Exhibit No.:

Issue:Noranda RateWitness:Thomas N. HarrisType of Exhibit:Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

Case No.: ER-2014-0258

Date Testimony Prepared: December 19, 2014

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2014-0258

Direct Testimony of

Thomas N. Harris

On behalf of

Noranda Aluminum, Inc.

December 19, 2014

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Misso Tariff to Increase its Revenue Electric Service))) Case No. ER-2014-0258)))	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	SS
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO)	33

Affidavit of Thomas N. Harris

Thomas N. Harris, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

- 1. My name is Thomas N. Harris.
- 2. Attached hereto, and made a part hereof for all purposes, is my direct testimony, which was prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2014-0258.
 - 3. I hereby swear and affirm that the testimony is true and correct.

Thomas N. Harris

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19 th day of pecember, 2014.

WADE J. HALL
Commission # 1983128
Notary Public - California
San Mateo County
My Comm. Expires Jun 23, 2016

Notary Public

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric	
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's	S
Tariff to Increase its Revenues for	•
Electric Service	

Case No. ER-2014-0258

Direct Testimony of Thomas N. Harris

1 Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

2 A Thomas N. Harris. My address is 10 Woodhill Drive, Redwood City, CA

3 94061.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q

Α

WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION AND BACKGROUND?

I am a banker with a specialty in leveraged finance for corporations across a variety of industries, including Technology, Commodities, Industrials and Energy. I am, and have been since July 2013, a Director at Silicon Valley Bank in Palo Alto, California, where I provide financing advice and loan syndication services to technology companies and private equity firms that issue corporate loans. I formerly worked in investment banking, from March 2006 to May 2009, and from July 2010 to October 2012, at Merrill Lynch & Co. and its successor firm Bank of America Merrill Lynch, specializing first in leveraged finance and later in software industry coverage. While at Merrill Lynch, I advised clients on raising over \$13 billion of capital in the

leveraged finance markets. Prior to that time, I was at TD Securities, the
investment banking unit of TD Bank, from 1997 to 2006, specializing in
Leveraged Finance, including high yield bonds and leveraged loans. I hold
a Bachelor of Science from NYU Stern School of Business and an MBA with
honors (Beta Gamma Sigma) from Columbia Business School.

Q

Α

HOW ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH NORANDA?

While working in the Leveraged Finance group at Merrill Lynch in New York, NY, I participated in the financing of the acquisition of Noranda by Apollo Group. A few years after the acquisition, I worked for 14 months, from June 2009 through July 2010, for Noranda. In addition, while at Merrill Lynch and later at Noranda, I became intimately familiar with the forward curve for price of primary aluminum on the London Metals Exchange, or LME.

Q

Α

WHAT WERE YOUR DUTIES WHILE EMPLOYED BY NORANDA?

I was first Director and then Vice President of Strategic Development, where my duties included running the financial modeling, capital markets activities and mergers and acquisitions in conjunction with senior management.

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS

CASE?

Q

Α

The purpose of my testimony is to demonstrate that without Noranda's requested rate relief, Noranda will be unable to obtain access to capital

1		necessary to operate the New Madrid Smelter and as a result will be
2		required to close the smelter.
3		
4	Q	HAVE YOU REVIEWED MR. BOYLE'S TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE?
5	Α	Yes.
6		
7	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF MR. BOYLE'S
8		TESTIMONY?
9	Α	Mr. Boyles presents what he calls financial curves for primary aluminum
10		prices and corresponding financial models for the company's projected
11		results, as he supports his conclusion that Noranda will be required to close
12		the New Madrid Smelter due to an inability to obtain debt financing unless it
13		obtains electric rate relief. He explains that increases in Noranda's cost of
14		electricity have caused Noranda to be unable to obtain financing for its
15		proposed rod mill **
16		
17		**. Mr. Boyles points out that lenders, debt
18		investors and the credit rating agencies look at both historical and projected
19		financial results when analyzing a company's credit-worthiness and, thus,
20		2015 to 2021 are critical time periods for Noranda to exhibit stronger cash
21		flow and a healthy financial profile to support a refinancing of the ABL, given
22		the planned undertaking of refinancing activities in early 2016 and 2018.
23		He also explains the measures management has taken and will continue to

1		take to increase efficiency, which are vital, in addition to the requested rate
2		relief, for Noranda to remain financially viable. He states that without the
3		requested rate relief, Noranda will be required to cease operation of the
4		New Madrid Smelter.
5		
6	Q	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE FINANCIAL MODEL ATTACHED AS
7		EXHIBIT A TO MR. BOYLE'S TESTIMONY?
8	Α	Yes, both the hard copy and in native electronic form.
9		
10	Q	DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. BOYLE'S CONCLUSION THAT NORANDA
11		WILL PROBABLY BE UNABLE TO OBTAIN DEBT FINANCING
12		NECESSARY TO KEEP THE NEW MADRID SMELTER OPEN WITHOUT
13		RATE RELIEF?
14	Α	Yes. Based upon my review of the financial model, I come to the same
15		conclusion that Mr. Boyles does, namely that Noranda will be unable to
16		secure financing to maintain operations without a sustainable power rate
17		such as that in Noranda's Proposed Rate Structure. Dr. Schwartz's
18		multi-scenario analysis also supports this conclusion. Even with the
19		savings under Noranda's proposed rate and with the continued efficiency
20		measures that will be undertaken by Noranda's management, **
21		**.
22		However, the new power rate and long-term stability provided by the 7-year
23		proposed term will have a meaningful positive impact on the cost structure

1		of Noranda, making it significantly more likely that Noranda will be able to
2		obtain credit and maintain operations at the smelter.
3		
4	Q	CAN NORANDA MERELY RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL THROUGH
5		ISSUANCE OF DEBT OR EQUITY WITHOUT FIRST CHANGING ITS
6		ECONOMIC METRICS?
7	Α	No. Based upon my experience as a banker and leveraged financier
8		Noranda will be unable to raise capital without first fundamentally improving
9		its cash flow and thereby demonstrating its long-term viability. Lenders loan
10		money that they have a reasonable expectation will be repaid, with interest
11		and equity owners invest money for a return, but typically will not invest in a
12		company with Noranda's financial metrics, particularly where they perceive
13		a meaningful risk of financial distress and impairment in long-term viability
14		that could completely wipe out their equity investment.
15		
16		Noranda's leverage ratio is at nearly 7x its last twelve-months' earnings
17		before income, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as adjusted for
18		various non-recurring and non-cash items, or EBITDA. EBITDA is a
19		primary measure used by bankers and investors as a proxy for cash flow
20		generated by a firm and leverage is a key measure of credit worthiness and
21		financial health.

22

Other balance sheet and market value based leverage ratios are also extremely high, including Noranda's ratio of total liabilities to book capitalization, which is 90% and its Net Debt to Enterprise Value, which is 70%. Net Debt just means debt less cash on the balance sheet and Enterprise Value is a firm's public equity market value plus Net Debt.

No bank, to my knowledge, having spent over 15 years in the leveraged finance markets, will lend to such a highly leveraged company, absent an immediate expectation for a fundamental improvement in financial metrics and a belief in the medium to long-term viability of the company. Similarly, no other debt investor is likely to lend and no equity investor is likely to invest new capital in such a company. The current drain on cash flow caused by Noranda's unsustainable power rate will not allow the company to be considered an attractive borrower to banks or debt investors or an attractive investment for equity investors. This is further demonstrated by

1		Dr. Schwartz's multi-scenario analysis, which shows Noranda's
2		performance under various aluminum price scenarios. This analysis
3		includes both scenarios that assume no change to Noranda's current power
4		rate, and scenarios that assume the reduced power rate proposed by
5		Noranda. Similar to the financial ratios I mentioned earlier, which are based
6		on historical cash flow and current and book values of liabilities and equity,
7		**
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		**.
14		
15	Q	IF NORANDA IS ABLE TO SAVE APPROXIMATELY \$40 MILLION PER
16		YEAR FROM A LOWER POWER RATE, COUPLED WITH OTHER
17		SAVINGS IT HAS PROPOSED UNDER ITS EFFICIENCY PROGRAM,
18		WILL ITS ABILITY TO RAISE CAPITAL IMPROVE?
19	Α	Absolutely. Its ability to raise needed capital and maintain ongoing access
20		to the capital markets for financing, refinancing and letters of credit, will be
21		significantly enhanced upon securing the proposed power rate. From the
22		change in the proposed power rate alone, which for the last twelve months
23		would have represented a savings of \$34 million, leverage drops from

1	almost 7x to less than 5x on a pro forma basis. Pro forma, in this case,
2	simply means looking at Noranda's financial performance over the last
3	twelve-month period and adjusting it higher as if the new proposed power
4	rate were in effect during the entire period. While leverage approaching
5	5.0x is still generally considered high, the new power rate represents a step
6	change in the health and sustainability of the firm and Noranda will
7	immediately become a more attractive borrower once it has a sustainable
8	power rate. With the proposed decrease in power rate, yielding
9	approximately \$40 million or more of savings per year, together with the
10	efficiency initiatives being undertaken at Noranda, I would expect Noranda
11	would likely be able to refinance its ABL and other indebtedness as well as
12	obtain financing for its important projects in the future. Looking at the same
13	financial model and forward curve that Mr. Boyles references in his
14	testimony, I can conclude that the business, once benefiting from a
15	sustainable power rate, should be able to reduce Net Debt each year,
16	ultimately produce free cash flow and reduce leverage considerably, from
17	its pro forma ratio of under 5.0x to a ratio **
18	**. While financially, at the lower power rate, Noranda's
19	future viability and ability to thrive is still not guaranteed, the model suggests
20	that Noranda will have a solid chance to survive and grow.
0.4	

21

22

1 Q WHY DOES THE RATE NEED TO BE THE PROPOSED \$32.50/MWh 2 RATE AND NOT SOME HIGHER RATE, SUCH AS \$35/MWh?

In the event that the proposed power rate is not obtained, and a higher rate is granted, each \$1 of higher power rate results in a hit to EBITDA of \$4.2 million. So, as an example, with a \$35 rate, Noranda would lose \$10.5 million per year from its model every year and this would have a strong detrimental effect on its ability to reduce debt and produce free cash flow. Another illustration of this fact is exemplified in Dr. Schwartz's testimony and analysis, which suggests that the proposed \$32.50 rate under various scenarios for volatility in aluminum prices, **

______***, does allow Noranda to reduce leverage over time through 2021, as shown in its debt/equity ratio. Absent the lower power rate, leverage steadily increases and Noranda loses its viability as it is unable to refinance and continue to fund its operations. Therefore, at higher rates, Noranda is unlikely to be able to access the capital markets.

Α

Α

Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

Without the requested rate relief, Noranda lacks access to capital necessary to sustain the operations of the New Madrid smelter. Without a sustainable power rate, Noranda has questionable long-term viability and will be unable to attract debt or equity capital. With the efficiency savings proposed by Noranda, coupled with the proposed savings in power costs, I

- do, however, believe that Noranda can raise capital by issuing debt or equity, as its model suggests an ability to ultimately return to a creditworthy and healthy financial state.
- 4
- 5 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY.
- 6 A. Yes.