Woodland Manor Water Company, LLC P O Box 73 Kimberling City, MO 65686

RESPONSE TO ERIC C. LARSON COMPLAINT File No. WC-2011-0409 of June 27, 2011Thursday, July 21, 2011

Woodland Manor Water Brief History

December 1992, former owner Bob Connell, Woodland Manor Water Company became a public utility.

February of 1999, the Commission approved the purchase of Woodland Manor Water by Steve and Mona Fennema, which was set up as WoThursday, July 21, 2011odland Manor Water Company, LLC. At the time we took over, Mr. Connell had installed most of the meters for the system, but not all, of which Kimberling Oaks had not been installed.

2000, Bill Nichol, PSC inspector, said Woodland needed to finish installing all meters and start billing actual usage instead of billing the flat fee.

November 2001, meter installation complete and initial meter readings collected.

December 2001 first billing based on usage. Through out the system there are some lines and meters that are not located on the property owners' property, which were already installed when we purchased the system in 1999.

Kimberling Oaks water service

Kimberling Oaks Resort has been in existence for approximately thirty years. I am assuming the 2" main on the south side of the street and three service lines were put in for the water at that time, to service cabins and house as well as service lines to houses on south side of the street *(Exhibit A)*.

November 18, 1991, Bob Connell provided existing waterline information to Rozell Engineering Company of Branson, MO. The engineers' drawing designated 3 service lines crossing the street from the 2" main running down the south side of the street. (*Exhibit A*) At that time no meters were installed at the Kimberling Oaks Resort.

February of 2000, <u>Eleven</u> years ago, Woodland installed a 2" meter (size requested by customer) for the new cabin constructed on the west end of the resort property. *(Exhibit B)* At the time Woodland assumed Mr. Larson would eventually attach the entire resort to this one meter otherwise this size meter would not have been necessary. When we crossed the road perpendicular to the main with a new 2" PVC line, the small one inch black CTS service line was found to be crossing at an angle. This line was partially removed and capped off. All of the original cabins at the resort still had water service without this line being attached and were still unmetered.

Page 1 of 3 8/4/2011

November 2001, <u>Ten</u> years ago, Mr. Larson built another structure on the East end of the resort, which he planned to use as his home and office. *(Exhibit B)* Woodland crossed the street with a 1½ inch service perpendicular to the 2" main. A 1½" meter was set in a location which would allow room for fittings to attach to the customers existing water line, which served the swimming pool and all but one cabin at the west end and also tee off to the east for the new structure with 1½" line. *(Exhibit B)* Mr Larson currently rents it out as a cabin, and lives in an Apartment across the street from the resort. Mr. Larson referred to this meter as being 2" when in fact it is 1½".

I viewed Mr Larson as one water service customer using water for his business, a seasonal transient resort, I did not feel there was a need to place yet a third meter. The original third service line was then abandoned and capped off by the main on the south side of the street. From this meter a tee was placed to allow an 1 ½" line to be attached and run to the residence/office and Mr Larson's original line to his cabins was reattached. While Woodland had the backhoe on site, it dug the ditch for the line to the residence/office (**Exhibit B**). Mr. Larson only paid for just the time of the backhoe and no machine delivery fee. Mr. Larson did not have to pay for a third meter set, nor the monthly minimum fee of \$16.45 per month, which would equal \$197.40 per year for the last 10 years or a total of \$1974.00, plus another annual primacy fee of 3.24 to 7.44 depending on meter size. This 1 ½" meter and line extension was assumed at the time to be on Mr. Larson's property, however, it is in the city utility easement area. Mr. Larson uses this same area for his customer parking. It should also be noted that in the past few years the city has widened the street edges to make a feathered edge. After setting the 1 ½" meter ten years ago, Woodland has not performed any excavation on the north side of the street for Mr. Larson's property. From the time the meters were installed (ten and eleven years ago), Woodland 's service connection stopped at the meter. (Tariff sheet 6, **Rule 1(f))**

When I went to check out the leak, I requested the City to test the leakage for sewer, as they have a Gravity fed sewer line going down the middle of the street. Their results showed chlorine only no sewer. It was extremely unusual that the leak would only be on the north, resort side of the street, where there wasn't a main, and puzzling how the 2" main on the south side to be able to leak under the road and get across the sewer ditch. (Exhibit B and Exhibit C) There was no water in the drainage ditch on the south side of the street. Water did not start appearing until the edge of the road on the north side. Plus it was in an area that was between the service lines crossing the street in which we had never excavated before. (*Exhibit B*) In the process of locating the source of the leak, Mr. Larson turned off the valve to the 1 ½' meter and the leak slowed down even though ground was fairly saturated and would take a while to stop. It was clearly controlled by that meter shut off. I told Mr Larson it was in his line and would be up to him to repair. Mr Larson proceeded to say that was bullshit, and was my line to fix because he didn't buy a water company and that the break was in a water main. I told him, that it became his line when we installed the meter ten years ago. (*Tariff sheet 6*, *Rule 1(e)*) Mr Larson then stated the 1 ½" meter was installed in the wrong place and that he would take me to court if he had to. When Mr Larson started threatening, I told him I didn't want to argue

Page 2 of 3 8/4/2011

with him in the middle of the street and I left. Mr Larson has presented this same argument to me twice since then. He claims the meters should have been placed where his original resort shut offs were, which are in between the cabins. Even though with the current meter placement, he has had complete control of these lines to his resort cabins, and has used it as such for 10 years. His own drawings show the section of line in question goes between his resort shut offs. *(Exhibit D)*

It is my understanding that Mr. Larson dug up the street and repaired the leak with a compression fitting which tells me he had to keep the line connected or part of his cabins would have been with out water. He also states in his complaint that he shut the water off to the cabins for the night, again showing he had complete control of the line.

There isn't any reason to reset, either meter, nor should Woodland Water be responsible for any repairs to Mr Larson's line. The meters and water service have been accepted and used without problem for over <u>ten</u> years.(*Tariff sheet 12(g) and Tariff sheet 26*, *Rule 11(h)*)

Mr. Larson has tried to bill me for his repairs to this point by subtracting his water bill from his repair statement. As this was not a dispute in regard to water billing, I wrote and told him he would be shut off for non-payment. Shut off procedure was initiated on the 26th, on the 6th of July I gave Mr. Larson a courtesy call to let him have a final chance to pay, or inform his vacationing customers that water service was going to be discontinued the next day. He proceeded to tell me, the check was in the well, I asked him why it was in the meter pit, he said in case he was not home when we came to shut it off. The next day, there was a car parked with its tire directly over that pit, and was there for most of the weekend. (Exhibit E) I did not contact or ask Mr. Larson to request the vehicle moved, as I knew his customer would be leaving in a few days. On Sunday, a different vehicle was parked there. Monday, July 11th, the vehicle was moved. My helper checked the pit and a check was there inside a plastic baggie. Water service was not discontinued at this time although payment should have been delivered or mailed to our office (Tariff **sheet 21, rule 10(d)).** Our office is only 3-4 blocks from his resort, and in 10 years this is the first time he has not hand delivered his payment to our office or placed it in our drop box.

Verification

I, the undersigned, being of lawful age and first being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the Respondent representing Woodland Manor Water Company, LLC herein, that I have read the allegations of the foregoing response, and that all of said facts and statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Mona L Fennema, Chief Operator Woodland Manor Water Company, LLC 417-739-2370, fennema21@msn.com

Page 3 of 3 8/4/2011