

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Alma Communications Company, d/b/a)
Alma Telephone Company; Chariton Valley)
Telephone Corporation; Chariton Valley)
Telecom Corporation; Choctaw Telephone)
Company; Mid-Missouri Telephone Company,)
a Corporate Division of Otelco, Inc.; and)
MoKan Dial, Inc.,)

Complainants,)

v.)

File No. IC-2011-0385

Halo Wireless, Inc.,)

Respondent.)

BPS Telephone Company, Citizens Telephone)
Company of Higginsville, Mo., Craw-Kan Telephone)
Cooperative, Inc., Ellington Telephone Company,)
Farber Telephone Company, Fidelity Communica-)
tions Services I, Inc., Fidelity Communications)
Services II, Inc., Fidelity Telephone Company,)
Goodman Telephone Company, Granby Telephone)
Company, Grand River Mutual Telephone Corpora-)
tion, Green Hills Telephone Corporation, Green Hills)
Telecommunications Services, Holway Telephone)
Company, Iamo Telephone Company, Kingdom)
Telephone Company, K.L.M. Telephone Company,)
Lathrop Telephone Company, Le-Ru Telephone)
Company, Mark Twain Rural Telephone Company,)
Mark Twain Communications Company, McDonald)
County Telephone Company, Miller Telephone)
Company, New Florence Telephone Company,)
New London Telephone Company, Northeast)
Missouri Rural Telephone Company, Orchard Farm)
Telephone Company, Oregon Farmers Mutual)
Telephone Company, Ozark Telephone Company,)
Peace Valley Telephone Company, Inc., Rock Port)
Telephone Company, Seneca Telephone Company,)
Steelville Telephone Exchange, Inc., and Stoutland)
Telephone Company,)

Complainants,)

v.)	<u>File No. TC-2011-0404</u>
)	
Halo Wireless, Inc.,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Alma Communications Company, d/b/a)	
Alma Telephone Company; Chariton Valley)	
Telephone Corporation; Chariton Valley)	
Telecom Corporation; Choctaw Telephone)	
Company; Mid-Missouri Telephone Company,)	
a Corporate Division of Otelco, Inc.; and)	
MoKan Dial, Inc.,)	
)	
Complainants,)	

v.)	<u>File No. TO-2012-0035</u>
)	
Halo Wireless, Inc., and Southwestern Bell)	
Telephone Company, d/b/a AT&T Missouri,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER INDEFINITELY STAYING FILING DEADLINE

Issue Date: September 13, 2011

Effective Date: September 13, 2011

On September 12, 2011, Staff advised the Commission that a motion is pending before the bankruptcy court that could be dispositive on the question as to whether these matters are subject to that court's automatic stay. Consequently, Staff requests the deadline for filing a legal analysis as to the applicability of the automatic stay be delayed to allow the federal court to render its decision. The Commission finds the request to be reasonable and will grant it.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. The September 12, 2011 deadline for filing a legal analysis of Halo Wireless, Inc.'s suggestions of bankruptcy is indefinitely stayed.

2. The Commission's Staff shall notify the Commission when the bankruptcy court issues its decision on the "Motion of the AT&T Companies to Determine Automatic Stay Inapplicable and for Relief from Automatic Stay."

3. This order shall become effective immediately upon issuance.

BY THE COMMISSION



Steven C. Reed
Secretary

(S E A L)

Harold Stearley, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 13th day of September, 2011.