Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Cash Working Capital,

Insurance Expense

Witness: Courtney Horton

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2021-0312

Date Testimony Prepared: December 20, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FINANCIAL & BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION AUDITING DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

COURTNEY HORTON

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. ER-2021-0312

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2021

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		COURTNEY HORTON	
4 5		EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty	
6		CASE NO. ER-2021-0312	
7	Q.	Please state your name, employment position, and business address.	
8	A.	Courtney Horton, Utility Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service	
9	Commission ("Commission" or "PSC"), 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.		
10	Q.	Are you the same Courtney Horton who previously provided testimony in	
11	this case?		
12	A.	Yes. I contributed to Staff's Cost of Service Report ("COS Report") filed or	
13	October 29, 2021, in the Empire District Electric Company rate case designated as Case No		
14	ER-2021-0312.		
15	Q.	Please summarize your rebuttal testimony.	
16	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the Office of Public	
17	Counsel ("OPC") witness John S. Riley regarding the federal and state income tax expense la		
18	calculation within cash working capital ("CWC"), and to update and make one correction to		
19	Staff's insurance expense workpaper.		
20	FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX EXPENSE LAG		
21	Q.	What was Staff's position on the federal and state income tax expense lag within	
22	the CWC calculation in Staff's direct COS Report?		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

A. In its direct COS Report, Staff recommended the same (15.50) federal income tax expense lag and (20.06) state income tax expense lag values that the Commission approved in Empire's last rate case, Case No. ER-2019-0374. Q. What is Staff's current position on the federal and state income tax expense lag within the CWC calculation? A. Staff's current position is that the federal and state income tax expense lag within CWC should be 365 days. Q. Why did Staff change its position regarding federal and state income tax expense lags? A. In response to Staff Data Request No. 377, Empire indicated that it expects to be in a tax loss position for the 2021 calendar year. Q. Has the Commission recently made any decisions regarding the federal and state income tax lags in CWC? A. Yes. In the Amended Report and Order in Case No. GR-2021-0108, the Spire Missouri rate case, the Commission ordered that the federal and state income tax expense lag be 365 days. The Commission ordered on page 31 of its Amended Report and Order the following: The Commission finds that federal and state income tax expense is included in rates but the Company is not likely to remit any federal or state income taxes because of its NOLC. Since the Company is not remitting any income taxes to the IRS on a quarterly basis, using a 38-day income tax expense lag in the CWC calculation is inappropriate. This lack of income tax payment should be reflected in the CWC expense lag. The fact that no income tax payments have been made in the test year or true-up period justifies the use of a 365-day expense lag. Therefore, the Commission finds that the appropriate expense lag days for income taxes within the CWC calculation is 365 days.

17

A.

Yes, it does.

1 Q. Did Empire pay any federal income taxes during the test year or update period 2 in this case? 3 A. No. In response to Staff Data Request No. 008, Empire provided its 2019 federal 4 income tax returns, which reveal that Empire has been operating at a net loss, thus Empire did 5 not pay income taxes for 2019. Staff also reviewed federal tax returns in previous rate cases, 6 which showed that Empire has been operating at a net loss for several years prior to 2019. 7 **INSURANCE EXPENSE** 8 Q. Did Staff make any corrections or have any updates to insurance expense? 9 A. Yes. Staff updated its insurance expense to include updated information 10 Empire provided in response to Staff Data Request No. 131. Also, Staff made a correction to 11 exclude the Captive Insurance fee from its case. Empire stated in response to Staff Data 12 Request No. 131.1 that "the Captive Insurance was a non-recurring fee to evaluate the 13 feasibility of self-insuring for workmen's compensation and automobile physical damage." 14 This fee is a one-time fee that Empire will not incur in the future and thus should not be included 15 in Cost of Service. 16 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area) Case No. ER-2021-0312)
AFFIDAVIT OF CO	OURTNEY HORTON
STATE OF MISSOURI)	
COUNTY OF COLE) ss.	
and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregonath the same is true and correct according to he Further the Affiant sayeth not.	and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind oing Rebuttal Testimony of Courtney Horton; and r best knowledge and belief. DURTNEY HORTON
, JU	RAT
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly conthe County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my of of December, 2021.	nstituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for fice in Jefferson City, on this <u>し</u> day
	Dianna' L. Vaux V Notary Public