Exhibit No.:

Issue: MEEIA Annualization Witness: Sarah L. Kliethermes

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: True-up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2016-0285

Date Testimony Prepared: March 1, 2017

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION AUDITING

# TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

**OF** 

SARAH L. KLIETHERMES

# KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

**CASE NO. ER-2016-0285** 

Jefferson City, Missouri March, 2017

1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	SARAH L. KLIETHERMES		
4	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY		
5	CASE NO. ER-2016-0285		
6	Q. Are you the same Sarah Kliethermes that contributed to Staff's Report on		
7	Class Cost of Service and Rate Design ("CCOS Report"), Staff's Report on Commission		
8	Raised Issues, and filed Rate Design Rebuttal and Surrebuttal?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	Q. What is the purpose of your true-up testimony?		
11	A. The purpose of my testimony is to address the Commission Staff's ("Staff")		
12	true-up energy efficiency adjustment for Kansas City Power & Light Company's ("KCPL")		
13	MEEIA Cycle 2 kWh savings.		
14	Q. What adjustment did Staff make for MEEIA cycle 2 kWh savings?		
15	A. Staff witness Dr. Seoung Joun Won made a true-up energy efficiency		
16	adjustment consistent with paragraph II.10.a.(i) of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and		
17	Agreement Resolving MEEIA Filings ("Cycle 2 Stipulation") approved in Case. No.		
18	EO-2015-0240. Staff's witness Michael Stahlman made an adjustment to true-up billing		
19	determinants consistent with paragraph II.10.b. of the Cycle 2 Stipulation.		
20	Q. What does paragraph II.10.a. of the Cycle 2 Stipulation state?		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kansas City Power & Light Company, P.S.C. MO. No. 7. Original Sheet Nos. 49K and 49L

1	A.	Paragraph II.10.a., concerning <i>kWh usage</i> , provides as follows:
2 3		a. Test period weather normalized kWh usage for each customer class by billing month will be adjusted by <sup>6</sup> :
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		(i) Adding back the monthly kWh energy savings by customer class incurred during the test period from all active MEEIA programs, excluding Home Energy Reports and Income-Eligible Home Energy Reports programs which have a one-year measure life, determined using the same methodology as described in Tariff Sheet 49K and 49L (KCP&L) and in Tariff Sheet 138.4 and 138.5 (GMO) except that calendar month load shape percentages by program by month will be converted to reflect billing month load shape percentages by program by computing a weighted average of the current and succeeding month percentages.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		<sup>6</sup> Step 1. Begin with Weather Normalized kWh per class provided by Company. Step 2. Compute Monthly Savings kWh (MS) per program in the same manner as used for TD calculation. Step 3. Weather Normalized kWh before application of Energy Efficiency (EE) adjustment. Step 4. Cumulative Annual Savings kWh (CAS) per program computed in the same manner as TD calculation as of Rebase Date. Step 5. Monthly Load Shape percentage per program converted to billing month equivalent by using a weighted average calendar month Load Shape percentage based on billing cycle information of the rate case. Step 6. Monthly EE Rebase Adjustment. Step 7. Weather Normalized kWh rebased for EE.
25	Q.	What does paragraph II.10.c. of the Cycle 2 Stipulation state?
26	A.	Paragraph II.10.c., concerning kW demand, provides as follows:
27 28		c. Test period kW demand for each customer class will be adjusted by <sup>7</sup> :
29 30 31 32 33 34 35		(i) Adding back the monthly kW demand savings by customer class incurred during the test period from all active MEEIA programs, excluding Home Energy Reports, Income-Eligible Home Energy Reports and Demand Response Incentive programs, determined using the same methodology as described for kWh savings in Tariff Sheet 49K and 49L (KCP&L) and in Tariff Sheet 138.4 and 138.5 (GMO) and then:
36 37 38 39 40		(ii) Subtracting the cumulative annual kW demand savings from the first month of the test period through the month ending where actual results are available (most likely two months prior to the true-up date) by customer class from all active MEEIA programs, excluding Home Energy Reports, Income-Eligible Home Energy Reports and

Demand Response Incentive programs, determined using the same 1 2 methodology as described for kWh savings in Tariff Sheet 49K and 3 49L (KCP&L) and in Tariff Sheet 138.4 and 138.5 (GMO). <sup>7</sup> Step 1. Begin with kW demand per class provided by Company. Step 4 5 2. Compute Monthly kW demand per program in the same manner as 6 used for TD calculation. Step 3. kW demand before application of 7 Energy Efficiency (EE) adjustment. Step 4. Cumulative Annual kW 8 demand per program computed in the same manner as TD calculation 9 as of Rebase Date. Step 5. Monthly Load Shape percentage per 10 program converted to billing month equivalent by using a weighted average calendar month Load Shape percentage based on billing cycle 11 12 information of the rate case. Step 6. Monthly EE Rebase Adjustment. Step 7. kW demand rebased for EE. 13 14 Q. Did Staff adjust hourly load shapes as specified by paragraph II.10.c. of the 15 Cycle 2 Stipulation? 16 A. No. Staff made no adjustment of hourly load shapes as specified in paragraph 17 II.10.c. According to the Company's response to Staff's data request No. 0328, KCPL does 18 not have hourly load shapes or marginal loss factors for the MEEIA Cycle 2 programs. Due to 19 KCPL's inability to provide the hourly load shapes or marginal loss factors. Staff was unable 20 to make the adjustment specified above. 21 Q. Does that conclude your testimony? 22 A. Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Case No. ER-2016-0285

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light )

Company's Request for Authority to

Implement A General Rate Increase for ) Electric Service )			
AFFIDAVIT OF SARAH L. KLIETHERMES			
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ss.			
COUNTY OF COLE )			
COMES NOW SARAH L. KLIETHERMES, and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony; and			
that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.			
Further the Affiant sayeth not.  Seah C. Hierseller SARAH L. KLIETHERMES			
JURAT			
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and			
for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this day of March, 2017.			
JESSICA LUEBBERT  Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: February 19, 2019 Commission Number: 15633434			