

Diana M. Vuylsteke Voice: (314) 259-2543 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

May 31, 2007

Ms. Cully Dale Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 FILED°

Missouri Public Service Commission

Re:

Case No. ER-2007-0002

I iana Viylsteke

Dear Ms. Dale:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case is <u>The Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers' Application for Rehearing or Reconsideration</u>.

Thank you for your assistance in bringing this filing to the attention of the Commission, and please call me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Diana M. Vuylsteke

DMV:rms

Enclosure cc: All parties

www.bryancave.com

Bryan Cave LLP
One Metropolitan Square
211 North Broadway

St. Louis, MO 63102-2750

Tel (314) 259-2000

Fax (314) 259-2020

Suite 3600

Chicago

Hong Kong

Irvine

Jefferson City

Kansas City

Kuwait

Los Angeles

New York

Phoenix

Shanghai

St. Louis

Washington, DC

And Bryan Cave, A Multinational Partnership,

London

FILED³

MAY 3 1 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Missouri Public Service Commission

In the Matter of Union Electric Company)	
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File)	Case No. ER-2007-0002
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service)	
Provided to Customers in the Company's)	
Missouri Service Area		

THE MISSOURI INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS' APPLICATION FOR REHEARING OR RECONSIDERATION

Comes now the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers ("MIEC") and, pursuant to Section 386.500 RSMo and 4 CSR 240-2.160, seeks rehearing and reconsideration of the Commission's May 22, 2007 Report and Order. The Order is unlawful, unjust, unreasonable, arbitrary and capricious for the reasons discussed below.

A. TERMINAL NET SALVAGE AND INFLATION COSTS RELATING TO RETIREMENT OF GENERATING PLANTS

The Commission's Order concludes that the terminal net salvage related to the Steam and Hydraulic Plant depreciation shall not be recovered from ratepayers at this time. (Order Page 89) Yet the Commission accepts the net salvage percentages advocated by the Staff for the Steam and Hydraulic Plant depreciation rates. These net salvage percentage, by definition, include a provision for terminal net salvage because they are applied to 100% of the investment in these accounts.

The evidence shows that by applying these net salvage ratios to all of the investment included in the Steam and Hydraulic Plant depreciation accounts, the resulting depreciation rates effectively contain a provision for terminal net salvage. The application of these depreciation rates to the plant balances therefore will result in AmerenUE recovering a net salvage provision for all of the investment included in these accounts. As a result, the approved depreciation rates do include a component for terminal net salvage, contrary to the Commission's stated intent.

B. <u>HISTORIC OR FUTURE INFLATION RATES WHEN ESTIMATING NET SALVAGE</u>

In the Order on page 93, the Commission concluded that past history is a better predictor of future inflation for ratemaking purposes. The Commission rejected any adjustment to the net salvage rates for the Transmission, Distribution and General plant for future inflation. MIEC contends that the Commission should have adjusted the proposed book depreciation rates for Transmission, Distribution and General plant accounts to reflect estimates of future inflation, rather than rely on historical trends or inflation rates to develop net salvage percentages to be included in depreciation rates.

As shown in the prefiled testimony of Mr. Sclecky on behalf of MIEC and Mr. William Dunkel on behalf of the Office of Public Council, over the last 40 plus years, the rate of inflation has averaged over 4% per year. However, annual future inflation projections provided by the <u>Survey of Professional Forecasters</u> and the <u>Annual Energy Outlook</u> indicate that projections for future inflation are expected to be approximately 2.5% per year. Relying on past inflation to develop depreciation rates will overstate the net salvage component of the depreciation expense for the Transmission, Distribution and General plant accounts. MIEC recommends that that Commission utilize a rate of inflation of approximately 2.5% to develop the TDG net salvage percentages.

It should be noted that AmerenUE utilized an inflation rate of approximately 2% to escalate the costs associated with dismantling its steam production plant. These cost estimates were used for the period 2005 through 2026. Therefore, the Commission should reduce the escalation included in the depreciation rates from approximately 4% to approximately 2.5%. This would reduce the net salvage percentages by 45%.

C. RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY

The Order finds a fair return on equity for AmerenUE to be 10.2%. The return is based largely on the Commission's finding that IIEC witness Gorman's recommend return of 9.8% is 2481721.2

٦

reasonable. However, the Commission found that this equity return should be "pushed up a bit" to reflect the denial of a fuel adjustment mechanism (Order at 42 and 43). The Order also specifically found that the Commission was not persuaded by the other witnesses in the rate proceeding (Order

at 43).

The Commission's award of a return on equity of 10.2% should be reconsidered, and the

Commission should award AmerenUE a return of 9.8%. Mr. Gorman's return on equity of 9.8%

was based on AmerenUE's current investment risk, which is without a fuel adjustment mechanism.

Therefore, it is not appropriate for the Commission to "push up" Mr. Gorman's estimated return of

equity of 9.8% to reflect its rejection of AmerenUE's request for a fuel adjustment mechanism.

Had the Commission approved a fuel adjustment mechanism for AmerenUE, AmerenUE's

risk would have been lowered, and would have justified a reduction to Mr. Gorman's recommended

return of 9.8%. However, since the Commission did not approve a fuel adjustment mechanism,

AmerenUE's risk was not altered, and Mr. Gorman's finding of a 9.8% return on equity based on

AmerenUE's current risk should be approved in this proceeding.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the MIEC respectfully requests the

Commission grant its Application for Rehearing or Reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,

BRYAN CAVE, LLP

By:

Diana M. Vuylsteke, # 42419

211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone: (314) 259-2543 Facsimile: (314) 259-2020

E-mail: dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

ana Vuylsteke

Attorney for The Missouri Industrial

Energy Consumers

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

l do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been e-mailed this 31st day of May, 2007 to all parties on the Commission's service list in this case.

Diana Vingleteke

2481721.2