



On 5th day of September, after good faith negotiations, the Company and Alltel executed an Interconnection and Reciprocal Compensation Agreement (“the Agreement”) for the State of Missouri pursuant to the terms of the Federal Act (*see* Agreement, Attachment II). Pursuant to the Act, the Company hereby submits this Agreement for approval by the Commission. The Agreement complies fully with Section 252(e) of the Federal Act because the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The Agreement consists of twenty-one (21) pages that include three (3) Appendices. There are no outstanding issues between the Company and Alltel that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration.

## **II. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL**

The Company seeks the Commission’s approval of the Agreement, consistent with the provisions of the Federal Act and Missouri law. The Company represents that the implementation of this negotiated and executed Agreement complies fully with both Missouri law and Section 252(e) of the Federal Act because the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The Company respectfully requests that the Commission grant expeditious approval of this Agreement, without change, suspension or delay in its implementation. This is a bilateral agreement, reached as a result of negotiations and compromise between the parties. Correspondence, orders and decisions in this matter should be addressed to:

W.R. England, III  
Brian T. McCartney  
Brydon, Swearngen & England P.C.  
P.O. Box 456  
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456  
trip@brydomlaw.com  
bmccartney@brydonlaw.com

William D. Steinmeier  
Mary Ann (Garr) Young  
William D. Steinmeier P.C.  
2031 Tower Drive, P.O. Box 104595  
Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595  
wds@wdspe.com  
myoung0654@aol.com

### **III. COMMISSION AUTHORITY**

Under the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (“the Act”), the Commission has the authority to grant the relief requested by the Company. Specifically, Section 252(a) of the Act provides:

#### **(a) AGREEMENTS ARRIVED AT THROUGH NEGOTIATION**

- (1) **VOLUNTARY NEGOTIATIONS.** -- Upon receiving a request for interconnection, services, or network elements pursuant to section 251, an incumbent local exchange carrier may negotiate and enter into a binding agreement with the requesting telecommunications carrier or carriers without regard to the standards set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of section 251. The agreement shall include a detailed schedule of itemized charges for interconnection and each service or network element included in the agreement. The agreement, including any interconnection agreement negotiated before the date of enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, shall be submitted to the State commission under subsection (e) of this section.

#### **IV. STANDARD OF REVIEW**

Under Section 252 of the Act, the Commission has the authority to approve an agreement negotiated between an incumbent local exchange company (ILEC) and other telecommunications carriers. The Commission may only reject an agreement if the agreement is discriminatory to a nonparty or is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Section 252(e)(2) of the Act provides as follows:

**GROUND FOR REJECTION.--** The State Commission may only reject --

- (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that --
  - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
  - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;

The affidavit of Jay Mitchell, President, Goodman Telephone Company, establishes that the Agreement satisfies these standards. (Affidavit, Attachment I)

#### **IV. EXEMPTIONS**

Section 251(f)(1) of the Act exempts certain rural telephone companies from the additional interconnection requirements contained in Section 251(c). Thus, although all ILECs, as telecommunications carriers, have the duty to interconnect, not all ILECs have to meet the additional interconnection requirements imposed by Section 251(c) of the Act.<sup>1</sup> As a rural carrier, the

---

<sup>1</sup> Section 21.1 of the Agreement states: "This Agreement is not an interconnection agreement under 47 U.S.C. 251(c), but rather a reciprocal compensation agreement under 47 U.S.C. 251(b)(5). The Parties acknowledge that ILEC may be entitled to a rural exemption as provided by 47 U.S.C. 251(f), and ILEC does not waive such exemption by entering into this Agreement."



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, or via electronic mail, or hand-delivered on this 25th day of September 2006, to the following parties:

General Counsel  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
P.O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Michael F. Dandino  
Office of the Public Counsel  
P.O. Box 7800  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

William D. Steinmeier  
Mary Ann (Garr) Young  
William D. Steinmeier P.C.  
2031 Tower Drive, P.O. Box 104595  
Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595  
wds@wdspc.com  
myoung0654@aol.com

/s/ W. R. England, III  
W. R. England, III