

Missouri Public Service Commission
Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation Inc. Public Comments Report
QS-2006-0001 and QW-2006-0002

Date : 11/3/2005

Public Comment ID.	Utility Type	Company Name	First Name	Last Name	City	State	Public Comments	Office Receiving Comment	Staff person	Resolution
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. J.D.	Althoff	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Sherman	Barr	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. William	Bess	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Jeffrey	Bieri	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/22/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Karen	Dameron	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/22/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Frank	Drummond	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/29/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Kenny	Fischer	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/22/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	David	Fischer	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/22/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Jamie	Harris	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Don	Hofstetter	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Janice	Howard	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Ronald	Humphrey	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/29/05.

Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Roger K.	Kay	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/22/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Gerald	Kirk	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Mike	Lawson	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	John S.	Lehman	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/22/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Louis Eddie	Lister	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Robert	Markham	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Phyllis	Martin	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Randy	Martin	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Donald	Matthews	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Bill	Merritt	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/29/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Herbert L.	Owens	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Don	Parkes	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. J. L.	Parry	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Letter	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. William	Rowles	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
	Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Mr. & Mrs. Wesley C.	Schneider	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.

Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Lisa	Schuster	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Ruth A.	Schutt	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Gary	Simpson	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.
Both	Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Corporation, Inc.	Scott	Williams	California	MO	See Attached	PSC	SLR	Sent generic letter 9/20/05.



Commissioners

JEFF DAVIS
Chairman

CONNIE MURRAY

STEVE GAW

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

LINWARD "LIN" APPLING

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
<http://www.psc.mo.gov>

WESS A. HENDERSON
Executive Director

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG
Director, Utility Services

WARREN T. WOOD
Director, Utility Operations

COLLEEN M. DALE
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE
General Counsel

September 20, 2005

Mr. & Mrs. J.D. Althoff
62123 David Road
California, MO 65018

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Althoff,

SUBJECT: Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company Rate Increase Request

I am sending this letter in response to the comments you submitted regarding the above-referenced subject. Please be advised that the rate increase request you commented upon is simply that, a request by the Company. A rate increase will not occur unless the Commission approves one, and that will not happen unless the Staff of the Commission has submitted a recommendation for approval of an increase to the Commission.

The Staff is currently auditing the Company's books and records to determine if it believes a rate increase is warranted. The Staff is also conducting reviews of the Company's service charges and connection fees, the Company's operation of its utility facilities, the Company's business operations and the Company's tariff provisions to see if any changes regarding those matters are warranted. When the Staff's audit and reviews are completed, the Staff will work with the Company, and the Office of the Public Counsel, in an attempt to reach an agreement regarding the Company's request.

If at least the Staff and the Company reach an agreement regarding the Company's request, proposed tariff revisions, a written agreement and a Staff recommendation for approval of the tariff revisions and the agreement will be submitted to the Commission for its consideration. Depending on whether the Public Counsel joins in the agreement, if one is reached, a notice regarding the results of the agreement may or may not be sent to the Company's customers. If an agreement regarding the Company's request is not reached between at least the Staff and the Company, no rate increase will occur.

Thank you for taking the time to submit your comments regarding the Company's request, and again please note that a rate increase will not occur unless the Commission approves one.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "James M. Russo".

James M. Russo
Assistant Manager – Rates
Water & Sewer Department

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr & Mrs J. D. Althoff

We are totally against such an exorbitant increase for poor to middle income families.

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Sherman & Dana Barr

September 13, 2005

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

William & Durlin Bess

September 13, 2005

RECEIVED
SEP 21 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Bier
Tina Bier

Jeffrey + Tina Bier
30156 Temple Hill Dr
California MO 65018-3120

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 22 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Karen Dameron
62461 Vaughan Drive
California, Missouri 65018

September 21, 2005

Frank Drummond
62217 David Road
California, MO 65018

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water-Sewer Department
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Commission Case No. QS -2006-0001
Commission Case No. QW-2006-0002

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

I live in Temple Terrace subdivision outside of California, MO and am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company, Inc. I hear tell they are proposing another rate increase, filed in July 2005, as follows:

- 1) a 13% increase in water revenues;
- 2) a 36% increase in sewer revenues; and,
- 3) a flat fee of %15/customer/month for 2 years (for debt recovery)

We just had a rate increase last winter that roughly doubled our water and sewer bill. For that reason, I'm strongly opposed to another rate increase. I'm none to keen on the flat fee either; and, am unclear why they can't cover their debt and other costs of business through regular revenues.

Thanks for considering my opinions in this matter,


Frank Drummond

Cc: Office of Public Counsel

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 21 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 21 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kenny Fieder

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald James Harris

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 13 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

How J. Hofstetter
Eleanor Hofstetter

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Janice M Howard
62245 Daver Rd
Calif MD 05018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED

SEP 23 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald Himphey
62147 Davis Rd
California, MO
65018

Teo

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

R E C E I V E D

SEP 21 2005

**UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION**

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Paula L. Kay

Roger K. Kay

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Patricia L Kirk
PATRICIA L KIRK
62443 E. VAUGHN DR
PO BOX 194
CALIFORNIA, MO 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Gerald E. Kirk

GERALD E. KIRK
62443 E. VAUGHAN DR.
PO BOX 194
CALIFORNIA, MO 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mube + Maggie Lawson
62135 DAVID ROAD
Temple TERRACE Subdivision
California, MO 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 21 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

John S. Lehman
I'm on on a fixed income and
Eight three years old.

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2005

September 14, 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: **Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001**
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13% increase to water revenues;
- 2) An approximate 36% increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December of 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108% to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173% to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for a debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I was in business for 13-14 years and no one gave me any help with a price increase or decrease to pay off the debt I had with the business. If I did not put the money away for such debts then that was my problem, not my customers.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter

Sincerely,


Louis E. Lister

62473 Vaughan Dr.
California, MO. 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert and Brenda Markham
62374 Vaughan Drive
California, MO 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co., Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Randy Martin

62159 Janet Blvd.
California, MO 65018

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Martin

September 13, 2005

Office of the Public Counsel
Attn: M. Ruth O'Neill
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

Dear Ms. O'Neill:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald & Darlene Mathews

P.S. I also understand that D&R has given us a deadline which will consist of closure of the lagoon for sewer service by January 1, 2006. There is a proposal for us to pay for the closure of the lagoon. Why should we as paying customers have to do this? We have paid for a service that the Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company has acquired. It seems this service should be rendered by them.

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill Meurt
62376 Vaughan Dr.
California, Mo 65018
573-796-3427

HERBERT L. OWENS, JR.

62216 Janet Blvd.
CALIFORNIA MO 65018
Home: 573.796.3155
Cell: 573-690-3957
Onesimus2@aol.com

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

September 16, 2005

Public Service Commission
ATTN: Water/ Sewer Department
PO Box 360
Jefferson City MO 65102-0360

RE: Commission Case No. QS-2006-000
Commission Case NO: QW-2006-000

To Whom It May Concern;

I am currently a customer of Hickory Hills Water and Sewer Co, INC. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission which was filed in Late July of 2005. Same consisting of the following:

1. An approximate 13 % increase in water revenue
2. An approximate 36% increase in sewer revenues, AND
3. A FLAT Fee of \$ 15.00 per customer, per month for 24 Months {for debt recovery}

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mostly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December of 2004. At that time the rate increase was for approximate 108 % to the water revenues and another approximate rate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenue. As far as my family is concerned, in regards to the fee for debt recovery, I fail to understand why I, as a customer, would or should be responsible for this company's debt.

I will appreciate this very much if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

Thanking you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely;



September 13, 2005

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPER
DIVISIO

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate-increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Don & Ruby Parker
30125 Dan Hill
Cathy, Mo.
65018

pk 1-573-796-4638

RECEIVED

SEP 19 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. J. L. Perry
62421 Vaughan Dr.
California, Mo. 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

William Rowles
Glenith Rowles

P.S. The lagoon smells so bad the last few days, you can hardly stand to drive down 50 Highway. Your air conditioner picks up the smell and into ~~the~~ ^{your} car.

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 21 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mildred L. Schneider
Mildred L. Schneider

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2005

UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Lisa Schuster
30096 Dan Rd
California mo 65018

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ruth Schutt

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 23 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gary Simpson

September 13, 2005

Public Service Commission
Attn: Water/Sewer Dept.
PO Box 360
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
UTILITY OPERATIONS
DIVISION

RE: *Commission Case No.: QS-2006-0001*
Commission Case No.: QW-2006-0002

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a customer of Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Co, Inc. I understand that there is a proposed rate increase presently pending with the Public Service Commission, which was filed late July, 2005. Same consisting of the following:

- 1) an approximate 13 % increase to water revenues;
- 2) an approximate 36 % increase to sewer revenues; and
- 3) a flat fee of \$15.00 per customer, per month for 24 months (for debt recovery).

I am strongly opposed to this requested rate increase. Mainly due to the recent rate increase approved by the Commission in December 2004, at which time there was an approximate increase of 108 % to the water revenues and an approximate increase of 173 % to the sewer revenues. As far as the flat fee for debt recovery, I do not understand what this debt recovery is for, nor do I understand why I, as a customer, would be responsible for the company's debt.

I would appreciate it if you would consider my position during your audit and deny this proposed rate increase.

I thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "S. B. [unclear]", written in a cursive style.