Exhibit No.:

Issues: Class Cost of Service

Witness: Lena M. Mantle
Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2007-0002

Date Testimony Prepared: February 5, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

LENA M. MANTLE

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a

AMERENUE

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

Jefferson City, Missouri February, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company) d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File) Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric) Service Provided to Customers in the) Company's Missouri Service Area.	Case No. ER-2007-0002			
AFFIDAVIT OF LENA M. MANTLE				
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)				
Lena M. Mantle, of lawful age, on her preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimon of pages of Rebuttal Testimony to be prin the following Rebuttal Testimony were given matters set forth in such answers; and that knowledge and belief.	esented in the above case, that the answers ven by her; that she has knowledge of the			
	Lena M. Mantle			
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 nd	day of February, 2007			
SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086	Musan Myludermeyer Notary Public			
My commission expires 9-21-10				

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2 3	OF
4 5	LENA M. MANTLE
6 7	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a
8 9	AMERENUE
10 11	CASE NO. ER-2007-0002
12 13	Q. Please state your name and business address.
14	A. My name is Lena M. Mantle and my business address is Missouri Public
15	Service Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
16	Q. Are you the same Lena M. Mantle who has filed prepared direct testimony in
17	this case?
18	A. Yes, I am. I also filed rebuttal testimony in this case on January 31, 2007
19	regarding Demand-Side Management goals for Union Electric Company d/b/a
20	AmerenUE (AmerenUE) and its weatherization program.
21	Q. Would you summarize this rebuttal testimony?
22	A. AmerenUE witness Robert J. Mill submitted direct testimony regarding the
23	Voluntary Green Program (VGP) and presented the proposed tariff sheet which describes
24	the program. (Mill direct, pg. 13-14) Staff supports cost-effective renewable energy.
25	However, Staff does not agree that AmerenUE providing a way for customers to purchase
26	Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) is where AmerenUE should be expending its
27	efforts to include renewables in its portfolio of resources. Staff believes that
28	AmerenUE's show a much tangible support of renewables such as development of a wind
29	farm or biomass generation plant.

2

O. What is a REC?

34567

A. According to Mr. Mill, "A REC is defined as the environmentally beneficial component of renewable energy and is equivalent to 1,000 kWh." (Mill direct, pg 13, ln. 6-8) In other words, a renewable energy provider (e.g., wind farm, biomass plant) is credited with one REC or green tag for each 1,000 kilowatt hours (kWh) of electricity it produces. As the renewable energy MWh is created, there is an accompanying REC which can be sold on the open market. Several organizations attempt to ensure that RECs are actually created, correctly tracked, verified and not double counted.

9

8

Q. Do you recommend that the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) approve the VGP?

11

10

A. No.

12

13

Q. Why shouldn't the Commission approve the VGP?

1415

associated with generating electricity from renewable energy resources. Staff has no problem with the REC market but it is Staff's position that if AmerenUE is serious about

A. RECs are a market mechanism that represent the environmental benefits

16

the development and integration of renewable power into its resource portfolio, it should

17

be spending its resources on developing renewable power, not selling RECs. In its recent

18

resource plan filing, AmerenUE only analyzed a minimal amount of wind resources and

19

then restricted the generation of the wind resources to its service territory in Missouri.

20

Given these constraints, it is not surprising that wind resources were not shown to be cost

21

effective resources. Even with this limited analysis, wind was analyzed more than any

22

other renewable resource even though Staff is aware of a St. Louis metro area landfill that

23

has had numerous communications with AmerenUE attempting to build a relationship

la

that could result in construction of a power plant that would use presently available landfill gas as its fuel source.

In addition, Staff thinks that it would be likely for there to be serious confusion on the part of customers purchasing a REC to believe that when they purchase a REC, they are purchasing renewable energy.

- Q. If a REC is purchased, is it the same as purchasing renewable energy?
- A. No. Purchasing a REC is a method of supporting renewables. It is not the same as purchasing renewables. The renewable power that the REC is associated with may be generated in another state. It may have already been generated or it may be generated anytime in the next year. Purchasing a REC is not the same as purchasing renewable energy.

An analogous example would be if McDonald's sells "hamburger credits," can someone who buys one of the credits satisfy his or her hunger with the hamburger credit? The only way to satisfy hunger is to purchase the cheeseburger and consume it. Whoever purchases a cheeseburger credit will just be supporting cheeseburgers. There is nothing wrong with this as long as the goal of the purchaser was to support hamburgers not to meet his or her actual needs. In the same way the purchase of a REC does not mean that the consumer is receiving renewable power. It simply means that the consumer is supporting renewable power.

- Q. Is this type of program offered elsewhere?
- A. Yes, this type of program is offered nationwide. While there are utilities that have programs that offer RECs to their customers, RECs are also available for purchase without a sponsoring utility, i.e., supporting renewable energy through the purchase of

Rebuttal	Testimony	of
Lena M	Mantle	

REC can easily be done regardless of whether it is a part of an electric utility program.

There are web sites that anyone can go to and purchase RECs.

- Q. So is Staff against the purchase of RECs?
- A. No. It is one tool that encourages the development of renewable energy. However, Staff does not believe that AmerenUE should offer the program. RECs are available to AmerenUE's customers even if AmerenUE does not offer the VGP. I do recognize that many of AmerenUE's customers do not know about RECs and that publicity and support from AmerenUE through such a program would likely result in more customers buying RECs. However, the potential for customer confusion is great.

If AmerenUE wants to support renewable energy through a program of this type, then AmerenUE might suggest that Ameren Corporation offer this product to through an unregulated subsidiary.

- Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- A. This concludes my testimony for the class cost-of-service and rate design rebuttal filing of this case.