BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC's)
Request for Competitive Classification Pursuant to Section 392.245.5 RSMo

Case No. IO-2008-0243
Tariff File No. YI-2008-0442

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and for its recommendation states:

- 1. On January 25, 2008, CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, filed its Application for Competitive Classification pursuant to Section 392.245.5, RSMo. In its application, CenturyTel requests that the Commission classify all of its residential services, other than exchange access service, as competitive for the following exchanges: (a) Branson, (b) Exeter, (c) Rockaway Beach, and (d) Wright City. In addition, CenturyTel requested that the Commission classify all of its business services, other than exchange access service, as competitive for the following exchanges: (a) Cabool, (b) Dardenne, (c) Hallsville, (d) Warrenton, (e) Winfield, and (f) Wright City. Also, on January 25, CenturyTel filed revised tariff sheets. On February 8, CenturyTel withdrew its request for competitive classification of its residential services in the Wright City exchange and of its business services in the Cabool exchange.
- 2. The Commission directed the Staff to investigate this matter and to file any objections to and a verified recommendation regarding this matter no later than February 8, 2008.
- 3. In the attached Memorandum labeled Appendix A, (HC and NP versions) the Staff states the competing wireless and facilities-based carriers have at least two residential customers who are located within the Branson, Exeter and Rockaway Beach exchanges, and at least two business customers in the Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield and Wright City

exchanges. In addition, the competing carriers have local numbers available to those customers in those exchanges.

WHEREFORE, the Staff has no objection to and recommends the Commission (1) grant competitive classification for CenturyTel's residential services, other than exchange access service, in the Branson, Exeter, and Rockaway Beach exchanges, and for CenturyTel's business services, other than exchange access service, in the Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield and Wright City exchanges, and (2) order CenturyTel to file amended tariff sheets removing all rate increases and removing competitive classification for residential services in the Wright City exchange and for business services in the Cabool exchange.

Respectfully submitted,

William K. Haas

William K. Haas Deputy General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
william.haas@psc.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 8th day of February 2007.

William K. Haas

MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File

Case No. IO-2008-0243 Tariff Filing: YI-2008-0442

From: Mike Scheperle

Telecommunications Department

<u>/s/ Natelle Dietrich 2-8-08</u>
Utility Operations Division/Date

<u>/s/ William K Haas 2-8-08</u>
General Counsel's Office/Date

Subject: Recommendation on Application of CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC (CenturyTel) 30-day competitive classification filing and corresponding tariff filing.

Date: 2-8-08

Summary:

Staff recommends granting the amended Application of CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC (CenturyTel) requesting competitive classification of its residential services (other than exchange access service) in the Branson, Exeter and Rockaway Beach exchanges. Staff recommends granting the Application of CenturyTel requesting competitive classification of its business services (other than exchange access service) in the Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield and Wright City exchanges. At this time, Staff concludes CenturyTel meets the requirements of Section 392.245.5 RSMo (2007). In response to the Commission's *Order Directing Notice, Establishing Procedural Schedule, and Reserving Hearing Date*: there exists at least one nonaffiliated wireless carrier providing service with customers within each exchange with telephone numbers assigned to that exchange, and one nonaffiliated wireline carrier providing facilities-based basic local telecommunications service with customers within the exchanges with telephone numbers assigned to the exchange.

On February 8, 2008, CenturyTel amended its Application to delete its request for competitive classification for residential services in the Wright City exchange and to delete its request for competitive classification for business services in the Cabool exchange.

Background:

On January 25, 2008, CenturyTel, an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC), filed an application requesting competitive classification for residential services, other than exchange access service, in the Branson, Exeter, Rockaway Beach and Wright City exchanges. Also, CenturyTel requested competitive classification for business services, other than exchange access, in the Cabool, Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield and Wright City exchanges.

On February 8, 2008, CenturyTel amended its Application to delete its request for competitive business services in the Cabool exchange and for residential services in the Wright City exchange.

Listed below are requests by CenturyTel for competitive status:

Application Request for Competitive Status Case No. IO-2008-0243

CenturyTel Exchanges

Gontary For Exchanged	
Residential Exchanges	Business Exchanges
Branson	Cabool (withdrawn)
Exeter	Dardenne
Rockaway Beach	Hallsville
Wright City (withdrawn)	Warrenton
	Winfield
	Wright City

Along with the application, CenturyTel submitted a tariff filing (YI-2008-0442) to classify the exchanges, other than exchange access service, as competitive effective February 24, 2008.

CenturyTel requested that competitive classification be granted pursuant to the thirty day section of Section 392.245.5, RSMo (Supp. 2007). The relevant portion of the statute reads as follows:

- 5. Each telecommunications service offered to business customers, other than exchange access service, of an incumbent local exchange telecommunications company regulated under this section shall be classified as competitive in any exchange in which at least two nonaffiliated entities in addition to the incumbent local exchange company are providing basic local telecommunications service to business customers within the exchange. Each telecommunications service offered to residential customers, other than exchange access service, of an incumbent local exchange telecommunications company regulated under this section shall be classified as competitive in an exchange in which at least two nonaffiliated entities in addition to the incumbent local exchange company are providing basic local telecommunications service to residential customers within the exchange. For purposes of this subsection:
- (1) Commercial mobile service providers as identified in 47 U.S.C. Section 332(d)(1) and 47 C.F.R. Parts 22 or 24 shall be considered as entities providing basic local telecommunications service, provided that only one such nonaffiliated provider shall be considered as providing basic local telecommunications service within an exchange;

- (2) Any entity providing local voice service in whole or in part over telecommunications facilities or other facilities in which it or one of its affiliates have an ownership interest shall be considered as a basic local telecommunications service provider regardless of whether such entity is subject to regulation by the commission. A provider of local voice service that requires the use of a third party, unaffiliated broadband network or dial-up Internet network for the origination of local voice service shall not be considered a basic local telecommunications service provider. For purposes of this subsection only, a "broadband network" is defined as a connection that delivers services at speeds exceeding two hundred kilobits per second in at least one direction;
- (6) Upon request of an incumbent local exchange telecommunications company seeking competitive classification of business service or residential service, or both, the commission shall, within thirty days of the request, determine whether the requisite number of entities are providing basic local telecommunications service to business or residential customers, or both, in an exchange and if so shall approve tariffs designating all such business or residential services other than exchange access service, as competitive within such exchange.

. . .

The commission shall maintain records of regulated providers of local voice service, including those regulated providers who provide local voice service over their own facilities, or through the use of facilities of another provider of local voice service. In reviewing an incumbent local exchange telephone company's request for competitive status in an exchange, the commission shall consider their own records concerning ownership of facilities and shall make all inquiries as are necessary and appropriate from regulated providers of local voice service to determine the extent and presence of regulated local voice providers in an exchange.

CenturyTel's Application

In its application for competitive status on the thirty-day track, CenturyTel named MCC Telephony of Missouri, Inc. (Mediacom); Cebridge Communications, LLC d/b/a Suddenlink Communications (Suddenlink); Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC (Charter); and Socket Telecom, LLC (Socket) as providing local phone service using facilities it owns in part or whole, in the CenturyTel exchanges in direct competition with CenturyTel. Specifically for residential services, CenturyTel identifies Mediacom as providing residential phone service, using facilities it owns in part or whole, in the CenturyTel exchange of Exeter; CenturyTel identifies Suddenlink as providing residential phone service, using facilities it owns in part or whole, in the CenturyTel exchange of Branson and Rockaway Beach; and CenturyTel identifies Socket as providing residential phone service, using facilities it owns in part or whole, in the CenturyTel exchange of Wright City. Specifically for business services, CenturyTel identifies Charter as providing business phone service, using facilities it owns in part or whole, in the CenturyTel exchange of Dardenne; and CenturyTel identifies Socket

as providing business phone service, using facilities it owns in part or whole, in the CenturyTel exchanges of Cabool, Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield, and Wright City.

In further support of its application, CenturyTel stated that it "has numerous non-affiliated wireless providers operating in its exchanges providing local service". In CenturyTel's application, CenturyTel listed the following wireless carriers providing local service to customers in CenturyTel's exchanges: AT&T Wireless (f/k/a Cingular), Verizon, T-Mobile, Alltel, US Cellular and Sprint/Nextel. Exhibits B through J of CenturyTel's application identify wireless carriers providing local service in CenturyTel's competitive request.

Exhibit K of the application contains CenturyTel's illustrative tariff pages for competitive classification. CenturyTel's application for competitive classification states that the application is not a request for any price change; however, Tariff Filing (YI-2008-0442) proposes numerous rate changes as detailed below:

CenturyTel Competitive Classification Case Case No. IO-2008-0243 Proposed Rate changes

Residential Classification

	Current Residential	Proposed Residential	
	One-Party	One-Party	Increase
Exchanges	Rate	Rate	(Decrease)
Branson	\$10.98	\$10.80	(\$0.18)
Exeter	\$9.07	\$8.93	(\$0.14)
Rockaway Beach	\$9.55	\$9.85	\$0.30
Wright City	\$10.03	\$9.87	(\$0.16)

Business Classification

	Current	Proposed	
	Business	Business	
	One-Party	One-Party	Increase
Exchanges	Rate	Rate	(Decrease)
Cabool	\$16.24	\$15.97	(\$0.27)
Dardenne	\$22.19	\$21.82	(\$0.37)
Hallsville	\$16.24	\$15.97	(\$0.27)
Warrenton	\$18.16	\$17.86	(\$0.30)
Winfield	\$16.24	\$15.19	(\$1.05)
Wright City	\$17.20	\$16.92	(\$0.28)

	Current	Proposed	
	Business	Business	
	PBX Trunk	PBX Trunk	Increase
Exchanges	Rate	Rate	(Decrease)
Cabool	\$27.19	\$24.99	(\$2.20)
Dardenne	\$45.20	\$43.07	(\$2.13)
Hallsville	\$27.19	\$24.99	(\$2.20)
Warrenton	\$29.50	\$27.19	(\$2.31)
Winfield	\$27.19	\$24.99	(\$2.20)
Wright City	\$29.08	\$26.76	(\$2.32)

Exchanges	Current Business Key Line Rate (1)	Proposed Business Key Line Rate (1)	Increase (Decrease)
Cabool	\$27.19	\$24.99	(\$2.20)
Dardenne	\$45.20	\$43.07	(\$2.13)
Hallsville	\$27.19	\$24.99	(\$2.20)
Warrenton	\$29.50	\$27.19	(\$2.31)
Winfield	\$27.19	\$24.99	(\$2.20)
Wright City	\$29.08	\$26.76	(\$2.32)

⁽¹⁾ Same rates for Key Line Hunt

Staff Investigation

In its Order Directing Notice, Establishing Procedural Schedule, and Reserving Hearing Date, the Commission wrote:

Accordingly, the Commission will direct its Staff to investigate this matter and to file a verified pleading stating, for each exchange under consideration in this proceeding, whether the wireless and the facilities-based carriers have at least two residential and two business customers whose addresses are located within that exchange. In addition, Staff shall state whether CenturyTel has local numbers available to those customers in the various exchanges.

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) contacted carriers cited by CenturyTel as qualifying the exchanges for competitive status for residential and business services, other than exchange access service. Carriers were asked to provide an affidavit stating whether the carrier has at least two residential and/or business customers with addresses located within the exchanges.

Attachment A are the non-affiliated wireline provider affidavit responses as providing service using facilities it owns in part or whole in the CenturyTel identified exchanges. Suddenlink (a cable provider) has not responded to Staff's information request at this time. However, Staff is recommending approval of CenturyTel's Application for competitive classification for residential

services in the Branson and Rockaway Beach exchanges based on porting information supplied by CenturyTel in its Application as detailed in Exhibit B (Section 2) for the Branson exchange and as detailed in Exhibit G (Section 2) for the Rockaway Beach exchange. Exhibits B and G details numerous residential customers porting numbers to Suddenlink from CenturyTel and CenturyTel affirmed such ports through its verified affidavit attached to the Application. This porting information along with Staff's knowledge that Suddenlink is a cable TV provider offering local voice service using its own or an affiliate's facilities in various Missouri locations indicates CenturyTel meets the 30-day criteria for competitive classification of residential services in the Branson and Rockaway Beach exchanges.

Attachment B includes the numerous wireless provider affidavit responses which affirm those providers as providing service to at least two residential and/or business customers with addresses located in the exchanges. Attachment D and Attachment E are a summary of the wireline and wireless information through 2006 Annual Reports, porting information in CenturyTel's Application and affidavits supplied for each exchange.

Staff also requested information from the Local Exchange Routing Guide, or LERG, from CenturyTel for the proposed exchanges, which CenturyTel provided. The LERG provides a variety of information; however most notably it identifies telephone numbers assigned to specific carriers within an exchange. The LERG pages are attached as Attachment C. Attachment C shows the numerous telephone numbers assigned to each carrier in each exchange for both wireline and wireless carriers. It should be noted that only certificated local exchange carriers can directly obtain numbering resources. Since Suddenlink is not a certificated local exchange carrier, it must obtain numbers from a certificated carrier; thus, the LERG will not show numbers assigned to Suddenlink.

Conclusion:

As a result of Staff's investigation, Staff recommends granting the Application request for competitive classification of its residential services, other than exchange access service, in the Branson, Exeter and Rockaway Beach exchanges. Staff recommends granting the Application request for competitive classification of its business services, other than exchange access service, in the Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield and Wright City exchanges. Staff concludes (See Attachment D) there is an unaffiliated wireline carrier other than the ILEC providing facilities-based service serving at least two residential and/or two business customers whose addresses are located in the exchanges with telephone numbers rated as local to the exchanges. Staff also concludes there are wireless carriers with at least two customers whose addresses are located in the exchanges with telephone numbers rated as local to the exchanges. As noted earlier, CenturyTel amended its Application on February 8, 2008, to delete its request for competitive classification for residential services in the Wright City exchange and to delete its request for competitive classification for business services in the Cabool exchange.

Staff further recommends the Commission order CenturyTel to file amended tariff sheets removing all rate increases and removing competitive classification for Wright City residential and Cabool business (Tariff Filing YI-2008-0442 to be effective February 24, 2008).

☑The Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC assessment.
The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action
on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make
the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.
(No annual report Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Century LLC's Request for Com Classification Pursuant 392.245.5, RSMo.	petitive)))	Case No. Tariff File	IO-2008-0243 YI-2008-0442
	AFFIDAVIT OF	Michael	S. Scheperle	
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss:			
COUNTY OF COLE)			
Michael S. Scheperle, er being of lawful age and a preparation of the accom to the best of his knowle	after being duly swo panying document,	rn, states thand that th	nat he has part	icipated in the are true and correct
Subscribed and affin	med before me this	8 th	day of	February 2008
I am commissioned and my commission	as a notary public w	ithin the C	County of Cole	
		§		CHNIEDERS c - Notary Seal

CARLA K. SCHNIEDERS
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
County of Cole
My Commission Exp. 06/07/2008

Attachment A

Has Been Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Its Entirety

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF ARKANSAS)

) SS

COUNTY OF PULASKI)

I, Lawrence J. Krajci, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am the Staff Manager of State Affairs of Alltel Communications, LLC ("Alltel"); and that the facts set forth below are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Alltel has two or more customers who have addresses within each of the following Missouri telephone exchanges: Branson, Exeter, Rockaway Beach, and Aurora. Alltel does not generally categorize its customers in its customer record system as "residential" or "business" customers and therefore cannot affirm whether the customers are "residential" or "business" customers.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of February, 2008.

My Commission expires:

UTILITY OPERATIONS

Attachment B Page 1

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AFFIDAVIT OF JEFFREY D. SORENSEN

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) ss
COUNTY OF COOK)
Jeffrey D. Sorensen, o	flawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) US Cellular has two or
more residential customers	who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone aer knowledge and belief: Aurora and Branson.
exeminges to the best of missing	of Rilowicago and belief. Harota and Dianson.
	flawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) US Cellular has two or
	have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges lige and belief: Hallsville and Winfield.
An Alla Cane of such that switch than	Pe min ceneri Transchine min (A minero)
For each of the listed exchange	ges above, wireline customers can place local calls to US Cellular
subscribers residing within th	-
	([[[]]
	Jeffrey D. Sorensen
	Regulatory Accounting Supervisor
Subscribed and sworn to befo	ore me this day of February, 2008.
California and Christia M. Con-	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Offici Motor L Notary Public My Commission E	ang Low State of Minois State 06/06/2009 Notary Public
My commission expires	ne 6, 2009
iviy commission expires 10	and of

Attachment B
Page 2

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AFFIDAVIT OF KENNETH A. SCHIFMAN

STATE OF KANSAS)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF JOHNSON)	

Kenneth A. Schifman, of lawful age, on his oath states: that (1) Sprint PCS/Nextel has two or more residential customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Aurora, Branson, Rockaway Beach and Wright City.

Kenneth A. Schifman, of lawful age, on his oath states: that (1) Sprint PCS/Nextel has two or more business customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his knowledge and belief: Cabool, Dardenne, Warrenton and Wright City.

Kenneth A. Schifman

Director, Government Affairs

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th	_ day of February, 2008.
	Rhamie Glade
	Notary Public
My commission expires 9-12-08	

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AFFIDAVIT OF KENNETH F. CHAPMAN

STATE OF GEORGIA)
) ss
COUNTY OF FULTON)

Kenneth F. Chapman, of lawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) AT&T Mobility has two or more non-business customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: Branson, Exeter, Rockaway Beach and Wright City.

Kenneth F. Chapman, of lawful age, on his/her oath states: that (1) AT&T Mobility has two or more business customers who have addresses within the following Missouri telephone exchanges to the best of his/her knowledge and belief: Cabool, Dardenne, Hallsville, Warrenton, Winfield and Wright City.

Kepheth F. Chapman

Tax Manager

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 14 day of February, 2008.

Notary Publi

Notary Public, Details County Georgia My Commission Expires April 9, 2011

My commission expires

Attachment B Page 5

Attachment C

Has Been Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Its Entirety

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. IO-2008-0243 CenturyTel

Exchange	Verification (1)
Branson - Residential	
Wireline Carrier	Suddenlink
	Alltel, Sprint PCS/Nextel, US Cellular,
Wireless	AT&T Mobility
Exeter - Residential	
CLEC	Mediacom
Wireless	Alltel, AT&T Mobility
Rockaway Beach - Residential	
Wireline Carrier	Suddenlink
Wireless	Alltel, Sprint PCS/Nextel, AT&T Mobility
Wright City - Residential	
CLEC	Staff cannot confirm verification
Wireless	Sprint PCS/Nextel, AT&T Mobility
Cabool - Business	
CLEC	Staff cannot confirm verification
Wireless	Sprint PCS/Nextel, AT&T Mobility
Dardenne - Business	
	Socket Telecom, Charter Fiberlink, AT&T
	Communications of the Southwest,
CLEC	Nuvox Communications of Missouri
Wireless	Sprint PCS/Nextel, AT&T Mobility
VVII CICCO	opiniti contextel, Alai mobility
Hallsville - Business	
CLEC	Socket Telecom
Wireless	US Cellular, AT&T Mobility
Warrenton - Business	
CLEC	Socket Telecom
Wireless	Sprint PCS/Nextel, AT&T Mobility
Winfield - Business	
CLEC	Socket Telecom
Wireless	US Cellular, AT&T Mobility
Wright City - Business	
CLEC	Socket Telecom
Wireless	Sprint PCS/Nextel, AT&T Mobility

⁽¹⁾ Verification supplied by wireless carrier and wirleline carrier through 2006 Annual Reports, porting information or affidavits.

Attachment E

Has Been Deemed

Highly Confidential

In Its Entirety