

Attachment 3.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
DP Issue: Section 3 - UNEs (part 1)			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
AT&T UNE 1	1.1, 1.6	1.7, 1.7.1	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 2b		1.7.5.2(b)	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 2b		1.7, 1.7.1, 1.7.1.1, 1.7.1.2, 1.7.1.3, 1.7.1.4, 1.7.2, 1.7.3, 1.7.4, 1.7.5, 1.7.5.1, 1.7.5.2, 1.7.5.2(a), 1.7.5.3, 1.7.5.4, 4.4.2, 4.4.3, 4.4.3.1	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 2b	1.7.2.7, 1.7.2.7.2, 1.7.2.7.3, 8.5.4, 8.5.5		AT&T's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 2b	1.1, 1.2, 1.7, 1.7.2.7.1, 1.7.2.7.4		AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 1		2.18.6.1, 2.18.6.2	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 1	1.2.6, 1.2.6.1, 1.2.6.2, 1.2.6.3, 1.2.6.4,		CC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 1	Attachment 6 title, language under 1.0, 1.2,		CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 49		2.10, 2.14	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 49	1.0		CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 57		1.4, 3.2, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.5	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 57	1.1		CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 60	1.1, 5.7	1.1, 5.7	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 67	1.1	1.1	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI UNE 2	1.1.2	1.1.2	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment 3.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
DP Issue: Section 3 - UNEs (part 1)			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
MCI UNE 2	1.5	1.5	Neither parties' language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
Navigator UNE 1	Header, 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.11.2	Header, 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.11.2	Navigator's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint UNE 1	1.1	1.1	Neither parties' language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel OE 1a	2.3	2.3	Wiltel's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 1	1.1	1.1	Wiltel's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 1	2.1	2.1	Neither parties' language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 1		2.1.1	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 4	2.1.1.2		AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 4		2.1.1, 2.1.1.1, 2.1.1.2, 2.1.2	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 4	2.1	2.1	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 4	2.1.1	2.1.1	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 4		2.1.1.1, 2.1.1.2	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 1 & SBC MO 1a	see CC UNE 1 above	see CC UNE 1 above	
AT&T Rider 1		Whereas clause	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T Rider 1	1.1, 1.2.1, 2.1, 3.1	1.1, 1.2.1	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI UNE 1	1.1	1.1	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment 3.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
DP Issue: Section 3 - UNEs (part 1)			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
MCI UNE 4	2.2.9	2.2.9	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 4	2.7.8	2.7.8	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T UNE 2a	see AT&T UNE 2 above	see AT&T UNE 2 above	
AT&T UNE 2c	see AT&T UNE 2 above	see AT&T UNE 2 above	
CC UNE 2	1.2, 1.2.6, 1.2.6.1, 1.2.6.2, 1.2.6.4		CC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 2		1.2.7, 1.2.7.1, 1.2.1.1, 1.2.1.2, 1.2.1.3, 1.2.1.4, 1.2.2, 1.2.3, 1.2.4, 1.2.5, 1.2.5.1, 1.2.5.2, 1.2.5.2(a), 1.2.5.3	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 2	1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.2.4, 1.2.5, 1.2.6.3, 1.2.7.1	1.2.1	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 2		1.2.5.2(b)	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI UNE 3	1.1.1	1.1.1	MCI's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI UNE 3	1.1.3, 1.1.4	1.1.3, 1.1.4	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Navigator UNE 3	2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4, 2.7, 2.7.1	2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4	Navigator's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint UNE 3	2.1.4, 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 8.4.1, 8.4.2, 8.4.3, 13.5.3.1, 13.5.4, 14.11.1, 14.11.2	2.1.4, 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 8.4.1, 8.4.2, 8.4.3, 13.5.3.1, 13.5.4, 14.11.1, 14.11.2	Sprint's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint UNE 3	13.5.2.1	13.5.2.1	Sprint's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 2	2.1.2, 2.1.2.1, 2.2, 2.4	2.1.2, 2.1.2.1, 2.2, 2.4	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 2	2.1.3, 2.3, 2.5, 2.5.1	2.1.3, 2.3, 2.5, 2.5.1	Wiltel's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel	2.5.2, 2.5.1, 2.5.2	2.5.2, 2.5.1, 2.5.2	Wiltel's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment 3.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
DP Issue: Section 3 - UNEs (part 1)			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
CC UNE 1d	see CC UNE 1 above	see CC UNE 1 above	
Navigator UNE 2	2.6, 2.6.1, 2.6.2, 2.6.4		Navigator's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Navigator UNE 2	2.6.3		Navigator language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
ATT	<no section # listed>	1.7.5.4	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 3	<none>	1.2.5.2	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC UNE 10	2.6		The CLEC Coalition's language is most consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
CC UNE 10		2.18.8, 2.9	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 10	2.17.1, 2.17.12, 2.17.13	2.17.1, 2.17.2, 2.17.13	Wiltel's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 10	2.17.2	2.17.2	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Wiltel UNE 10	2.17.14, 2.17.6	2.17.14, 2.17.6	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report