MISSOURI STATE HIGHWAY PATROL REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

STATE CONTROL NO.: 05 362 024 017

REPORTING OFFICER: SERGEANT M. S. DOCHTERMAN 0250 TROOP OF OCCURRENCE: G

OCC TYPE: TAUM SAUK RESERVOIR BREACH

COUNTY:

REYNOLDS

DATE/TIME:

DECEMBER 14, 2005

LOCATION:

OFFENSE STATUS: INVESTIGATION CONTINUING

LESTERVILLE, MISSOURI

REPORT DATE: 01/09/06

SCENE PROCESSED: N

DDCC AT SCENE: N

Statt Exhibit No. Case No(s). E3 - 2007 -0 Date &-U\-07

DETAILS OF INVESTIGATION

INTERVIEW WITH THOMAS C. PIERIE

1. On Monday, January 9, 2006, approximately 0948 hours, Sergeant David C. Bauer, of the Missouri State Highway Patrol, Division of Drug and Crime Control, and I interviewed Thomas C. Pierie concerning the breach of the Taum Sauk upper reservoir in Reynolds County, Missouri, on Wednesday, December 14, 2005. The interview was conducted in a private office at Ameren UE in St. Louis, Missouri. Present during the interview were Steve L. Burnett, Security Investigations Coordinator, and Susan B. Knowles, Associate General Counsel of Ameren. The interview concluded at approximately 1005 hours.

2. Thomas C. Pierie is a white male, His residential address is His residential telephone number is a Consulting Project Engineer and has been with Ameren for approximately four years.

3. Mr. Pierie stated he works Monday through Friday between 0630 hours to 1500 hours. Mr. Pierie stated he went to Taum Sauk and inspected the "high and high-high" probes at the upper reservoir. He stated he did not know the date, but knew it was before October 7, 2005, because he was looking at his email and there was a note dated October 7, referring to his visit to Taum Sauk when inspecting the probes. Mr. Pierie stated he reported the "high and high-high" probes were located seven and four inches from the top of the reservoir wall. Mr. Pierie stated, "They should have been twenty-four and twenty-two inches from the top of the wall. Mr. Pierie stated Bob Scott was with him when inspecting the probes. Mr. Pierie stated there have been reports of wave action on top of the reservoir. The waves would hit the probes when they were set at twenty-four and twenty-two inches. The probes were too low because of the wave action. He stated, "They must have been raised, but I don't know by who?" Mr. Pierie stated there was blue tape that was still intact to the probe casing, but there was black tape present that was not previously on the sheath of the probes, indicating the probes had been moved. Mr. Pierie was asked what the protocol was for moving the probes. Mr. Pierie stated there was none. He stated he did not test the probes on that particular date. He stated there was no alarm for the "high" probe, but there is one from the "high-high" probe.

CASE#: 05 362 024 017

4. Mr. Pierie stated, in 2004, a survey was completed on the upper reservoir by Steve Blommer. The survey reported there was at least one-foot difference between the low point and high point of the reservoir. Mr. Pierie stated the gauge house was one of the high points, but did not know the exact measured difference between the gauge house, where the probes were located, and the low point.

5. This investigation is continuing.

Mark S. Dochterman, Sergeant Division of Drug and Crime Control MSD: lmm