BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Tariff Filing of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE, to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area.

<u>Case No. ER-2007-0002</u> Tariff No. YE-2007-0007

REVISION TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS GUY C. GILBERT

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and notifies

the parties and the Commission of revisions to the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Guy C.

Gilbert the Staff prefiled in this case on January 31, 2007, as follows:

1. On page 4 at lines 5-14 of the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff witness Guy C. Gilbert

prefiled January 31, 2007, appear the following question and answer:

DISMANTLEMENT COSTS

Q. Is AmerenUE receiving dismantlement costs?

A. Yes, the Company receives net salvage amounts throughout the life of the plant that are based on interim retirements this is accrued as a percentage annually of the entire plant value. For example a negative three percent net salvage for a plant life of 35 years would return more than 100% of the original plant cost. AmerenUE projects its coal plants will last approximately 50 years. Based on this 50 year life the negative 3 percent net salvage would provide 150% of the plants original cost back to the Company. The Company also receives 100% of the original cost and interim additions as represented by the average service life component of the depreciation rate.

2. The foregoing does not reflect how this Commission has treated net salvage

associated with depreciation and how the Staff approached net salvage in this case; therefore, the

Staff notifies the parties Guy C. Gilbert is revising his rebuttal testimony to withdraw the

foregoing question and answer, along with the corresponding Dismantlement Cost index entry on page i.

3. The amount of reserve over accrual of about \$920 million that appears in the rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Guy C. Gilbert on page 7 at lines 10, 13 and 16 is incorrect. The correct amount is about \$766 million; therefore, Guy C. Gilbert is revising "\$920 million" appearing at those locations to "\$766 million."

4. Schedule GCG 1-2 to the rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Guy C. Gilbert prefiled January 31, 2007, is incomplete; therefore, Guy C. Gilbert is revising the schedule by adding the following entries to the end of the table appearing in that schedule:

11/04/04	Depreciation of Plant	ER-2004-0570	Direct	Empire District Electric
				Company
9/11/06	Depreciation of Plant	GR-2005-0387	Direct	Atmos Energy Company
12/11/06	Depreciation of Plant	GR-2005-0422	Direct	Missouri Gas Energy

WHEREFORE the Staff notifies the parties and the Commission that Staff witness Guy

C. Gilbert is revising his Rebuttal Testimony prefiled January 31, 2007, as set forth above.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Nathan Williams

Nathan Williams Deputy General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 35512

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-8702 (Telephone) (573) 751-9285 (Fax) nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or emailed to all counsel of record this 9th day of February, 2007.

/s/ Nathan Williams