

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
AT&T NA 2	1.1	1.1	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 4	1.2		AT&T's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 4		1.1.0, 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4, 1.1.5	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 4		1.1.4(i), 1.1.4 (ii)	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report, although a specific threshold was not established
AT&T NA 5	1.2		AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 14	4.4.1	4.4.1	MCI's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 14	4.4.4.3.1	4.4.4.3.1	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 14	4.4.4.3.2	4.4.4.3.2	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 9	2.2, 4.4.1, 4.5.1	2.2, 4.4.1, 4.5.1	MCI's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter NIM 1	2.1, 2.2	2.1, 2.2	Charter's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter NIM 1	2.4.1.3 (i), (ii)	2.4.1.3 (i), (ii)	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report, although a specific threshold was not established
Charter NIM 1	2.4.1.4	2.4.1.4	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter NIM 4	3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.5, 3.4.10	3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.5, 3.4.10	Charter's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 10		2.2	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 10	2.4	2.4	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
CC NIA 10	2.8 [Where traffic is exchanged...]		Xspedius' language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 10	2.8 [In addition, each party...]		Xspedius' language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 1	"This Appendix NIM..."	"This Appendix NIM..."	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 1	8.0	8.0	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 1	"CLEC's leasing of..." clause		CC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 1	9.0, 9.1, 9.2		Xspedius' language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 2	1.0, 1.1, "If MSFMP...", "MSFMP may be used...", 1.1, 1.1.1, "If a suitable location...", 1.1.2, 1.2, 1.4	1.0, 1.1, "If Fiber Meet Point...", "Fiber Meet Point shall...", 1.1.2, 1.2, 1.4	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 2	"The Parties may agree..." clauses		Except for compensation, CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 3	1.1	1.1	Charter's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint NIM 1	1.2.5, 3.5.2	1.2.5, 3.5.2	Sprint's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint ITR 5	5.2.5	5.2.5	Sprint's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint ITR 5		5.2.6, 5.2.7	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 7	1.7	1.7	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 14	2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.5	2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.5	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 8(a)	1.2, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.3, 2.1.3	1.2, 1.3	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 4	see above	see above	

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
Charter ITR 2	3.1, 8.1	3.1, 8.1	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter ITR 3	4.2	4.2	Neither party's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter NIM 1 (c)	see above	see above	
CC NIA 9		1.18	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 9	2.2	2.2, 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 9		2.2.3 (i), 2.2.3 (ii)	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report, although a specific threshold was not established
MCI NIM 12(a)	3.2, 3.5, 3.6, 3.9	3.2, 3.5, 3.6, 3.8, 3.9	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 12(a)		3.7 (i), 3.7 (ii)	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report, although a specific threshold was not established
MCI NIM 12(a)	3.11, 3.11.1, 3.11.2	3.11, 3.11.1, 3.11.2	MCI's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 9	1.5, 1.5.1, 1.5.2, 1.5.3, 1.5.4, 1.5.5	1.5	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter NIM 1	see above	see above	
Sprint ITR 6	5.3.1, 5.3.1.1		Sprint's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint ITR 6	5.4.2	5.4.2	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint ITR 3(c)	4.2, 4.3, 5.2.1, 5.4 5.4, 5.4.1	4.2, 4.3, 5.2.1, 5.4 5.4, 5.4.1	Sprint's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint ITR 3(c)		8.3.1.2	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint NIM 5	5.2.5	5.2.5	Sprint's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
Sprint NIM 5		5.2.6, 5.2.7	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 10	see above	see above	
CC NIA 11(b)	2.6	2.6	Each party is responsible on its side of the POI
CC NIA 11(b)	2.6 [Xspedius]		Xspedius' language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 11(b)	2.8 [Xsped] "Where traffic is..."	2.8	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 11(b)	2.8 [Xsped] "In addition, each..."		Xspedius' language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 11(b)	2.11 [Xsped]		Xspedius' language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIA 11(b)	11.0 [Xsped]		SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 12	1.3	1.3	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC OE 5	4.1	4.1	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC ITR 6	"In lieu of the above..."	"At the time that..."	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC ITR 6	"At the time that..."		CC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 11	2.5, 7.12	2.5, 7.12	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 20	10.2, 10.3, 10.8, 10.10, 10.12	10.2, 10.3, 10.8, 10.10, 10.12	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 21	10.7	10.7	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 22	12.2.1, 12.2.2	12.2.1, 12.2.2	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter NIM 3	2.9	2.9	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 17	"Less than 2500", "2500 to..."	"<15001..."	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
Charter ITR 6	5.6.1	5.6.1	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NA 14(c)	see above	see above	
CC NIM 3	see above	see above	
CC NIA 11(a)	see above	see above	
CC NIM 1	see above	see above	
Charter NIM 6	3.3, 3.3.1, 5., 5.1, 5.2, 5.3	3.3, 5.	Charter's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI NIM 13	"lease transport facilities..."	Intentionally omitted	MCI's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC NIM 4	9.3	none	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
MCI SS7 1	1.1, 2 (inclusive), 3 (inclusive), 4 (inclusive), 5, 5.1	1.1, 2, 3, 4, 5	MCI's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Charter ITR 5(b)	5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.4.3, 5.4.7, 5.4.8	5.4.1, 5.4.2, 5.4.3, 5.4.7, 5.4.8	Charter's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T IC 6(e)	8.1, 8.3, 8.3.1	8.1, 8.3, 8.3.1	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NIA 10	1.1, 1.0, 4.0	1.1, 1.0, 4.0	AT&T's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NIA 10		"Bound traffic shall..."	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NIA 10		6.0	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
AT&T NIA 10		6.1	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3	2.1	2.1	CC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3		1.4, 4.6.2	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3	2.1.1 [Xsped], 2.1.2 [Xsped]		Xspedius' language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3		2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.5, 2.2.6, 2.2.7, 8.3.1	SBC's language is not consistent with Arbitrator Report

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3		2.2.3	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report to the extent traffic is terminating to SBC
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3		2.2.4	SBC's language is consistent with Arbitrator Report, although a specific threshold was not established
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3	1.4.1 [Xsped], "Trunk forecasting...", 8.2	"Trunk forecasting...", 8.2	Xspedius' language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
CC (Xspedius) ITR 3	6.5, 10.0, 11.0	6.5, 10.0, 11.0	SBC's language is most consistent with Arbitrator Report
Sprint ITR 3	see above	see above	
Wiltel IC 4	12.1	12.1	SBC's language is most consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
Wiltel ITR 3b	12.1	12.1	Wiltel's language is most consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
Wiltel ITR 3b		(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), 12.2	SBC's language is consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
Wiltel ITR 3b		(ii), (iv)	SBC's language is not consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
AT&T IC 7	<u>9.0 APPLICATION OF FACTORS</u>	9.0 Intentionally Left Blank	
AT&T IC 7	9.0, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3		AT&T's language is consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
AT&T NIA 15	<u>3.4</u>	None	SBC's language is most consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
MCI NIM 15	7.1.1 , 7.1.1.1	7.1.1, 7.1.1.1	MCI's language is not consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
MCI NIM 28b	25	25.1, (i), (iii),	SBC's language is consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
MCI NIM 28b		<u>16</u> (i), (iii), 25.2, 16, 16.1, (ii), (iii)	SBC's language is consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.

Attachment V.B Part 1 Summary Decision Matrix			
CLEC/Group DPL Issue #	CLEC Language	SBC Language	Arbitrator's Report
MCI NIM 28b		(ii), (iv), 16(ii), (iv)	SBC's language is not consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.
AT&T IC 1d	1.1, 1.2, 1.7.1, 1.8.2, 1.9.2.1, 1.9.3.1, 1.11.1, 1.11.6, 1.11.7, 1.12.1.1, 1.12.1.2, 8.5, 2.0, 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.1.1	1.2, (i), (ii), (ii), 1.3, 1.7.1, 1.8.2, 1.9.2, 1.9.3.1, 1.11.1, 1.11.6, 1.11.7, 1.12.1.1, 1.12.1.2, 8.5, 2.2.2, 10, 10.1 (I), (II), (III), (IV), 10.2	SBC's language is most consistent with the Arbitrator's Report.