NOV 1 3 2007

Misseuri Public Service Cemmissian

Exhibit No.:

Issue: Capital Structure;

Additional Amortizations

Witness: Michael W. Cline

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company

Case No.: ER-2007-0291

Date Testimony Prepared: November 2, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2007-0291

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL W. CLINE

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri November 2007

** Designates "Highly Confidential" Information Has Been Removed.

Certain Schedules Attached To This Testimony Designated "HC" Have Been Removed Pursuant to 4 CSR 240-2.135.

Case No(s). Se-2001 - 209

Date 1 - 9-01 Rptr 20

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL W. CLINE

Case No. ER-2007-0291

| 1 | Q: | Are you the same Michael Cline who submitted Direct and Rebuttal Testimony in | | | | | |
|----|----|---|------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | this proceeding? | | | | | |
| 3 | A: | Yes, I am. | | | | | |
| 4 | Q: | What is the purpose of your True-Up Direct Testimony? | | | | | |
| 5 | A: | In my testimony, I will provide updates of the capital structure and amount of Additional | | | | | |
| 6 | | Amortizations that the Company is requesting in this proceeding | | | | | |
| 7 | Q: | Please update the Company's requested capital structure and overall rate of return. | | | | | |
| 8 | A: | The following table identifies the Company's requested capital structure components and | | | | | |
| 9 | | the resulting overall rat | e of return: | | | | |
| 10 | | Requested Capital Structure | | | | | |
| 11 | | Capital Components | Ratio _ | Cost | Weighted Cost | | |
| 12 | | Debt | 40.93% | 5.93% | 2.43% | | |
| 13 | | Preferred Stock | 1.45% | 4.29% | 0.06% | | |
| 14 | | Common Equity | 57.62% | 11.25% | 6.48% | | |
| 15 | | TOTAL | 100.00% | | 8.97% | | |
| 16 | Q: | What is the basis for t | the Company's | requested capi | tal structure and overall rate of | | |
| 17 | | return? | | | | | |
| 18 | A: | The requested capital s | structure and co | ost rates for debt | and preferred stock are calculated | | |
| 19 | | from Great Plains Ener | gy's actual cap | ital structure at S | September 30, 2007. The requested | | |

1 return on equity is the recommendation contained in the Direct Testimony of KCPL 2 witness Dr. Samuel C. Hadaway. These data are contained in Schedule MWC-8. 3 Q: How does this capital structure vary from the original request filed by the Company 4 in this proceeding? 5 A: The Company's initial capital structure request, contained in Dr. Hadaway's Direct 6 Testimony, was based on Great Plains Energy's projected capital structure at September 7 30, 2007. 8 Requested Capital Structure 9 Capital Components Ratio Weighted Cost Cost 10 Debt 45.24% 6.09% 2.76% 11 Preferred Stock 4.29% 1.33% 0.06% 12 Common Equity 53.43% 11.25% 6.01% 13 TOTAL 100.00% 8.83% 14 The Company's actual capital structure as of September 30, 2007 reflected a lower long-15 term debt component and a higher equity component than the projected levels initially 16 reflected by Dr. Hadaway. 17 Q: What accounts for this difference? 18 A: The principal difference is that the initially projected long-term debt component as of 19 September 30, 2007 reflected an issuance of ** ** of new long-term debt by 20 KCPL in September 2007. That offering was not completed. 21 Q: What are the Company's current plans with respect to that offering? 22 A: The Company has, to date, not completed this offering but still plans to do so when

market conditions are amenable. As described in my Rebuttal Testimony in this

proceeding, rather than issuing long-term debt at KCPL as reflected in Dr. Hadaway's

Schedule SCH-2, page 7, the Company now plans to issue hybrid debt at Great Plains

23

24

25

| 1 | | Energy, with the entire amount of net proceeds contributed to KCPL as capital. The |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | amount is expected to be increased from ** ** to ** to ***. |
| 3 | Q: | Does the Company have a specific target date by which it plans to complete the |
| 4 | | hybrid offering? |
| 5 | A: | Great Plains Energy is hopeful to be able to complete the offering ** |
| 6 | | **; however, such a target is, at best, uncertain in the current environment. Since |
| 7 | | June 2007, global credit markets have been highly volatile and accessing the market on |
| 8 | | attractive terms has been difficult for prospective borrowers. As such, while the offering |
| 9 | | remains part of Great Plains Energy's financing plans, there can be no assurance that the |
| 10 | | Company will complete its offering by any particular date. |
| 11 | Q: | Does the updated capital structure impact the amount of Additional Amortizations |
| 12 | | for which KCPL is filing in this rate case? |
| 13 | A: | Yes. Based on the updated capital structure, and a number of other adjustments to the |
| 14 | | components of KCPL's case from the initial filing in January 2007, KCPL now estimates |
| 15 | | that Additional Amortizations in the amount of \$14,155,968 above the \$21.7 million |
| 16 | | granted in Case No. ER-2006-0314 is needed in this proceeding. Schedule MWC-9 |
| 17 | | contains the supporting calculations for this amount. |
| 18 | Q: | Are there any changes to the methodology used by KCPL in calculating the amount |
| 19 | | of the Additional Amortizations requested in the current proceeding? |
| 20 | A: | Yes. Line 27b in Schedule MWC-9 has been added to reduce Funds from Operations by |
| 21 | | the after-tax impact of short-term interest payments. Additionally, Line 38 in Schedule |
| 22 | | MWC-9 has been adjusted to calculate short-term debt interest based on the weighted |
| 23 | | average rate for the short-term debt balances shown on Line 37. |

| 1 | Q: | Why was th | iis change in | methodology | necessary? |
|---|----|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
|---|----|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|

A: The calculation of Funds from Operations by Standard & Poor's is net of interest payments on both short-term and long-term debt. Previously, in the calculation reflected in Schedule MWC-9, line 27 deducted only the long-term interest from the Operating Income shown on line 26. Consequently, the short-term interest needed to also be deducted from Operating Income for purposes of this calculation. The income taxes included on line 22 in the calculation of Operating Income include the tax impact of the 8 long-term interest only, so the short-term interest deduction shown on line 27b must be 9 made net of income taxes.

10 Q: Would this change in methodology have changed the amount of Additional 11 Amortizations initially requested in the current proceeding?

- 12 A: No. The calculation of the amount of Additional Amortizations in the initial filing did 13 not include a projected short-term debt balance or projected short-term interest so there 14 would have been no impact on the Funds from Operations calculation and thus no impact 15 on the amount of Additional Amortizations at the time of the initial filing.
- 16 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 17 A: Yes, it does.

2

3

4

5

6

7

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Modify Its Tariff to Continue the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan Continue the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan Continue the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan |
|--|
| AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL W. CLINE |
| STATE OF MISSOURI) |
| COUNTY OF JACKSON) |
| Michael W. Cline, being first duly sworn on his oath, states: |
| 1. My name is Michael W. Cline. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am |
| employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Treasurer. |
| 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my True-Up Direct |
| Testimony on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company consisting of |
| (4) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the abov |
| captioned docket. |
| 3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that |
| my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including |
| any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and |
| Michael W. Cline |
| Subscribed and sworn before me this 2 day of November 2007. |
| Notary Public |
| My commission expires: The ADON "NOTARY SEAL" Nicole A. Wehry, Notary Public Jackson County, State of Missouri My Commission Expires 2/4/2011 Commission Number 07391200 |

GREAT PLAINS ENERGY INCORPORATED

Capitalization At September 30, 2007 (Est.)

(\$ in 000's)

| CAPITAL COMPONENT Long-Term Debt (Note 1) | AMOUNT 1,103,699 | PERCENT 40.93% | REQUIRED RETURN 5.93% | WEIGHTED RETURN 2.43% |
|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Preferred Stock | 39,000 | 1.45% | 4.29% | 0.06% |
| Common Equity Equity Adj. For All OCI Adj. Common Equity | 1,530,176 (23,351) 1,553,527 \$2,696,226 | 57.62% 100.00% | 11.25% _ | 6.48% 8.97% |

Note 1: Includes amounts classified as current liabilities.

SCHEDULE MWC-8, PAGES 2-4

THESE DOCUMENTS CONTAIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

Missouri Jurisdictional Additional Amortization for 2007 Filing

Includes \$21,679,061 Credit Ratio Amortization from ER-2006-0314 Total Jurisdictional Jurisdictional Jurisdictional J. Ine Company Allocation Adjustments Proforma Additional net Assets on KCPL's balance sheet 22,105,884 1,292,032,868 1,314,138,752 Rate Base Rev Reg Model Sch 1-055 (COL 604) NΛ Net Assets supported by LTD & Equity Justisdictional Allocator for Capital Jurisdictional Rate Base (COL 604) / Total Company Rate Base (COL 603) 52 71% 6 Total Capital Misc% %-031*1000 2.696.225.541 1,314,138,752 757,188,137 1,314,138,752 1,553,526,970 757,188,137 Preferred Misc% %-029*1000 39 000 000 1.45% 19 008 577 19.008.577 Long-term Debt Cost of Debt Misc% %-028*1000 Misc% %-034 9 10 40.93% 537,942,038 1,103,698,571 537,942,038 5.93% 100,00% 5.93% 5,93% 11 Interest Expense 31,892,135 31,892,135 Line 9 * Line 10 65,433,265 13 Refail Sales Revenue Rev Req Model Sch 1-014 plus Revenue Requirement 648,237,723 14,155,968 662,393,691 Other Revenue Rev Reg Model Sch 1-014 plus Revenue Requirement 662,393,691 15 Operating Revenue Rev Req Model Sch 1-014 plus Revenue Requirement 648,237,723 14,155,968 17 Operating & Maintenance Expenses Rev Req Model Sch 1-017 through 1-019 plus Rev Req Bad Debt 349,560,690 349,560,690 18 Depreciation 73 388 512 Rev Reg Model Sch 1-020 73 388,512 19 Amortization Rev Reg Model Sch 1-021 14,155,968 41,062,487 Interest on Customer Deposits Rev Reg Model Sch 1-022 (MO) or 1-023 (KS) 438.857 438.857 Taxes other than income taxes Federal and State income taxes Rev Req Model Sch 1-024 plus Rev Req KCMO Earnings Taxes Rev Req Model Sch 1-025 plus Rev Req Income Taxes 21 42 294,020 42,294,020 23 Gains on disposition of plant Rev Reg Model Sch 1-026 Total Electric Operating Expenses 532,380,958 14,155,968 546,536,926 Sum of Lines 17 to 23 ō Operating Income Rev Reg Model Sch 1-029 0 115,856,765 115,856,765 less Long-term Interest Expense less Short-term Interest Expense net of tax (31,892,135) (4,783,218) 27 - Line 11 - Line 38 * (1 - line 69) (31,892,135) Depreciation Amortization Rev Req Model Sch 1-020 Rev Req Model Sch 1-021 73,388,512 41,062,487 28 29 73,388,512 14,155,968 26,906,519 Rev Reg Model Sch 7-114 (COL 604) 30 31 Deferred Taxes (1,497,974) (5,488,269) Funds from Operations (FFO) Sum of Lines 26 to 30 8.667.599 186,545,168 177,978,470 32 33 83,964,630 83,964,630 11.1% 57.6% 34 Return on Equity Line 33 / Line 7 0.0% 11.089% 0.0% 35 Unadjusted Equity Ratio Line 7 / Line 6 57.6% Additional financial information needed for the calculation of ratios Capitalized Lease Obligations KCPL Trial Balance accts 227100 & 243100 1.193.189 2.263.682 1.193.189 KCPL Trial Balance accis 231xxx KCPL average short-term debt rate * Line 37 Short-term Deht Ralan 136,333,088 Short-term Debt Interest 7,811,886 14,820,473 7,811,886 Adjustments made by Rating Agencies for Off-Balance Sheet Obligations Debt Adjustments for Off-Balance Sheet Obligations 40 Operating Lease Debt Equivalen Present Value of Operating Lease Obligations discounted @ 5.93% 45,678,340 45,678,340 10,632,360 Purchase Power Debt Equivalent Present Value of Purchase Power Obligations discounted @ 5.93% 20.171.391 10,632,360 Accounts Receivable Sale KCPL Trial Balance account 142011 36,897,069 93,207,769 36,897,069 93,207,769 70,000,000 176,830,953 Total OBS Debt Adjustment Sum of Lines 40 to 42 Depreciation Adjustment for Operating Leases 10.477.788 5.522.852 5.522.852 Interest Adjustments for Off-Balance Sheet Obligations 46 Present Value of Operating Leases Line 40 * 5.939 5,137,651 2,708,061 2,708,061 Purchase Power Debt Equivalent Line 41 * 5.93% 1,195,870 630,344 630,344 Line 42 * 5% Accounts Receivable Sale 844 853 844.853 Total OBS Interest Adjustment 9,833,521 5,183,259 Sum of Lines 46 to 48 5,183,259 Ratio Calculations Adjusted Interest Expense 44,887,280 90.087.259 44.887.280 Line 11 + Line 38 + Line 49 Adjusted Total Debt Adjusted Total Capital Line 9 + Line 36 + Line 37 + Line 43 Line 6 + Line 36 + Line 37 + Line 43 51 52 768,676,083 1,544,872,797 3.133.967.175 1.544.872.797 53 FFO Interest Coverage (Line 31 + Line 50) / Line 50 4.97 0.19 5.16 1.00 55 FFO as a % of Average Total Debt 0.7% 25.05 Line 51 / Line 52 Total Debt to Total Capital 49.8% 49.8% 49.2% 0.0% Changes required to meet ratio targets FFQ Interest Coverage Target 0.00 FFO adjustment to meet target (Line 57 - Line 54) * Line 50 252.244.326 (52,294,086) (8.667,699) (60,961,785) 21,772,066 Line 31 * (1 / (Line 57 - 1) - 1 / (Line 54 - 1)) Interest adjustment to meet target 21,772,066 FFO as a % of Average Total Debt Target 25% 25 X 259 FFO adjustment to meet target (Line 61 - Line 55) * Line 51 Line 31 * (1 / Line 61 - 1 / Line 55) 62 374,882,263 8.667.699 (8,667,699) (0) 33,627,306 (33,627,306) 65 Total Debt to Total Capital Target 0% 51% 51% 66 Debt adjustment to meet target (Line 65 - Line 66) * Line 52 56.883.054 19,209,043 19.209.043 Total Capital adjustment to meet target (111,535,400) Line 51 / Line 65 - Line 52 (37,664,791) (37,884,791) Amortization and Revenue needed to meet targeted ratios (8.667,699) FFO adjustment needed to meet terret ratios Maximum of Line 58 . Line 62 . or Zero 374.882.263 8,667,699 Accounting Schedule 11 - Line 69 / (1 - Line 69) Effective income tax rate 38,77% 38,77% 5,488,269 38.779 Deferred income taxes 70 (237,370,331) (5.488,269) 71 Total amortization required for the FFO adjustment Line 68 - Line 70 612,252,594 14,155,968 (14,155,968) 73 Retail Sales Revenue Adkistment 848 237 723 14.155 968 682,393,691 Percent increase in retail sales revenue Line 73 Jurisdictional Adjustments / Line 73 Jurisdictional 2.2% Adjusted for known and measurable changes including changes related to new plant in-service