Exhibit No.: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Case No.:

Ronald Massie Joint Applicants Case No. WM-2015-0231

Case No. WM-2015-0231

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

RONALD MASSIE

June 4, 2015

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the Application of Ozark Shores Water Company, North Suburban Public Utility Company and Camden County Public Water Supply District Number Four for an order authorizing the Sale, Transfer and Assignment of Water Assets to Camden County Public Water Supply District Number Four and in connection therewith certain other related transactions.

Case No. WM-2015-0231

AFFIDAVIT OF RONALD MASSIE

STATE OF MISSOURI

COUNTY OF Canden

I, Ronald Massie, of lawful age, and being duly sworn, do hereby depose and state:

1. My name is Ronald Massie. I am presently a director of Public Water Supply District Number 4 of Camden County, Missouri, a Joint Applicant in the referenced matter.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information and belief.

Ronald Massie

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this $4^{\frac{1}{2}}$ day of June, 2015.

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

10-14-2016

DONNA POHL Notary Public-Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI **Commissioned for Camden County** uission Expires: 10/14/2016 ID. #12622842

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		RONALD MASSIE
4		CASE NO. WR-2015-0231
5	Q.	Please state your full name and business address.
6	А.	My name is Dr. Ronald Massie. My business address is 62 Bittersweet Road, The
7		Village of Four Seasons, Missouri 65049
8	Q.	What is your position with Public Water Supply District Number Four of
9		Camden County, Missouri (the "District")?
10	А.	I am an active member of the Board of Directors. This is my 5th term on the
11		District Board. I have been a member of the Board for 14 years. I am a
12		customer of both Ozark Shores Water Company ("Company") and the District.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14	А.	The office of the Missouri Attorney General and the Staff of the Missouri Public
15		Service Commission appear to be unclear about the reasons I voted in favor of the
16		District's decision to enter an agreement with Ozark Shores Water Company to
17		purchase the Company's assets. The purpose of my testimony is to correct
18		misunderstandings.
19	Q.	Did you, at any time during Board's deliberations and decision to purchase
20		the assets of Ozark Shores Water Company, possess any conflict of interest
21		that would violate the duty of loyalty that you have to the current and future
22		ratepayers of the District?
23	А.	No.

1	Q.	Do you, your spouse, or your dependent children possess a direct or indirect
2		ownership interest in Ozark Shores Water Company, North Suburban
3		Public Utility or RPS Properties?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	Are you, your spouse, or your dependent children an officer, director or
6		employee of Ozark Shores Water Company, North Suburban Public Utility
7		or RPS Properties?
8	А.	No.
9	Q.	Are you, your spouse, or your dependent children a creditor of Ozark Shores
10		Water Company, North Suburban Public Utility or RPS Properties?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	Have you, your spouse, or your dependent children received any financial
13		benefit, or the promise of any future financial benefit, from any owner,
14		officer, director or employee of Ozark Shores Water Company, North
15		Suburban Public Utility or RPS Properties in exchange for my approval of
16		the purchase of the Ozark Shores Water Company assets?
17	А.	No.
18	Q.	Why did you vote to acquire the assets of the Ozark Shores Water
19		Company?
20	А.	After reviewing the transaction itself and the report of Boone Partners which has
21		been provided to the Commission already in this matter and deliberating the
22		merits of the proposal with the other Board Members, I voted to approve the
23		purchase of the assets. I cast my vote, as I believe the entire Board did, in

1		exercise of the business judgment rule. My vote in favor of the transaction was
2		cast in good faith; in the exercise of what I consider best business judgment; and
3		uninfluenced by any other consideration than the honest belief that the asset
4		purchase subserves the best interests of the District and its customers.
5	Q.	Does the District anticipate raising the rates of its customers, current or
6		proposed, in connection with this transaction?
7	A.	No, the District anticipates keeping the Company's current rate schedules and
8		adopting the rate schedules set in place by the Commission in 1998.
9	Q.	Does the District anticipate providing sewer services to the Company's
10		customers in the future?
10		
11	А.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's
	A.	
11	А.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's
11 12	А.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's customers and will offer future sewer service as the Board deems it feasible. The
11 12 13	A.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's customers and will offer future sewer service as the Board deems it feasible. The District was granted the authority under Section 247.035 to provide sewer service
11 12 13 14	A.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's customers and will offer future sewer service as the Board deems it feasible. The District was granted the authority under Section 247.035 to provide sewer service to the majority of the Company's service territory in Case No. CV103-720CC by
11 12 13 14 15	Α.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's customers and will offer future sewer service as the Board deems it feasible. The District was granted the authority under Section 247.035 to provide sewer service to the majority of the Company's service territory in Case No. CV103-720CC by the Camden County Circuit Court in September, 2005. The District does not
11 12 13 14 15 16	А. Q.	The District currently provides sewer service to a portion of the Company's customers and will offer future sewer service as the Board deems it feasible. The District was granted the authority under Section 247.035 to provide sewer service to the majority of the Company's service territory in Case No. CV103-720CC by the Camden County Circuit Court in September, 2005. The District does not intend to affect the rights of any citizens within its boundaries to form a future

- 20
- 21