Exhibit No.:

Issue: Property Tax Expense Witness: Melissa K. Hardesty

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company
Case No.: ER-2016-0285

Date Testimony Prepared: March 10, 2017

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: ER-2016-0285

### TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

#### **MELISSA K. HARDESTY**

#### ON BEHALF OF

### KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri March 2017

# TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

# OF

# MELISSA K. HARDESTY

# Case No. ER-2016-0285

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.		
2	A:	My name is Melissa K. Hardesty. My business address is 1200 Main Street, Kansas City,		
3		Missouri 64105.		
4	Q:	Are you the same Melissa K. Hardesty who pre-filed Rebuttal and Surrebutta		
5		Testimony in this matter?		
6	A:	Yes, I am.		
7	Q:	What is the purpose of your True-Up Rebuttal Testimony?		
8	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the property tax adjustment for the true-up		
9		period previously proposed in the rebuttal testimony of the Missouri Public Service		
10		Commission ("MPSC"), Staff ("Staff") Karen Lyons (page 26, lines 20-25) and agreed to		
11		by the Company.		
12	Q:	What is the tax related adjustments proposed by Ms. Lyons?		
13	A:	Ms. Lyons proposed to adjust the property tax expense in this case for the true-up period		
14		to an amount computed by determining a ratio of property tax payments for 2016 divided		
15		by taxable property owned by KCP&L at December 31, 2015 (which is the effective date		
16		for January 1, 2016 tax filings) and then multiplying it times the taxable property at		
17		December 31, 2016 (which is the effective date for January 1, 2017 tax filings) to		
18		compute the estimated property taxes for the true-up period. Then she added the		

1		contractual payments in lieu of taxes (PILO1) applicable to non-taxable property to		
2		compute total annualized property tax expenses in this case.		
3	Q:	Is this method consistent with how property taxes were computed in prior KCP&L		
4		rate cases?		
5	A:	Yes. This is the method used in prior rate cases for KCP&L to reflect taxes incurred and		
6		plant balances placed in service during the true-up period.		
7	Q:	Does the Company agree with the Staff's method of adjusting property tax		
8		expenses.		
9	A:	Yes. The Company agrees with the Staff's method of adjusting property tax expense.		
10		The application of the most current tax ratio and plant-in-service balances in the true up		
11		period will ensure that all actual plant additions and actual property tax rates as of		
12		December 31, 2016 will be used to compute the annualized level of property tax expense.		
13		The plant-in-service balance and the tax ratio are clearly known and measurable at year		
14		end.		
15		Use of any other property tax expense adjustment method would fail to recognize		
16		the additions of plant placed in service from January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016.		
17		These assets are included in the true-up period in this case and the Company should also		
18		be allowed to recognize an increase in property tax expense related to these additions.		
19		Therefore, KCP&L believes that the ratio method proposed in testimony by Staff		
20		witness Karen Lyons and agreed to by the Company is appropriate and should be used to		
21		compute the annualized property tax expense in this case.		
22	Q:	Does that conclude your True-Up Rebuttal Testimony?		
23	A:	Yes, it does.		

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service	) Case No. ER-2016-0285
AFFIDAVIT OF MELISSA K.	HARDESTY
STATE OF MISSOURI )	
COUNTY OF JACKSON )	
Melissa K. Hardesty, being first duly sworn on his	oath, states:
1. My name is Melissa K. Hardesty. I world	c in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am
employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Sen	ior Director of Taxes.
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof fo	r all purposes is my True-Up Rebuttal
Testimony on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Comp	any consisting of two
(2) pages, having been prepared in written form for in	ntroduction into evidence in the above-
captioned docket.	
3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth the	herein. I hereby swear and affirm that
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the qu	nestions therein propounded, including
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the be	st of my knowledge, information and
belief.  Melissa K. Ha	La da of
Subscribed and sworn before me this 10th day of March	
My commission expires: Two 42019	NICOLE A. WEHRY Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Jackson County My Commission Expires: February 04, 2019 Commission Number: 14391200