Exhibit No. 600 Issues: Impact on Interveners' Property Witness: Charles Henke Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Sponsoring Party: Charles and Robyn Henke Case No.: EA-2016-0358 Date Testimony Prepared: January, 2017

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EA-2016-0358

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

CHARLES HENKE

ON BEHALF OF

CHARLES AND ROBYN HENKE

January, 24, 2017

1	Q. Please state your name.
2	A. Charles Henke.
3	Q. On whose behalf are you testifying?
4	A. I am testifying on behalf of myself, and my wife Robyn Henke.
5	Q. What is the basic purpose of your testimony?
6	A. To describe for the Commission the impacts that the proposed high-voltage
7	transmission line would have on our property.
8	Q. Please briefly describe the property you are referring to.
9	A. My wife and I jointly own a farm of approximately 467 acres, located in
10	Chariton County, approximately 2 miles from Salisbury, Missouri.
11	Q. Is it your understanding that the proposed high-voltage transmission line
12	would cross over your farm?
13	A. Yes, I understand that the line would pass directly over our farm, with a span
14	of over one mile. I also understand that 7 pole structures would be erected on my
15	property.
16	Q. Please describe the nature of your farming operations.
17	A. The line would go over two pastures where we have cattle year-round. We
18	have fall calving cows in these pastures which means they calve in September and
19	October. It would also cross four crop fields. We rotate the fields between corn and
20	soybeans.
21	Q. Are you also employed outside of your own farming operations?
22	A. No, I am not. My wife is a second-grade teacher.

1	Q. Are you opposed to the high-voltage electric transmission which Grain
2	Belt Express is proposing to build across northern Missouri, and across your farm
3	in particular.
4	A. Yes I am, for a number of reasons which I address in the remainder of my
5	testimony.
6	Q. Are you concerned about the possible health effects on you and your
7	family from the long-term exposure to the proposed line?
8	A. Yes, I am. I do not claim to be an expert in this field, but I have read and
9	heard enough about the effects of EMFs to realize that some people do consider this to be
10	a serious long-term health problem. I would certainly not volunteer to live for years
11	within a close distance to the line being proposed by Grain Belt Express. We definitely
12	wouldn't put our sons through that risk and will be forced to move if this line is built.
13	Q. How old are your sons?
14	A. Colin is 6 and Byron is 4.
15	Q. Are you also concerned about the possible dangers of the line falling and
16	coming into contact with your family or others in the vicinity?
17	A. Yes, I am. I assume that the risk of the towers collapsing is small, but if it
18	does happen the potential risk to people in that area would be significant.
19	Q. Would the proposed line have a negative impact on the view from your
20	property?
21	A. It most definitely would. Our house is a little over 300 yards south of the
22	proposed line. We have a beautiful open view from our yard. We can see the lights of
23	town in the distance and open fields and tree lines all around. We have always said we

have prettier sunsets from our house and farms than from any beach we have been on. 1

2 This line would be at the center of that sunset.

3

4

Q. Do you believe that the line would have a negative impact on the value of your property?

5 A. Yes, I do. As is apparent from the many people in northern Missouri who are 6 opposed to the line, I believe that it is generally viewed in a negative light in this area. 7 This would surely have an effect on the value of our property. A recent farm auction in Salisbury sold three tracks. The track with transmission line, which had better ground, 8 9 sold for one thousand dollars less per acre than the other two tracks. That is an \$80,000 difference for that farm. With our acreage around the line and the devaluation of our 10 home that could easily be over a half million-dollar loss. 11

Q. Would the line have any immediate impacts on your farm and farming 12 operations? 13

A. Yes, I believe it could lead to several problems. 14

1. During construction topsoil would be mixed with infertile subsoil. 15

2. Disturbing the soil can lead to erosion problems like the ones we experienced 16 17 with pipelines.

3. Soil compaction from the multitude of vehicles and machinery during 18 construction would be significant. 19

20 4. Cattle would have to be relocated during construction. This would be a major inconvenience especially if it fell within breeding or calving periods. We are also 21 worried about the health effects on cattle. I have heard there are studies that show 22 23 decrease in milk and fertility.

5. Farming around the structures would not only be a big nuisance but also dangerous. Not only would it be a pain to go around the poles but I believe they would also interfere with the satellite signal for GPS that controls autosteer, controlling planting populations, and row shutoffs. Some of our equipment is quite large. If something were to happen it would be hazardous for my life and the destruction of our equipment. It is very expensive to work on.

7

8

Q. Do you and your wife have any other reason to oppose the transmission line?

9 A. Yes, we do. These two farms both have special meaning. One farm is the first farm I purchased by myself when I was eighteen. This was my first real 10 commitment into becoming the fifth generation to farm. I proposed to Robyn on my 11 favorite spot of the farm. This spot is towards the top of a hill right between the crop 12 ground and hay field. From this spot, you can see almost the whole farm. The pond sets 13 14 right below and this is the best place to watch the sunset. Even before that day I have always planned on building a house on that spot. If Grain Belt is approved, a tower will 15 be sitting right in that exact place! We currently live in a small farm house which we 16 17 have already outgrown and we plan to have a bigger family. Our home is very old and needs more work than what is feasible. If it was not for this line, we would have already 18 built at the new location on our property. We have held out for over two years waiting for 19 20 the results. We have no backup plan. There is no other place to build. We have made many improvements on the land thinking we would be there forever. If this line is built, 21 22 that would all have been for nothing.

1 The second farm we purchased just over four years ago. We purchased it because 2 Robyn was pregnant with another boy. I know there is a chance that both boys won't 3 want to farm, but I wanted to make sure that if they both wanted to, we would have 4 enough to support both of them. She was eight months pregnant at the auction and 5 Byron, our four-year-old, came with us to sign the papers just a few days old. This farm 6 was purchased with hope for our boys.

7 Paula Priest came to my home this fall to negotiate an easement. I discussed with 8 her the possibility of moving the line. We looked at the map and I showed her how it 9 could be moved to better suit me. She said she would work on it, but I haven't heard anything since. I also told her that the amount they were proposing was insufficient and 10 11 asked how negotiations would take place. She said they are starting with their top dollar 12 amount and they will not raise their offer. I asked what would happen when I refused to accept that amount. She said they would offer the same amount three times and then 13 condemn me. Their idea of "fair" is offensive. On the adjacent tract, we spent more per 14 acre than their supposed best offer. That was for whole tract, not just the prime center 15 section. They are offering the same for our dirt that raised our best crop this year, as they 16 17 are offering others that have infertile soil. I don't see that as fair. They are also offering agriculture price for land that they are building commercial structures. Why are we not 18 getting commercial price? We are the ones being forced to sacrifice. Their "fair" price for 19 20 land that is not for sale is insulting.

21

Q. Does this complete your testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage, and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line

Case No. EA-2016-0358

Affidavit of Charles Henke

STATE OF MISSOURI

COUNTY OF CHARITON)

Charles Henke, being first duly sworn on his oath states:

)) SS

1. My name is Charles Henke.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my testimony submitted to the Missouri Public Service Commission.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein asked are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Charles Henke

Subscribed and sworn before me this <u>24th</u> day of <u>January</u>, 2016.

che Notary Public

VIRGIL V. MILLER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Chariton County My Commission Expires: July 28, 2020 Commission Number: 12555324

7