Exhibit No.:

Issue: Rate Design

Witness: Donald E. Johnstone Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: AGP and

City of Parkville

Case Number: WR-2007-0216 Date Prepared: June 12, 2007

# Missouri American Water Company WR-2007-0216

**Direct Testimony of** 

Donald E. Johnstone

on behalf of the

CITY OF PARKVILLE, MISSOURI and AG PROCESSING INC A COOPERATIVE

June 12, 2007



#### **BEFORE THE**

#### **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water ) Company's request for Authority to Implement) A General Rate Increase for Water Service ) Provided in Missouri Service Areas )				
	Affidavit of Donal	d E. Jo	hnstone	
)	SS			
	for Aurease 1	for Authority to Implement rease for Water Service i Service Areas  Affidavit of Donald  )	for Authority to Implement) rease for Water Service ) ri Service Areas )  Affidavit of Donald E. Jo	

- Donald E. Johnstone, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:
- 1. My name is Donald E. Johnstone. I am a consultant and President of Competitive Energy Dynamics, L. L. C. I reside at 384 Black Hawk Drive, Lake Ozark, MO 65049. I have been retained AG PROCESSING INC A COOPERATIVE and the City of Parkville in this proceeding.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes are my rebuttal testimony and schedules in written form for introduction into evidence in the above captioned proceeding.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my rebuttal testimony and schedules are true and correct and show the matters and things they purport to show.

Donald E. Johnstone

Subscribed and sworn before me this 12th day of June, 2007

Caroly Meporadry Notary Public

Competitive Energy DYNAMICS

CAROLYN NEPORADNY
Notary Public - Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
Commissioned for Camden County
My Commission Expires: August 30, 2009
Commission Number 05452654

# Missouri American Water Company WR-2007-0216

# Direct Testimony of Donald E. Johnstone

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION	1
THE MAWC RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL	:
RECOMMENDATION	-

Competitive Energy DYNAMICS

# Missouri American Water Company

#### WR 2003-0500

## Direct Testimony of Donald E. Johnstone

## 1 INTRODUCTION

7

2	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
3	Α	Donald E. Johnstone. My address is 384 Black Hawk Drive, Lake Ozark, MC
4		65049.
5	0	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
3	Q.	TO WHOM ARE TOO EMILECTED AND IN WHAT CALACITY.
6	Α	I am President of Competitive Energy Dynamics, L. L. C. My qualifications and

experience are set forth in Schedule 1 attached to this testimony.

# 8 O ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING?

I am appearing on behalf of AG PROCESSING INC A COOPERATIVE ("AGP") and the City of Parkville. The City of Parkville is a customer of the Missouri American Water Company ("MAWC") in the Platte County District (aka Parkville District) and AGP is a customer in the St. Joseph District.

#### 13 Q WHAT IS THE INTEREST OF YOUR CLIENTS IN THIS PROCEEDING?

14 A My clients share an interest in appropriate rates for the services they receive 15 from MAWC. The City of Parkville also has an interest in appropriate rates for services its citizens receive from MAWC and that the rates be appropriately based on cost of service. They support the proposition that the reasonable cost of providing services should be the fundamental starting point for the design of rates. At the same time, they wish to avoid undue discrimination in rates - which can arise when different rates are charged to customers that are similarly situated. The point is that different rates are appropriate only to the extent that there are differences in costs that are reflected in the rates. Otherwise, it is important that similarly situated customers in the same district pay the same rate.

AGP and the City of Parkville share a concern that the applicable rates proposed by MAWC and the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) may vary substantially from an appropriate cost basis. In the Saint Joseph District there is the added concern of rates that are highly discriminatory. In this case MAWC has no cost-of-service study in support of its proposed rates. Also, my clients are quite concerned with the use of the recently created rate classes (i.e. Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Public Authority and Sales for Resale). First, the tariff does not define what it takes to be in one of these classes. More importantly, there is no MAWC cost study in this case, and in prior studies the statistical data for the MAWC districts that would be necessary to support a reliable study result either did not exist or was not available.

Silence on other issues and the testimonies of other parties does not

indicate either support or acquiescence to any other particular proposal and
my clients reserve the right to assert additional positions at appropriate times
in this proceeding.

THE MAWC RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL

- 5 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL OF MAWC FOR THE ST.
  6 JOSEPH AND PLATTE COUNTY DISTRICTS.
- MAWC proposes a continuation of the existing structures for the Saint Joseph

  District and the Platte County District and the same percentage increase for all

  districts. This means that class differentiated rates are again proposed for the

  Saint Joseph District. Also, there is a fundamental problem in that neither the

  present nor the proposed tariff states definitions for what it takes to belong to

  one or another of the rate classes.

13

14

#### RECOMMENDATION

- 15 Q DOES AGP OPPOSE THE CONTINUED USE OF THE RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL,
- 16 INDUSTRIAL, PUBLIC AUTHORITY, AND SALES FOR RESALE CUSTOMER
- 17 CLASSES?
- 18 A Yes, unless there is a proper demonstration of need. The principle question is
- really whether there are measured differences in usage characteristics that in
- 20 turn create a need for distinct rates. If measured differences do exist then
- 21 there are additional questions: 1) are there homogeneous classes that can be

Page 3

1		defined on the basis of load and usage characteristics; and 2) do the
2		differences lead to different costs of service and different rates. My clients
3		believe there should be no class distinctions unless these conditions exist in
4		reality for these districts.
5	Q	DOES THE CITY OF PARKVILLE OPPOSE THE CONTINUED USE OF THE
6		RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, PUBLIC AUTHORITY, AND SALES
7		FOR RESALE CUSTOMER CLASSES?
8	Α	In the Parkville district the rates were rationalized in the last rate case and set
9		equal for the customer classes. Therefore, although the tariff sets forth
10		separate usage charges for each class, in reality the rates are identical for all
11		practical purposes. That said, it would be good to simplify the tariff sheets to
12		include only the single rate that exists.
13	Q	SHOULD THE RELATIVE CHARGES WITHIN THE DISTRICTS AND THE RATE
14		CLASSES BE CHANGED IN THIS PROCEEDING?
15	Α	Yes. To lock in the currently existing rate design with a pro rata approach to
16		any rate change would be a mistake for the Saint Joseph District. It would not
17		resolve the undue discrimination that exists in the Saint Joseph District rate
18		structure.
19	Q	WHAT CHANGES DO YOU RECOMMEND?
20	A	First, the uniform charges to customers based on meter and size of service
21		should be continued. As to the usage charges, I recommend a rationalization of Page 4

Competitive Energy DYNAMICS

1 the Saint Joseph District rates to resolve the problem of undue discrimination. 2 The result will be uniform usage rates for the district. WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SPEAK OF RATIONALIZATION OF THE 3 Q 4 RATES? 5 In the absence of a properly done cost-of-service it is nevertheless possible to resolve discrimination problems and to establish a logical relationship among 6 7 the various elements of the rates. 8 Q HOW SHOULD THE USAGE CHARGES FOR THE SAINT JOSEPH DISTRICT BE 9 CHANGED? 10 I used a two step process. First I computed the weighted average of the rates Α charged for each usage block. This resulted in a single set of usage rates for all 11 12 classes with an effect of decreasing the revenues derived from the Industrial 13 and Sales for Resale classes and increasing the revenues from the other classes. 14 Assuming that Triumph is receiving service under a Commission approved 15 contract, I did not propose modification to that rate at this time, although I 16 note a usage rate of \$.453 per 1000 gallons is substantially less than even the 17 tail blocks of the other rates. 18 As a second step I adjusted the third block to the present level of the 19 industrial rate third block and I adjusted the tail block to a level 5.1% less than 20 the present industrial rate tail block. In order to maintain the same revenues I 21 adjusted the charges in the first two blocks to maintain present revenue level.

#### 1 Q WHAT RATES DO YOU RECOMMEND FOR THE SAINT JOSEPH DISTRICT?

2 A I recommend the following rates based on the revenue level of present rates:

Saint Joseph District				
Recommended Volumetric Rates Based				
on Rate Rationalization				
Volumetric Block	Recommended Rates			
	(per 1000 gallons)			
First	\$3.3239			
Second	\$2.7317			
Third	\$1.7151			
Tail	\$1.3296			

#### 3 Q WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF YOUR RATE RECOMMENDATION ON THE REVENUES

#### 4 OF THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?

5

6

7

8

9

10

A First, note the lack of clear definition of the customer classes in the present tariff. With that caveat, the revenues derived from the "classes" on an overall revenue neutral basis will change. They will increase 7.5% for the Residential class, increase 5.5% for the Commercial class, decrease 7.4% for the Industrial class, no change for Triumph, increase by 1.2% for Other Public Authorities, and decrease by 21.7% for the Sales for Resale class.

#### 1 O DO YOU RECOMMENDATION ELIMINATION OF THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?

Yes. Since the rates will then be uniform among the respective classes in both the Platte County and St. Joseph Districts, the Class distinctions may be removed for these districts.

#### 5 Q DO YOU HAVE ANY ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS?

Yes. In consideration of the approval of the volumetric rate element for Triumph at \$.453 per 1000 gallons, MAWC, the Staff, and the Commission must have determined that the variable cost of water is no more than \$.453. As such I can also recommend a rate design in which the volumetric charge is set at the variable cost level (\$.453 in the Saint Joseph District and an analogous level in Platte County District) for all customers and collect the remainder of the costs through cost based fixed charges. This approach is often characterized as a straight fixed-variable rate design. It is an accepted cost-based approach to utility rates that will eliminate the discrimination that arises when rates are not cost based. The straight fixed-variable approach to residential rates was recently proposed by Staff and approved by the Commission in an Atmos gas rate case.

#### 18 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

19 A Yes it does.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Α

## Qualifications of Donald E. Johnstone

#### Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.

A Donald E. Johnstone. My address is 19 Black Hawk Drive, Lake Ozark, MO 65049.

#### Q PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.

A I am President of Competitive Energy Dynamics, L.L.C. and a consultant in the field of public utility regulation.

#### Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.

A In 1968, I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Missouri at Rolla. After graduation, I worked in the customer engineering division of a computer manufacturer. From 1969 to 1973, I was an officer in the Air Force, where most of my work was related to the Aircraft Structural Integrity Program in the areas of data processing, data base design and economic cost analysis. Also in 1973, I received a Master of Business Administration Degree from Oklahoma City University.

From 1973 through 1981, I was employed by a large Midwestern utility and worked in the Power Operations and Corporate Planning Functions. While in the Power Operations Function, I had assignments relating to the peak demand and net output forecasts and load behavior studies which included such factors as weather, conservation and seasonality. I also analyzed the cost of

Schedule 1 Page 1 replacement energy associated with forced outages of generation facilities. In the Corporate Planning Function, my assignments included developmental work on a generation expansion planning program and work on the peak demand and sales forecasts. From 1977 through 1981, I was Supervisor of the Load Forecasting Group where my responsibilities included the Company's sales and peak demand forecasts and the weather normalization of sales.

In 1981, I began consulting, and in 2000, I created the firm Competitive Energy Dynamics, L.L.C. As a part of my twenty years of consulting practice, I have participated in the analysis of various electric, gas, water, and sewer utility matters, including the analysis and preparation of cost-of-service studies and rate analyses. In addition to general rate cases, I have participated in electric fuel and gas cost reviews and planning proceedings, policy proceedings, market price surveys, generation capacity evaluations, and assorted matters related to the restructuring of the electric and gas industries. I have also assisted companies seeking locations for new manufacturing facilities.

I have testified before the state regulatory commissions of Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Massachusetts, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia, and the Rate Commission of the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District.

Schedule 1 Page 2