DEC 19 2006 Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

# Ex No. 10

Issue: Cost of Service Analysis for New Tariff Charges or Changes to Existing Tariff Charges; Activation Charge; Late Payment Fee; Non-Sufficient Funds Fee; Interest on Deposits; Projected Service Order Revenue; R&D Rider Witness: Michael H. Ellis Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Atmos Energy Corporation Case No.: GR-2006-<u>0387</u> Date Testimony Prepared: March 24, 2006

PF 11-30.01

#### **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

CASE NO. GR-2006-0387

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

#### OF

#### **MICHAEL H. ELLIS**

#### **ON BEHALF OF**

#### **ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION**

March 2006

<u>F/MeS</u> Exhibit No. <u>10</u> Case No(s). <u>6-R-2006-03</u> Date <u>1/-30-06</u> Rptr <u>1</u>/

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Atmos Energy Corporation's Tariff Revision Designed to Consolidate Rates and Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.

Case No.

# **AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL H. ELLIS**

STATE OF TENNESSEE ) ss COUNTY OF Greene

Michael H. Ellis, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

My name is Michael H. Ellis. I work in Johnson City, Tennessee, and I am 1. employed by Atmos Energy Corporation as the Vice President of Marketing for Atmos' Mid-States Division.

Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony 2. on behalf of Atmos Energy Corporation consisting of  $\underline{E_{h+}}$  (<u>S</u>) pages and Schedules MHE-1, MHE-2, MHE-3 and MHE-4, all of which having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.

I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that 3. my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Subscribed and sworn before me this 4 day of Marc My commission expires:  $0^4$ 

# BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

# **MICHAEL H. ELLIS**

## On Behalf of

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

# **I. POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2	Q.	Please state your name, position and business address.
3	A.	My name is Michael H. Ellis. I am Vice President of Marketing of the Mid-States Divi-
4		sion of Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos" or "Company"). My business address is
5		810 Crescent Centre Drive, Suite 600, Franklin, TN 37067-6226. I also maintain an of-
6		fice in Atmos' Johnson City, TN location.
7	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational and professional background, and current
8		responsibilities.
9	A.	I have an Associates Degree from Hiwassee College and a Bachelor of General Studies
10		from East Tennessee State University. I have been employed in the natural gas industry
11		since 1980 and have held various positions in the marketing area. I serve on the Tennes-
12		see Gas Association's Board of Directors, where I am currently Second Vice President. I
13		have also served on the Southeastern Gas Association's Board of Directors as well as
14		many other marketing related committees in various state and regional associations.

1

i

İ

1		I have been employed by the Company since 1980 which, at that time, was known
2		as Volunteer Natural Gas Company. Volunteer subsequently became known as Tennes-
3		see-Virginia Energy Company (TVEC), and TVEC was subsequently acquired by United
4		Cities Gas Company. United Cities was acquired by Atmos in 1997.
5		I assumed my current position in 2000. As Vice President of Marketing of At-
6		mos' Mid-States division, my primary responsibilities include the development, coordi-
7		nation and implementation of marketing programs to increase market share, sales and
8		revenue for the Company. I also serve on both internal and external committees involved
9		in monitoring technological advances in gas equipment and efficiencies and the resulting
10		change in customer consumption profiles.
11	Q.	Have you ever testified before this Commission?
12	A.	No. However, I have filed testimony in support of the Company's past general rate cases
13		filed in Georgia and Virginia.
14	•	II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
16	А.	The purpose of my testimony is to support certain new tariff charges, changes to existing
17		utility tariff charges and changes to Atmos' service Rules and Regulations.
18	Q.	Are you sponsoring any of the proposed tariff changes in this proceeding?
19	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring Atmos' proposal to make Utility Related Charges and late payment
20		penalties uniform and consistent across our Missouri service area. I support the rates that
21		we would like to change with a cost analysis which I will discuss in more detail below.
22		Also, I am sponsoring the addition of a Research & Development ("R&D) Rider which

.

,

1		would apply to all sales services. All of my proposed changes have been incorporated
2		into the tariff sheets included in the minimum filing requirements.
3		III. COST ANALYSIS
4	Q.	Has the Company prepared a cost analysis to support the requested new charges
5		and changes to existing charges?
6	A.	Yes. The cost analysis is attached to my testimony as Schedule MHE-1. This analysis
7		was prepared by me and with the assistance of Company employees under my direction.
8	Q.	Can you explain the contents of the cost analysis?
9	A.	Yes. This report contains data that shows the average travel time and average completion
10		time for service orders of the type specified in the analysis for all Company service areas
11		in Missouri for the period of October 1, 2004 through September 30, 2005. In addition,
12		average labor rates, benefits and reasonable overheads for both service technicians and
13		customer service agents associated with these service orders are included. Estimated av-
14		erage vehicle costs are also included based upon an average estimated travel distance at
15		the mileage rate in effect near the end of the test year as published by the Internal Reve-
16		nue Service. The data for after hours cost includes a minimum of two hours assigned by
17		the Company to such service orders at employee time and a half rates. All of this data
18		was then utilized for purposes determining the proposed new charges and/or changes to
19		existing charges which are more fully discussed hereinafter.
20		IV. NEW TARIFF CHARGES
21	Q.	What new tariff charges are being proposed?
22	A.	The Company is proposing to implement an "Activation Charge" in the amount of \$30.00
23		applicable to all rate schedules. Except as hereinafter discussed, this charge will apply to

•

all meter turn-ons at an existing premise (meter location) as well as to any situation
where a reconnect is performed by the Company at a location where gas service has been
disconnected (such as due to delinquency or reinstating service at the request of the customer after being temporarily off for seasonal reasons). An additional sum of \$30.00, for
a total charge of \$60.00, would be charged for activation outside of normal working
hours to cover the Company's overtime costs.

The Activation Charge would not apply for the initial, first time activation of service for a home or business that has not previously had gas service, nor would the charge
apply to builders for activation of gas service for new construction.

10

# Q. What is the purpose of the Activation Charge?

11 A. Atmos serves approximately 60,000 customers in Missouri, which include residential, commercial and industrial customers, with a Missouri-based work force of approximately 12 13 75 employees. While we are able to provide excellent customer service to our customers, 14 activation of gas service, particularly to customers who have been shut off as a result of delinquency or based upon a seasonal request, is a labor-intensive task. The labor and 15 16 other costs of the Company associated with service re-activation should be borne by 17 those customers who require such service. As reflected in the cost analysis included as Schedule MHE-1 to my testimony, the sum of \$30.00 for the Activation Charge, together 18 19 with an additional sum of \$30.00 for an after hours request, reasonably represents the 20 Company's costs associated with a service re-activation.

21

#### Q. Does the new Activation Charge replace any existing rate schedule charges?

A. Yes. In several of its Missouri service areas, the Company is already permitted to charge
a seasonal use charge and a reconnect charge. Both charges are equal to the Customer

Charge multiplied by the number of months and fractions of months that service has been 1 disconnected, plus the additional sum of \$37.50, and an additional sum of \$40.00 for ser-2 vice activation after normal working hours. This formula can actually produce a higher 3 charge than the requested Activation Charge, but is often difficult to administer. More-4 over, the existing charges do not apply across all rate schedules in all service areas. The 5 replacement of these charges with the Activation Charge, as well as implementation of 6 the Activation Charge across all rate schedules, will bring parity to the Company's tariffs 7 and provide a more workable and easily administered fee. 8

9 Q. What other new tariff charges does the Company propose to implement?

As reflected in revised Tariff Sheet No. 20, the Company also proposes to implement a 10 Α. 11 reconnection charge of \$25.00 for situations in which it is not required that a meter be turned on and if such service is provided during normal business hours. In other words, if 12 a transfer of service is requested by a new premise occupant and only a meter read is re-13 quired (as opposed to reconnection), then the fee would be \$25.00 instead of \$30.00. The 14 15 Company's costs associated with this charge are reflected in the cost analysis attached as Schedule MHE-1, and the amount of the charge requested for this service is reasonable. 16 In circumstances requiring after hours service, then an additional sum of \$30.00 would be 17 charged to cover the Company's overtime costs, for a total fee of \$55.00. 18

19

## V. CHANGES TO EXISTING TARIFF CHARGES

20

- Does the Company propose any changes to existing tariff charges? Q.
- Yes. The Company requests authority to apply the authorized late payment fee found in 21 Α. 22 existing Tariff Sheet Nos. 154, 156, 158, 159, 162 and 171 (equal to 1.5% of the out-

1

2

standing balance) across all rate schedules. This change is reflected on the applicable tariff Sheets filed with the Minimum Filing Requirements.

3

# Q. What is the purpose of changing the late payment fee?

This change will bring uniformity and consistency to all of the Company's Missouri cus-4 A, 5 tomers. Currently, the existing tariffs denote that a residential bill is delinquent if unpaid after the 21<sup>st</sup> day following rendition and that a general service, large volume, interrupti-6 ble or transportation bill is delinquent if unpaid after the 14<sup>th</sup> day following rendition. 7 8 Some of these tariffs specify that a late payment fee of 1.5% of the outstanding balance 9 will be assessed while other tariffs are silent on this issue. The late payment fees existing 10 in the Company's Missouri tariffs therefore vary in amounts and this change will make the charge consistent across all of the Company's Missouri service areas. In addition, the 11 proposed changes will clarify that the 21<sup>st</sup> day delinquency date will be applied to all 12 residential bills in Missouri and the 14<sup>th</sup> day delinquency date will be applied to all gen-13 eral service, large volume, interruptible or transportation bills. 14

15

## Q. Is the Company proposing any other changes to existing tariff charges?

A. Yes. The Company further requests authority to implement a non-sufficient funds charge of \$30.00 across all of the Company's service areas. This would result in an increase of \$20.00 in existing Areas P and U, an increase of \$30.00 in Area G and an increase of \$15.00 in existing Areas B, K and S. As reflected in the cost analysis attached as Schedule MHE-1, the cost is inclusive of the Company's labor as well as fees paid to third party financial institutions for processing charges, and is comparable to the similar fees charged by other merchants and utilities.

1 The Company also seeks authority to change the interest paid on customer deposits. Currently, the deposit interest rate paid in Areas P and U is 6%, 9% in Area G and 2 3 9.5% in Areas B, K and S. The new proposed rate for all areas would be equal to one percentage point over the prime bank lending rate as published in The Wall Street Journal 4 5 for the last business day of the preceding calendar year, compounded annually. This is 6 the same deposit interest rate which the Missouri Public Service Commission has previously approved for Missouri Gas Energy and more accurately reflects prevailing interest 7 rates in effect from time to time than the fixed rates currently prescribed by the Com-8 9 pany's tariffs. Such a change would also bring parity to all of the Missouri customer de-10 posits held by the Company.

11

#### VI. PROJECTED SERVICE ORDER REVENUE

Q. With respect to the proposed new tariff charges and/or changes to existing tariff
 charges discussed in your testimony, have you prepared any revenue projections as sociated with those charges?

A. Yes. Attached to my testimony as Schedule MHE-2 is a report which I, with the assistance of Company employees under my direction, prepared showing such revenue projections.

18 Q. What is shown in this report?

A. This report contains a compilation of all service orders by type for all of the Company's Missouri service areas for an approximate 3-year period from 2002 to 2005. From this compilation, the total number of service orders which would be impacted by the new and/or changed tariff charges for the period was taken and then averaged to determine an estimated number of service orders per year. This estimated number was then multiplied

1		by the requested new tariff charge and/or change to existing tariff charge to yield an es-
2		timated annual revenue associated with such charge. The results for each type of affected
3		service order were then totaled to yield the total estimated annual revenue from such
4		charges in the amount of \$427, 479.
5		VII. RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT (R&D) RIDER
6	Q.	Please describe the proposed Research & Development Rider.
7	Α.	The R&D Rider, on Sheet 53 of the proposed tariffs, would apply a \$0.00174 per Ccf
8		charge to the distribution commodity charge for Residential Firm Service, Small General
9		Firm Service, Large Firm General Service and Interruptible Large Volume Service vol-
10		umes. Prior to 1998, research & development charges were collected by interstate pipe-
11		lines at an equivalent rate which were borne by sales customers through the Company's
12		Purchased Gas Adjustment. Thereafter, interstate pipelines decreased their collection of
13		R&D charges eliminating the charge altogether by 2004.
14		Please refer to the testimony of Ron Edelstein, Director-State Regulatory Programs for
15		Gas Technology Institute ("GTI"), for information regarding the former R&D collection
16		program and the consumer benefits of research & development activities.
17	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?

18 A. Yes.

# Cost Analysis for changes to/ new service fees

Account activation/ turn on fee (MSET, N This fee is based on the company labor ass that requires setting and turning on a meter in general expenses that are ultimately borr This fee will directly associate the cost with	sociated with ac . Currently, the ne by all custom	ctiva se fe	ting a new es are inc	account luded	<b>53</b>	0	
Customer Service Agent	time in hrs.		rate	benefits	O/H		Total
call time, account research, processing, updating customer record, posting, reporting, etc.	0.108	\$	12.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$	3.21
Service Tech.	time in hrs.		rate	benefits	O/H		Total
travel time	0.264	\$	22.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$	14.35
completion time	0.437	\$	22.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$	3.86
after hours	2.000	\$	33.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$	26.53
Vehicle Cost	miles		rate		O/H		Total
travel time	5.3	\$	0.405			\$	2.14
Total - business hours Total - after normal hours						\$ \$	23.56 50.09
Transfer service, meter read only This fee is based on the company labor ass that requires only reading a meter in order to where a meter already exists. Currently, the in general expenses that are ultimately born This fee will directly associate the cost with	to transfer servi ese fees are inc ne by all custon	ice t lude	o a new oo ed	cupant	<b>52</b>		
Customer Service Agent	time in hrs.		rate	benefits	O/H		Total
call time, account research, processing, updating customer record, posting, reporting, etc.	0.167	\$	12.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$	4.94

Service Tech.	time in hrs.	rate	benefits	O/H	Total
travel time	0.183	\$ 22.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$ 9.97
completion time	0.067	\$ 22.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$ 3.63
after hours	2.000	\$ 33.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$ 26.53
Vehicle Cost	miles	rate		O/H	Total
travel time	3.7	\$ 0.405			\$ 1.49
Total - business hours					\$ 20.02

Total - after normal hours

\$ 46.55

- --

----

Bad Check charge       \$30         This fee is based on the general prevailing costs for handling checks that are returned for insufficient funds. The costs include the labor costs associated with processing and handling, and the fees charged to the company by the bank on whose accounts the funds were drawn. Current returned check fees charged by banks to their own customers for returned checks in the area range from \$25 to \$32.							
Customer Service Agent	time in hrs.		rate	benefits	O/H		Total
	0.333	\$	12.00	44.95%	70.52%	\$	9.89
call time, account research, processing, updating customer record, posting, reporting							
Bank fee						\$	2.25
						\$	12.14

.

Schedule MHE-1 Page 1 of 1

----

	Service Order Status		
S/O		С	
MSET	Req Avg Travel Minutes	14	
MSET	Req Avg Compl Minutes	32	
MSET	Req Qty	1,124	

Nev	Service Order Status	
S/O		С
NEWC	Req Avg Travel Minutes	12
NEWC	Req Avg Compl Minutes	24
NEWC	Req Qty	265

Recor	Service Order Status	
S/O		C
RCUS	Req Avg Travel Minutes	17
RCUS	Req Avg Compl Minutes	32
RCUS	Req Qty	68

Ree	Service Order Status	
S/O		C
RDEL	Req Avg Travel Minutes	13
RDEL	Req Avg Compl Minutes	20
RDEL	Req Qty	2,128

R	Service Order Status	
SIO		C
RSEA	Req Avg Travel Minutes	24
RSEA	Req Avg Compl Minutes	24
RSEA	Req Qty	38

	Service Order Status		
S/O		C	
TOSI	Req Avg Travel Minutes	15	
TOSI	Req Avg Compl Minutes	23	
TOSI	Req Qty	2,784	

Read and Run (meter is on)		Service Order Status	
S/O		C	
RRUN	Req Avg Travel Minutes	11	
RRUN	Req Avg Compl Minutes	4	
RRUN	Reg Qty	4,516	

# Schedule MHE-2 Page 1 of 1

BU	50
State	MO
YYYY	2003
Status	С
Sum of Qty	
SO	Total
NEWC	318
RCUS	65
RDEL	4329
RRUN	8221
RSEA	50
TOSI	4355
Grand Total	17338

BU	50
State	MO
YYYY	2004
Status	C

Sum of Qty	
SO	Total
NEWC	378
RCUS	88
RDEL	3377
RRUN	7092
RSEA	64
TOSI	4365
Grand Total	15364

BU	50		
State	MO	1	
YYYY	2005		
Status	C	1	

Sum of Qty	
SO	Total
NEWC	345
RCUS	91
RDEL	2701
RRUN	6844
RSEA	48
TOSI	4139
Grand Total	14168

# Average over last three years

Order	Count	Ne	w Charge	xisting harge	F	Revenue
NEWC	347	\$	30.00	 0	\$	10,410
RCUS	81	\$	30.00	0	\$	2,440
RDEL	3,469	\$	30.00	0	\$	104,070
RRUN	7,386	\$	25.00	0	\$	184,642
RSEA	54	\$	30.00	\$ 79.50	\$	(2,673)
TOSI	4,286	\$	30.00		\$	128,590
				•	\$	427,479