Exhibit No.:

Issues: Rate Design

Witness: Michael J. Ensrud

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: GR-2006-0387

Date Testimony Prepared: October 31, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL J. ENSRUD

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

CASE NO. GR-2006-0387

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Atmos Energy Corporation's Tariff Revision Designed to Consolidate Rates and Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.) Case No. GR-2006-0387
AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL J. ENSRUD	
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)	
Michael J. Ensrud, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages of Rebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.	
	Muhael - manud Michael J. Ensrud
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of October, 2006.	
SUSAN L SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086	Notary Public
My commission expires $9-21-10$	

1 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY 2 3 4 5 6 OF MICHAEL J. ENSRUD 7 8 ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION 9 CASE NO. GR-2006-0387 10 11 12 Q. Please state your name and business address. 13 My name is Michael J. Ensrud, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. A. 14 Q. Are you the same Michael J. Ensrud who filed Direct Testimony in this case? 15 A. Yes. I am. 16 What issues do you plan to address in rebuttal issues? Q. 17 A. The issues I plan on addressing have to do with miscellaneous tariff charges as 18 it exists after discussions with the parties to this case. 19 Q. Has any additional information regarding Atmos' NSF charge proposal and 20 2% L&U gas proposal been brought to your attention since you filed your Direct Testimony 21 in this case? 22 Α Yes. Atmos has submitted revised data concerning the number of customers 23 affected by the Non-Sufficient Funds (NSF) charge. I will be filing Corrected Direct 24 Testimony to rectify the various counts presented in my original Direct Testimony. Also, I 25 received conflicting information regarding the Lost & Unaccounted (L&U) Gas for transport 26 customers. Nothing in the way of corrections to my Direct Testimony would change any of 27 my recommendations in Direct Testimony.

Have you any other comments regarding your Direct Testimony?

28

Q

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael J. Ensrud

1

2

3

4

- A. Yes. I was present for many of the settlement conference meetings, and nothing was brought to my attention that would change the substance of the testimony.
 - Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.