Exhibit No.:

Issues: Variable Cost

Witness: Sarah L. Kliethermes

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: EC-2014-0224

Date Testimony Prepared: May 9, 2014

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION

#### REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

#### SARAH L. KLIETHERMES

# NORANDA ALUMINUM, INC., et al, COMPLAINANT, v. UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI RESPONDENT

CASE NO. EC-2014-0224

Jefferson City, Missouri May 2014

\*\* Denotes Highly Confidential Information \*\*



#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Noranda Aluminum, Inc., et al,  Complainants,  v.  Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri  Respondent.	) ) ) Case No. EC-2014-0224 ) ) )
AFFIDAVIT OF SAR	AH L. KLIETHERMES
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF COLE )	
in the preparation of the following Rebutt consisting of pages of Rebuttal Tests the answers in the following Rebuttal T	, on her oath states: that she has participated tal Testimony in question and answer form, imony to be presented in the above case, that estimony were given by her; that she has answers; and that such matters are true to the
	Sarah L. Kliethermes
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	day of May, 2014.
SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Callaway County My Commission Expires: October 03, 2014 Commission Number: 10942086	Ausan Mendermeye Notary Public

1	Table of Contents
2	
3	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
4	
5	<u>OF</u>
6	
7	SARAH L. KLIETHERMES
8	
9	NORANDA ALUMINUM, INC., et al, COMPLAINANT,
10	$\frac{V}{C}$
11	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a
12	AMEREN MISSOURI RESPONDENT
13	GAGENO EG 2014 0224
14	<u>CASE NO. EC-2014-0224</u>
15	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
16	
17	ASSUMPTIONS AND ANALYSIS4
18	VARIABLE COST7
19	CUSTOMER IMPACT 10
20	RECOMMENDATIONS14

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
4 5		SARAH L. KLIETHERMES
6 7		NORANDA ALUMINUM, INC., et al, COMPLAINANT,
8		<b>v.</b>
9		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a
10		AMEREN MISSOURI RESPONDENT
11 12 13 14		CASE NO. EC-2014-0224
15	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
16	A.	My name is Sarah L. Kliethermes and my business address is Missouri Public
17	Service Comm	ission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
18	Q.	Who is your employer and what is your present position?
19	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")
20	and my title	is Regulatory Economist III, Economic Analysis Section, Tariff, Safety,
21	Economic and	Engineering Analysis Department, Regulatory Review Division.
22	Q.	What is your educational background and work experience?
23	A.	I completed a Bachelor of Science degree in Historic Preservation from
24	Southeast Mis	souri University in Cape Girardeau, Missouri, and a Juris Doctorate degree
25	from the Unive	ersity of Missouri, Columbia. I have been employed by the Missouri Public
26	Service Comm	ission since May 2006. Prior to transferring to the Economic Analysis Section
27	in July 2013, I	was a Senior Counsel in the Staff Counsel's Office. A copy of my credentials
28	and case experi	ience is attached as Schedule SLK-1.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- Q. What items do you address in this testimony?
- A. I will respond to the calculation of a variable rate as provided in the Direct Testimonies of Maurice Brubaker and James Dauphinais on behalf of Noranda Aluminum, Inc. ("Noranda"). In particular, I will identify the following amounts:
  - 1. A reasonable estimate of Ameren Missouri's wholesale cost of energy for sale to Noranda, which is \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh,¹ at Noranda's meter, or about \$132,500,000 \$143,500,000 per year;²
  - 2. An estimate of a charge per MWh to Noranda at which other customers' rates would be unaffected by Noranda leaving or remaining on Ameren Missouri's retail service at a discounted rate, and a discussion of the reasonableness of using such a rate if it falls below the variable cost of providing service; and
  - 3. An estimate of a rate that would provide the level of benefit to other Ameren Missouri customers that Mr. Brubaker discusses in his testimony concerning his proposed \$30.00 per MWh rate. That rate is approximately \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh.<sup>3</sup>

I will also respond to Mr. Brubaker's estimate of the variable cost of providing service to Noranda, which includes an offset for an allocation of Ameren Missouri's off-system sales margin ("OSSM") revenue.

- Q. Are you providing a recommendation as to whether the Commission should order changes to Ameren Missouri's rate design as requested by Noranda?
- A. No. I have compiled and analyzed information to assist the Commission in any analysis it may undertake. I also address certain incorrect assertions in the Direct

NP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Selection of different study periods results in a range of amounts. The lower figure is based on a four-year average of LMP prices, which reduces the impact of extreme prices, among other things. However the higher figure based on the 12 months ending April 1, 2014, is also useful in evaluating a reasonable estimate of the ongoing costs of wholesale energy.

These annual approximations are based on rounded results of calculations involving input of highly confidential numbers. All other public versions of my calculations are based on a 12-month average of the most recently published MISO averages and 2014-2015 planning year capacity costs, and highly confidential numbers include or substitute Ameren Missouri's experienced costs for the 12 months ending April 1, 2014. Using public numbers, the estimate is approximately \$31.07 – \$33.66 per MWh.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Using public numbers, the estimate is approximately \$33.61 per MWh.

#### Rebuttal Testimony of Sarah L. Kliethermes

2 3

1

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

21

Testimonies of Maurice Brubaker and James Dauphinais. This testimony is not intended as a recommendation on any policy considerations or legal issues that may be implicated by Noranda's complaint.

- Q. What are the results of your analysis?
- I have determined that the most reasonable historical amount to use as an A. estimate of Ameren Missouri's wholesale energy cost of providing service to Noranda is approximately \*\* \_\_\_\_ \*\* per Megawatt-hour (MWh) at Transmission level, or \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh at Noranda's meter, based on Ameren Missouri's four-year average wholesale cost of energy to provide service to Noranda. I have determined that if Noranda paid a rate of approximately \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh, other customers' rates would be unaffected by Noranda leaving or remaining on Ameren Missouri's retail service at a discounted rate. Unless any discounted rate is greater than \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\*, from a rate impact perspective, other Ameren Missouri customers will experience no rate benefit from Noranda's continued receipt of Ameren Missouri retail service. Staff's recommended conditions applicable to discounted service are described in the testimony of Staff Witness Mike Scheperle.<sup>5</sup>
- How do these costs and rates compare to Noranda's current and requested Q. rates?
- Noranda has requested a rate of \$30.00 per MWh at Noranda's meter. A. Noranda's requested rate is below Ameren Missouri's variable cost of service for Noranda. Excluding charges under Ameren Missouri's FAC, Noranda currently pays a rate of

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Using public numbers, the wholesale energy cost is approximately \$30.02 per MWh at Transmission level, or \$31.07 at Noranda's meter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Using public numbers, all estimates fall below the reasonable range of wholesale energy costs, and are not reasonable to use in setting rates.

approximately \$37.94 per MWh, although the current rate is billed based on various components and not on a MWh-only basis.<sup>6</sup> Including the FAC charge applicable at the time of the filing of this case, Noranda paid a rate of approximately \$41.44 per MWh, if evaluated on a per MWh basis.<sup>7</sup>

#### **ASSUMPTIONS AND ANALYSIS**

Q. Have you performed a class-cost-of-service study for this case?

A. No. The rate case audit and cost-of-service results necessary to perform a class-cost-of-service study generally takes four months and significant Staff resources. In his rebuttal testimony in this case Staff witness Michael Scheperle presents the results of Staff's class-cost-of-service study in Ameren Missouri's last general rate proceeding, Case No. ER-2012-0166.

Similar to Mr. Dauphinais, I used Ameren Missouri's wholesale cost of energy through the Midcontinent Independent System Operator ("MISO") to determine a reasonable estimate of Ameren Missouri's cost of energy for providing retail service to Noranda.

Primarily, I have applied historical MISO Day-Ahead Locational Marginal Prices ("LMP") to Noranda's historical load. I have made reasonable allowance for other costs associated with serving this load. Public versions of these numbers are based on a 12-month average of the most recently published MISO averages and 2014-2015 planning year capacity costs, and highly confidential numbers include or substitute Ameren Missouri's experienced costs for the 12 months ending April 1, 2014. I have also relied on amounts presented by Mr. Dauphinais to make allowances for MISO Tariff Schedule 26-A Multi-Value Project charges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Brubaker Direct, P. 2, L. 20 – P.3, L. 1. I have not attempted to verify Mr. Brubaker's calculation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Brubaker Direct, P. 3, L. 1 -2. I have not attempted to verify Mr. Brubaker's calculation.

Q. Is further study of certain assumptions warranted?

A. Yes, if allowed by time and resources. For example, like Mr. Dauphinais, I have not attempted to determine an hourly-integrated LMP that would account for real-time deviations. Also, relying on assumptions included in Mr. Dauphinais's analysis and described in his testimony, I have assumed that LMPs would not be affected by the loss of Noranda's load. I concur with Mr. Dauphinais's analysis described in his direct testimony that the MISO energy component of the LMP would not be noticeably impacted by the loss of the Noranda load. I do not have information or the necessary modeling software available to analyze whether it is reasonable to conclude that the congestion and loss components of the MISO LMP would not be noticeably impacted by loss of the Noranda load. For purposes of this analysis, it is reasonable to assume that, all else being equal, the loss of the load would not increase marginal congestion in the Ameren Missouri zone, but further study may be warranted if time and resources allow.

Q. What is the cause of the increase in average LMP for the 12 months ending April 1, 2014 over the 4-year average?

A. I have not conducted an analysis of this change in average LMP. It is reasonable to assume some level of the increase is attributable to weather, which is not likely to directly impact market prices going forward, and some level may be attributable to market changes, which may impact market prices going forward.<sup>8</sup>

Q. Is your analysis reasonable for use in this case without further study of the impact of the loss of the Noranda load on LMP, particularly the congestion component of LMP?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The MISO South region was integrated into the MISO in mid-December, 2013.

### Rebuttal Testimony of Sarah L. Kliethermes

A. Yes. The depth of my analysis is consistent with or exceeds that of Mr. Dauphinais. My primary purpose is to identify the variable cost to Ameren Missouri of supplying retail service to Noranda. This analysis is unaffected by any hypothetical loss of the Noranda load.

In addition to my calculation of Ameren Missouri's wholesale cost of providing service to Noranda, I have also estimated the impact on Ameren Missouri's revenue requirement applicable to remaining customers of the loss of the Noranda load using:

- 1. The level of OSSM and similar benefits allocated to Noranda in Case No. ER-2012-0166,<sup>9</sup>
- 2. Noranda's retail revenues resulting from rates determined in Case No. ER-2012-0166,
- 3. An estimate of Ameren Missouri's avoided wholesale energy cost should Noranda cease to receive retail service from Ameren Missouri, and
- 4. An estimate of the increase in Ameren Missouri's OSSM should Noranda cease to receive retail service from Ameren Missouri.

Items 3 & 4 rely on the assumption that the LMPs in Ameren Missouri's zone would not be noticeably impacted by the loss of Noranda's load or other market impacts. While I have no analysis to support this assumption, I note that Mr. Dauphinais made and relied on the identical assumption in his Actual Net Energy Costs ("ANEC") impact estimate.

- Q. Have you prepared any schedules providing this information?
- A. Yes.

	Schedules
SLK-2	Hourly DA-LMP Cost Example
SLK-3	NP Energy Cost Calculations
SLK-4	NP Energy Cost and Customer Impact
SLK-5	HC Energy Cost and Customer Impact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Noranda's rates resulting from Case No. ER-2012-0166 did not exactly match its class cost of service as determined by Staff in that case. However, it is reasonable to use the Staff's allocation of OSSM for purposes of determining the rate impact of Noranda's proposal in this case. As discussed more fully by Staff Witness Mike Scheperle, Noranda's rates resulting from that case slightly exceeded its allocated cost-of-service, net of allocated OSSM.

#### VARIABLE COST

3

5 6

4

7

8

9

10 11

12 13 14

15 16 17

23 24

25

26

Q. What is Ameren Missouri's variable cost of providing retail service to Noranda?

A. Considering only energy costs, Ameren Missouri's variable cost of providing retail service to Noranda is Ameren Missouri's wholesale cost of energy for sale to Noranda at retail, plus an allowance for other costs assessed to load-serving entities based on load or demand, and any other cost directly assignable to Noranda, adjusted to reflect losses to Noranda's meter. 10

Q. Do you agree with Mr. Brubaker's testimony at page 5-6:

#### O. ARE RATES THAT ARE DESIGNED TO RETAIN AT-RISK LOADS TYPICALLY PRICED BELOW FULL EMBEDDED COST OF SERVICE?

A. Yes. The concept behind a load retention rate is to retain on the system a load that otherwise might not be served. The basis for such a rate is typically a price above variable cost so that some contribution to fixed costs is provided.

#### Q. WHAT IS THE AVERAGE VARIABLE COST ASSOCIATED WITH PROVIDING SERVICE TO NORANDA?

A. Based on the final rates adopted in Case No. ER-2012-0166 the average variable cost included in base rates (net base energy costs) is approximately 1.469¢ per kWh. The cost currently is approximately 1.82¢ per kWh because of the existence of a positive FAC factor. Because the 3.0¢ per kWh price to be paid by Noranda is in excess of average variable cost it provides a positive contribution and offset to fixed costs and provides a benefit to other customers.

I agree that it is appropriate to charge a rate that is priced above variable cost A. so that some contribution to fixed costs is provided. I agree that if the choice is between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Generally, the wholesale cost of energy is determined by multiplying the extended and integrated LMP for each hour by Noranda's load, factored to transmission units, for each hour, and summed for a year. That amount would then be divided by Noranda's total MWh usage for that year, to determine Ameren Missouri's variable cost of retail service to Noranda. Absent further study, I do not expect the integration of real time variation to be noticeable on an annual basis, in that this adjustment to the hourly cost would vary in sign in a given hour. Therefore, I did not attempt to integrate the LMP. I have reviewed the impact of extending the LMP to include the cost of ancillary services and uplift necessary to support wholesale energy purchases, and determined that integration of an allowance for these costs is appropriate.

> 14 15

> 13

16 17

19

18

21

20

providing service at a rate above variable cost or receiving no additional contribution to fixed costs, that the other customers receive a benefit if the service is provided at a rate above variable cost so that some contribution to fixed costs is made.

- Q. Do you agree with Mr. Brubaker's quantification of Ameren Missouri's variable cost to serve Noranda at \$18.20 per MWh?
- No. This amount is only slightly over half of the wholesale hourly integrated A. and extended cost of a MWh in Ameren Missouri's load zone of the MISO. The net base energy cost referred to by Mr. Brubaker is net of OSSM. For purposes of determining variable cost to provide service, only the wholesale energy cost should be considered, and offsetting revenues must be excluded.
- Q. Have you determined a reasonable quantification of Ameren Missouri's wholesale energy cost for serving Noranda?
- A. Yes. I have applied historical MISO Day-Ahead Locational Marginal Prices ("DA LMP") to Noranda's historical load. I have made reasonable allowance for other costs associated with serving load including capacity, and relied on amounts presented by Mr. Dauphinais to make allowances for MISO Tariff Schedule 26-A Multi-Value Project charges in some instances.<sup>11</sup>
- Q. What was Ameren Missouri's wholesale energy cost for serving Noranda in the 12 months ending April 1, 2014?
- A reasonable estimate of Ameren Missouri's wholesale energy cost for serving A. Noranda for this time period is \*\* \_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh at Noranda's meter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> I have not attempted to incorporate the impact of Ameren Missouri's activities in non-MISO RTOs, nor Ameren Missouri's activities in financial transmission instruments, such as bilateral contracts. I have not attempted to quantify any other costs that are directly assignable to Noranda, such as dedicated customer service personnel, legal costs, or any potential rate recovery related to the Accounting Authority Order resulting from Case. No. EU-2012-0027.

Q.	What is a reasonable estimate of Ameren Missouri's wholesale energy cost for
serving Noran	da?

A. The most reasonable estimate of Ameren Missouri's wholesale energy cost for serving Noranda is \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh at Noranda's meter. This is based on a 4-year average of Noranda's load applied to a simple average of the MISO DA LMP for the MISO nodes at Sioux, Taum Sauk, and Osage, 12 with allowance for Ameren Missouri's most recent experienced uplift, ancillary service, and transmission charges, MISO 2014-2015 planning year rates for capacity costs. As seen in the table below of the public versions of these calculations, while selection of different, shorter time periods, presents different amounts, a four-year average reduces the impact of extreme prices, while not being so long a time period as to require a separate adjustment for inflation. Finally, the four-year period ending March 31, 2014, is the longest and most recent for which whole-years' data is available after Noranda returned to full load from the ice storm. However, I do consider the 12 months ending April 1, 2014, in providing several of my recommendations and components of recommendations as within a reasonable range of ongoing costs of wholesale energy.

Average SEMO DA MIS	O LMPs*
<u>Time Period</u>	<u>\$/MWh</u>
4 years, ending 3/31/2014	\$31.12
1 year, ending 7/31/2012	\$27.19
1 year, ending 9/30/2013	\$27.98
1 year, ending 12/31/2013	\$29.26
1 year, ending 3/31/2014	\$33.55

<sup>\*</sup>With reasonable allowance for other costs associated with serving load, at Noranda's Meter, weighted for Noranda's load.

NP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> These are the Ameren Missouri MISO generation nodes physically located nearest to the point at which Ameren Missouri provides service to Noranda.

#### **CUSTOMER IMPACT**

Q. Did Mr. Brubaker attempt to quantify the rate impact to other Ameren Missouri customers if Noranda were to cease receipt of Ameren Missouri retail service?

A. Yes. On page 6 of his Direct Testimony, Mr. Brubaker testifies that, "[b]ased on the estimated reduction in Ameren Missouri's Actual Net Energy Costs ("ANEC") provided to me by my colleague Mr. Dauphinais, I have calculated that the net revenue loss if the smelter were not served would be approximately \$60 million per year." He continues at pages 6 and 7, "[i]n the scenario where the smelter remains as a retail customer of Ameren Missouri but at a lower rate, the calculated revenue reduction was \$33.1 million in base revenues and \$14.6 million in FAC, for a total of \$47.7 million, or 1.80%. Because this amount is smaller than the \$60 million (2.27%) net revenue loss that would be incurred were the smelter not to operate, the requested rate plan also is reasonable when evaluated on this basis."

Q. Do you agree with his analysis of the rate impact on other Ameren Missouri customers if Ameren Missouri ceased to serve Noranda?

A. No. Mr. Brubaker does not properly adjust the remaining retail revenue requirement for existing OSSM that is currently allocated to Noranda, but that would be reallocated among retail classes were Noranda to cease receiving retail service from Ameren Missouri. 13 It appears that Mr. Brubaker fails to consider the line losses that constitute a portion of Noranda's total bill, but would not be a cost to other customers if Noranda ceased receiving Ameren Missouri retail service. I also determine different numbers than

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  I have not attempted to identify and reallocate the SOx and NOx allowance revenues that are currently allocated to Noranda.

Noranda.

3

5

9

15

17

Q. What should be considered in determining the rate impact on other Ameren

Mr. Dauphinais for Ameren Missouri's cost (and avoided cost) of energy for provision to

Missouri customers if Ameren Missouri ceased to serve Noranda?

- A. I recommend that the Commission review the net impact of changes in the revenue requirements of Ameren Missouri's other retail classes. The increases to the other retail classes' revenue requirement are:
  - 1. Loss of Noranda retail rate revenues approximately \$158,000,000. 14

The decreases to the other retail classes' revenue requirement are:

- 1. OSSM revenues currently allocated to Noranda approximately \$40.000.000. 15
- 2. Avoided wholesale energy cost <sup>16</sup> approximately \$133 to \$144 million. <sup>17</sup>
- Q. What is your calculation of the rate impact on other Ameren Missouri customers if Ameren Missouri ceased to serve Noranda?
- A. Based on the variable cost calculation described above, I would expect the other customers to experience a rate impact in the range of a \$9,500,000 to \$20,300,000 increase if Noranda left the Ameren Missouri system.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Brubaker Direct, P. 2, L. 20 – P.3, L. 1. I have not attempted to verify Mr. Brubaker's calculation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Noranda's rates resulting from Case No. ER-2012-0166 did not exactly match its class cost of service as determined by Staff in that case, however, it is reasonable to use the Staff's allocation of OSSM for purposes of determining the rate impact of Noranda's proposal in this case, absent a full cost-of-service study and a full class-cost-of-service study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Like Mr. Dauphinais, I assume that Ameren Missouri will continue to generate essentially the same amount of energy in the same hours, but that the net OSSM will be changed by a reduction in Ameren Missouri's purchases of energy as a load-serving entity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> This amount is derived from the range established by the most recent 12-month information and the 4-year average LMP application to Noranda load, described above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Using other estimates of the cost of wholesale electricity for serving Noranda would produce different numbers. It is likely that updating the system-wide OSSM revenue quantification or the determination of new class revenues in a full-blown rate case would also have an impact.

7

10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

19 20

21

18

O. What is your calculation of the rate impact on other Ameren Missouri customers if Ameren Missouri served Noranda at a rate of \$30.00 per MWh at Noranda's meter?

- A. Based on the variable cost calculation described above, I would expect the other customers to experience an approximate \$27,760,000 increase if Noranda paid a rate of \$30.00 per MWh at its meter. 19
- Q. Why is the rate impact to customers if Noranda left the Ameren Missouri system less than if Noranda paid a rate of \$30 per MWh?
- A. Noranda is requesting to purchase energy from Ameren Missouri at a rate that is below the cost to Ameren Missouri of purchasing the energy on the wholesale market, and the difference between those prices is an additional cost to customers. If Noranda receives service at a rate below variable cost, not only is Noranda not contributing to overhead, but it is also increasing the total cost that other ratepayers must provide to Ameren Missouri over the amount that they would pay if Noranda were not a retail customer.
- Q. Are you recommending the Commission order Ameren Missouri to cease retail service to Noranda?
  - A. No.
- Q. Relying on the assumptions and quantifications you discuss, can you determine an approximate per MWh retail rate at which the impact of Ameren Missouri's continued provision service to Noranda would be neither better nor worse in terms of the rate impact to other retail customers?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Using other estimates of the cost of wholesale electricity for serving Noranda would produce different numbers. It is likely that updating the system-wide OSSM revenue quantification or the determination of new class revenues in a full-blown rate case would also have an impact.

A. Yes. Using the assumptions and quantifications discussed, if Ameren Missouri provided service to Noranda at a rate of approximately \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh at Noranda's meter, other customers' rates would be unaffected by Noranda leaving or remaining on Ameren Missouri's retail service at a discounted rate. This number is based on the higher LMPs associated with the most recent 12-month calculation, but is above the low-end range of a reasonable estimate of Ameren Missouri's ongoing cost of wholesale energy for Noranda.

However, it is not reasonable to set any rate for service below the variable cost of providing that service. To do so would mean that other customers are not only no better off than if Noranda ceased to be an Ameren Missouri customer, but they are worse off because other customers would be bearing a portion of costs incurred to provide service to Noranda, that would not be incurred if Noranda were not a customer.

Some amount greater than \*\* \_\_\_\_ \*\* is therefore necessary to make a determination that – considering rate impact only – other customers are benefited by Ameren Missouri's continued provision service to Noranda at a discounted rate.

- Q. Relying on these same assumptions and quantifications, can you determine an approximate per MWh retail rate at which the impact of Ameren Missouri's continued provision service to Noranda would provide the level of contribution to cost-of-service described by Mr. Brubaker and Mr. Dauphinais in their direct testimonies?
- A. Yes. As I understand Mr. Brubaker's calculation, to provide the level of contribution to Ameren Missouri's cost-of-service described in the direct testimonies of Mr. Brubaker and Mr. Dauphinais, Noranda would need to pay a rate of approximately \*\* \_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh<sup>20</sup> at Noranda's meter.<sup>21</sup>

NP

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  Using public numbers, the estimate is approximately \$33.61 per MWh.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- Q. What are your recommendations for the Commission in this matter?
- A. I recommend that if the Commission does redesign Ameren Missouri's rates to provide Noranda with an energy-only rate, and consistent with the recommendations of Staff Witness Mike Scheperle, that the Commission:
  - 1. Not consider any rate below Ameren Missouri's variable cost of approximately \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh, at Noranda's meter,
  - 2. Not authorize any rate below the rate of \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh, at Noranda's meter, at which other customers would experience no rate impact from Noranda's presence on the system, and
  - 3. Be aware that a rate of \*\* \_\_\_\_\_ \*\* per MWh, at Noranda's meter, is necessary to provide other retail customers with the benefits of contribution to Ameren Missouri's cost of service described in the Direct Testimonies of Mr. Brubaker and Mr. Dauphinais.
  - Q. Does this conclude your testimony in this matter?
  - A. Yes.

14

NP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> It appears that Mr. Brubaker assumes Noranda would contribute approximately \$12.3 million to Ameren Missouri's cost of service, although he does not explicitly address the OSSM offset of approximately \$40 million.

#### **Sarah L. Kliethermes**

#### **Mopsc** Employment Experience

Regulatory Economist III (July 2013 – Present)

Economic Analysis Section, Energy Unit, Tariff, Safety, Economic and Engineering Analysis Department of the Missouri Public Service Commission. In this position my duties include providing analysis and recommendations in the areas of RTO and ISO transmission, rate design, class cost of service, tariff compliance and design, and energy efficiency mechanism and tariff design. I also continue to provide legal advice and assistance regarding generating station and environmental control construction audits and electric utility regulatory depreciation.

My prior positions in the Commission's General Counsel's Office, which was reorganized as the Staff Counsel's Office, consisted of leading major rate case litigation and settlement and presenting Staff's position to the Commission, and providing legal advice and assistance primarily in the areas of depreciation, cost of service, class cost of service, rate design, tariff issues, resource planning, accounting authority orders, construction audits, rulemakings and workshops, fuel adjustment clauses, document management and retention, and customer complaints. Those positions were:

Senior Counsel (September 2011 – July 2013)

Associate Counsel (September 2009 - September 2011)

<u>Legal Counsel</u> (September 2007 – September 2009)

Legal Intern (May 2006 - September 2007)

#### **WRITTEN TESTIMONY**

Rebuttal, regarding DSIM tariff design, margin rate calculation, and customer-related issues, in Case No. ER-2014-0095, Kansas City Power & Light application under the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act.

#### **RELATED TRAINING**

Presented Ratemaking Basics (Sept. 14, 2012)

#### Attended:

MISO Markets & Settlements Training for OMS and ERSC Commissioners & Staff (Jan. 27 – 28, 2014)

Validating Settlement Charges in New SPP Integrated Marketplace (July 22, 2013)

PSC Transmission Training (May 14 – 16, 2013)

Grid School (March 4 - 7, 2013)

Specialized Technical Training - Electric Transmission (April 18 – 19, 2012)

Legal Practice Before the Missouri Public Service Commission (Sept. 1, 2011)

Renewable Energy Finance Forum (Sept. 29 – Oct 3, 2010)

The New Energy Markets: Technologies, Differentials and Dependencies (June 16, 2011)

Mid-American Regulatory Conference Annual Meeting (June 5 – 8, 2011)

*Utility Basics* (Oct. 14 – 19, 2007)

#### **EDUCATION**

Studying Economics at Columbia College, Jefferson City campus and online (2013 – Present) Studying Energy Transmission at Bismarck State University, online (2014 – Present)

<u>Licensed to Practice Law in Missouri</u>, MoBar # 60024 (Summer 2007).

<u>Juris Doctorate</u>, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri (2004 – 2007).

<u>Bachelor of Science</u> in Historic Preservation, Cum Laude, minor in Architectural Design, Southeast Missouri State University, Cape Girardeau, Missouri (2002 – 2004).

2000 – 2002: Studied Architecture and English Literature at Drury University, Springfield, Missouri.

#### **OTHER EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE**

<u>Law Clerk</u>, Contracting and Organization Research Institute. Performed legal research; analyzed, described, and categorized contracts.

<u>Paid Intern</u>, Southeast Missouri State University. Accessioned and organized artifact collections for the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of State Parks and Historic Sites.

<u>Intermediate Clerk</u>, Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. Responsibilities included organizing and managing various forms of data.

	Noranda 12 Month Rolling Average	29.57	29.56	29.56	29.56	29.56	29.56	29.55	29.55	29.55	29.55	29.54	29.53	29.53	29.52	29.51	29.51	29.51	29.50	29.50	29.50	29.50	29.50
	Noranda Hourly	14,324,710.75	15,553,410.01	19,191,631,20 28,996,416.05	29,855,133.44	22,839,034 25	18,227,919 94	17,597,034.79	18,987,529.72	19,890,398 22	21,167,188.05	33,727,177.18	33,087,407.50	43,683,936 66	47,608,805.17	26,909,982 34	20,078,746 38	16,953,536.09	16,966,718 30	19,421,157.05	19,310,542 89	19,480,671.15	16,572,162.08
	Noranda Load Nora	480,803	480,984	479,711	478,934	478,405	480,188	480,006	479,806	478,672	479,329 479.430	479,511	479,458	478,047	478,128	459,607	477,270	479,229	479,105	477,961	474,538	474,791	477,813
12 Months ending: 5/31/2014 3/31/2014 \$ 30.77 12/31/2013 \$ 26.63 9/30/2013 \$ 25.39	outheast MO verage 3 M Avg. 1 Y Avg. 2 Y Avg. 3 Y Avg. 4 Y Avg.	Hourly Day Ahead LMP MISO 23.39 41.04676 30.77326 \$ 27.44 \$ 27.67 \$ 28.42 27.903333 37.958219 29.580992 29.793333 37.958586 29.580048	37.954913 2	40.00eee/ 3/.9498// 29.5/839 60.553333 37.941807 29.577248	37.924271 2	47.74 37.906597 29.57097	37.890703	37.886475	39.573333 37.882326 29.564028	37.876638	44.16 37.869024 29.559516 51.353333 37.858866 29.557014	37.845002	69.01 37.822396 29.548512	91.38 37.800595 29.543398	99.573333 37.768629 29.535714	58.55 37.733279 29.52709	42.07 37.715982 29.52283	35 376667 37.706679 29.520296	35.413333 37.701198 29.518439	40 633333 37.695422 29.516565	40 693333 37.687374 29.514083	41.03 37.679323 29.51162	34 683333 37.670868 29.50915
MISO MISO MISO 3/31/2014 3/31/2014 4/1/2010 4/1/2010 4/1/2010 MISO MISO MISO	Hourly Day , Hourly Day , Hourly Day Ahd Southeast MO AMMO.TS1 AMMO.OSA AMMO.RUSHIS Average 3	ALTE.ROCKE.ALTE.ROCKGEN1 24.68 23.43 22.06 28.67 27.33 27.71 3 29.95 30.52 28.91	33.43 31.13	38./3 55.36	68.51 58.39	46.04 52.42 44.76	39.41 34.83	38.35 35.14	38.03	43.42 39.95	43.26 47.25 41.97 50.45 54.85 48.76	83.01 63.1	61.91 84.92 60.2	84.06 108.43 81.65	85.09 131.15 82.48	56.88 63.21 55.56	41.92 43.88 40.41	3437	35.21 37.20 33.83	39.65 44.03 38.22	40.06 43.86 38.16	41.25 42.53 39.31	33.69 38.30 32.06
Commodity Chart Data Region: Start History: End History:	pe: n:	Date 3/31/2014 0:00 3/1/2014 0:00 2/28/2014 23:00	2/28/2014 22:00	2/28/2014 20:00	2/28/2014 19:00	2/28/2014 18:00	2/28/2014 17:00	2/28/2014 15:00	2/28/2014 14:00	2/28/2014 13:00	2/28/2014 12:00 2/28/2014 11:00	2/28/2014 10:00	2/28/2014 9:00	2/28/2014 8:00	2/28/2014 7:00	2/28/2014 6:00	2/28/2014 5:00	2/28/2014 4:00	2/28/2014 3:00	2/28/2014 2:00	2/28/2014 1:00	2/28/2014 0:00	2/27/2014 23:00

			DALI	PHINIAS ANALYSIS	LINCORDECTED	
	Noranda		DAU	PHINIAS ANALTSIS	- UNCORRECTED	
	Units		<u>Rate</u>		Sub Totals	
Net Energy, Transmission Loss and Congestion Costs	4,169,000	8.4\A/b	¢26.62	nor 1414/h	\$111,020,470.00	
Net Capacity Costs		MW-days		per MWh per MW-day	\$204,095.85	
iver capacity costs	134,377	IVIVV days	\$1.05	per ivivv day	\$204,033.83	
MISO Tariff Schedule 26-A						
Multi-Value Project Usage Rate	4,169,000	MWh	\$0.37	per MWh	\$1,542,530.00	
				Total:	\$112,767,095.85	Contribution to Revenue Requirement
Total				Per MWh:	\$27.05	\$ 12,302,904.15
Avera	ge LMP Applie	ed to Actual Lo	oad for 12 Mo	nths ending Septer	mber 30, 2013 and Addit	tion of Ancillary Services and Uplift
			<u>Rate</u>		Sub Totals	
Metered Noranda load:	4,169,000	MWh	1.035			
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load	4,314,915	M/M/h	25 3804	per MWh	\$109,552,889	
Uplift	4,314,915			per MWh	\$1,078,729	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014
Ancillary Services	4,314,915			per MWh	\$819,834	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015
MVP Costs	4,314,915			per MWh	\$1,807,758	749. of published whose for water 2010 1 coldary 2010
Capactiy Cost		MW-days		per MW-day	\$3,369,768	Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year
, ,				tal Energy Cost:	\$116,628,978	Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh
				Noranda Meter:	\$27.98	\$ 8,441,022.25
Δνοτο	ge I MP Annli				nher 31 2013 and Addit	ion of Ancillary Services and Uplift
Avera	PC FIAIL Whhile	cu to Actual L	Rate	mans channe Decel	Sub Totals	non or Ancinary Services and Opini
Metered Noranda load:	4,169,000	MWh	1.035	I	<u> 300 10tais</u>	
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda	1,100,000		1.000			
Hourly Load	4,314,915			per MWh	\$114,919,778	
Uplift	4,314,915			per MWh	\$1,078,729	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014
Ancillary Services	4,314,915			per MWh	\$819,834	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015
MVP Costs	4,314,915			per MWh	\$1,807,758	
Capactiy Cost	201,180	MW-days		per MW-day	\$3,369,768	Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year
				tal Energy Cost:	\$121,995,867	Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh
			Per MWH @	Noranda Meter:	\$29.26	\$ 3,074,133.28
	Factor	ed LMP for 12	2 Months endi	ng March 31, 2014	and Addition of Ancilla	ry Services and Uplift
			<u>Rate</u>	ng March 31, 2014	and Addition of Ancilla Sub Totals	ry Services and Uplift
Metered Noranda load:	Factor 4,169,000			ng March 31, 2014		ry Services and Uplift
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda	4,169,000	MWh	<u>Rate</u> 1.035		Sub Totals	ry Services and Uplift
	4,169,000 4,314,915	MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733	per MWh	<u>Sub Totals</u> \$132,784,002	
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25		\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift	4,169,000 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900	per MWh	<u>Sub Totals</u> \$132,784,002	
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956	per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015 Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter:	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh  \$ (14,790,090.98
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter:	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh  \$ (14,790,090.98
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter:	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh  \$ (14,790,090.98
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh Ored LMP for	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 ind Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh  \$ (14,790,090.98
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 ind Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWV-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh  \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter:	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42)
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 12 Months end	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42)
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 16.75	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  MVP Costs Capactiy Cost	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 12 Months end	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: ding July 31, 2012	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Uplift Ancillary Services	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,169,000 4,169,000 4,314,915	MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWV-days  ored LMP for  MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MWh MW	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 12 Months en Rate 1.035 25.6935 0.07	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: ding July 31, 2012	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55  and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals  \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals  \$121,0865,437	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42)
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180  Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180  Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 12 Months end Rate 1.035 25.6935 0.07 0.09	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: ding July 31, 2012 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42  / Services and Uplift
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Uplift Ancillary Services	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180 Facto 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,169,000	MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 4 Years ending Rate 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035 28.6935 0.07 0.09 0.418956	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: ding July 31, 2012  per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$110,865,437 \$302,044 \$388,342 \$1,807,758	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42)  y Services and Uplift  Published MISO for December 2012 - February 2013 Published MISO for December 2012 - February 2014  Applicable to 2013-2014 Planning Year
Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services MVP Costs Capactiy Cost  Metered Noranda load: Hourly DA LMPs x Noranda Hourly Load Uplift Ancillary Services Dauphinias' Net Capacity &	4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180  Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 201,180  Fact 4,169,000 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915 4,314,915	MWh	Rate 1.035 30.7733 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035 28.4232 0.25 0.1900 0.418956 16.75 To Per MWH @ 1.035 28.6935 0.07 0.09 0.418956	per MWh per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: g March 31, 2014 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh per MW-day tal Energy Cost: Noranda Meter: ding July 31, 2012 a  per MWh per MWh per MWh	\$132,784,002 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$139,860,091 \$33.55 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals \$122,643,576 \$1,078,729 \$819,834 \$1,807,758 \$3,369,768 \$129,719,664 \$31.12 and Addition of Ancillary Sub Totals	Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (14,790,090.98)  Services and Uplift  Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2014 Avg. of published MISO for March 2013 - February 2015  Applicable to 2014-2015 Planning Year  Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh \$ (4,649,664.42)  / Services and Uplift

Average SEMO DA MISO L	MPs*
Time Period	\$/MWh
4 years, ending 3/31/2014	\$31.12
1 year, ending 7/31/2012	\$27.19
1 year, ending 9/30/2013	\$27.98
1 year, ending 12/31/2013	\$29.26
1 year, ending 3/31/2014	\$33.55
*With reasonable allowance for other cos	ts associated

"With reasonable allowance for other costs associated with serving load, at Noranda Meter, weighted for Noranda load.

	LMP = Ameren Load Node, with	= Ameren Load Node, with Noranda Factor, 12 Months ending April 1, 2014		
		Rate	Sub Totals	
Metered Noranda load:	4,169,000 MWh	1.035		<u> </u>
Average DA LMPs Factored for Noranda Load	4,314,915 MWh   \$	30.93000 per MWh	\$133,460,321	
Uplift	4,314,915 MWh   \$	0.25000 per MWh	\$1,078,729	6
Ancillary Services	4,314,915 MWh   \$	0.19000 per MWh	\$819,834	-
MVP Costs	4,314,915 MWh   \$	0.37000 per MWh	\$1,596,519	6
Capacity Costs	4,314,915 MWh   \$	0.78096 per MWh	\$3,369,768	
		Total Ene	Total Energy Cost: \$140,325,170	Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh
		Per MWH @ Noranda Meter:	da Meter: \$33.66	(15,255,170.37)

	LMP = SEMO Node	.MP = SEMO Nodes with Noranda Factor, 4 Years ending March 31, 2014			
		Rate	Sub Totals	tals	
Metered Noranda load:	4,169,000 MWh	1.035			
Average DA LMPs Factored for Noranda Load	4,314,915 MWh \$	28.43275 per MWh	n \$122,684,898	34,898	
Uplift	4,314,915 MWh \$	0.25000 per MWh		\$1,078,729	
Ancillary Services	4,314,915 MWh \$	0.19000 per MWh		\$819,834	
MVP Costs	4,314,915 MWh   \$	0.37000 per MWh		\$1,596,519	
Capacity Costs	4,314,915 MWh   \$	0.78096 per MWh		\$3,369,768	
		Total En	Total Energy Cost: \$129,549,747		Contrib. to RR @ \$30/MWh
		Per MWH @ Noranda Meter:		\$31.07 \$	(4,479,747.49)

LMP = Ameren Load Node, with Noranda Factor, 12 Months ending April 1, 2014	nths	ending April	LMP = SEMO Nodes with Noranda Factor. 4 Years ending March 31, 2014	ğ	arch 31. 2014
2012 Case - Approximate Allocations			2012 Case - Approximate Allocations	,	
Noranda Allocation of OSSM	s	(40,000,000)	Noranda Allocation of OSSM	s	(40,000,000)
Noranda Energy Allocation	s	120,000,000	Noranda Energy Allocation	s	120,000,000
Noranda Plant Allocation	\$	70,000,000	Noranda Plant Allocation	s	70,000,000
Noranda Balancing Adjustment for Allocation	\$	2,827,612	Noranda Balancing Adjustment for Alloca ion	\$	2,827,612
Approx. Noranda Revenue attributable to line losses	\$	5,344,248	Approx. Noranda Revenue attributable to line losses	\$	5,344,248
Noranda Rate Revenues	\$	158,171,860	Noranda Rate Revenues	\$	158,171,860
Energy Costs and Revenues			Energy Costs and Revenues		
Noranda Annual MWh (at MISO)		4,314,915	Noranda Annual MWh (at MISO)		4,314,915
Noranda Annual MWh (at meter)		4,169,000	Noranda Annual MWh (at meter)		4,169,000
Average LMP		30.9300	Average LMP		28.4327
Average Other Charges for Load / MWh		\$1 59	Average Other Charges for Load / MWh		\$1.59
Approx. Noranda Annual Energy Cost	\$	140,325,170	Approx. Noranda Annual Energy Cost	s	129,549,747
Noranda Contribution to Non-Noranda Revenue			Noranda Contribution to Non-Noranda Revenue		
Requirement (at current rate, with Noranda receiving	(		Requirement (at current rate, with Noranda receiving		
OSSM allocation)	so.	12,502,442	OSSM allocation)	es e	23,277,865
Net Residual Ratepayer Impact			Net Residual Ratepayer Impact		
Noranda Revenue at \$30/MWh	\$	125,070,000	Noranda Revenue at \$30/MWh	\$	125,070,000
Net Noranda Revenue at \$30/MWh	S	(15,255,170)	Net Noranda Revenue at \$30/MWh	s	(4,479,747)
	4			,	
Net Impact of Noranda OFF:		12,502,442	Net Impact of Noranda OFF:	s S	23,277,865
Net Impact of Noranda ON, paying \$30/MWh:	s	27,757,612	Net Impact of Noranda ON, paying \$30/MWh	S	27,757,612
Customer Indifference Noranda Revenue Requirement:		127,822,729	Customer Indifference Noranda Revenue Requirement   \$	\$	106,271,883
Noranda Energy Rates @ Noranda Meter	ЭŁ		Noranda Energy Rates @ Noranda Meter	Į.	
Minimum Energy-Only Rate:	\$	33.66	Minimum Energy-Only Rate	\$	31 07
Minimum Customer Indifference Noranda MWh Rate:	\$	30.66	Minimum Customer Indifference Noranda MWh Rate:	\$	25.49
Rate providing he Remaining Customer benefits assumed			Rate providing the Remaining Customer benefits assumed		
by Brubaker:	\$	33.61	by Brubaker:	S	28.44
Exis ing Noranda Rate on \$/MWh basis:	s	37 94	Existing Noranda Rate on \$/MWh basis:	s	37.94
% change to match Brubaker benefits:		-11%	% change to match Brubaker benefits:		-25%

## Schedule SLK-5

Is Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Its Entirety