
4					-			
5				<u> </u>				,,, <u>,</u>
6								
7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	<u> </u>	<u></u>		
8				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
9								
10 11								
12			<u></u>				**	
13	Q.	**		<u>.</u>		·		
14								
15				<u></u>				
16		**						
17	Α.	**						
18	 							
19				··		····		· · · ·
20	·						····	
21	 _					·		
22						,,		
23								
24								
25								
26	ļ							

	Direct Testim Charles R. Hy	ony of yneman				
1			·			
2			-			
3						
4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
5				_		_
6		 -		·		
7						
8			<u> </u>			_
9						- -
10						
11	1					_
12	:					
13						
14						
15						_
16						_ ·
17	ll l					
18						<u> </u>
19	<u> </u>					_
20 21		<u></u>	<u></u>			_
22						_
23			····		<u></u>	
24	1					-
25	1			<u>-</u> -	<u></u>	
26		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	**	
_•						
27	GMO WITH	NESS BELL		•		
20		**				
28	Q.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
29			**			
						
30	Α.	**				
31	 	<u></u>				<u> </u>
32						
32						
33						
34	ļ					
	l l					

	Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman
1	,
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	
9 10	
11	GMO WITNESS BLANC
12	Q. At page 7 of his direct testimony in this case, GMO witness Curtis Blanc,
13	KCPL's Senior Director – Regulatory Affairs states that GMO has removed all officer
14	expenses charged to Iatan 2. He then states that "it is GMO's hope that the removal of these
15	charges from the case will make it easier for the parties and the Commission to focus on the
16	important issues to be decided in this case." Does the Staff agree that inappropriate and
17	excessive costs charged to the latan 2 Construction Project by KCPL officers, senior
18	management and executives is not an important issue in this case?
19	A. No. The Staff does not agree with Mr. Blanc's and GMO's position that
20	inappropriate and excessive management costs charged to the Iatan 2 Construction Project are
21	not important. It is not only important, but critical to a project or an organization that the

NP

"tone at the top" be a strong tone of strict cost control and prudence, reasonableness and

appropriateness in project expenditures and the practice required of all be faithful to the tone.

This "tone at the top" sets an example for the rest of KCPL Iatan Construction Project

employees and contractors to follow. The Staff has noted previously and has documented

22

23

24

25

Direct Testimony of Charles R. Hyneman

- 1 | examples of inappropriate costs charged to the Iatan Construction project by KCPL
- 2 management and executives.
 - Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

3

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of KCP&L) Greater Missouri Operations Company for) Approval to Make Certain Changes in its) Charges for Electric Service)
AFFIDAVIT OF CHARLES R. HYNEMAN
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)
Charles R. Hyneman, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
Charles R. Hyneman
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of
Nikki SENN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missage County My Commissione Expires: October 01, 2011 Commission Number: 07287016

SCHEDULES 1-6

HAVE BEEN DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN THEIR ENTIRETY