J.D. POWER

FILED
March 6, 2017
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Press Releases

Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Reaches 8-Year High in J.D. Power Study

J.D. Power Ratings

For additional J.D. Power ratings data, please visit www.jdpower.com/cars and www.jdpower.com/ratings.

< Back

Vate 2-28 TReporter XX File No. EL- 2015 - 0285

Improved Customer Communications, Corporate Citizenship and Price Satisfaction Drive Gains; Six of Eight Highest-Ranked Providers New to Top of the Rankings

WESTLAKE VILLAGE, Calif.: 13 January 2016 — Business customer satisfaction with their electric utility is up substantially year over year, with significant improvements in communications, corporate citizenship and price satisfaction, according to the J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM released today.

The study, now in its 17th year, measures satisfaction among business customers of 102 targeted U.S. electric utilities, each of which serves more than 25,000 business customers. In aggregate, these utilities provide electricity to more than 12 million customers. Overall satisfaction is examined across six factors (listed in order of importance): power quality and reliability; corporate citizenship; price; billing and payment; communications; and customer service. Satisfaction is calculated on a 1,000-point scale.

Overall satisfaction among electric utility business customers is 704 in 2016, a significant increase from 677 in 2015 and the highest level in eight years. Performance improvement in 2016 is driven by a sharp year-over-year rise in satisfaction with communications (+55 points), corporate citizenship (+45) and price (+43).

"Communication and corporate citizenship are important to businesses," said John Hazen, director of the energy practice at J.D. Power. "Providers are doing a better job of proactively communicating with their business customers not only during an outage, but also on a regular basis to keep them informed of things such as energy programs offered, and to gather customer feedback."

Hazen noted that corporate citizenship demonstrates that a provider is an active but ness rather the community. "Business customers like to see their provider giving back, whether it's through charities and civic organizations or through economic development such as buying locally and creating jobs," said Hazen. "Price is important to business customers, but not as critical as it is to residential customers."

Study Rankings

Within each of the four geographic regions included in the study, utility providers are classified into one of two segments: large (serving 85,000 or more business customers) and midsize (serving between 25,000 and 84,999 business customers).

Among the eight providers that rank highest in their respective regions, only two-Omaha Public Power District in the Midwest region's midsize utility segment and SRP (Salt River Project) in the West region's large utility segment—also ranked highest in 2015.

"There are 53 ranked providers with an overall satisfaction score above 700 this year," said Hazen, who noted that in 2014 only four providers achieved scores of 700 or higher. "This clearly demonstrates that when providers make an investment in customer satisfaction and put in the effort, they can improve their customers' experiences."

The following utilities rank highest in business customer satisfaction in their respective regions:

- · East Large: Con Edison
- · East Midsize: Met-Ed
- · Midwest Large: Ameren Missouri
- · Midwest Midsize: Omaha Public Power District
- · South Large: Entergy Arkansas
- South Midsize: JEA

KEYFINDINGS

- A Partner in Power: Overall satisfaction amongbusinesses with an assigned account manager at their utility is more than 100 points higher than among those that do not have an account manager.
- Billing Alerts Avoid the Blues: Billing and payment satisfaction averages 708 among the 37% of businesses that indicate they do not receive billing and payment alerts from their utility provider. Satisfaction is 776 when providers send an alert when a bill is due or overdue and jumps to 798 when they send customers confirmation that their payment was received.
- Twice the Contact, but Lower Resolution: Regardless of the contact channel, twice as many business customers contact their electric utility provider twice as often as residential customers, yet their rate of problem resolution is lower than residential customers. For example, 48% of business customers contact their provider via telephone, compared with 23% of residential customers; however, the problem resolution rate over the phone is only 67% among businesses, compared with 71% among residential customers.
- Awareness of Product and Services Important to Satisfaction: The more utility products and services customers are aware of, the higher their overall satisfaction. Overall satisfaction among customers who are aware of 10 or more products and services is 768 and drops to 704 among those who are aware of only four or five. When customers are not aware of any of their provider's offerings, satisfaction plummets to 603.

The 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Study is based on responses from more 21,000 online interviews with business customers who spend at least \$200 monthly on electricity. The study was fielded from March through June 2015 and July through November 2015.

Media Relations Contacts
This site uses cookies. By continuing to browse the site you are agreeing to our use of cookies.

Review our Privacy and Cookie Notice for more details.

John Tews; Troy, Mich.; 248-680-6218;000v7A&Telations@jdpa.com


For more information about the J.D. Power solutions for the Utility and Infrastructure index of the Utility and Infrastructure

See online Press Release at: http://www.jdpower.com/press-releases/2016-electric-utility-business-customer-satisfaction-study

About J.D. Power and Advertising/Promotional Rules www.jdpower.com/about-us/press-release-info

About McGraw Hill Financial www.mhfi.com

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM







Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

East Region: Midsize Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking






Note: Included in the study, but not ranked due to insufficient sample size are Central Hudson Gas & Electric, Green Mountain Power, Man Power, Orange & Rockland and Potomac Edison.

Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Study^{5M}

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Midwest Region: Large Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking






Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Study^{5M}

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising ar other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Midwest Region: Midsize Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking






Note: Included in the study, but not ranked due to insufficient sample size are Kentucky Power, Otter Tail Power and Toledo Edison.

Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

South Region: Large Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking






Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

South Region: Midsize Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking








Note: Included in the study, but not ranked due to insufficient sample size are Cleco Power, Middle Tennessee EMC, Mississippi Power, Santee Cooper and Xcel Energy-South.

Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

West Region: Large Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking




Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction StudySM

West Region: Midsize Segment Customer Satisfaction Index Ranking



he study, but not ranked due to insufficient sample size are Colorado Springs Utilities, El Paso Electric and Snohomish County PUD.

Source: J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Study[™]

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Study SM

Award-Eligible Electric Utility Providers Included in the Study

Company Name **AEP Ohio** Alabama Power **Alliant Energy** Ameren Illinois Ameren Missouri Appalachian Power

APS Atlantic City Electric **Austin Energy** Avista

BGE Central Maine Power

ComEd Con Edison Consumers Energy **CPS Energy**

Dayton Power & Light Delmarva Power **Dominion Virginia Power**

DTE Energy

Duke Energy-Carolinas Duke Energy-Florida Duke Energy-Midwest **Duke Energy-Progress Duquesne Light Entergy Arkansas Entergy Louisiana Entergy Mississippi Entergy Texas Eversource Energy** Florida Power & Light

Idaho Power Indiana Michigan Power

Georgia Power **Gulf Power**

Executive Name Nicholas Akins Mark Crosswhite **Patricia Kampling** Richard J. Mark

Michael L. Moehn Nicholas Akins **Donald Brandt** David Velazquez Larry Weis Scott Morris Calvin Butler

Sara Burns

Anne Pramaggiore John McAvoy John Russell Paula Gold-Williams

Tom Raga David Velazquez Thomas Farrell **Gerard Anderson** Lynn Good Lynn Good

Lynn Good Lynn Good Richard Riazzi Leo Denault Leo Denault Leo Denault Leo Denault Thomas May **Eric Silagy Paul Bowers** Stanley Connally **Company Headquarters** Columbus, Ohio

Birmingham, Ala. Madison, Wis. St. Louis, Mo. St. Louis, Mo. Columbus, Ohio Phoenix, Ariz. Newark, Del. Austin, Texas Spokane, Wash. Baltimore, Md. Augusta, Maine Chicago, III. New York, N.Y. Jackson, Mich. San Antonio, Texas Dayton, Ohio Newark, Del. Richmond, Va.

Detroit, Mich. Charlotte, N.C. Charlotte, N.C. Charlotte, N.C. Charlotte, N.C. Pittsburgh, Pa. New Orleans, La. New Orleans, La. New Orleans, La. New Orleans, La. Springfield, Mass. Juno Beach, Fla. Atlanta, Ga. Pensacola, Fla. Boise, Idaho

Nicholas Akins Columbus, Ohio

Review outselvery powd Cookie Notice for meralestalls.

KCP&L Kentucky Utilities

L. A. Dent. of Water & Power

Terry Bassham VION PARTELLS Marcie L. Edwards

Darrel Anderson

Jacksonville, Fla. Akron, Ohio Kansas City, Mo. Lexington, Ky. Los Angeles, Calif.

J.D. POWER

Louisville, Ky.

Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

J.D. Power 2016 Electric Utility Business Customer Satisfaction Study SM

Award-Eligible Electric Utility Providers Included in the Study

Company Name

Met-Ed

MidAmerican Energy

MLGW

National Grid

NES

NIPSCO

NorthWestern Energy

NV Energy NYSEG

OG&E

Ohio Edison

Omaha Public Power District

OUC

Pacific Gas and Electric

Pacific Power

PECO

Penelec

Pepco

PNM Portland General Electric

PPL Electric Utilities

PSE&G

PSEG Long Island

Public Service Co. of Oklahoma

Puget Sound Energy Rochester Gas & Electric

Rocky Mountain Power San Diego Gas & Electric

Seattle City Light

SMUD

South Carolina Electric & Gas

Southern California Edison

Southwestern Electric Power

SRP

Tampa Electric

The Illuminating Company

This site was established continuing to brows the site you are agreeing to brows the site of cookies. New Haven, Conn.

Review was Reignesy and Cookie Notice for most klappils.

West Penn Power Westar Energy

WPS

Executive Name

Charles Jones

William Fehrman

Jerry Collins

Steven Holliday

Decosta Jenkins

Jimmie Stanley

Robert Rowe

Paul Caudill

Robert D. Kump

Peter Delaney

Charles Jones

Timothy Burke

Kenneth Ksionek

Anthony F. Earley, Jr.

Stefan Bird

Craig Adams

Charles Jones

Joseph Rigby

Patricia Vincent-Collawn

James Piro

Gregory Dudkin

Ralph Izzo

Ralph Izzo

Nicholas Akins

Kimberly Harris

Robert D. Kump **Cindy Crane**

Jeffrey Martin

Jim Baggs

Arlen Orchard

Kevin Marsh Pedro J. Pizarro

Nicholas Akins

Mark Bonsall

John Ramil

Charles Jones

Paul Evanson

MUKPIKET US Gale Klappa

Company Headquarters

Akron, Ohio

Des Moines, Iowa

Memphis, Tenn.

London, England

Nashville, Tenn.

Merrillville, Ind.

Sioux Falls, S.D.

Las Vegas, Nev.

Binghamton, N.Y.

Oklahoma City, Okla.

Akron, Ohio

Omaha, Neb.

Orlando, Fla.

San Francisco, Calif.

Portland, Ore.

Philadelphia, Pa.

Akron, Ohio

Washington, D.C.

Albuquerque, N.M.

Portland, Ore.

Allentown, Pa.

Newark, N.J. Newark, N.J.

Columbus, Ohio

Bellevue, Wash.

Rochester, N.Y.

Salt Lake City, Utah

San Diego, Calif.

Seattle, Wash.

Sacramento, Calif.

Cayce, S.C.

Rosemead, Calif.

Columbus, Ohio

Tempe, Ariz.

Tampa, Fla. Akron, Ohio

Milwaukee, Wis.

Greensburg, Pa.

Topeka, Kan.

Milwaukee, Wisc.



Charts and graphs extracted from this press release for use by the media must be accompanied by a statement identifying J.D. Power as the publisher and the study from which it originated as the source. Rankings are based on numerical scores, and not necessarily on statistical significance. No advertising or other promotional use can be made of the information in this release or J.D. Power survey results without the express prior written consent of J.D. Power.

Media Contacts:
John Tews
Troy, Michigan
[248] 680-6218
media.relations@jdpa.com



J:B: F8WER



Beyond Measure.

Legal Notices Privacy & Cookie Notices Terms of Use

©2017 J.D. Power and Associates. All Rights Reserved. J.D. Power is a member of CASRO and subscribes to the Code of Standards and Ethics for Survey Research.