 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Transcript of Proceedings TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS True-Up Hearing March 16, 2017 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14 	
<pre>3 4 5 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 7 True-Up Hearing 8 March 16, 2017 9 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14</pre>	
 4 5 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 7 True-Up Hearing 8 March 16, 2017 9 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14 	
 5 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 7 True-Up Hearing 8 March 16, 2017 9 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14 	
 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 7 True-Up Hearing 8 March 16, 2017 9 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14 	
 True-Up Hearing March 16, 2017 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14 	
8 March 16, 2017 9 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14	
9 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 14	
Volume 14	
10	
11	
12 In the Matter of Kansas City) Power & Light Company's Request) File No.	
13 for Authority to Implement a) ER-2016-0285 General Rate Increase for)	
14 Electric Service)	
15	
16	
17 RONALD D. PRIDGIN, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.	
18	
19 DANIEL Y. HALL, Chairman, COMMISSIONER.	
20	
21 REPORTED BY:	
22 KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR NO. 838 MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
                          APPEARANCES:
 2
     JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law
            Fischer & Dority
 3
            101 Madison, Suite 400
            Jefferson City, MO 65101
            (573)636-6758
 4
            jfischerpc@aol.com
 5
     ROGER W. STEINER
 6
            Kansas City Power & Light
            1201 Walnut, 20th Floor
            Kansas City, MO 64106
 7
            (816)556-2483
 8
                        Kansas City Power & Light.
                  FOR:
 9
     TIM OPITZ, Senior Public Counsel
            Office of the Public Counsel
10
            P.O. Box 2230
            200 Madison Street, Suite 650
11
            Jefferson City, MO 65102-2230
12
            (573)751 - 4857
13
                  FOR: Office of the Public Counsel
                           and the Public.
14
     KEVIN A. THOMPSON, Chief Staff Counsel
15
     MARK JOHNSON, Legal Counsel
     NICOLE MERS, Legal Counsel
            Missouri Public Service Commission
16
            P.O. Box 360
17
            200 Madison Street
            Jefferson City, MO 65102
            (573)751 - 3234
18
                  FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public
19
                           Service Commission.
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(WHEREUPON, the hearing began at
3	8:30 a.m.)
4	(KCPL EXHIBITS 170-175 WERE MARKED
5	FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Good morning. We are
7	on the record for the true-up hearing of KCPL, File
8	No. ER-2016-0285. I have a true-up hearing
9	schedule that was filed yesterday, and I believe
10	Mr. Bryant is supposed to be the first witness to
11	take the stand on capital structure. Is there
12	anything further from counsel or from the Bench
13	before Mr. Bryant takes the stand for cross?
14	(No response.)
15	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Hearing
16	nothing. Mr. Bryant, if you'll come forward to be
17	sworn, please, sir.
18	(Witness sworn.)
19	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, sir. You
20	may have a seat. And, Mr. Steiner, when you're
21	ready, sir.
22	KEVIN BRYANT testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER:
24	Q. Please state your name for the
25	record.

1	A. Kevin Bryant.
2	Q. Where are you employed?
3	A. KCP&L.
4	Q. What is your position?
5	A. Chief financial officer.
6	Q. Did you cause to be filed true-up
7	rebuttal testimony which has been premarked as
8	Exhibit 170?
9	A. I did.
10	Q. Do you have any corrections to that
11	testimony?
12	A. I do. On page 2, line 3, there's a
13	space that needs to be inserted between GPE and
14	capital at the beginning of that line. And then on
15	page 6, the numbers in the table represented at
16	June 30th and December 31st have been transposed.
17	So the 7.319 percent should correspond to the row
18	related to December 31st, 2016, and the 7.013
19	should be in the line at June 30th of 2016.
20	Q. Thank you. With those corrections,
21	are the answers questions and answers contained
22	in Exhibit 170 true and accurate to the best of
23	your knowledge, information and belief?
24	A. They are.
25	MR. STEINER: Judge, with that, I

would offer Exhibit 170 into evidence and tender 1 2 the witness for cross-examination. JUDGE PRIDGIN: 170 has been offered. 3 4 Any objections? 5 (No response.) 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, 170 is 7 admitted. 8 (KCPL EXHIBIT 170 WAS RECEIVED INTO 9 EVIDENCE.) JUDGE PRIDGIN: And let me double 10 11 check. I don't see counsel here for any other 12 party except Public Counsel and Staff. Am I missing anyone? 13 14 All right. Cross-examination, Public Counsel? 15 16 MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. OPITZ: 17 18 Q. Good morning, Mr. Bryant. 19 Good morning. Α. 20 Q. You're aware that Great Plains Energy 21 is seeking to acquire Westar Energy? 22 Α. A little bit. 23 0. If GPE was not seeking to acquire 24 Westar, would you -- would KCPL be supporting the 25 use of the GPE consolidated capital structure in

1	this case as KCPL has done in several previous
2	cases?
3	A. Could you restate?
4	Q. If GPE was not seeking to acquire
5	Westar Energy, would KCPL be supporting the use of
6	the GPE consolidated capital structure in this case
7	as KCPL has done in several previous rate cases?
8	A. Hard to say definitively, but likely
9	not. I mean, the difference between the cap
10	structures but for the transaction were fairly
11	minimal. I think we believe the utility-specific
12	cash structure makes sense in this instance given
13	the transaction because the transaction financing
14	is not serving customer customer rates for KCPL.
15	So the circumstances have changed.
16	Q. So what can you tell me what has
17	changed at the KCPL level since the 2014 rate case
18	that would cause KCPL to change its capital
19	structure recommendation?
20	A. KCPL KCPL continues to finance its
21	own operations, as it has historically in the past.
22	So, you know, the only thing that would that
23	specifically has changed is KCPL continues to
24	invest in rate base for benefit of its customers.
25	Q. So that sounds like nothing has

1 changed? 2 Normal course of business at KCPL. Α. 3 0. So let's assume hypothetically that 4 the Commission is going to order that, for 5 ratemaking purposes, they're going to use a GPE 6 capital structure. 7 Α. Okav. 8 What date should the Commission use? 0. 9 The true-up date that's been Α. identified in this case as of December 31st, 2016. 10 11 Q. Would you agree that Great Plains 12 Energy issued equity in 2016 sometime after September? 13 14 Α. Yes, I would agree with that. 15 And can you tell me if that equity 0. 16 issuance was related to GPE's acquisition of 17 Westar? 18 Α. It was. 19 You agree with me that GPE also Q. 20 issued debt in 2017 during the pendency of this 21 rate case? 22 Α. Last week, we did. 23 Q. And would you agree that that 24 issuance was related to the GPE acquisition of 25 Westar?

1	A. I would.
2	Q. If the order of those issuances were
3	reversed, under the hypothetical that the
4	Commission is approving or using a GPE consolidated
5	capital structure, would you still recommend the
6	Commission use the December date?
7	A. With the utility with the utility-
8	specific capital structure for KCP&L, yes.
9	Q. So let me clarify. Under the
10	hypothetical where the Commission is going to use a
11	GPE consolidated capital structure for setting
12	rates, if the order of the issuances in 2016 and
13	2017 were reversed, would you still recommend the
14	December 31st date?
15	A. That's quite the hypothetical. What
16	we would recommend is to use the KCPL specific cap
17	structure at year end. If the order was reversed
18	and the Commission chose to use the GPE cap
19	structure, we'd expect there to be some type of
20	adjustment to reflect the ongoing financing for
21	KCPL, so to adjust we expect to make an
22	adjustment in that regard.
23	Q. Would you agree that the December GPE
24	capital structure is abnormal from a historical
25	standpoint?

1	A. I would. You know, it's in the 53,
2	54 percent range. It's higher than it has been in
3	recent years, but certainly not outside the norm of
4	what we've seen historically. Not far outside the
5	norm.
6	Q. Do you agree that, for ratemaking
7	purposes, if something is abnormal the Commission
8	should make an adjustment to normalize it?
9	A. Depends on what caused that
10	abnormality, but in certain cases, an adjustment
11	may make sense.
12	Q. So would would you agree that such
13	a normalization might be reflective of the Staff's
14	date for GPE capital structure in this case?
15	A. I certainly understand Staff's
16	adjustment. I think instead of making a bunch of
17	adjustments and machinations, you're better off
18	using the utility-specific cap structure at year
19	end which reflects the capital structure to finance
20	KCPL's utility service.
21	Q. So I think you agreed with me a
22	moment ago that GPE issued debt a week ago, it
23	sounded like?
24	A. GPE did, correct.
25	Q. So can you tell me what the GPE

1 capital structure is right now? 2 Roughly, it's roughly in the 41ish Α. percent range, low 40s, if right now is today. 3 4 MR. OPITZ: Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions I have. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Sure. 7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross-exam, 8 Mr. Thompson? 9 MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank 10 you, Judge. 11 THE WITNESS: Good morning, 12 Mr. Thompson. 13 MR. THOMPSON: Good morning. 14 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Bench questions? Any 15 redirect based on cross? 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER: 17 OPC's questions inferred that Staff 0. 18 was making an adjustment by choosing a June 30th, 19 2016 date for the consolidated capital structure. 20 Do you agree that this is an adjustment? 21 Α. No. I think what Staff has proposed 22 is the June 30th date prior to the issuance of the 23 fall equity that was referenced in the prior 24 question. 25 MR. STEINER: That's all I have.

1 Thanks. 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. 3 Mr. Bryant, thank you. You may step down. 4 I believe Mr. Murray is the next 5 witness. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may have a seat, 8 sir. Mr. Thompson, when you're ready. 9 MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Judge. DAVID MURRAY testified as follows: 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. THOMPSON: 12 State your name, please. Q. 13 Α. David Murray. 14 And how are you employed, Mr. Murray? 0. 15 I'm employed as a Utility Regulatory Α. 16 Manager in the Financial Analysis Unit by the Missouri Public Service Commission. 17 18 0. Are you the same David Murray that 19 prepared or caused to be prepared a piece of 20 true-up rebuttal testimony that Staff has 21 designated Staff Exhibit 249? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. And do you have any changes or 24 corrections to that testimony? 25 Α. No.

```
1
            Q.
                  If I were to ask you those questions
 2
     today, would your answers be the same?
                  Yes.
 3
            Α.
 4
                  And is everything contained in that
            0.
 5
     testimony true and correct according to your best
 6
     knowledge and belief?
 7
            Α.
                  Yes.
 8
                  MR. THOMPSON: I offer Staff
 9
     Exhibit 249, your Honor.
                  JUDGE PRIDGIN: 249 has been offered.
10
     Any objections?
11
12
                   (No response.)
13
                  JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, 249 is
14
     admitted.
                   (STAFF EXHIBIT 249 WAS RECEIVED INTO
15
16
     EVIDENCE.)
17
                  MR. THOMPSON: I tender Mr. Murray
     for cross-examination.
18
19
                  JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Thompson, thank
     you. Mr. Opitz, any cross?
20
21
                  MR. OPITZ: Yes, Judge.
22
     CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. OPITZ:
23
            Q.
                  Good morning, Mr. Murray.
24
            Α.
                  Good morning.
25
                  You continue to recommend that a GPE
            0.
```

1 consolidated capital structure be used, correct? 2 Yes. As of June 30th, 2016, that's Α. 3 correct. 4 And because you're staying with your 0. 5 recommendation for June 30th, 2016, is that a 6 reason you didn't file true-up direct testimony? 7 Α. Yes. 8 What role do rating agencies play in 0. 9 your decision to keep your recommendation the same? They didn't play a role as far as my 10 Α. 11 decision to use the June 30th, 2016 capital 12 structure. If anything, the rating agencies are evaluating Great Plains Energy, KCPL, GMO based on 13 14 the forward-looking expected permanent capital 15 structure and the effect of the acquisition. 16 So would -- can you tell me what that 0. 17 forward-looking capital structure would be, then, 18 that the -- that you believe the rating agencies 19 are looking at? 20 Well, specifically Standard & Poor's Α. 21 does a family rating assignment based on Great 22 Plains Energy and its consolidated capital 23 structure. So it would be looking at Great Plains Energy's financial risk and business risk, and so 24 25 it would be looking at the capital structure that

1 Mr. Bryant just mentioned about 40 percent equity 2 going forward and the demands put on Great Plains 3 Energy on a consolidated basis with the additional 4 holding company debt. Now, Moody's does provide a little 5 6 more standalone consideration, so they look at 7 financial risk at the subsidiary level, but they 8 also look at the additional holding company debt 9 and the pressure that puts on the subsidiaries to distribute dividends to finance both the dividend 10 11 at Great Plains Energy and the new additional 12 holding company debt. 13 0. So you're talking about some of the 14 pressure on subsidiaries. Do the actions of Great 15 Plains Energy impact the rating agencies' 16 evaluations of KCP&L? 17 Α. Yes, very directly with Standard & Poor's. A little more indirectly with Moody's. 18 19 Q. Have Great Plains Energy's past 20 actions put a strain on KCPL's financial health? 21 Α. Yes, and I did discuss that in the 22 general rate case as far as the acquisition of GMO, 23 that the consolidated financial risk was higher after the acquisition of GMO. 24 25 Can GPE's, and by that I mean Great 0.

1 Plains Energy, actions put pressure on KCPL to 2 increase dividends to pay GPE obligations? 3 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, I'm going 4 to object. As the witness said, this was all 5 handled in his testimony in the general rate cases, 6 in the true-up proceeding just to talk about what 7 number the Staff used as of their true-up, and I 8 think this goes way beyond what a true-up hearing 9 is supposed to do. 10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Opitz? MR. OPITZ: I'll withdraw that 11 12 question, Judge. 13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. 14 BY MR. OPITZ: 15 So you have reviewed the testimony of 0. 16 Mr. Bryant, have you, KCPL's witness Mr. Bryant? For this case, the true-up rebuttal, 17 Α. yes, I did, recently. 18 19 And he includes various calculations 0. 20 related to figures in his testimony, and I believe 21 he made a correction to one, and I'm looking at 22 Table 2 on page 6 of his testimony. Do you happen 23 to have a copy of his testimony? 24 I do. Α. 25 And I think in Table 2 he's Q.

1	attempting to explain the effect of using the
2	updated figures on GPE's if you were to use the
3	December 31 figure on GPE's changing capital
4	structure?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Do you believe those estimates are
7	correct?
8	A. On an after-tax basis, I mean, the
9	obviously his correction definitely makes it more
10	accurate, but pretax actually, based on my
11	calculation of pretax rate of return, because they
12	have issued preferred stock, they're doing two
13	rounds of preferred stock issuance for the proposed
14	acquisition of Westar. One of them they did in
15	conjunction at the same time as their common equity
16	issuance. So my understanding is that's not tax
17	deductible. So the pretax rate of return for Great
18	Plains Energy as of December 31st, 2016 is quite a
19	bit higher than the pretax rate of return for June
20	30th, 2016.
21	So that basically grosses up for
22	taxes and determines the ultimate revenue
23	requirement impact, and I estimated almost an
24	additional around an additional \$10 million
25	increase in the revenue requirement impact than

1 what is shown here, so almost 23 million if you 2 used December 31st, 2016. 3 0. So are there any other calculations made in the testimony of Mr. Bryant that you 4 believe to be inaccurate? 5 There's -- and I think this was 6 Α. 7 discussed or at least I addressed this in the Staff 8 report, so it's going back to the general rate case 9 to some extent. But Mr. Bryant indicated that he believed that Staff had incorrectly allocated the 10 11 elimination of preferred stock -- this is on page 2 12 of his testimony going to page 3 -- that because I 13 indicated that we were doing an equal allocation of 14 the preferred stock that was redeemed, and this 15 was -- this preferred stock has been eliminated. 16 This was something that was issued even before 17 Great Plains Energy was formed. It was issued by KCPL and was assumed or pushed up to Great Plains 18 19 Energy. 20 But point being is I think there 21 was -- they used a different debt balance in the 22 general rate case than I did, so I can understand 23 why he's confused, but that's something that is in 24 the general rate case testimony. So I can't -- I don't have that in front of me. 25

1	Q. So turning to your testimony, at
2	page 2, lines 7 through 9, you discuss a debt
3	issuance since December 31st. Would you agree that
4	that December 31st GPE capital structure is was
5	a transitional phase?
6	A. I think that's a fair
7	characterization, yes.
8	Q. And I guess what is your
9	understanding of what a transitional phase would
10	mean?
11	A. It means that they're there are
12	various rounds of capital that they need to issue
13	for purposes of funding the Westar or the
14	proposed Westar transaction, and they issued the
15	common equity of about 1.5 billion preferred stock.
16	I think they had a public offering of about 800
17	I think it's in Mr might be in Mr. Bryant's
18	testimony, but about 850 million. And then to
19	complete the funding, which will obviously be
20	significant amounts of capital, even more than
21	what's been issued in the first phase, they will
22	need to issue the \$4.3 billion of debt. And one of
23	the things I don't have here in my testimony is
24	they'll actually have to issue another 750 million
25	of preferred stock to I think on a private

1	placement basis to a Canadian investor.
2	Q. Would a rating agency rely on a
3	capital structure that's in a transitional phase?
4	A. No. Their ratings aren't based on
5	this December 31st, 2016 capital structure.
6	Q. And, in fact, the GPE is it your
7	understanding that the GPE capital structure is no
8	longer what was reflected as of December 31st?
9	A. Yes. It's not in existence anymore.
10	MR. OPITZ: That's all I have. Thank
11	you, Judge.
12	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Cross
13	from KCP&L?
14	MR. STEINER: No, thank you, your
15	Honor.
16	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Bench questions,
17	Mr. Chairman?
18	CHAIRMAN HALL: No questions. Thank
19	you.
20	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anyone else from the
21	Bench? Redirect?
22	MR. THOMPSON: No questions. Thank
23	you, Judge.
24	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Murray, thank you
25	very much. You may step down.

Page 1785

Who's the next witness? That would 1 2 be Mr. Crawford? 3 MR. THOMPSON: If we could have a 4 moment, Judge. 5 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Certainly. Do we 6 need to go off the record? 7 MR. THOMPSON: Please. 8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: We'll go off the 9 record for about five minutes. (AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WAS 10 11 HELD.) 12 JUDGE PRIDGIN: We're back on the 13 Mr. Crawford is ready to be sworn. record. 14 Anything further from counsel before Mr. Crawford 15 is sworn? 16 MR. STEINER: Just briefly, your Staff filed a motion to submit an affidavit 17 Honor. of Ms. Maloney yesterday, and I don't have an 18 19 objection necessarily to the affidavit, but I do 20 have an objection to her taking the stand to 21 provide live rebuttal of the company's position. 22 And her affidavit states she believes no true-up 23 adjustment was necessary. Her direct testimony stated Staff would update prices if necessary. No 24 25 update was provided even though they had the chance

1	to do that.
2	I think at this stage for her to add
3	live testimony would be prejudicial to KCPL,
4	especially where she had an opportunity to make
5	prefiled testimony if she wanted to. So I'm okay
6	with the affidavit coming in, but I object to her
7	sort of putting her rationale on the record as live
8	testimony.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any response, Staff
10	counsel?
11	MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. I would say that
12	Staff was unaware that this would have been an
13	issue, and it was raised on rebuttal, true-up
14	rebuttal, and I think live hearing testimony would
15	be a perfect opportunity to respond to that
16	rebuttal testimony.
17	MR. STEINER: We raised it in
18	rebuttal because they didn't make an adjustment in
19	their direct. So it was acceptable for us to do it
20	then because that's when we found out that they
21	didn't update prices.
22	JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you
23	for your arguments. The objection is overruled.
24	We'll let Ms. Maloney take the stand after
25	Mr. Crawford.

Page 1787

Mr. Crawford, if you'll raise your 1 2 right hand to be sworn, please. 3 (Witness sworn.) 4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much, 5 sir. You may have a seat. And Mr. Steiner, Mr. Fischer. 6 7 MR. STEINER: Thank you. 8 BURTON CRAWFORD testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER: 10 Please state your name for the Q. 11 record. Burton Crawford. 12 Α. 13 Q. Mr. Crawford, did you file true-up 14 rebuttal testimony that's been premarked as 15 Exhibit 171? 16 Α. I did. 17 Are the -- do you have any 0. 18 corrections to that testimony? 19 Α. I do not. 20 If I were to ask you the same Q. 21 questions that are contained in Exhibit 171, would 22 your answers be the same today? 23 They would. Α. 24 MR. STEINER: Your Honor, I offer Exhibit 171 and tender the witness for cross. 25

Page 1788

1 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Steiner, thank 2 you. 171 has been offered. Any objections? 3 (No response.) 4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, 171 is 5 admitted. 6 (KCPL EXHIBIT 171 WAS RECEIVED INTO 7 EVIDENCE.) 8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross-examination, 9 Mr. Opitz? 10 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson? 11 12 MR. JOHNSON: Yes, thank you, Judge. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON: 14 Good morning, Mr. Crawford. 0. 15 Α. Good morning. 16 At this time do you happen to Q. 17 remember what the average market price used by the 18 company was in its direct case? 19 Α. I don't have the number from the direct case in front of me. 20 21 I do have with me the work papers for 0. 22 the company's fuel run in its direct case. Would 23 it refresh your memory to take a look at it? 24 If that number is in there, it might. Α. 25 MR. JOHNSON: Judge, may I approach

the witness? 1 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may. BY MR. JOHNSON: 3 4 I'm going to hand you the work papers 0. 5 for the direct filing that indicated where the 6 market price is listed. 7 Α. Yeah. It appears it was 18.57. 8 0. Thank you. And do you recall the 9 company's average market price at the date of its 10 cutoff case? I have the work papers for that as 11 well if you need to refresh your memory. It is 12 separate. I have it over here. Okay. No, I don't have it. 13 Α. 14 MR. JOHNSON: May I approach? 15 JUDGE PRIDGIN: You may. 16 THE WITNESS: 19.76. 17 BY MR. JOHNSON: 18 0. Thank you, Mr. Crawford. And the market price utilized by Staff for its direct case 19 20 was \$21.08; is that correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 To your knowledge, prior to true-up Q. 23 testimony, did the company raise any issue with the 24 methodology Staff used to calculate market prices? 25 We did not. Α.

1	Q. On page 5 of your true-up rebuttal
2	testimony, you estimate a net system cost increase
3	of approximately \$3.2 million if Staff were to
4	adopt KCPL's true-up market prices; is that
5	accurate?
6	A. That's accurate. That was an
7	estimate based on a change in market price times
8	volumes of off-system sales and purchased power.
9	We didn't have Staff's model, so we couldn't run it
10	all the way through the model, which is an hourly
11	model. This is just a single based on a single
12	annual price difference.
13	Q. Did you make any assumptions when you
14	calculated this estimate?
15	A. Any assumptions?
16	Q. Yeah.
17	A. Yes, a few assumptions.
18	Q. Do you remember what they were?
19	A. We basically applied the it's in
20	our work papers, but applied the difference in
21	price between company's price and Staff's price
22	times volumes of off-system sales and purchased
23	power.
24	Q. Did you assume that there would be no
25	change in the quantities of non-firm sales and

1 purchases? 2 That's correct. Α. 3 0. In your opinion, could a change in 4 market prices affect the way power plants are 5 dispatched in an integrated marketplace? 6 Yes, it would. Α. 7 And could that impact the level of 0. 8 costs and revenues associated with purchased power, 9 power sales and fuel expenses? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. When you did this calculation, did 12 you assume sales revenue would be -- would decrease 13 if KCPL's market prices were used in Staff's fuel 14 model? 15 I'm sorry. Say that again. Α. 16 Did you assume that sales revenue 0. 17 would be decreased if KCPL's market prices were used in Staff's fuel model? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did you assume that purchased power 0. 21 expense would decrease if Staff used KCPL's market 22 prices in their fuel model? 23 Yes. Α. 24 0. And did KCPL use their Midas 25 generated true-up market prices as an input in its

1 fuel model in its true-up filing? 2 Yes. Α. 3 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, 4 Mr. Crawford. I have no further questions. 5 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, thank 6 you. Any bench questions? Anything further from 7 the Bench? Redirect? 8 MR. STEINER: Just briefly. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER: 10 Mr. Crawford, you remember Staff Q. 11 asking -- Staff counsel asking you if you had 12 notified Staff prior to true-up that you had an 13 issue with their prices? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Did you believe Staff would update 0. 16 their market prices based on Staff's direct 17 testimony? I did, based on what had been filed 18 Α. in their cost of service report. They said they 19 20 would review the prices through the true-up and 21 seemed to have left it open to change it in 22 true-up. 23 MR. STEINER: That's all I have. 24 Thank you. 25 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Thank you,

Page 1793

Mr. Steiner. Mr. Crawford, thank very much. 1 You 2 may step down. 3 I believe Ms. Maloney is the next 4 Raise your right hand to be sworn, witness. 5 please. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. You may 8 have a seat. Mr. Johnson, when you're ready. ERIN MALONEY testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON: 10 11 Q. Could you please state your name and 12 spell it for the court reporter. 13 My name is Erin Maloney, E-r-i-n, Α. 14 Maloney, M-a-l-o-n-e-y. 15 How are you employed? 0. 16 Α. I'm an engineer with the Missouri 17 Public Service Commission with the Operational Engineering Analysis Department since 2005. 18 19 0. And are you the same Erin Maloney 20 that provided market power prices used in Staff's 21 true-up accounting schedules filed in this case? 22 Α. Yes. 23 0. And are you also the same Erin 24 Maloney that prepared a sworn affidavit attesting 25 that you performed a true-up analysis of market

1 power prices and that your professional opinion is that no true-up adjustment is necessary? 2 Yes. 3 Α. 4 MR. JOHNSON: At this time I tender 5 the witness for cross. 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, thank 7 you. Cross, Mr. Opitz? 8 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Steiner? 10 MR. STEINER: No, thank you, Judge. 11 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything from the 12 Bench? Ms. Maloney, thank you very much. 13 I believe Mr. Poston is the next 14 witness, then. Raise your right hand to be sworn, 15 please. 16 (Witness sworn.) 17 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, sir. You may have a seat. Mr. Johnson, when you're ready. 18 19 CHARLES POSTON testified as follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON: 21 Could you please state your name and 0. 22 spell it for the court reporter. 23 Charles Poston, P-o-s-t-o-n. Α. 24 Q. And how are you employed? 25 I'm an engineer employed by the Α.

Missouri Public Service Commission. 1 2 0. And did you prepare or cause to be 3 prepared direct and rebuttal true-up testimony 4 marked as Exhibits -- Staff Exhibits 250 and 251? 5 Α. Yes. 6 And do you have any changes or Ο. 7 corrections to that testimony? 8 Α. No. 9 0. Are the answers contained in that 10 testimony true and correct to the best of your 11 knowledge and belief? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. And if I were to ask you the same 14 questions today, would your answers be the same? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Mr. Poston, did you cause to be 0. 17 prepared any other information in response to 18 testimony? 19 Α. I have. 20 MR. JOHNSON: At this time, Judge, I 21 would like to mark an exhibit. I believe we are 22 currently at Staff Exhibit No. 259. 23 JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's what I've got. 24 Thank you. 25 MR. JOHNSON: Go ahead and provide

1 this to counsel. 2 (STAFF EXHIBIT 259HC WAS MARKED FOR 3 IDENTIFICATION BY THE REPORTER.) 4 BY MR. JOHNSON: 5 Mr. Poston, I'm handing you what has Q. 6 been marked as Staff Exhibit No. 259. 7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: This will be 259HC, I 8 assume? 9 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. I'm sorry. 10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's all right. 11 Thank you. BY MR. JOHNSON: 12 13 Q. Do you recognize this document? 14 Α. I do. 15 And what do you recognize it to be? Ο. 16 Α. It is a comparison of fuel model 17 results, comparison of the fuel model results provided by Staff in true-up rebuttal with the fuel 18 19 model results provided by KCPL in their true-up 20 case, and lastly another model that I created for informational purposes this last week in response 21 22 to this issue where Staff's true-up rebuttal fuel 23 model was run with KCPL's true-up market prices. 24 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Poston. 25 Judge, at this time I would move for the admission

Page 1797

of Staff Exhibit No. 259HC. 1 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. 259HC has been 3 offered. Any objections? 4 (No response.) JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, 259HC 5 is admitted. 6 7 (STAFF EXHIBIT 259HC WAS RECEIVED 8 INTO EVIDENCE.) 9 MR. JOHNSON: I tender the witness 10 for cross. 11 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Johnson, thank you. Cross-examination, Mr. Opitz? 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. OPITZ: 13 14 Good morning, Mr. Poston. 0. 15 A. Good morning. 16 Looking at 259, you've got the three Q. 17 Can you tell me which one, which scenarios. 18 scenario is the one you are recommending the 19 Commission use? Staff recommends the use of our 20 Α. 21 true-up rebuttal testimony, our fuel model. That 22 would be the middle column on the front page. 23 MR. OPITZ: Okay. Thank you. 24 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Opitz, thank you. 25 Cross for KCP&L?

Page 1798

1 MR. STEINER: Briefly. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. STEINER: Mr. Poston, so is the -- is the 3 0. number at the bottom difference due to market 4 5 prices? I won't have you read the number. Do you 259HC. 6 see that? 7 On the front page? Α. Yeah. Yes. 8 Q. Yes. 9 On the very bottom? Α. 10 Uh-huh. Q. 11 Α. Okay. Underneath the heading 12 comparison of net system costs on reference to market prices? 13 14 0. Right. 15 Α. Yes. So that is your calculation of 16 Okay. 0. 17 what the net system cost would be if KCPL's market 18 prices were used as compared to Staff's market 19 prices? 20 That number represents what the Α. Yes. 21 increase would be within Staff's true-up rebuttal 22 fuel model if KCPL's true-up market prices were 23 substituted for Staff's market prices. 24 Using the PLEXOS model; is that Q. 25 correct?

Page 1799

1 Α. That is correct. 2 MR. STEINER: Thank you. That is all 3 I have. 4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Steiner, thank 5 you. Any Bench questions, Mr. Chairman? 6 CHAIRMAN HALL: No, thank you. 7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything further from 8 the Bench? Any redirect? 9 MR. JOHNSON: No, thank you, Judge. 10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. 11 Mr. Poston, thank you very much. You may step 12 down. 13 And I believe the next witness is 14 Mr. -- is it Klote or Klote? 15 MR. STEINER: Klote. 16 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. I show 17 him appearing twice, once on transmission, once on RES. How does counsel wish to proceed? 18 19 MR. FISCHER: Judge, it might be most 20 efficient just to do both issues together, if you'd 21 like. JUDGE PRIDGIN: That's fine with me. 22 23 Is that okay with other counsel? 24 MR. OPITZ: I have no objection to 25 that?

1	MS. MERS: Staff has no objections.
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: If you'd come forward
3	to be sworn, please, sir.
4	(Witness sworn.)
5	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much,
6	sir. You may have a seat. Mr. Steiner,
7	Mr. Fischer, when you're ready.
8	MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Judge.
9	RONALD KLOTE testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER:
11	Q. Please state your name and address
12	for the record.
13	A. Yeah. It's Ronald Klote. Address
14	1200 Main Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64105.
15	Q. Are you the same Ronald Klote that
16	caused to be filed in the true-up proceeding
17	true-up direct which has been marked as 173 and
18	true-up rebuttal which has been marked as
19	Exhibit 174?
20	A. I am.
21	Q. Do you have any changes that need to
22	be made to either of those exhibits?
23	A. Yes, I do have one.
24	Q. Okay. Would you state that for the
25	record?

Page 1801

1 Α. Yeah. My true-up direct testimony, 2 on page 5, line 17, there was a date of 2005. That should be 2008. 3 4 Do you have any other changes that 0. 5 need to be made? No, I do not. 6 Α. 7 If I were to ask you the questions 0. 8 contained in those exhibits today, would your 9 answers be the same? Yes, they would. 10 Α. 11 Q. And are they accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief? 12 13 Yes, they are. Α. 14 MR. FISCHER: Judge, with that, I would move for admission of Exhibit 173 and 174 and 15 16 tender the witness for cross. 17 JUDGE PRIDGIN: 173 and 174 have been offered. Any objections? 18 19 (No response.) 20 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, 173 and 174 are admitted. 21 (KCPL EXHIBITS 173 AND 174 WERE 22 23 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 24 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross-examination, 25 Public Counsel?
Page 1802

1 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Staff? CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MERS: 3 4 Good morning, Mr. Klote. 0. 5 Α. Good morning. 6 Are you familiar with the true-up 0. 7 rebuttal testimony of Karen Lyons, specifically the 8 attached schedules? 9 Α. Yes, I am. 10 Do you have that testimony with you? 0. 11 Α. I believe I do. 12 Okay. I'll be asking you about the Q. schedule KL-TR1, page 3. 13 14 Α. I'm there. 15 Okay. Do you recognize this schedule 0. 16 as KCPL's historical transmission expense? 17 Yes, I do. Α. 18 0. I'm going to ask you to do some math. 19 If you used KCPL's method of using the last three 20 months to annualize costs, could you please tell me 21 what using the three months from the 2015 column 22 and tell me what the total amount would be? 23 You want me to annualize 2015 fourth Α. quarter? 24 25 Yes, please. 0.

1 Α. Annualizing the 2015 fourth guarter? 2 0. Yes. 3 Α. Would provide an annualized total of 4 63,061,796. 5 All right. And what was the actual 0. 6 2016 amount? 7 According to this schedule, the Α. 8 schedule says 59,076,548, which is netted with many 9 credits that occurred during 2016. 10 Would you agree that KCPL's Q. Sure. 11 number would be fairly close to the 59 million? 12 Fairly close to the 59 million Α. 13 reported, yes. 14 Okav. So your method that you're 0. 15 advocating in true-up would overestimate the amount 16 of the transmission expense had we adopted it in 17 that case by around \$5 million? But you can't -- you can't look at it 18 Α. 19 that way because --20 Q. It's a yes or no question. 21 Α. State the question again. 22 If we had used the method advocated 0. 23 to annualize based on the last three months, would 24 the difference between what you would have 25 forecasted in 2015 and what was actually spent in

Page 1804

1 2016 be around \$5 million? 2 Α. Or 4 million. 3 4 million? 0. 4 Α. Yes. 5 And that's 4 million that would come 0. 6 from ratepayers, correct? 7 Correct. Α. 8 And that would be \$4 million that was 0. 9 not spent on transmission expense by KCPL but would 10 not be returned to customers, correct? 11 Α. Yes, that would be true. 12 0. Okay. 13 If rates were set on that, but of Α. 14 course rates during 2016 were not set on it. They were based on the 2014 case. 15 16 0. Sure. You believe that because a 17 significant increase forecasted in 2017 to 2018, 18 that Staff's transmission amount will be 19 insufficient, but using the last three months would 20 bring KCPL closer to the forecasted amount, 21 correct? 22 Α. Yes, I do. 23 MS. MERS: I think we need to go 24 in-camera to discuss the next few sets of numbers. 25 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Let me ask counsel,

1	
1	is there anybody in here who does not belong in
2	here? Okay. Just a moment. We'll go in-camera.
3	MR. STEINER: It's fine, Judge.
4	(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this point, an
5	in-camera session was held, which is contained in
6	Volume 15, pages 1806 through 1808 of the
7	transcript.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 JUDGE PRIDGIN: We are back in public 2 forum. Ms. Mers, when you're ready. BY MS. MERS: 3 4 I'm going to turn to your RES 0. testimony since it sounds like we're going to -- so 5 6 you state on page 11 of your rebuttal testimony on 7 lines 20 through 21 -- give you a moment to get 8 there. 9 Thank you. What page? Α. 10 That would be page 11. Q. Α. 11 I'm there. 12 You believe that customers 0. Okav. 13 would not be harmed by the company's approach to 14 the amortization in this case, correct? 15 That's correct. Α. 16 So you believe a higher revenue 0. 17 requirement, which is what the company's proposed 18 amortization here would cause, is not harmful to 19 ratepayers? 20 It's not harmful to ratepayers in Α. 21 that we are recouping costs that have already been 22 expended by the company. 23 But even if you had a smaller -- or I 0. 24 don't want to say smaller. If you had a different 25 amortization period, you would still be recouping

1 the costs, correct? That's correct. 2 Α. 3 0. So three years 2.6, you would still 4 recover full costs, correct? 5 Α. That's correct. And we have in place 6 now a tracking mechanism that is put on our 7 regulatory assets and liabilities. So if the company does overcollect, then those amounts would 8 9 be returned to customers. 10 Okay. And seeing how you're Q. 11 quaranteed recovery, Staff's amortization period of 12 three years would not harm the company then, 13 correct? 14 It's -- we have already expended. So Α. 15 there is a time value of money there for that. 16 Okay. The 1 percent retail rate 0. 17 impact in the RES cap -- or in the RES statute is a 18 cap and not a minimum, correct? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Okay. So KCPL's amount does not have 0. 21 to be at the full 1 percent impact level but can be 22 below it, correct? 23 Yes, it could be below that. Α. 24 MS. MERS: Okay. Thank you. I have 25 nothing further.

1 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Mers, thank you. 2 Any Bench questions, Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN HALL: 3 No. 4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything from the 5 Bench? Any redirect? 6 MR. FISCHER: Briefly. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER: 8 Let's start from the last series of 0. 9 questions about the RES testimony. Mr. Klote, you 10 were asked whether the company would be -- or you 11 were asked whether the company would be recouping 12 the costs under the Staff's method, I believe. Is that true? 13 14 Α. Yes. It would just be over a longer 15 period of time. 16 And so there would be slower recovery 0. 17 of costs that have already been expended, is that 18 what you're saying? 19 Α. That's correct. 20 Staff counsel mentioned the 1 percent 0. 21 cap in the statute. Would you explain your 22 understanding of what that is? 23 The 1 percent cap just allows Α. Yeah. based on -- I think there is some discussion on 24 25 whether it's based on what's currently in rates or

Page 1812

1 based on the revenue provided in this case, but 2 it's -- but it allows for the recovery up to 3 1 percent of renewable energy standard costs, which 4 include RECs, solar rebates and so forth. 5 Does your 2.6 year amortization Q. 6 schedule allow you to recover up to 1 percent? 7 Yes, it does. Α. 8 Does Staff's three-year schedule or 0. 9 amortization, does that allow you to recover up to 10 1 percent? 11 Α. It would be below 1 percent. 12 But did you say that you've already 0. 13 expended those funds for the RES? 14 Α. Yes, we have. 15 So is it correct that under the Ο. 16 Staff's method they're just slowing it down so you 17 wouldn't get to recover the costs that have already 18 been expended? 19 Yes, that's correct. Α. 20 Okay. Let's go to your transition 0. 21 Is it -- you were asked about forecasted issue. 22 transmission costs. Do you recall that? 23 Yes. Α. 24 Has the company settled the Q. 25 forecasted transmission issue in this case?

1	A. Yes, they have.
2	Q. So would you explain so we're no
3	longer asking for forecasted transmission; is that
4	true?
5	A. Yeah, that's correct. And in our
6	direct filing we requested a forecasted amount for
7	2017 and 2018. As part of the Stipulation $\&$
8	Agreement in this case, we removed that request and
9	based our transmission request on actual expenses.
10	Q. At one point Staff counsel I think
11	cut you off a little bit whenever you were going to
12	explain why you can't look at it that way. Do you
13	recall that series of questions?
14	A. Yes, I do.
15	Q. Okay. Can you explain what you were
16	going to say or elaborate on what you were going to
17	say, why you can't look at it that way?
18	A. Right. Well, in 2016 it was a very
19	unique year. Transmission expense obviously a lot
20	of inputs into it. But during the year we had some
21	significant credits that occurred related to an IPL
22	issue, related to Z2 credits that occurred.
23	So by just looking at the raw data by
24	month, you've got credits that are built into there
25	that on a ratemaking basis you spread usually out

1 over different periods of time. So just by taking 2 a very high level look, there's a lot of issues that are embedded in there. 3 4 Are you suggesting there are 0. 5 adjustments that need to be made in order to look 6 at it properly? 7 And Staff did a -- did a very Α. Yes. 8 good job on a couple of the issues in annualizing. 9 The company agreed with that. 10 What was left off was the base plan 11 funding, and the base plan funding is something 12 that has been impacting this company for four or five years now, and we've had numerous requests in 13 14 rate cases trying to ask for some type of mechanism 15 or recovery of transmission expenses, because once 16 rates are set, we are significantly behind the 17 eight ball on the amounts. You can look at Mr. Rush's testimony in rebuttal and see just how 18 19 much shareholders have had to fund, up to \$44 20 million I believe was in his testimony, that we've 21 been chasing transmission expense. 22 We still continue to see that into 23 '17 and '18. So to not look at that in the annualization calculation we just don't agree with. 24 25 Are you referring to one of the Q.

1 schedules attached to Mr. Rush's testimony where he 2 lays out the historical trends compared to what 3 we've been allowed in rates? 4 Α. Yeah. I believe it was a table 5 within his testimony. 6 Ο. Okay. You mentioned some adjustments 7 that Staff made that they did a good job on. Was 8 that related to Independence Power and what was the 9 other one? Ζ2. 10 Α. 11 Q. Z2? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 Q. Do you feel like the Staff's approach 14 on the base funding is -- did such -- did an 15 equally good job? 16 Α. No, I don't. For base funding they 17 basically provided the actuals for 2016, which was a January through December look. What the company 18 19 did is looked at what we were being charged in 20 October, November and December, because if you go 21 out and look at the triple R file, which is what 22 the base plan funding calculations are based on, 23 you can see that in January of '16 they have the revenue requirement 433 million. In July it moved 24 25 up to 443 million. In October when the Z2s started

1	to become embedded in the calculation for base plan
2	funding, it moved up to 476. In January of '17 it
3	moved up to 510 million.
4	So you can see that base plan funding
5	continues to increase, and we still see base plan
6	projects that will become part of the portfolio in
7	'17 and '18. We still see those increases. So by
8	not looking or at by including a 12-month look,
9	you've got nine months in there at a rate that just
10	won't exist in '17 and '18.
11	Q. If the Commission adopts the Staff's
12	suggested approach on this issue, do you expect
13	your transmission expenses that are included in
14	rates to be more or less than what would occur in
15	the first year after the rates are in effect?
16	A. Our rates will be less, and we've
17	already seen you know, looking at Staff's method
18	that ends in December, we already see increases in
19	January and February.
20	Q. Has that been the case throughout
21	several of the rate cases?
22	A. Yes. We've been we've been
23	chasing these dollars in every case. We have not
24	been able to fully recover our transmission costs.
25	Q. Is that one of the reasons you'd

1 proposed trackers in the past? 2 Yes, it is. Α. 3 MR. FISCHER: Okay. That's all I 4 have, Judge. 5 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank 6 you. Mr. Klote, thank you very much. You may step 7 down. 8 And I believe the next witness then 9 will be Ms. Lyons. Come forward to be sworn, please. If you'll raise your right hand to be 10 11 sworn. 12 (Witness sworn.) JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you very much. 13 14 You may have a seat. And Ms. Mers, when you're 15 ready. 16 KAREN LYONS testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MERS: 17 18 0. Ms. Lyons, can you please state and 19 spell your name for the record. 20 Α. Karen Lyons. 21 And where are you employed and in Q. 22 what capacity? I'm an auditor with the Missouri 23 Α. 24 Public Service Commission. 25 Are the same Karen Lyons who prepared **Q**.

1 or caused to be prepared the true-up direct and 2 rebuttal testimony that's been marked as Exhibits 247 and 248? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 And do you have anything that you 0. 6 wish to correct in any of your testimony? 7 Α. Yes. I have some changes. This is 8 the first time I've testified in this proceeding, 9 so I do have some changes with my direct and rebuttal and surrebuttal, as well as a few changes 10 11 in my true-up. 12 Okay. You can go ahead. 0. 13 On page 70 of Staff's Cost of Service Α. 14 Report, line 4, "expense and" should be deleted. 15 Page 136 of Staff's Cost of Service Report, the 16 chart, at the bottom of the chart it says increase slash decrease 2009 to 2014. That should be 2015. 17 In my surrebuttal testimony in this 18 19 case, there is a chart on page 12. The title of 20 the chart states historical transmission fees 2012 21 to 2015. That should be 2016. 22 And then in my true-up direct 23 testimony, I have some numbers to change, and it is my understanding that these numbers are -- they're 24 classified as highly confidential in my testimony, 25

1	but it is my understanding that these are not
2	highly confidential numbers. It's on page 4 of my
3	true-up direct. There's a table, and I guess I'd
4	just like clarification from the company that I can
5	do this without being in-camera. They are
6	historical costs.
7	MR. FISCHER: If they're historical,
8	we have no problem with that.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, just to
10	clarify, this particular table is addressing the
11	annualized, Staff's annualized level and the
12	company's annualized level.
13	MR. FISCHER: You're on page 4?
14	THE WITNESS: Page 4, the table on
15	page 4, yes.
16	MR. FISCHER: That's fine. Thank
17	you.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay. In that table
19	there is a column, the middle column, this
20	jurisdictional factors, Staff's jurisdictional
21	factor changed. It is now 55.93 percent. All
22	three rows of that table should be changed to the
23	55.93 percent.
24	The top row on the third column,
25	Missouri jurisdictional, Staff's Missouri

1 jurisdictional number should change to 35,311,364. 2 KCPL's jurisdictional number should change to 3 36,199,298. And the difference should change to 4 887,934. 5 On page 6 of my testimony, again 6 these are highly confidential numbers, but they're 7 based on either annualizations or historical costs, 8 and it's all the numbers listed on page 6. 9 MR. STEINER: You're asking if they should be HC? I think you said they're historical 10 numbers? 11 12 THE WITNESS: They are either annualizations, the difference between those 13 14 annualizations between KCPL and Staff or -- well, 15 yes, they're all annualizations. 16 MR. STEINER: I think that's fine. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Line 1 currently shows a 225,770. That should change to 225,086. 18 19 Line 3, the number is 112,885. It should change to 112,543. Line 12, there is a number that is 20 identified as 35,418,693. That should change to 21 22 35,311,364. On line 13, the allocation factor that 23 is listed first should change to 55.93. And on that same line, the number is currently 7,962,522. 24 25 It should change to 7,938,393. And then

1 jurisdictional allocation factor on line 14 should 2 change to 55.93. 3 The last change is in my true-up 4 rebuttal testimony, line 13, same scenario. It's 5 annualizations. It's the difference between Staff and company. It's identified as HC. I believe it 6 7 is -- it can be public. 8 MR. STEINER: I agree. 9 THE WITNESS: It's line 13. That number should 1,587,581 and the second number on 10 11 that same line should change to 887,934. That's 12 all the changes I had. 13 BY MS. MERS: 14 And with those changes in mind, if I 0. 15 asked you those same questions today, would your 16 answers be the same? 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. And is the information in this 19 document true and accurate to the best of your 20 knowledge and belief? 21 Α. Yes. 22 MS. MERS: Your Honor, Staff offers 23 Exhibits 247 and 248 and tenders the witness for 24 cross. 25 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Mers, thank. And

1 I do show 247 and 248 both having HC and NP 2 versions. 3 MS. MERS: Yes, that's correct. 4 JUDGE PRIDGIN: 247HC and NP and 5 248HC and NP are offered. Any objections? 6 MR. FISCHER: No objection. 7 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing no objection, 8 those exhibits are admitted. 9 (STAFF EXHIBITS 247HC, 247NP, 248HC AND 248NP WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 10 11 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross-examination, 12 Mr. Opitz? 13 MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Judge. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. OPITZ: 15 Good morning, Ms. Lyons. 0. 16 Α. Good morning. 17 So you went through a variety of 0. 18 changes to numbers. Were those changes all related 19 to the change in the allocation factor? 20 Α. There was -- well yes. Yes. We had 21 changes in our fuel, which was I believe just 22 addressed, and as a result we had -- well, changes 23 in fuel and revenue, and as a result the allocation 24 factor changed as well, so that caused those 25 changes.

1 Q. Okay. And so the reason that the 2 allocation factor changed -- well, can you tell me the reason the allocation factor changed? 3 4 Well, it had to do with the change in Α. 5 revenues. 6 MR. OPITZ: Okay. That's all I have. 7 Thank you. 8 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you. Cross 9 from the company? 10 MR. FISCHER: No questions, Judge. 11 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank 12 you. Bench questions? 13 CHAIRMAN HALL: No, thank you. 14 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Nothing from the 15 Bench. Any redirect? 16 MS. MERS: (Shook head.) 17 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Ms. Lyons, thank you 18 very much. You may step down. 19 I believe Mr. Young will be the final 20 witness on the list of witnesses. Mr. Young, if 21 you'll raise your right hand to be sworn. 22 (Witness sworn.) 23 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Thank you, sir. You 24 may have a seat. Ms. Mers, when you're ready. 25 MATTHEW YOUNG testified as follows:

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MERS: 2 Mr. Young, would you please state and 0. 3 spell your name for the record. 4 Matthew Young, Y-o-u-n-q. Α. 5 And where are you employed and in 0. 6 what capacity? 7 I'm a Regulatory Auditor with the Α. 8 Missouri Public Service Commission. 9 Are you same Matt Young who prepared 0. 10 or caused to be prepared the true-up direct and 11 true-up rebuttal testimony that's been marked as 12 Exhibit 257 and 258? 13 Yes, I am. Α. 14 Do you have anything that you wish to 0. 15 correct in your testimony? 16 Α. I do. I have three changes to my 17 true-up rebuttal testimony, first of which is on page 1, line 16. I reference 2.7 years. 18 That 19 needs to be 2.6. The same change on page 3, 20 line 3, 2.7 to 2.6. And the last change is still on page 3, line 21. Where I have 200,000 should be 21 285,000. 22 23 0. And with those corrections in mind, 24 if I asked you the same questions today, would your 25 answers be the same?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q. And is the information in the 3 documents true and correct to the best of your 4 knowledge and belief? 5 Α. Yes. 6 MS. MERS: Your Honor, Staff offers 7 Exhibit 257 and 258 and tenders the witness for 8 cross. 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: 257 and 258 have been Any objections? 10 offered. MR. OPITZ: Just one clarification. 11 I didn't catch that last number correction. Can I 12 13 ask the witness to repeat that last correction he 14 made? THE WITNESS: 200,000 to 285,000. 15 16 MR. OPITZ: Thank you. 17 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank you. Any objections to 257 or 258? 18 19 (No response.) 20 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing none, 257 and 258 are admitted. 21 (STAFF EXHIBITS 257 AND 258 WERE 22 23 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 24 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Cross-examination, Mr. Opitz? 25

Page 1826

1 MR. OPITZ: No, thank you, Judge. JUDGE PRIDGIN: KCPL? 2 3 MR. FISCHER: Just briefly. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FISCHER: 5 As I understand the issue we're 0. 6 talking about either amortization of 2.6 years 7 which the Staff is proposing versus three years 8 which the company is proposing; is that right? 9 That's right. Α. 10 Do you know how much money is left to 0. 11 be recovered on the renewable energy portion that's 12 being amortized? 13 How much money is left to be Α. 14 recovered in the current vintage in this case? 15 0. Yes. 16 Α. The total balance of the asset is roughly \$5.8 million. 17 18 0. And so if the Staff's position is 19 adopted, that amount will take longer for the 20 company to recover; is that right? 21 Α. By 4.8 months, yes. 22 And under the statute, the 1 percent Q. 23 cap, they could have recovered faster than that, 24 correct? 25 A. At the 1 percent maximum, yes.

Page 1827

1 MR. FISCHER: That's all I have. 2 Thank you. 3 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank 4 you. Any Bench questions? CHAIRMAN HALL: No, thank you. 5 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything from the 7 Bench? Any redirect? 8 MS. MERS: Just briefly. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MERS: 10 The amortization period that Staff is Q. 11 recommending, Staff recommended that in its direct 12 case as well, correct? 13 Yes, in the direct case we Α. 14 recommended three years. We also mentioned to 15 reexamine upon true-up. 16 But before the true-up rebuttal that 0. 17 was filed last Friday, did Staff hear anything from 18 the company regarding a problem with the 19 amortization level we used? 20 We were asked about it through phone Α. 21 calls after true-up direct. 22 MS. MERS: Nothing further. Thank 23 you. 24 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Thank 25 you. Mr. Young, thank you very much. You may step

1 down. 2 And I don't see any more witnesses on 3 the witness list. Does counsel have anything else before we go off the record? 4 5 MR. FISCHER: Judge, there are a number of exhibits that need to be introduced. 6 7 There's also a motion to strike pending on Tim 8 Rush's testimony which we could address at your 9 convenience, I think. 10 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. You can 11 go ahead and address that motion to strike now if 12 you'd like, Mr. Fischer. 13 MR. FISCHER: Mr. Opitz, do you want 14 to make an opening on that or should I just 15 respond? MR. OPITZ: Just briefly, Judge. 16 Ι 17 had filed a written motion to strike a few days ago in EFIS. I don't believe that the testimony of 18 19 Mr. Rush is a true-up issue. I don't believe that 20 it -- it's filed in rebuttal, and I don't believe 21 that it provides appropriate rebuttal of any issue 22 that was raised on true-up direct of anyone were it 23 an appropriate true-up issue. 24 For those reasons I objected and 25 continue to object to the admission of the

Page 1829

1 testimony of Mr. Rush. 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Opitz, thank you. Mr. Fischer? 3 MR. FISCHER: Judge, I'm going to 4 5 step up here if that's all right so I can see the bench a little bit better. 6 7 At the evidentiary hearing that was 8 held on February 23rd, Chairman Hall requested 9 additional information regarding the cost breakdown in regard to the electric vehicle charging 10 11 stations, the amount that was capital costs versus the amount that was in distribution costs. 12 13 The company attempted to obtain that 14 information for the Chairman and the other 15 Commissioners as quickly as we could. However, the 16 information was not available for filing until 17 March the 7th. At that date the company did file a motion to late file Exhibit 169 which contained 18 19 that information, and to date no party, I think including Public Counsel, has objected to that 20 21 late-filed exhibit, but it is still pending before the Commission at this time. 22 I think I'd also note that that 23 24 information contained in 169 wasn't available until 25 after the deadline for filing the true-up direct

1	testimony in this case. So on March the 10th,
2	which was the deadline for filing the true-up
3	direct, we filed the testimony of Tim Rush which
4	explains the cost breakdown contained in the
5	late-filed exhibit. It quantifies the charging
6	stations, the cost of installation of EV charging
7	station and the distribution facilities associated
8	with those EV charging stations that we requested
9	in this case.
10	And as Public Counsel's motion noted,
11	during the hearings on that day I suggested that
12	Mr. Chuck Caisley could answer some of the
13	operational questions that Mr. Opitz had even
14	though he was not listed as a witness to address EV
15	charging issues.
16	During the discussions with the
17	Chairman, between Mr. Caisley and Mr and the
18	Chairman, Commissioner Hall described a concept or
19	a model at a fairly high level for the development
20	of the EV charging stations which hadn't previously
21	been addressed, I don't think, by other parties in
22	this case, and if I recall, it was the first time
23	that the concept had been discussed.
24	Mr. Caisley answered the Chairman's
25	questions to the best of his ability, but

unfortunately he may have given the Commission the 1 2 impression that the model that the Chairman had 3 described during the hearing was a workable model 4 from the company's perspective. The transcripts I 5 think also suggest incorrectly that a much larger 6 portion of the total project costs are related to 7 distribution than is actually the case based upon 8 our analysis in Exhibit 169. 9 At some point in the future the model that Chairman Hall described to us on the record we 10 11 think would work, but at the present time we don't 12 think it would work from the company's perspective, not in the short term. Only after the EV market 13 14 develops and becomes more mature would that model 15 work from our perspective. And we need -- we think 16 the record needs to be clarified in that regard. 17 Mr. Rush's testimony is being presented today to explain the breakdown of the 18 19 costs between the charging stations and the 20 distribution facilities. I think as you'll note in 21 the highly confidential numbers that are contained 22 in Exhibit 169, a very small portion of the 23 projects that the company has requested in this case, less than 4 percent, are related to 24 25 distribution plant, and by far the vast majority of

1 those costs are related to charging stations and the cost of installing them. 2 3 Mr. Rush is available to answer 4 questions regarding the breakdown of the costs and 5 provide the Commission with a more complete 6 response to your questions, Commissioner Hall, that 7 you addressed to Mr. Caisley. 8 As Mr. Rush explains in his 9 testimony, while the alternative concept or model 10 which splits the electric vehicle charging network 11 between distribution plant and the capital costs 12 may be workable in the future after the EV market is mature, KCPL does not believe this model will 13 14 work now or in the near future as the EV market is 15 just developing. 16 If this model is immediately utilized 17 in Missouri, it's doubtful that KCPL will be able to participate further in the development of the EV 18 19 charging station market by installing new EV 20 charging stations. This is true because KCPL does 21 not believe it will be able to earn a reasonable 22 return on that investment under that model. 23 In Kansas, when the EV charging 24 investments were placed below the line, which is 25 essentially what this model would do for 96 percent

1 of the total investments, KCPL decided not to make 2 further investments in the EV market. 3 MR. OPITZ: Judge, may I interpose an 4 objection here? I recognize counsel for KCPL's 5 right to respond to this motion to strike, but it 6 appears that it's drifted into making argument 7 related to the issues contained in the testimony 8 upon which there's a pending motion to strike. 9 I think it's improper to continue 10 going down that road to the extent that counsel for 11 KCPL has moved beyond the reasons why they are 12 opposed to my motion to strike the testimony of Mr. 13 Rush. 14 MR. FISCHER: I can wrap it up 15 quickly and just suggest that we don't believe the 16 motion to strike is appropriate, but in the 17 alternative, the company would request that under the Commission's Rule 4 CSR 240-2.130(10), that 18 19 Mr. Rush's testimony on the EV charging station be 20 treated as supplemental direct to explain 21 Exhibit 169 and its implications. 22 Under that particular rule -- and 23 I've got a copy of it, Judge, if you want it -- it says that a party shall not be precluded from 24 25 having a reasonable opportunity to address matters

1 not previously disclosed which arise at the 2 hearing. We believe the information contained 3 4 in Mr. Rush's testimony addresses the costs associate with the EV charging stations, which had 5 6 not previously been disclosed and arose during the 7 hearings on February 23rd. And, therefore, as an 8 alternative, we would suggest you could allow him 9 to testify today on that ground. Mr. Opitz also raised the question 10 11 about his testimony on unit sales and revenues and 12 suggested that that should be stricken, too. Mr. Rush's testimony here is responding to a 13 14 true-up issue regarding Staff errors in the 15 calculation of unit sales, sales revenues and fuel 16 that were identified in Staff witness Stahlman's 17 testimony. Mr. Rush is clarifying that if the 18 19 errors are fixed in the Staff's EMS , then the 20 company does not have an issue with the unit sales 21 revenues and fuel with the exception of the MEEIA 1 22 cycle issue which we tried for a couple hours last 23 The testimony we believe is proper true-up week. 24 rebuttal. 25 So in closing, I would just suggest,

1	request that the Commission deny the Public
2	Counsel's motion to strike, allow Mr. Rush to
3	answer any questions that the Bench might have or,
4	in the alternative, allow Mr. Rush's testimony in
5	under 4 CSR 240-2.130(10). Thank you.
6	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Mr. Fischer, thank
7	you. Anything further from Bench or from counsel?
8	All right. Thank you, it may be splitting hairs,
9	but as I see it, I think Exhibit 169 is an attempt
10	to respond to the Bench's questions on an issue and
11	that offer is still pending. I think there's still
12	a little time for counsel to object to the offer of
13	169.
14	However, I see Mr. Rush's testimony
15	as simply trying to go outside the true-up and
16	simply trying to bolster Clean Charge Network
17	issues that were part of the direct case. So I'm
18	going to grant Public Counsel's motion to strike,
19	and if it is offered because of that pending the
20	motion to strike, that evidence will be excluded.
21	I do believe we still have other
22	pieces of evidence that counsel would wish to
23	offer; is that correct?
24	MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. And are
25	you ruling on the unit sales piece of that that

Page 1836

1	second part of his testimony?
2	JUDGE PRIDGIN: I think the motion to
3	strike went to that entire piece of testimony, but
4	maybe I can get counsel to clarify. Is there an
5	objection to keeping the unit sales testimony in?
6	MR. OPITZ: Yeah, Judge. In my
7	written objection I asked to strike the entirety of
8	the testimony, and I renew the objection.
9	JUDGE PRIDGIN: Can you explain why
10	the unit sales is not a true-up issue?
11	MR. OPITZ: Well, the testimony as
12	filed by Mr. Rush talking about the unit sales
13	essentially raises the issue that related to the
14	MEEIA Cycle 1 unit and restates the company's
15	disagreement with that, although that is not a
16	true-up issue, and then it goes on to say that they
17	agree with the Staff's adjustments as to everything
18	else.
19	In my mind, that means there is no
20	issue before then again restating its disagreement
21	with the MEEIA Cycle 1 adjustment. At the end of
22	it, it says that Burton Crawford offers additional
23	testimony, too.
24	MR. FISCHER: Judge, I think the
25	purpose of it is to clarify that if the Staff

1 corrects some errors that were identified, that 2 then we would not have an issue with those 3 particular unit sales, and that was important to 4 put on the record in the true-up. That's the 5 purpose for that testimony. 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. Let me 7 clarify that ruling then. If that exhibit is 8 offered, the motion to strike would only be granted 9 for the Clean Charge Network testimony and not on the unit sales testimony. 10 11 MR. FISCHER: And then we would have 12 some other pieces of testimony that we have not addressed in the hearing today that we could offer, 13 14 particularly Melissa Hardesty's testimony. 15 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And we have the 16 exhibit list showing that's 172. 17 MR. FISCHER: Yes, Judge. 18 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And that's being 19 offered. Any objections? 20 (No response.) 21 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Hearing none, 172 is admitted. 22 23 (KCPL EXHIBIT 172 WAS RECEIVED INTO 24 EVIDENCE.) 25 MR. FISCHER: And then we would also

```
Page 1838
```

offer the remaining piece of Tim Rush's testimony 1 2 which has not been stricken. JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. 175HC and NP 3 4 and only the portion that relates to unit sales is 5 being offered; is that correct? 6 MR. FISCHER: Yes, unit sales and 7 sales revenues beginning on page 8 of his true-up 8 rebuttal. 9 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I believe that's 10 roughly one page towards the back of his testimony. I'm sorry. Mr. Opitz? 11 12 MR. OPITZ: I would just say, I would renew my objection that's filed, written as filed. 13 14 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. 175HC and 15 NP is admitted but only the portion that relates to 16 unit sales. The motion to strike filed by Public Counsel is granted. So the remainder of 175HC and 17 NP is not admitted. 18 19 (KCPL EXHIBIT 175HC AND 175NP WAS 20 RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 21 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything further from counsel? 22 Judge, I 23 MR. FISCHER: Mr. FISCHER: would just I guess renew for the record our motion 24 to late file Exhibit 169 for the Commission's 25

information. 1 2 JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I believe that 3 was filed -- I might have the docket sheet handy 4 here -- on March 7th. 5 MR. FISCHER: Yes. JUDGE PRIDGIN: And I believe the ten 6 7 days to respond to that would run tomorrow, 8 March 17. Does counsel wish to have that extra day 9 to respond or are you ready to object or not object today? 10 11 MR. OPITZ: Judge, Public Counsel 12 would ask for the opportunity to have tomorrow to respond just due to the timing of that exhibit. 13 We 14 have received some information from the company 15 about -- underlying that. I do have some other 16 data requests out there, but we're still looking at 17 that information, and I would ask to have until 18 tomorrow to file a response. 19 JUDGE PRIDGIN: All right. I'11 20 grant that request and that offer for 169 is still 21 pending. I've not ruled on that yet. 22 Anything further from counsel, any 23 other exhibits, anything else? 24 MS. MERS: Yes. Staff has a few 25 exhibits. I'm just going to go ahead and read the

exhibit number off. Staff Exhibit 241, 242, 243, 1 2 244, 245, 246. Has 251, the true-up rebuttal 3 testimony of Charles Poston, has that been offered 4 and received? 5 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Not that I show. 6 MS. MERS: I would go ahead and offer 7 that as well. We have Exhibit 252, which comes in 8 an NP and an HC version, and that is the same for 9 Exhibit 253, NP and HC. We also have Exhibit 254, 255 and 256. It looks look everything else has 10 been offered and received. 11 12 JUDGE PRIDGIN: I don't show -- maybe my notes are wrong. I don't show that 250 had been 13 14 offered. That would be Mr. Poston's true-up 15 direct. 16 MS. MERS: Okay. Then we would offer 17 that as well. 18 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Perhaps my notes are 19 wrong. If so, I apologize. 20 MS. MERS: Our notes could be wrong 21 as well. 22 MR. STEINER: Counsel, are those just 23 the schedules and true-up testimony that you read 24 all those numbers from? 25 MS. MERS: Yes. It's all previously
Page 1841

1 filed testimony. 2 MR. STEINER: Okay. Thank you. 3 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Any objection to 4 those offered? 5 (No response.) 6 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Okay. Hearing none, 7 show Exhibit 241, 242, 243 through 246, 250, 251, 8 252HC and NP, 253HC and NP, and then 254 through 9 256 are all admitted. (STAFF EXHIBITS 241, 242, 243, 244, 10 245, 246, 250, 251, 252HC, 252NP, 253HC, 253NP, 11 254, 255 AND 256 WERE RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE.) 12 13 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Anything further from 14 counsel? Anything further from the Bench? 15 CHAIRMAN HALL: No, thank you. 16 JUDGE PRIDGIN: Hearing nothing, that 17 concludes this true-up hearing. Thank you very much. 18 19 (WHEREUPON, the true-up hearing concluded at 10:00 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

-		rage 10-
1	I N D E X	
2	CAPITAL STRUCTURE	
3	KCPL'S EVIDENCE:	
4	KEVIN BRYANT	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Steiner Cross-Examination by Mr. Opitz Redirect Examination by Mr. Steiner	1768 1770 1775
6	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	
7		
	DAVID MURRAY	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Thompson Cross-Examination by Mr. Opitz	1776 1777
9 10	MARKET PRICES	
	KCPL'S EVIDENCE:	
11	BURTON CRAWFORD	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Steiner	1787
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Johnson Redirect Examination by Mr. Steiner	1788 1792
14	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	
15	ERIN MALONEY	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson	1793
ΤŪ	CHARLES POSTON	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Johnson	1794
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Opitz Cross-Examination by Mr. Steiner	1797 1798
19	TRANSMISSION/RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARDS	I / J O
20	KCPL'S EVIDENCE:	
21	RONALD KLOTE Direct Examination by Mr. Fischer	1800
22	Cross-Examination by Ms. Mers	1802
	(In-Camera Session - see below)	1011
23	Redirect Examination by Mr. Fischer	1811
24	RONALD KLOTE (IN-CAMERA, VOLUME 15) Cross-Examination by Ms. Mers	1807
25	CLOSS LAURINACION Dy MS. MCLS	TOOI

TRUE-UP HEARING VOLUME 14 3/16/2017

1	STAFF'S EVIDENCE:	
2	KAREN LYONS	1010
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Mers Cross-Examination by Mr. Opitz	1817 1822
4	MATTHEW YOUNG	
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Mers Cross-Examination by Mr. Fischer Redirect Examination by Ms. Mers	1824 1826 1827
6	Rediffeet Examination by his. hers	1027
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 2	EXHIBITS INDEX KCP&L'S EXHIBITS		
3		MARKED	D REC'D
4	EXHIBIT NO. 170 True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Kevin E. Bryant	1768	1770
5 6 7	EXHIBIT NO. 171 True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Burton L. Crawford	1768	1788
8	EXHIBIT NO. 172 True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Melissa K. Hardesty	1768	1837
10 11	EXHIBIT NO. 173 True-Up Direct Testimony of Ronald A. Klote	1768	1801
12	EXHIBIT NO. 174 True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Ronald A. Klote	1768	1801
13 14 15	EXHIBIT NO. 175HC/NP True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Tim M. Rush (Portion related to unit sales)	1768	1838
16 17 18	STAFF'S EXHIBITS EXHIBIT NO. 241 True-Up Direct Accounting Schedu	les*	1841
19	EXHIBIT NO. 242 Revised True-Up Direct Accountin Schedules	g *	1841
20 21	EXHIBIT NO. 243 True-Up Direct Testimony of Alan J. Bax	*	1841
22 23	EXHIBIT NO. 244 True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Alan J. Bax	*	1841
24 25	ATAN U. DAA		TOAT

1	EXHIBIT NO. 245	
2	True-Up Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich *	1841
3	EXHIBIT NO. 246	TOHT
	True-Up Direct Testimony of	
4	Sarah L. Kliethermes *	1841
5	EXHIBIT NO. 247HC/NP True-Up Direct Testimony of	
6	Karen Lyons *	1822
7	EXHIBIT NO. 248HC/NP	
8	True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Karen Lyons *	1822
9	EXHIBIT NO. 249	TOZZ
9	True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of	
10	David Murray *	1777
11	EXHIBIT NO. 250	
12	True-Up Direct Testimony of Charles Poston *	1841
13	EXHIBIT NO. 251	
14	True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of Charles Poston *	1841
15	EXHIBIT NO. 252HC/NP	TOAT
10	True-Up Direct Testimony of	
16	Ashley Sarver *	1841
17	EXHIBIT NO. 253HC/NP True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of	
18	Ashley Sarver *	1841
19	EXHIBIT NO. 254	
20	True-Up Direct Testimony of Michael L. Stahlman *	1841
21	EXHIBIT NO. 255	TOAT
21	True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of	
22	Michael L. Stahlman *	1841
23	EXHIBIT NO. 256 True-Up Direct Testimony of Seoung	
24	Joun Won *	1841
25		
1		

TRUE-UP HEARING VOLUME 14 3/16/2017

1	EXHIBIT NO. 257 True-Up Direct Testimony of	
2	Matthew R. Young *	1825
3	EXHIBIT NO. 258 True-Up Rebuttal Testimony of	
4	Matthew R. Young *	1825
5	EXHIBIT NO. 259HC Comparison of Fuel Model Results 1796	1797
6		1 / J /
7	*Marked at the conclusion of the hearing.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

TRUE-UP HEARING VOLUME 14 3/16/2017

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss.
4	COUNTY OF COLE)
5	I, Kellene K. Feddersen, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter with the firm of Midwest
7	Litigation Services, do hereby certify that I was
8	personally present at the proceedings had in the
9	above-entitled cause at the time and place set
10	forth in the caption sheet thereof; that I then and
11	there took down in Stenotype the proceedings had;
12	and that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
13	transcript of such Stenotype notes so made at such
14	time and place.
15	Given at my office in the City of
16	Jefferson, County of Cole, State of Missouri.
17	Kellene K. Feddersen, RPR, CSR, CCR
18	Kerrene K. Feddersen, Kik, ebk, eek
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A	1833:25	affidavit	1832:9	1777:2
ability 1830:25	addressed	1785:17,19,22	1833:17	1787:22
able 1816:24	1782:7	1786:6	1834:8 1835:4	1795:9,14
1832:17,21	1822:22	1793:24	amortization	1801:9
abnormal	1830:21	after-tax 1781:8	1809:14,18,25	1821:16
1773:24	1832:7	agencies 1778:8	1810:11	1824:25
1774:7	1837:13	1778:12,18	1812:5,9	anybody 1805:1
abnormality	addresses	1779:15	1826:6	anymore 1784:9
1774:10	1834:4	agency 1784:2	1827:10,19	apologize
above-entitled	addressing	ago 1774:22,22	amortized	1840:19
1847:9	1819:10	1828:17	1826:12	APPEARAN
acceptable	adjust 1773:21	agree 1772:11	amount 1802:22	1767:1
1786:19	adjustment	1772:14,19,23	1803:6,15	appearing
accounting	1773:20,22	1773:23	1804:18,20	1799:17
1793:21	1774:8,10,16	1774:6,12	1810:20	appears 1789:7
1844:17,19	1775:18,20	1775:20	1813:6	1833:6
accurate	1785:23	1783:3	1826:19	applied 1790:19
1769:22	1786:18	1803:10	1829:11,12	1790:20
1781:10	1794:2	1814:24	amounts	approach
1790:5,6	1836:21	1821:8	1783:20	1788:25
1801:11	adjustments	1836:17	1810:8	1789:14
1801.11	1774:17	agreed 1774:21	1814:17	1809:13
acquire 1770:21	1814:5 1815:6	1814:9	analysis 1776:16	1815:13
1770:23	1836:17	Agreement	1793:18,25	1816:12
1770.23	admission	1813:8	1831:8	appropriate
acquisition	1796:25	ahead 1795:25	annual 1790:12	1828:21,23
1772:16,24	1801:15	1818:12	annualization	1833:16
1772.10,24	1828:25	1828:11	1814:24	approving
1779:22,24	admitted 1770:7	1839:25	annualizations	1773:4
1779.22,24	1777:14	1840:6	1820:7,13,14	approximately
actions 1779:14	1788:5 1797:6	Alan 1844:21,23	1820:15	1790:3
1779:20	1801:21	allocated	1821:5	argument
1779.20	1822:8	1782:10	annualize	1833:6
actual 1803:5	1825:21	allocation	1802:20,23	arguments
1813:9	1837:22	1782:13	1803:23	1786:23
actuals 1815:17	1838:15,18	1820:22	annualized	arose 1834:6
add 1786:2	1841:9	1821:1	1803:3	Ashley 1845:16
additional	adopt 1790:4	1822:19,23	1819:11,11,12	1845:18
	adopted 1803:16	1823:2,3	annualizing	asked 1811:10
1779:3,8,11	1826:19	allow 1812:6,9	1803:1 1814:8	1811:11
1781:24,24 1829:9	adopts 1816:11	1834:8 1835:2	answer 1830:12	1812:21
1829:9	advocated	1835:4	1832:3 1835:3	1821:15
10311 / /	1803:22	allowed 1815:3	answered	1824:24
		with the 1010.0		1021.21
address 1800:11		allows 1811.23	1830:24	1827:20
address 1800:11 1800:13	advocating	allows 1811:23 1812:2	1830:24 answers 1769:21	1827:20 1836:7
address 1800:11		allows 1811:23 1812:2 alternative	1830:24 answers 1769:21 1769:21	1827:20 1836:7 asking 1792:11

1050.10	1/74.1.)		100011,21	
1838:10	1794:13	beyond 1780:8	1830:17,24	case 1771:1,6,17
1809:1	1793:3	1829:6	Caisley 1830:12	caption 1847:10
1785:12	1792:15	better 1774:17	1847:2	1842:2
back 1782:8	1781:6 1782:5	1830:25	C 1768:1 1847:2	1832:11
<u> </u>	1780:20	1825:3	C	1829:11
	1778:18	1821:19		1784:3,5,7
1841:20	1776:4	1801:11	1778:24	1783:12,20
a.m 1768:3	1771:11	1795:10	business 1772:2	1781:3 1783:4
aware 1770:20	believe 1768:9	1777:5	1844:6	1778:17,22,25
1789:9	1825:4	best 1769:22	1842:11	1778:1,11,14
average 1788:17	1821:20	benefit 1771:24	1836:22	1775:1,19
1832:3	1801:12	Bench's 1835:10	1787:12	1774:14,19
1829:16,24	1795:11	1841:14	Burton 1787:8	1773:8,11,24
available	1777:6	1835:7	bunch 1774:16	1772:6 1773:5
1766:13	belief 1769:23	1829:6 1835:3	built 1813:24	1771:6,18
Authority	1838:7	1827:4,7	1783:17	1770:25
1824:7	1769:14	1823:12,15	Bryant's	1769:14
auditor 1817:23	beginning	1811:2,5	1842:4 1844:4	capital 1768:11
Attorney 1767:2	began 1768:2	1799:5,8	1782:4,9	1824:6
1793:24	Bax 1844:21,23	1794:12	1780:16,16	1817:22
attesting	1813:25	1792:6,7	1776:3 1779:1	capacity
1781:1	1781:8 1784:1	1784:16,21	1770:18	1826:23
attempting	basis 1779:3	1775:14	1769:1	1811:21,23
1829:13	1815:17	bench 1768:12	1768:13,16,22	1810:17,18
attempted	1790:19	belong 1805:1	Bryant 1768:10	1774:18
attempt 1835:9	1781:21	believes 1785:22	bring 1804:20	1773:16,18
1815:1	basically	1782:10	1828:16	cap 1771:9
attached 1802:8	1813.22	believed	1811.0 1820.3	1784:1
1790:13,15,17	1812:1 1813.9	1839:6	1811:6 1826:3	Canadian
assumptions	1812:1 1813:9	1838:9 1839:2	1792:8 1798:1	calls 1827:21
1782:18	1804.15	1835:21	briefly 1785:16	1815:22
assumed	1803.25	1834:3,23	1832:4	1782:3
1796:8	1803:23	1832:15,21	1831:18	1780:19
1790.24	1790:11	1828.18,19,20	1829:9 1830:4	calculations
1790:24	1790:11	1828:18,19,20	breakdown	1834:15
assume 1772:3	1784:4 1790:7	1823:19	Box 1767:10,16	1814.24
1791:8 1830:7	1781:10	1822:21	1818:16	1814:24
associated	1778:13,21	1813.4 1817.8	bottom 1798:4,9	1798:16
associate 1834:5	based 1775:15	1814.20	bolster 1835:16	1791:11
1778:21	1815:14,10,22	1811.12	1813.11	1781:11
assignment	1814:10,11	1809:12,10	1813:11	calculation
assets 1820.10	1814:10,11	1804.10	1781:19	1790:14
asset 1826:16	base 1771:24	1802.11	bit 1770:22	calculated
1802:12	1826:16 ball 1814:17	1799:13 1802:11	1783:22	calculate 1789:24
1802:12	1926-16	1700.12	billion 1783:15	11-4-

1772:10,21	certify 1847:7	1837:9	1818:25	1789:23
1774:14	Chairman	charged 1815:19	Clean 1835:16	1809:22
1779:22	1766:19	charging	1837:9	1810:8,12
1780:17	1784:17,18	1829:10	close 1803:11,12	1811:10,11
1782:8,22,24	1799:5,6	1830:5,6,8,15	closer 1804:20	1812:24
1788:18,20,22	1811:2,3	1830:20	closing 1834:25	1814:9,12
1789:10,19	1823:13	1831:19	Cole 1847:4,16	1815:18
1793:21	1827:5 1829:8	1832:1,10,19	column 1797:22	1819:4 1821:6
1796:20	1829:14	1832:20,23	1802:21	1823:9 1826:8
1803:17	1830:17,18	1833:19	1819:19,19,24	1826:20
1804:15	1831:2,10	1834:5	come 1768:16	1827:18
1809:14	1841:15	Charles 1794:19	1800:2 1804:5	1829:13,17
1812:1,25	Chairman's	1794:23	1817:9	1831:23
1813:8	1830:24	1840:3	comes 1840:7	1833:17
1816:20,23	chance 1785:25	1842:16	coming 1786:6	1834:20
1818:19	change 1771:18	1845:12,14	Commission	1839:14
1826:14	1790:7,25	chart 1818:16	1766:2	company's
1827:12,13	1791:3	1818:16,19,20	1767:16,19	1766:12
1830:1,9,22	1792:21	chasing 1814:21	1772:4,8	1785:21
1831:7,24	1818:23	1816:23	1773:4,6,10,18	1788:22
1835:17	1820:1,2,3,18	check 1770:11	1774:7	1789:9
cases 1771:2,7	1820:19,21,23	Chief 1767:14	1776:17	1790:21
1774:10	1820:25	1769:5	1793:17	1809:13,17
1780:5	1821:2,3,11	choosing	1795:1	1819:12
1814:14	1822:19	1775:18	1797:19	1831:4,12
1816:21	1823:4	chose 1773:18	1816:11	1836:14
cash 1771:12	1824:19,20	Chuck 1830:12	1817:24	compared
catch 1825:12	changed	circumstances	1824:8	1798:18
cause 1769:6	1771:15,17,23	1771:15	1829:22	1815:2
1771:18	1772:1	City 1766:9,12	1831:1 1832:5	comparison
1795:2,16	1819:21,22	1767:3,6,7,8	1835:1	1796:16,17
1809:18	1822:24	1767:11,17	Commissioner	1798:12
1847:9	1823:2,3	1800:14	1766:19	1846:5
caused 1774:9	changes 1776:23	1847:15	1830:18	complete
1776:19	1795:6	clarification	1832:6	1783:19
1800:16	1800:21	1819:4	Commissioners	1832:5
1818:1	1801:4 1818:7	1825:11	1829:15	concept 1830:18
1822:24	1818:9,10	clarified	Commission's	1830:23
1824:10	1821:12,14	1831:16	1833:18	1832:9
CCR 1766:22	1822:18,18,21	clarify 1773:9	1838:25	concluded
1847:17	1822:22,25	1819:10	common	1841:20
certain 1774:10	1824:16	1836:4,25	1781:15	concludes
certainly 1774:3	changing 1781:3	1830:4,25	1783:15	1841:17
1774:15	characterizati	clarifying	company 1779:4	conclusion
1785:5	1783:7	1834:18	1779:8,12	1846:6
Certified 1847:5	Charge 1835:16	classified	1788:18	confidential
	Shurge 1033.10	VIGOIIIVG	1700.10	communitial
	I	I	I	I

1818:25	1804:7,10,21	1831:6,19	1842:11	1810:9
1819:2 1820:6	1809:14,15	1832:1,4,11	1844:6	cut 1813:11
1831:21	1810:1,2,4,5	1834:4	created 1796:20	cutoff 1789:10
confused	1810:13,18,19	counsel 1767:9	credits 1803:9	cycle 1834:22
1782:23	1810:22	1767:10,13,14	1813:21,22,24	1836:14,21
conjunction	1811:19	1767:15,15	cross 1768:13	
1781:15	1812:15,19	1768:12	1775:15	D
consideration	1813:5	1770:11,12,15	1777:20	D 1766:17
1779:6	1815:12	1785:14	1784:12	1768:1 1842:1
consolidated	1818:6 1822:3	1786:10	1787:25	DANIEL
1770:25	1824:15	1792:11	1794:5,7	1766:19
1771:6 1773:4	1825:3	1796:1	1797:10,25	data 1813:23
1773:11	1826:24	1799:18,23	1801:16	1839:16
1775:19	1827:12	1801:25	1821:24	date 1772:8,9
1778:1,22	1835:23	1804:25	1823:8 1825:8	1773:6,14
1779:3,23	1838:5	1811:20	Cross-exam	1774:14
contained	1847:12	1813:10	1775:7	1775:19,22
1769:21	correction	1828:3	cross-examina	1789:9 1801:2
1777:4	1780:21	1829:20	1770:2,14,17	1829:17,19
1787:21	1781:9	1833:4,10	1777:18,22	David 1776:10
1795:9 1801:8	1825:12,13	1835:7,12,22	1788:8,13	1776:13,18
1805:5	corrections	1836:4	1797:12,13	1842:7
1829:18,24	1769:10,20	1838:17,22	1798:2	1845:10
1830:4	1776:24	1839:8,11,22	1801:24	day 1830:11
1831:21	1787:18	1840:22	1802:3	1839:8
1833:7 1834:3	1795:7	1841:14	1822:11,14	days 1828:17
continue	1824:23	Counsel's	1825:24	1839:7
1777:25	corrects 1837:1	1830:10	1826:4 1842:5	deadline
1814:22	correspond	1835:2,18	1842:8,12,17	1829:25
1828:25	1769:17	County 1847:4	1842:18,22,24	1830:2
1833:9	cost 1790:2	1847:16	1843:3,5	debt 1772:20
continues	1792:19	couple 1814:8	CSR 1766:22	1774:22
1771:20,23	1798:17	1834:22	1833:18	1779:4,8,12
1816:5	1818:13,15	course 1772:2	1835:5	1782:21
convenience	1829:9 1830:4	1804:14	1847:17	1783:2,22
1828:9	1830:6 1832:2	court 1793:12	current 1826:14	December
сору 1780:23	costs 1791:8	1794:22	currently	1769:16,18
1833:23	1798:12	Crawford	1795:22	1772:10
correct 1774:24	1802:20	1785:2,13,14	1811:25	1773:6,14,23
1777:5 1778:1	1809:21	1786:25	1820:17,24	1781:3,18
1778:3 1781:7	1810:1,4	1787:1,8,12,13	customer	1782:2 1783:3
1789:20	1811:12,17	1788:14	1771:14,14	1783:4 1784:5
1791:2	1812:3,17,22	1789:18	customers	1784:8
1795:10	1816:24	1792:4,10	1771:24	1815:18,20
1798:25	1819:6 1820:7	1793:1	1804:10	1816:18
1799:1 1804:6	1829:11,12	1836:22	1809:12	decided 1833:1

1778:11	1778:6	1830:16	elaborate	1775:23
decrease	1785:23	dispatched	1813:16	1779:1
1791:12,21	1786:19	1791:5	electric 1766:14	1781:15
1818:17	1787:9	distribute	1829:10	1783:15
decreased	1788:18,20,22	1779:10	1832:10	Erin 1793:9,13
1791:17	1789:5,19	distribution	eliminated	1793:19,23
deductible	1792:16	1829:12	1782:15	1842:15
1781:17	1793:10	1830:7 1831:7	elimination	errors 1834:14
definitely	1794:20	1831:20,25	1782:11	1834:19
1781:9	1795:3	1832:11	embedded	1837:1
definitively	1800:10,17	dividend	1814:3 1816:1	ER-2016-0285
1771:8	1801:1 1813:6	1779:10	employed	1766:13
deleted 1818:14	1817:17	dividends	1769:2	1768:8
demands 1779:2	1818:1,9,22	1779:10	1776:14,15	especially
deny 1835:1	1819:3 1824:1	1780:2	1793:15	1786:4
Department	1824:10	docket 1839:3	1794:24,25	essentially
1793:18	1827:11,13,21	document	1817:21	1832:25
Depends 1774:9	1828:22	1796:13	1824:5	1836:13
described	1829:25	1821:19	EMS 1834:19	estimate 1790:2
1830:18	1830:3	documents	ends 1816:18	1790:7,14
1831:3,10	1833:20	1825:3	energy 1770:20	estimated
designated	1835:17	doing 1781:12	1770:21	1781:23
1776:21	1840:15	1782:13	1771:5	estimates 1781:6
determines	1842:4,8,12,15	dollars 1816:23	1772:12	EV 1830:6,8,14
1781:22	1842:17,21	Dority 1767:2	1778:13,22	1830:20
developing	1843:2,4	double 1770:10	1779:3,11,15	1831:13
1832:15	1844:10,17,19	doubtful	1780:1	1832:12,14,18
development	1844:21	1832:17	1781:18	1832:19,23
1830:19	1845:1,3,5,11	drifted 1833:6	1782:17,19	1833:2,19
1832:18	1845:15,19,23	due 1798:4	1812:3	1834:5
develops	1846:1	1839:13	1826:11	evaluating
1831:14	directly 1779:17		1842:19	1778:13
Dietrich 1845:2	disagreement	E	Energy's	evaluations
difference	1836:15,20	E 1768:1,1	1778:24	1779:16
1771:9	disclosed 1834:1	1842:1 1844:4	1779:19	evidence 1770:1
1790:12,20	1834:6	1847:2,2	engineer	1770:9
1798:4	discuss 1779:21	earn 1832:21	1793:16	1777:16
1803:24	1783:2	effect 1778:15	1794:25	1788:7 1797:8
1820:3,13	1804:24	1781:1	Engineering	1801:23
1821:5	discussed	1816:15	1793:18	1822:10
different	1782:7	efficient 1799:20	entire 1836:3	1825:23
1782:21	1830:23	EFIS 1828:18	entirety 1836:7	1835:20,22
1809:24	discussion	eight 1814:17	equal 1782:13	1837:24
1814:1	1785:10	either 1800:22	equally 1815:15	1838:20
direct 1768:23	1811:24	1820:7,12	equity 1772:12	1841:12
1776:11	discussions	1826:6	1772:15	1842:3,6,10,14
1,,0,11	410-40010110		1,72,15	1012.2,0,10,17
	I	I	I	Ι

1842:20	1841:7 1844:3	1813:2,12,15	1768:7 1778:6	1787:6
1843:1	1844:5,7,9,11	1831:18	1787:13	1799:19
evidentiary	1844:13,17,18	1833:20	1815:21	1800:7,8,10
1829:7	1844:20,22	1836:9	1829:17,18	1801:14
Examination	1845:1,3,5,7,9	explains 1830:4	1838:25	1811:6,7
1768:23	1845:11,13,15	1832:8	1839:18	1817:3,5
1775:16	1845:17,19,21	extent 1782:9	filed 1768:9	1819:7,13,16
1776:11	1845:23	1833:10	1769:6	1822:6
1787:9 1792:9	1846:1,3,5	extra 1839:8	1785:17	1823:10,11
1793:10	exhibits 1768:4	E-r-i-n 1793:13	1792:18	1826:3,4
1794:20	1795:4,4		1793:21	1827:1,3
1800:10	1800:22	F	1800:16	1828:5,12,13
1811:7	1801:8,22	F 1847:2	1827:17	1829:3,4
1817:17	1818:3	facilities 1830:7	1828:17,20	1833:14
1824:1 1827:9	1821:23	1831:20	1830:3	1835:6,24
1842:4,5,8,12	1822:8,9	fact 1784:6	1836:12	1836:24
1842:13,15,17	1825:22	factor 1819:21	1838:13,13,16	1837:11,17,25
1842:21,23	1828:6	1820:22	1839:3 1841:1	1838:6,23,23
1843:2,4,5	1828.0	1821:1	filing 1789:5	1839:5
exception	1859.25,25	1822:19,24	1792:1 1813:6	1842:21,23
1834:21	1844:1,2,16	1823:2,3	1829:16,25	1843:5
	exist 1816:10	factors 1819:20	1829.10,25	
excluded		fair 1783:6	final 1823:19	five 1785:9
1835:20	existence 1784:9	fairly 1771:10		1814:13
exhibit 1769:8 1769:22	expect 1773:19 1773:21	1803:11,12	finance 1771:20 1774:19	fixed 1834:19
		1830:19		Floor 1767:6
1770:1,8	1816:12	fall 1775:23	1779:10	follows 1768:22
1776:21	expected	familiar 1802:6	financial 1769:5	1776:10
1777:9,15	1778:14	family 1778:21	1776:16	1787:8 1793:9
1787:15,21,25	expended	far 1774:4	1778:24	1794:19
1788:6	1809:22	1778:10	1779:7,20,23	1800:9
1795:21,22	1810:14	1779:22	financing	1817:16
1796:2,6	1811:17	1831:25	1771:13	1823:25
1797:1,7	1812:13,18	faster 1826:23	1773:20	forecasted
1800:19	expense 1791:21	February	fine 1799:22	1803:25
1801:15	1802:16	1816:19	1805:3	1804:17,20
1824:12	1803:16	1829:8 1834:7	1819:16	1812:21,25
1825:7	1804:9		1820:16	1813:3,6
1829:18,21	1813:19	Feddersen	firm 1847:6	foregoing
1830:5 1831:8	1814:21	1766:22	first 1768:10	1847:12
1831:22	1818:14	1847:5,17	1783:21	formed 1782:17
1833:21	expenses 1791:9	feel 1815:13	1816:15	forth 1812:4
1835:9 1837:7	1813:9	fees 1818:20	1818:8	1847:10
1837:16,23	1814:15	figure 1781:3	1820:23	forum 1809:2
1838:19,25	1816:13	figures 1780:20	1824:17	forward
1839:13	explain 1781:1	1781:2	1830:22	1768:16
1840:1,1,7,9,9	1811:21	file 1766:12	Fischer 1767:2,2	1779:2 1800:2

1817:9	G	1814:8 1815:7	1841:15	1805:5 1829:8
forward-looki	G 1768:1	1815:15	hand 1787:2	high 1814:2
1778:14,17	general 1766:13	1822:15,16	1789:4 1793:4	1830:19
found 1786:20	1779:22	GPE 1769:13	1794:14	higher 1774:2
four 1814:12	1780:5 1782:8	1770:23,25	1817:10	1779:23
fourth 1802:23	1782:22,24	1771:4,6	1823:21	1781:19
1803:1	generated	1772:5,19,24	handing 1796:5	1809:16
Friday 1827:17	1791:25	1773:4,11,18	handled 1780:5	highly 1818:25
front 1782:25	give 1809:7	1773:23	handy 1839:3	1819:2 1820:6
1788:20	given 1771:12	1774:14,22,24	happen 1780:22	1831:21
1797:22	1831:1	1774:25	1788:16	historical
1798:7	1847:15	1777:25	Hard 1771:8	1773:24
fuel 1788:22	GMO 1778:13	1780:2 1783:4	Hardesty 1844:8	1802:16
1791:9,13,18	1779:22,24	1784:6,7	Hardesty's	1815:2
1791:22	go 1785:6,8	GPE's 1772:16	1837:14	1818:20
1792:1	1795:25	1779:25	harm 1810:12	1819:6,7
1796:16,17,18	1804:23	1781:2,3	harmed 1809:13	1820:7,10
1796:22	1804.25	grant 1835:18	harmful	historically
1797:21	1803.2	1839:20	1809:18,20	1771:21
1798:22	1812.20	granted 1837:8	HC 1820:10	1774:4
1822:21,23	1813.20	1838:17	1821:6 1822:1	holding 1779:4
1834:15,21		Great 1770:20	1840:8,9	1779:8,12
1846:5	1828:4,11	1772:11	head 1823:16	Honor 1777:9
full 1810:4,21	1835:15	1778:13,21,23	heading 1798:11	1780:3
1847:12	1839:25	1779:2,11,14	health 1779:20	1784:15
fully 1816:24	1840:6	1779:19,25	hear 1827:17	1785:17
fund 1814:19	goes 1780:8	1781:17	hearing 1766:7	1787:24
funding 1783:13	1836:16	1782:17,18	1768:2,7,8,15	1821:22
1783:19	going 1772:4,5	grosses 1781:21	1770:6	1825:6
1814:11,11	1773:10	ground 1834:9	1777:13	hourly 1790:10
1815:14,16,22	1779:2 1780:3	guaranteed	1780:8	hours 1834:22
1815:14,10,22	1782:8,12	1810:11	1786:14	hypothetical
funds 1812:13	1789:4	guess 1783:8	1788:4 1797:5	1773:3,10,15
further 1768:12	1802:18	1819:3	1801:20	hypothetically
1785:14	1809:4,5	1819.5	1801.20	1772:3
1792:4,6	1813:11,16,16	1030.24	1825:20	1772.3
1792.4,0	1829:4	Н	1829:7 1831:3	I
1810:25	1833:10	hairs 1835:8	1829.7 1831.3	IDENTIFICA
1810.23	1835:18	Hall 1766:19	1837:13,21	1768:5 1796:3
1827.22	1839:25	1784:18	1837.13,21	identified
	good 1768:6	1799:6 1811:3	, ,	1772:10
1833:2 1835:7	1770:18,19	1823:13	1841:19	1820:21
1838:21	1775:11,13	1827:5 1829:8	1846:6	1820.21
1839:22	1777:23,24	1827.5 1829.8	hearings	1821.0
1841:13,14	1788:14,15	1831:10	1830:11	1837:1
future 1831:9 1832:12,14	1797:14,15	1832:6	1834:7 held 1785:11	immediately
	1802:4,5			

1832:16	1789:5	1772:16,24	1815:7,15	1804:25
impact 1779:15	indirectly	1775:22	Johnson	1805:3 1809:1
1781:23,25	1779:18	1781:13,16	1767:15	1811:1,4
1791:7	inferred	1783:3	1786:11	1817:4,5,13
1810:17,21	1775:17	issuances 1773:2	1788:11,12,13	1821:25
impacting	information	1773:12	1788:25	1822:4,7,11,13
1814:12	1769:23	issue 1783:12,22	1789:3,14,17	1823:8,10,11
Implement	1795:17	1783:24	1792:3,5	1823:14,17,23
1766:13	1821:18	1786:13	1793:8,10	1825:9,17,20
implications	1825:2 1829:9	1789:23	1794:4,6,18,20	1825:24
1833:21	1829:14,16,19	1792:13	1795:20,25	1826:1,2
important	1829:24	1796:22	1796:4,9,12,24	1827:3,6,24
1837:3	1834:3 1839:1	1812:21,25	1797:9,11	1828:5,10,16
impression	1839:14,17	1813:22	1799:9	1829:2,4
1831:2	informational	1816:12	1842:12,15,17	1833:3,23
improper	1796:21	1826:5	Joun 1845:24	1835:6,24
1833:9	input 1791:25	1828:19,21,23	Judge 1766:17	1836:2,6,9,24
inaccurate	inputs 1813:20	1834:14,20,22	1768:6,15,19	1837:6,15,17
1782:5	inserted 1769:13	1835:10	1769:25	1837:18.21
include 1812:4	installation	1836:10,13,16	1770:3,6,10,16	1838:3,9,14,21
included	1830:6	1836:20	1775:7,10,14	1838:23
1816:13	installing	1837:2	1776:2,7,9	1839:2,6,11,19
includes	1832:2,19	issued 1772:12	1777:10,13,19	1840:5,12,18
1780:19	instance	1772:20	1777:21	1841:3,6,13,16
including	1771:12	1774:22	1780:10,12,13	July 1815:24
1816:8	insufficient	1781:12	1784:11,12,16	June 1769:16,19
1829:20	1804:19	1782:16,17	1784:20,23,24	1775:18,22
incorrectly	integrated	1783:14,21	1785:4,5,8,12	1778:2,5,11
1782:10	1791:5	issues 1799:20	1786:9,22	1781:19
1831:5	interpose 1833:3	1814:2,8	1787:4 1788:1	jurisdictional
increase	introduced	1830:15	1788:4,8,10,11	1819:20,20,25
1766:13	1828:6	1833:7	1788:12,25	1820:1,2
1780:2	invest 1771:24	1835:17	1789:2,15	1821:1
1781:25	investment		1792:5,25	
1790:2	1832:22	J	1793:7 1794:6	K
1798:21	investments	J 1844:21,23	1794:8,9,10,11	K 1766:22
1804:17	1832:24	JAMES 1767:2	1794:17	1844:8 1847:5
1816:5	1833:1,2	January	1795:20,23	1847:17
1818:16	investor 1784:1	1815:18,23	1796:7,10,25	Kansas 1766:12
increases 1816:7	in-camera	1816:2,19	1797:2,5,11,24	1767:6,7,8
1816:18	1804:24	Jefferson 1766:9	1799:4,7,9,10	1800:14
Independence	1805:2,5	1767:3,11,17	1799:16,19,22	1832:23
1815:8	1819:5	1847:16	1800:2,5,8	Karen 1802:7
INDEX 1844:1	1819.5	jfischerpc@ao	1800.2,5,8	1817:16,20,25
	IPL 1813:21	1767:4	1801:24	1843:2 1845:6
indicated 1782.0				
indicated 1782:9 1782:13	issuance	job 1814:8	1801:24	1845:8

KCPL 1768:4,7	1769:1 1842:4	1812:20	1814:2,5,17,23	March 1766:8
1770:8,24	1844:4	level 1771:17	1815:18,21	1829:17
1771:1,5,7,14	Kliethermes	1779:7 1791:7	1816:8	1830:1 1839:4
1771:17,18,20	1845:4	1810:21	1840:10	1839:8
1771:20,23	Klote 1799:14	1814:2	looked 1815:19	mark 1767:15
1772:2	1799:14,15	1819:11,12	looking 1778:19	1795:21
1773:16,21	1800:9,13,15	1827:19	1778:23,25	marked 1768:4
1778:13	1802:4 1811:9	1830:19	1780:21	1795:4 1796:2
1780:1	1817:6	liabilities 1810:7	1797:16	1796:6
1782:18	1842:21,24	Light 1766:12	1813:23	1800:17,18
1786:3 1788:6	1844:10,12	1767:6,8	1816:8,17	1818:2
1791:24	KL-TR1	line 1769:12,14	1839:16	1824:11
1796:19	1802:13	1769:19	looks 1840:10	1844:2 1846:6
1801:22	know 1771:22	1801:2	lot 1813:19	market 1788:17
1804:9,20	1774:1	1818:14	1814:2	1789:6,9,19,24
1820:14	1816:17	1820:17,19,20	low 1775:3	1790:4,7
1826:2	1816:17	1820:22,24	Lyons 1802:7	1791:4,13,17
1832:13,17,20	knowledge	1820.22,24	1817:9,16,18	1791:21,25
1833:1,11	1769:23	1824:18,20,21	1817:20,25	1791:21,23
1837:23	1709.23	1824.18,20,21	1817.20,25	1793:20,25
1837:25	1789:22	lines 1783:2	1822:15	1795:20,25
KCPL's 1774:20	1795:11	1809:7	1843:2 1845:6	1798:4,13,17
1779:20	1801:12	list 1823:20	1845:8	1798:18,22,23
1780:16	1821:20	1828:3	M	1831:13
1790:4	1825:4	1837:16	M 1767:2	1832:12,14,19
1791:13,17,21	L	listed 1789:6	1844:14	1833:2 1842:9
1796:23	$\frac{\mathbf{L}}{\mathbf{L} 1844:6 1845:4}$	1820:8,23	machinations	marketplace
1798:17,22		1830:14	1774:17	1791:5
1802:16,19	1845:20,22	Litigation		math 1802:18
1803:10	larger 1831:5	1766:22	Madison 1767:3	Matt 1824:9
1810:20	lastly 1796:20	1847:7	1767:11,17	Matter 1766:12
1820:2 1833:4	late 1829:18	little 1770:22	Main 1800:14	matters 1833:25
1842:3,10,20	1838:25	1779:5,18	majority	Matthew
KCP&L 1769:3	late-filed	1813:11	1831:25	1823:25
1773:8	1829:21	1829:6	making 1774:16	1824:4 1843:4
1779:16	1830:5	1835:12	1775:18	1846:2,4
1784:13	Law 1766:17	live 1785:21	1833:6	mature 1831:14
1797:25	1767:2	1786:3,7,14	Maloney	1832:13
KCP&L'S	lays 1815:2	longer 1784:8	1785:18	maximum
1844:2	left 1792:21	1811:14	1786:24	1826:25
keep 1778:9	1814:10	1813:3	1793:3,9,13,14	mean 1771:9
keeping 1836:5	1826:10,13	1826:19	1793:19,24	1779:25
Kellene 1766:22	Legal 1767:15	look 1779:6,8	1794:12	1781:8
1847:5,17	1767:15	1788:23	1842:15	1783:10
Kevin 1767:14	let's 1772:3	1803:18	Manager	means 1783:11
1768:22	1811:8	1813:12,17	1776:16	1836:19
1				

mechanism	1847:6	1846:5	Ν	normalize
1810:6	million 1781:24	moment	N 1768:1 1842:1	1774:8
1814:14	1782:1	1774:22	name 1768:24	note 1805:4
MEEIA 1834:21	1783:18,24	1785:4 1805:2	1776:12	1829:23
1836:14,21	1790:3	1809:7	1787:10	1831:20
Melissa 1837:14	1803:11,12,17	money 1810:15	1793:11,13	noted 1830:10
1844:8	1804:1,2,3,5,8	1826:10,13	1794:21	notes 1840:13
memory	1814:20	month 1813:24	1800:11	1840:18,20
1788:23	1815:24,25	months 1802:20	1817:19	1847:13
1789:11	1816:3	1802:21	1824:3	notified 1792:12
mentioned	1826:17	1803:23	Natelle 1845:1	November
1779:1	mind 1821:14	1804:19	near 1832:14	1815:20
1811:20	1824:23	1816:9	necessarily	NP 1822:1,4,5
1815:6	1836:19	1826:21	1785:19	1838:3,15,18
1827:14	minimal	Moody's 1779:5	necessary	1840:8,9
Mers 1767:15	1771:11	1779:18	1785:23,24	1841:8,8
1800:1 1802:3	minimum	morning 1768:6	1794:2	number 1780:7
1804:23	1810:18	1770:18,19	need 1783:12,22	1788:19,24
1809:2,3	minutes 1785:9	1775:11,13	1785:6	1798:4,5,20
1810:24	missing 1770:13	1777:23,24	1789:11	1803:11
1811:1	Missouri 1766:1	1788:14,15	1800:21	1820:1,2,19,20
1817:14,17	1766:9	1797:14,15	1801:5	1820:24
1821:13,22,25	1767:16,19	1802:4,5	1804:23	1821:10,10
1822:3	1776:17	1822:15,16	1814:5 1828:6	1825:12
1823:16,24	1793:16	motion 1785:17	1831:15	1828:6 1840:1
1824:1 1825:6	1795:1	1828:7,11,17	needs 1769:13	numbers
1827:8,9,22	1800:14	1829:18	1824:19	1769:15
1839:24	1817:23	1830:10	1831:16	1804:24
1840:6,16,20	1819:25,25	1833:5,8,12,16	net 1790:2	1818:23,24
1840:25	1824:8	1835:2,18,20	1798:12,17	1819:2 1820:6
1842:22,24	1832:17	1836:2 1837:8	netted 1803:8	1820:8,11
1843:2,4,5	1847:3,16	1838:16,24	network	1822:18
method 1802:19	MO 1767:3,7,11	move 1796:25	1832:10	1831:21
1803:14,22	1767:17	1801:15	1835:16	1840:24
1811:12	model 1790:9,10	moved 1815:24	1837:9	numerous
1812:16	1790:11	1816:2,3	new 1779:11	1814:13
1816:17	1791:14,18,22	1833:11	1832:19	
methodology	1792:1	Murray 1776:4	NICOLE	0
1789:24	1796:16,17,19	1776:10,13,14	1767:15	O 1768:1
Michael 1845:20	1796:20,23	1776:18	nine 1816:9	object 1780:4
1845:22	1797:21	1777:17,23	non-firm	1786:6
Midas 1791:24	1798:22,24	1784:24	1790:25	1828:25
middle 1797:22	1830:19	1842:7	norm 1774:3,5	1835:12
1819:19	1831:2,3,9,14	1845:10	Normal 1772:2	1839:9,9
Midwest	1832:9,13,16	M-a-l-o-n-e-y	normalization	objected
1766:22	1832:22,25	1793:14	1774:13	1828:24

1829:20	1837:8,19	open 1792:21	overcollect	pending 1828:7
objection	1838:5 1840:3	opening 1828:14	1810:8	1829:21
1785:19,20	1840:11,14	operational	overestimate	1833:8
1786:23	1841:4	1793:17	1803:15	1835:11,19
1799:24	offering 1783:16	1830:13	overruled	1839:21
1822:6,7	offers 1821:22	operations	1786:23	percent 1769:17
1833:4 1836:5	1825:6	1771:21		1774:2 1775:3
1836:7,8	1836:22	opinion 1791:3	P	1779:1
1838:13	office 1767:10	1794:1	P 1768:1	1810:16,21
1841:3	1767:13	Opitz 1767:9	page 1769:12,15	1811:20,23
objections	1847:15	1770:16,17	1780:22	1812:3,6,10,1
1770:4	officer 1769:5	1775:4	1782:11,12	1819:21,23
1777:11	off-system	1777:20,21,22	1783:2 1790:1	1826:22,25
1788:2 1797:3	1790:8,22	1780:10,11,14	1797:22	1831:24
1800:1	OFF-THE-RE	1784:10	1798:7 1801:2	1832:25
1801:18	1785:10	1788:9,10	1802:13	perfect 1786:15
1822:5	okay 1772:7	1794:7,8	1809:6,9,10	performed
1825:10,18	1775:4 1786:5	1797:12,13,23	1818:13,15,19	1793:25
1837:19	1789:13	1797:24	1819:2,13,14	period 1809:25
obligations	1792:25	1799:24	1819:15	1810:11
1780:2	1797:2,23	1802:1	1820:5,8	1811:15
obtain 1829:13	1798:11,16	1822:12,13,14	1824:18,19,21	1827:10
obviously	1799:23	1823:6	1838:7,10	periods 1814:1
1781:9	1800:24	1825:11,16,25	pages 1805:6	permanent
1783:19	1800:24	1825:11,10,25	papers 1788:21	1778:14
1813:19	1802:12,13	1828:13,16	1789:4,10	personally
occur 1816:14	1803.14	1828.15,10	1790:20	1847:8
occurred 1803:9	1804.12	1829.2	part 1813:7	perspective
1813:21,22	1803:2	1830:15	1816:6	1831:4,12,15
,		1833:5	1835:17	
October	1810:10,16,20		1836:1	phase 1783:5,9
1815:20,25	1810:24	1836:6,11	participate	1783:21
offer 1770:1	1812:20	1838:11,12	1832:18	1784:3
1777:8	1813:15	1839:11	particular	phone 1827:20
1787:24	1815:6 1817:3	1842:5,8,17	1819:10	piece 1776:19
1835:11,12,23	1818:12	1843:3	1833:22	1835:25
1837:13	1819:9,18	opportunity	1837:3	1836:3 1838:1
1838:1	1820:17	1786:4,15	particularly	pieces 1835:22
1839:20	1823:1,6	1833:25	1837:14	1837:12
1840:6,16	1837:21	1839:12	parties 1830:21	place 1810:5
offered 1770:3	1838:3	opposed	1	1847:9,14
1777:10	1840:16	1833:12	party 1770:12 1829:19	placed 1832:24
1788:2 1797:3	1841:2,6	order 1772:4		placement
1801:18	once 1799:17,17	1773:2,12,17	1833:24	1784:1
1822:5	1814:15	1814:5	pay 1780:2	Plains 1770:20
1825:10	ongoing 1773:20	outside 1774:3,4	pendency 1772:20	1772:11
1835:19	OPC's 1775:17	1835:15		1778:13,22,23

1779:2,11,15	1840:3	1834:1,6	1809:1 1811:1	1809:17
1779:19	1842:16	1840:25	1811:4 1817:5	1817:1
1780:1	1845:12,14	price 1788:17	1817:13	proposing
1781:18	Poston's	1789:6,9,19	1821:25	1826:7,8
1782:17,18	1840:14	1790:7,12,21	1822:4,7,11	provide 1779:5
plan 1814:10,11	power 1766:12	1790:21,21	1823:8,11,14	1785:21
1815:22	1767:6,8	prices 1785:24	1823:17,23	1795:25
1816:1,4,5	1790:8,23	1786:21	1825:9,17,20	1803:3 1832:5
plant 1831:25	1791:4,8,9,20	1789:24	1825:24	provided
1832:11	1793:20	1790:4 1791:4	1826:2 1827:3	1785:25
plants 1791:4	1794:1 1815:8	1791:13,17,22	1827:6,24	1793:20
play 1778:8,10	precluded	1791:25	1828:10	1796:18,19
please 1768:17	1833:24	1792:13,16,20	1829:2 1835:6	1812:1
1768:24	preferred	1793:20	1836:2,9	1815:17
1776:12	1781:12,13	1794:1	1837:6,15,18	provides
1785:7 1787:2	1782:11,14,15	1796:23	1837:21	1828:21
1787:10	1783:15,25	1798:5,13,18	1838:3,9,14,21	public 1766:2
1793:5,11	prefiled 1786:5	1798:19,22,23	1839:2,6,19	1767:9,10,13
1794:15,21	prejudicial	1842:9	1840:5,12,18	1767:13,16,19
1800:3,11	1786:3	PRIDGIN	1841:3,6,13,16	1770:12,14
1802:20,25	premarked	1766:17	prior 1775:22	1776:17
1817:10,18	1769:7	1768:6,15,19	1775:23	1783:16
1824:2	1787:14	1770:3,6,10	1789:22	1793:17
PLEXOS	prepare 1795:2	1775:7,14	1792:12	1795:1
1798:24	prepared	1776:2,7	private 1783:25	1801:25
point 1782:20	1776:19,19	1777:10,13,19	problem 1819:8	1809:1
1805:4	1793:24	1780:10,13	1827:18	1817:24
1813:10	1795:3,17	1784:12,16,20	proceed 1799:18	1821:7 1824:8
1831:9	1817:25	1784:24	proceeding	1829:20
Poor's 1778:20	1818:1 1824:9	1785:5,8,12	1780:6	1830:10
1779:18	1824:10	1786:9,22	1800:16	1835:1,18
portfolio 1816:6	present 1831:11	1787:4 1788:1	1818:8	1838:16
portion 1826:11	1847:8	1788:4,8,11	proceedings	1839:11
1831:6,22	presented	1789:2,15	1766:6 1847:8	purchased
1838:4,15	1831:18	1792:5,25	1847:11	1790:8,22
1050.1,15		1500 5 1501 6	professional	1791:8,20
1844:14	Presiding	1793:7 1794:6	professional	1771.0,20
,	Presiding 1766:17	1793:71794:6 1794:9,11,17	1794:1	purchases
1844:14	5		-	-
1844:14 position 1769:4	1766:17	1794:9,11,17	1794:1	purchases
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21	1766:17 pressure 1779:9	1794:9,11,17 1795:23	1794:1 project 1831:6	purchases 1791:1
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21 1826:18	1766:17 pressure 1779:9 1779:14	1794:9,11,17 1795:23 1796:7,10	1794:1 project 1831:6 projects 1816:6	purchases 1791:1 purpose 1836:25
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21 1826:18 Poston 1794:13	1766:17 pressure 1779:9 1779:14 1780:1	1794:9,11,17 1795:23 1796:7,10 1797:2,5,11,24	1794:1 project 1831:6 projects 1816:6 1831:23	purchases 1791:1 purpose 1836:25 1837:5
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21 1826:18 Poston 1794:13 1794:19,23	1766:17 pressure 1779:9 1779:14 1780:1 pretax 1781:10	1794:9,11,17 1795:23 1796:7,10 1797:2,5,11,24 1799:4,7,10,16	1794:1 project 1831:6 projects 1816:6 1831:23 proper 1834:23	purchases 1791:1 purpose 1836:25 1837:5 purposes 1772:5
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21 1826:18 Poston 1794:13 1794:19,23 1795:16	1766:17 pressure 1779:9 1779:14 1780:1 pretax 1781:10 1781:11,17,19	1794:9,11,17 1795:23 1796:7,10 1797:2,5,11,24 1799:4,7,10,16 1799:22	1794:1 project 1831:6 projects 1816:6 1831:23 proper 1834:23 properly 1814:6	purchases 1791:1 purpose 1836:25 1837:5 purposes 1772:5 1774:7
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21 1826:18 Poston 1794:13 1794:19,23 1795:16 1796:5,24	1766:17 pressure 1779:9 1779:14 1780:1 pretax 1781:10 1781:11,17,19 previous 1771:1	1794:9,11,17 1795:23 1796:7,10 1797:2,5,11,24 1799:4,7,10,16 1799:22 1800:2,5	1794:1 project 1831:6 projects 1816:6 1831:23 proper 1834:23 properly 1814:6 proposed	purchases 1791:1 purpose 1836:25 1837:5 purposes 1772:5 1774:7 1783:13
1844:14 position 1769:4 1785:21 1826:18 Poston 1794:13 1794:19,23 1795:16 1796:5,24 1797:14	1766:17 pressure 1779:9 1779:14 1780:1 pretax 1781:10 1781:11,17,19 previous 1771:1 1771:7	1794:9,11,17 1795:23 1796:7,10 1797:2,5,11,24 1799:4,7,10,16 1799:22 1800:2,5 1801:17,20,24	1794:1 project 1831:6 projects 1816:6 1831:23 proper 1834:23 properly 1814:6 proposed 1775:21	purchases 1791:1 purpose 1836:25 1837:5 purposes 1772:5 1774:7 1783:13 1796:21

1780:1 1810:6	1847:2	1840:23	1812:22	1812:6,9,17
1837:4	raise 1787:1	ready 1768:21	1813:13	1816:24
puts 1779:9	1789:23	1776:8	1830:22	1826:20
putting 1786:7	1793:4	1785:13	received 1770:8	recovered
P-o-s-t-o-n	1794:14	1793:8	1777:15	1826:11,14,23
1794:23	1817:10	1794:18	1788:6 1797:7	recovery
P.O 1767:10,16	1823:21	1800:7 1809:2	1801:23	1810:11
	raised 1786:13	1817:15	1822:10	1811:16
Q	1786:17	1823:24	1825:23	1812:2
quantifies	1828:22	1839:9	1837:23	1814:15
1830:5	1834:10	reason 1778:6	1838:20	RECs 1812:4
quantities	raises 1836:13	1823:1,3	1839:14	REC'D 1844:2
1790:25	range 1774:2	reasonable	1840:4,11	redeemed
quarter 1802:24	1775:3	1832:21	1841:12	1782:14
1803:1	rate 1766:13	1833:25	recognize	redirect 1775:15
question	1771:7,17,24	reasons 1816:25	1796:13,15	1775:16
1775:24	1772:21	1828:24	1802:15	1784:21
1780:12	1779:22	1833:11	1833:4	1792:7,9
1803:20,21	1780:5	rebates 1812:4	recommend	1799:8 1811:5
1834:10	1781:11,17,19	rebuttal 1769:7	1773:5,13,16	1811:7
questions	1782:8,22,24	1776:20	1777:25	1823:15
1769:21	1810:16	1780:17	recommendati	1827:7,9
1775:5,9,14,17	1814:14	1785:21	1771:19	1842:5,13,23
1777:1	1816:9,21	1786:13,14,16	1778:5,9	1843:5
1784:16,18,22	ratemaking	1786:18	recommended	reexamine
1787:21	1772:5 1774:6	1787:14	1827:11,14	1827:15
1792:4,6	1813:25	1790:1 1795:3	recommending	reference
1795:14	ratepayers	1796:18,22	1797:18	1798:12
1799:5 1801:7	1804:6	1797:21	1827:11	1824:18
1811:2,9	1809:19,20	1798:21	recommends	referenced
1813:13	rates 1771:14	1800:18	1797:20	1775:23
1821:15	1773:12	1802:7 1809:6	record 1768:7	referring
1823:10,12	1804:13,14	1814:18	1768:25	1814:25
1824:24	1811:25	1818:2,10	1785:6,9,13	reflect 1773:20
1827:4	1811:25	1818.2,10	1786:7	reflected 1784:8
1830:13,25	1814.10	1824:11,17	1787:11	reflective
1832:4,6	1815:14,15,16	1827:16	1800:12,25	1774:13
1835:3,10	rating 1778:8,12	1828:20,21	1800.12,25	reflects 1774:19
quickly 1829:15	1778:18,21	1828.20,21	1817.19	refresh 1788:23
1833:15	1779:15	1838:8 1840:2	1824.3 1828.4	1789:11
quite 1773:15	1784:2	1844:4,6,8,12	1837:4	regard 1773:22
1781:18	ratings 1784:4	1844:14,23	1838:24	1829:10
	rationale 1786:7	1844:14,25		1829:10
R	raw 1813:23	1845:21	recouping 1809:21,25	
R 1768:1			,	regarding
1815:21	read 1798:5	1846:3	1811:11 nacesuar 1810:4	1827:18
1846:2,4	1839:25	recall 1789:8	recover 1810:4	1829:9 1832:4

1834:14	1769:15	restates 1836:14	1827:24	1834:4,13
regulatory	represents	restating	1828:10	1835:4,14
1766:17	1798:20	1836:20	1829:5 1833:5	1838:1
1776:15	request 1766:12	result 1822:22	1835:8 1837:6	
1810:7 1824:7	1813:8,9	1822:23	1838:14	<u> </u>
related 1769:18	1833:17	results 1796:17	1839:19	S 1768:1
1772:16,24	1835:1	1796:17,19	risk 1778:24,24	sales 1790:8,22
1780:20	1839:20	1846:5	1779:7,23	1790:25
1813:21,22	requested	retail 1810:16	road 1833:10	1791:9,12,16
1815:8	1813:6 1829:8	return 1781:11	ROGER 1767:5	1834:11,15,15
1822:18	1830:8	1781:17,19	role 1778:8,10	1834:20
1831:6,24	1831:23	1832:22	Ronald 1766:17	1835:25
1832:1 1833:7	requests	returned	1800:9,13,15	1836:5,10,12
1836:13	1814:13	1804:10	1842:21,24	1837:3,10
1844:14	1839:16	1810:9	1844:10,12	1838:4,6,7,16
relates 1838:4	requirement	revenue 1781:22	roughly 1775:2	1844:15
1838:15	1781:23,25	1781:25	1775:2	Sarah 1845:4
rely 1784:2	1809:17	1791:12,16	1826:17	Sarver 1845:16
remainder	1815:24	1809:16	1838:10	1845:18
1838:17	RES 1799:18	1812:1	rounds 1781:13	saying 1811:18
remaining	1809:4	1815:24	1783:12	says 1803:8
1838:1	1810:17,17	1822:23	row 1769:17	1818:16
remember	1811:9	revenues 1791:8	1819:24	1833:24
1788:17	1812:13	1823:5	rows 1819:22	1836:22
1790:18	respond 1786:15	1834:11,15,21	RPR 1766:22	scenario
1792:10	1828:15	1838:7	1847:17	1797:18
removed 1813:8	1833:5	reversed 1773:3	rule 1833:18,22	1821:4
renew 1836:8	1835:10	1773:13,17	ruled 1839:21	scenarios
1838:13,24	1839:7,9,13	review 1792:20	ruling 1835:25	1797:17
renewable	responding	reviewed	1837:7	schedule 1768:9
1812:3	1834:13	1780:15	run 1788:22	1802:13,15
1826:11	response	Revised 1844:19	1790:9	1803:7,8
repeat 1825:13	1768:14	right 1768:15	1796:23	1812:6,8
report 1782:8	1770:5	1770:14	1839:7	schedules
1792:19	1777:12	1775:1,3	Rush 1828:19	1793:21
1818:14,15	1786:9 1788:3	1786:22	1829:1 1830:3	1802:8 1815:1
reported	1795:17	1787:2 1793:4	1832:3,8	1840:23
1766:21	1796:21	1794:14	1833:13	1844:17,19
1803:13	1797:4	1796:10	1834:18	seat 1768:20
reporter	1801:19	1798:14	1835:2	1776:7 1787:5
1793:12	1825:19	1803:5	1836:12	1793:8
1794:22	1832:6	1813:18	1844:14	1794:18
1796:3 1847:6	1837:20	1817:10	Rush's 1814:18	1800:6
REPORTER'S	1839:18	1823:21	1815:1 1828:8	1817:14
1005 4	1841:5	1825:17	1831:17	1823:24
1805:4	1041.5	1023.17	10,51,17	
1805:4 represented	restate 1771:3	1826:8,9,20	1833:19	second 1821:10

1836:1	sets 1804:24	sounded	1826:7	standpoint
see 1770:11	setting 1773:11	1774:23	1827:10,11,17	1773:25
1798:6	settled 1812:24	sounds 1771:25	1834:14,16	start 1811:8
1814:18,22	shareholders	1809:5	1836:25	started 1815:25
1815:23	1814:19	space 1769:13	1839:24	state 1766:1
1816:4,5,7,18	sheet 1839:3	specific 1773:8	1840:1	1768:24
1828:2 1829:5	1847:10	1773:16	1841:10	1776:12
1835:9,14	Shook 1823:16	specifically	Staff's 1774:13	1787:10
1842:22	short 1831:13	1771:23	1774:15	1793:11
seeing 1810:10	Shorthand	1778:20	1790:9,21	1794:21
seeking 1770:21	1847:6	1802:7	1791:13,18	1800:11,24
1770:23	show 1799:16	spell 1793:12	1792:16	1803:21
1771:4	1822:1 1840:5	1794:22	1793:20	1809:6
seen 1774:4	1840:12,13	1817:19	1796:22	1817:18
1816:17	1841:7	1824:3	1798:18,21,23	1824:2 1847:3
Senior 1766:17	showing	spent 1803:25	1804:18	1847:16
1767:9	1837:16	1804:9	1810:11	stated 1785:24
sense 1771:12	shown 1782:1	splits 1832:10	1811:12	states 1785:22
1774:11	shows 1820:18	splitting 1835:8	1812:8,16	1818:20
Seoung 1845:23	significant	spread 1813:25	1815:13	station 1830:7
separate	1783:20	ss 1847:3	1816:11,17	1832:19
1789:12	1804:17	Staff 1767:14,19	1818:13,15	1833:19
September	1813:21	1770:12	1819:11,20,25	stations 1829:11
1772:13	significantly	1775:17,21	1826:18	1830:6,8,20
series 1811:8	1814:16	1776:20,21	1834:19	1831:19
1813:13	simply 1835:15	1777:8,15	1836:17	1832:1,20
service 1766:2	1835:16	1780:7 1782:7	1842:6,14	1834:5
1766:14	single 1790:11	1782:10	1843:1	statute 1810:17
1767:16,19	1790:11	1785:17,24	1844:16	1811:21
1774:20	sir 1768:17,19	1786:9,12	stage 1786:2	1826:22
1776:17	1768:21	1789:19,24	Stahlman	staying 1778:4
1792:19	1776:8 1787:5	1790:3	1845:20,22	Steiner 1767:5
1793:17	1794:17	1791:21	Stahlman's	1768:20,23
1795:1	1800:3,6	1792:10,11,12	1834:16	1769:25
1817:24	1823:23	1792:15	stand 1768:11	1775:16,25
1818:13,15	slash 1818:17	1795:4,22	1768:13	1780:3
1824:8	slower 1811:16	1796:2,6,18	1785:20	1784:14
Services	slowing 1812:16	1797:1,7,20	1786:24	1785:16
1766:22	small 1831:22	1800:1 1802:2	standalone	1786:17
1847:7	smaller 1809:23	1811:20	1779:6	1787:5,7,9,24
serving 1771:14	1809:24	1813:10	standard	1788:1 1792:8
session 1805:5	solar 1812:4	1814:7 1815:7	1778:20	1792:9,23
1842:22	sorry 1791:15	1820:14	1779:17	1793:1 1794:9
set 1804:13,14	1796:9	1821:5,22	1812:3	1794:10
SCI 1007.13,17		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1
1814:16	1838:11	1822:9 1825:6	STANDARDS	1798:1,2

1800:6 1805:3	1779.17 02 05	augtom 1700.2	tostimony	1945.11 12 15
	1778:17,23,25	system 1790:2	testimony 1769:7,11	1845:11,13,15
1820:9,16	1781:4 1783:4	1798:12,17	,	1845:17,19,21
1821:8	1784:3,5,7	T	1776:20,24	1845:23
1840:22	1842:2	T 1847:2,2	1777:5 1778:6	1846:1,3
1841:2 1842:4	structures	table 1769:15	1780:5,15,20	thank 1768:19
1842:5,12,13	1771:10		1780:22,23	1769:20
1842:18	submit 1785:17	1780:22,25	1782:4,12,24	1770:16
Stenotype	subsidiaries	1815:4 1819:3	1783:1,18,23	1775:4,9
1847:11,13	1779:9,14	1819:10,14,18	1785:23	1776:2,3,9
step 1776:3	subsidiary	1819:22	1786:3,5,8,14	1777:19
1784:25	1779:7	take 1768:11	1786:16	1780:13
1793:2	substituted	1786:24	1787:14,18	1784:10,12,14
1799:11	1798:23	1788:23	1789:23	1784:18,22,24
1817:6	suggest 1831:5	1826:19	1790:2	1786:22
1823:18	1833:15	takes 1768:13	1792:17	1787:4,7
1827:25	1834:8,25	talk 1780:6	1795:3,7,10,18	1788:1,10,12
1829:5	suggested	talking 1779:13	1797:21	1789:8,18
Stipulation	1816:12	1826:6	1801:1 1802:7	1792:3,5,24,25
1813:7	1830:11	1836:12	1802:10	1793:1,7
stock 1781:12	1834:12	tax 1781:16	1809:5,6	1794:6,8,10,12
1781:13	suggesting	taxes 1781:22	1811:9	1794:17
1782:11,14,15	1814:4	tell 1771:16	1814:18,20	1795:24
1783:15,25	Suite 1767:3,11	1772:15	1815:1,5	1796:11,24
strain 1779:20	supplemental	1774:25	1818:2,6,18,23	1797:11,23,24
Street 1767:11	1833:20	1778:16	1818:25	1799:2,4,6,9
1767:17	supporting	1797:17	1820:5 1821:4	1799:10,11,16
1800:14	1770:24	1802:20,22	1824:11,15,17	1800:5,8
stricken 1834:12	1771:5	1823:2	1828:8,18	1802:1 1809:9
1838:2	supposed	ten 1839:6	1829:1 1830:1	1810:24
strike 1828:7,11	1768:10	tender 1770:1	1820:3	1811:1 1817:5
1828:17	1780:9	1777:17	1830:3	1817:6,13
1833:5,8,12,16	Sure 1775:6	1787:25	1832:9 1833:7	1819:16
1835:2,18,20	1803:10	1794:4 1797:9	1832:9 1855.7	1819.10
1836:3,7	1803.10	1801:16	1834:4,11,13	1821.23
1830.3,7	surrebuttal	tenders 1821:23	1834:17,23	1823:7,8,11,13
		1825:7	,	1823:17.23
1838:16 structure	1818:10,18 sworn 1768:17	term 1831:13	1835:4,14	,
		testified 1768:22	1836:1,3,5,8	1825:16,17
1768:11	1768:18	1776:10	1836:11,23	1826:1 1827:2
1770:25	1776:6	1787:8 1793:9	1837:5,9,10,12	1827:3,5,22,24
1771:6,12,19	1785:13,15	1794:19	1837:14	1827:25
1772:6 1773:5	1787:2,3	1800:9	1838:1,10	1829:2 1835:5
1773:8,11,17	1793:4,6,24	1800.9	1840:3,23	1835:6,8
1773:19,24	1794:14,16		1841:1 1844:4	1841:2,15,17
1774:14,18,19	1800:3,4	1818:8	1844:6,8,10,12	Thanks 1776:1
1775:1,19	1817:9,11,12	1823:25	1844:14,21,23	thereof 1847:10
1778:1,12,15	1823:21,22	testify 1834:9	1845:1,3,5,7,9	thing 1771:22
	l			I

things 1783:23	1788:16	1783:5,9	1796:18,19,22	1798:11
think 1771:11	1794:4	1784:3	1796:23	understand
1774:16,21	1795:20	transmission	1797:21	1774:15
1775:21	1796:25	1799:17	1798:21,22	1782:22
1780:8,25	1810:15	1802:16	1800:16,17,18	1826:5
1782:6,20	1811:15	1803:16	1801:1 1802:6	understanding
1783:6,16,17	1814:1 1818:8	1804:9,18	1803:15	1781:16
1783:25	1829:22	1812:22,25	1818:1,11,22	1783:9 1784:7
1786:2,14	1830:22	1813:3,9,19	1819:3 1821:3	1811:22
1804:23	1831:11	1814:15,21	1824:10,11,17	1818:24
1811:24	1835:12	1816:13,24	1827:15,16,21	1819:1
1813:10	1847:9,14	1818:20	1828:19,22,23	unfortunately
1820:10,16	times 1790:7,22	TRANSMISS	1829:25	1831:1
1828:9	timing 1839:13	1842:19	1830:2	unique 1813:19
1829:19,23	title 1818:19	transposed	1834:14,23	unit 1776:16
1830:21	today 1775:3	1769:16	1835:15	1834:11,15,20
1831:5,11,12	1777:2	treated 1833:20	1836:10,16	1835:25
1831:15,20	1787:22	trends 1815:2	1837:4 1838:7	1836:5,10,12
1833:9 1835:9	1795:14	tried 1834:22	1840:2,14,23	1836:14
1835:11	1801:8	triple 1815:21	1841:17,19	1837:3,10
1836:2,24	1821:15	true 1769:22	1844:4,6,8,10	1838:4,6,16
third 1819:24	1824:24	1777:5	1844:12,14,17	1844:15
Thompson	1831:18	1795:10	1844:19,21,23	update 1785:24
1767:14	1834:9	1804:11	1845:1,3,5,7,9	1785:25
1775:8,9,12,13	1837:13	1811:13	1845:11,13,15	1786:21
1776:8,9,11	1839:10	1813:4	1845:17,19,21	1792:15
1777:8,17,19	tomorrow	1821:19	1845:23	updated 1781:2
1784:22	1839:7,12,18	1825:3	1846:1,3	use 1770:25
1785:3,7	top 1819:24	1832:20	trying 1814:14	1771:5 1772:5
1842:8	total 1802:22	1847:12	1835:15,16	1772:8 1773:6
three 1797:16	1803:3	true-up 1766:7	turn 1809:4	1773:10,16,18
1802:19,21	1826:16	1768:7,8	turning 1783:1	1778:11
1803:23	1831:6 1833:1	1769:6 1772:9	twice 1799:17	1781:2
1804:19	trackers 1817:1	1776:20	two 1781:12	1791:24
1810:3,12	tracking 1810:6	1778:6 1780:6	type 1773:19	1797:19,20
1819:22	transaction	1780:7,8,17	1814:14	usually 1813:25
1824:16	1771:10,13,13	1785:22		utility 1773:7,7
1826:7	1783:14	1786:13	U	1774:20
1827:14	transcript	1787:13	Uh-huh 1798:10	1776:15
three-year	1766:6 1805:7	1789:22	ultimate	utility-specific
1812:8	1847:13	1790:1,4	1781:22	1771:11
Tim 1767:9	transcripts	1791:25	unaware	1774:18
1828:7 1830:3	1831:4	1792:1,12,20	1786:12	utilized 1789:19
1838:1	transition	1792:22	underlying	1832:16
1844:14	1812:20	1793:21,25	1839:15	
10.111			Underneath	V

	1	•	I	
value 1810:15	1805:2	1790:20	1843:4 1846:2	136 1818:15
variety 1822:17	we're 1785:12	1831:11,12,15	1846:4	14 1766:9
various 1780:19	1809:5 1813:2	1832:14	Y-o-u-n-g	1821:1
1783:12	1826:5	workable	1824:4	15 1805:6
vast 1831:25	1839:16	1831:3		1842:24
vehicle 1829:10	we've 1774:4	1832:12	Z	16 1766:8
1832:10	1814:13,20	wouldn't	Z2 1813:22	1815:23
version 1840:8	1815:3	1812:17	1815:10,11	1824:18
versions 1822:2	1816:16,22,22	wrap 1833:14	Z2s 1815:25	169 1829:18,24
versus 1826:7	wish 1799:18	written 1828:17	\$	1831:8,22
1829:11	1818:6	1836:7		1833:21
vintage 1826:14	1824:14	1838:13	\$10 1781:24	1835:9,13
Volume 1766:9	1835:22	wrong 1840:13	\$21.08 1789:20	1838:25
1805:6	1839:8	1840:19,20	\$3.2 1790:3	1839:20
1842:24	withdraw		\$4 1804:8	17 1801:2
volumes 1790:8	1780:11	X	\$4.3 1783:22	1814:23
1790:22	witness 1768:10	X 1842:1	\$44 1814:19	1816:2,7,10
	1768:18		\$5 1803:17	1839:8
W	1770:2 1775:6	$\frac{\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{V}_{17}}$	1804:1	170 1769:8,22
W 1767:5	1775:11	Y 1766:19	\$5.8 1826:17	1770:1,3,6,8
Walnut 1767:6	1776:5,6	Yeah 1786:11	1	1844:3
want 1802:23	1780:4,16	1789:7	1 1810:16,21	170-175 1768:4
1809:24	1785:1 1787:3	1790:16	1810:10,21	171 1787:15,21
1828:13	1787:25	1798:8	1811:20,25	1787:25
1833:23	1789:1,16	1800:13	1812.3,0,10,11	1788:2,4,6
wanted 1786:5	1793:4,6	1801:1	1820.17	1844:5
wasn't 1829:24	1794:5,14,16	1811:23	1826:22,25	172 1837:16,22
way 1780:8	1797:9	1813:5 1815:4	1820.22,25	1837:23
1790:10	1799:13	1836:6	1836:14,21	1844:7
1791:4	1800:4	year 1773:17	1,587,581	173 1800:17
1803:19	1801:16	1774:18	1821:10	1801:15,17,20
1813:12,17	1817:8,12	1812:5	1.5 1783:15	1801:22
week 1772:22	1819:9,14,18	1813:19,20	10th 1830:1	1844:9
1774:22	1820:12,17	1816:15	10:00 1841:20	174 1800:19
1796:21	1821:9,23	years 1774:3	10.00 1841.20 101 1767:3	1801:15,17,21
1834:23	1823:20,22	1810:3,12	101 1707.5 11 1809:6,10	1801:22
went 1822:17	1825:7,13,15	1814:13	112,543 1820:20	1844:11
1836:3	1828:3	1824:18	112,345 1820:20 112,885 1820:19	175HC 1838:3
Westar 1770:21	1830:14	1826:6,7	112,003 1820.19 12 1818:19	1838:14,17,19
1770:24	1834:16	1827:14	1820:20	175HC/NP
1771:5	witnesses	yesterday	1320.20 12-month	1844:13
1772:17,25	1823:20	1768:9	1816:8	175NP 1838:19
1781:14	1828:2	1785:18 Norma 1822:10	1200 1800:14	1768 1842:4
1783:13,14	Won 1845:24	Young 1823:19	1200 1800.14 1201 1767:6	1844:4,6,8,10
We'll 1785:8	work 1788:21	1823:20,25	1201 1707.0 13 1820:22	1844:12,15
1786:24	1789:4,10	1824:2,4,9	1821:4,9	1770 1842:5
		1827:25	1021.4,7	
1				

1844:4 2 1775 1842:5 1776 1842:8	2.6 1810:3	23rd 1829:8	1840:2 1841:7	
	1812:5	1834:7	1841:11	1824:19,20,21 30th 1769:16,19
17/6/84/8	1824:19,20	240-2.130(10)	1845:13	1775:18,22
1777 1842:8	1826:6	1833:18	252 1840:7	1778:2,5,11
	2.7 1824:18,20	1835:5	252HC 1841:8	1781:20
	0 1809:7	241 1840:1	1841:11	31 1781:3
	0th 1767:6	1841:7,10	252HC/NP	31st 1769:16,18
	200 1767:11,17	1844:17	1845:15	1772:10
	00,000 1824:21	242 1840:1	252NP 1841:11	1773:14
1793 1842:15	1825:15	1841:7,10	253 1840:9	1781:18
	2005 1793:18	1844:18	253HC 1841:8	1782:2 1783:3
1796 1846:5	1801:2	243 1840:1	1841:11	1783:4 1784:5
	2008 1801:3	1841:7,10	253HC/NP	1784:8
	2009 1818:17	1844:20	1845:17	35,311,364
	012 1818:20	244 1840:2	253NP 1841:11	1820:1,22
	014 1771:17	1841:10	254 1840:9	35,418,693
1816:7,10	1804:15	1844:22	1841:8,12	1820:21
18.57 1789:7	1818:17	245 1840:2	1845:19	36,199,298
	015 1802:21,23	1841:11	255 1840:10	1820:3
1801 1844:10,12	1803:1,25	1845:1	1841:12	360 1767:16
1802 1842:22	1818:17,21	246 1840:2	1845:21	
	016 1769:18,19	1841:7,11	256 1840:10	4
1807 1842:24	1772:10,12	1845:3	1841:9,12	4 1804:2,3,5
1808 1805:6	1773:12	247 1818:3	1845:23	1818:14
1811 1842:23	1775:19	1821:23	257 1824:12	1819:2,13,14
1817 1843:2	1778:2,5,11	1822:1	1825:7,9,18,20	1819:15
1822 1843:3	1781:18,20	247HC 1822:4,9	1825:22	1831:24
1845:6,8	1782:2 1784:5	247HC/NP	1846:1	1833:18
1824 1843:4	1803:6,9	1845:5	258 1824:12	1835:5
1825 1846:2,4	1804:1,14	247NP 1822:9	1825:7,9,18,21	4.8 1826:21
1826 1843:5	1813:18	248 1818:3	1825:22	40 1779:1
1827 1843:5	1815:17	1821:23	1846:3	40s 1775:3
1837 1844:8	1818:21	1822:1	259 1795:22	400 1767:3
	2017 1766:8	248HC 1822:5,9	1796:6	41ish 1775:2
1841 1844:17,19	1772:20	248HC/NP	1797:16	433 1815:24
1844:21,23	1773:13	1845:7	259HC 1796:2,7	443 1815:25
1845:2,4,12,14	1804:17	248NP 1822:10	1797:1,2,5,7	476 1816:2
1845:16,18,20	1813:7	249 1776:21	1798:6 1846:5	
	018 1804:17	1777:9,10,13	285,000 1824:22	5
19.76 1789:16	1813:7	1777:15	1825:15	5 1790:1 1801:2
	2 1 1809:7	1845:9		510 1816:3
2	1824:21	250 1795:4	3	53 1774:1
2 1769:12 2	230 1767:10	1840:13	3 1769:12	54 1774:2
	25,086 1820:18	1841:7,11	1782:12	55.93 1819:21
1 = 0 0 1 1	25,770 1820:18	1845:11	1802:13	1819:23
	3 1782:1	251 1795:4	1820:19	1820:23
				1821:2

TRUE-UP HEARING VOLUME 14 3/16/2017

	I	. [1
573)636-6758	9		
1767:4	9 1783:2		
573)751-3234	96 1832:25		
1767:18			
573)751-4857			
1767:12			
59 1803:11,12			
59,076,548			
1803:8			
6			
6 1769:15			
1780:22			
1820:5,8			
63,061,796			
1803:4			
64105 1800:14			
64106 1767:7			
650 1767:11			
65101 1767:3			
65102 1767:17			
65102-2230			
1767:11			
7			
7 1783:2			
7th 1829:17			
1839:4			
7,938,393			
1820:25			
7,962,522			
1820:24			
7.013 1769:18			
7.319 1769:17			
70 1818:13			
750 1783:24			
8			
8 1838:7			
8:30 1768:3			
800 1783:16			
816)556-2483			
1767:7			
838 1766:22			
850 1783:18			
887,934 1820:4			
1821:11			