Exhibit No.:

Issue: FAC Base Factor

Witness: Catherine F. Lucia mg Party: MoPSC Staff

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Corrected
Surrebuttal and

True-up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2018-0145

Date Testimony Prepared: September 10, 2018

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION ENERGY RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

## **CORRECTED**

# SURREBUTTAL AND TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

**OF** 

**CATHERINE F. LUCIA** 

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

**CASE NO. ER-2018-0145** 

Jefferson City, Missouri September 2018

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF				
2	CORRECTED SURREBUTTAL AND				
3	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY				
4	OF				
5	CATHERINE F. LUCIA				
6	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY				
7	CASE NO. ER-2018-0145				
0	MONTED OUT OF A FRONT LINUTES & AND &				
8	MONTROSE STATION - UNITS 2 AND 3				
9	VOLTAGE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS				
10	TRUE-UP BASE FACTOR				

1	CORRECTED SURREBUTTAL AND
2	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	CATHERINE F. LUCIA
5	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
6	CASE NO. ER-2018-0145
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.
8	A. My name is Catherine Lucia and my business address is P.O. Box 360,
9	200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A. I am a Utility Regulatory Auditor IV in the Energy Resources Department with
12	the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission").
13	Q. Are you the same Catherine Lucia who has previously filed testimony in
14	this case?
15	A. Yes, I filed testimony in Staff's Cost of Service ("COS") Report, Staff's
16	Class Cost of Service Report ("CCOS") Report, and Rebuttal Testimony filed in the
17	Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL") and KCPL Greater Missouri Operations
18	Company ("GMO") rate cases designated as Case No. ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146 on
19	June 19, 2018, July 6, 2018, and August 7, 2018, respectively.
20	Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal and True-up Direct testimony?
21	A. I will present Staff's position on the inclusion of fuel costs for the Montrose
22	Station, units 2 and 3, which is addressed in the rebuttal testimony of Office of the Public
23	Counsel witnesses Lena Mantle and John A. Robinett, both filed July 27, 2018. I will present

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Staff's current position for the voltage adjustment factors based on the surrebuttal testimony of Staff witness Alan J. Bax. I will present the true-up of Staff's fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") base factor as of the true-up date of June 30, 2018.

#### **MONTROSE STATION - UNITS 2 AND 3**

- Q. In regard to Lena Mantle's and John A. Robinett's testimonies, as they relate to the inclusion of fuel costs for Montrose Station, units 2 and 3, what is Staff's response?
- A. Staff's position is these units should be included in the fuel model because they are still in service and the expected retirement date of December 31, 2018, is outside of the test year, which ends June 30, 2017.

## **VOLTAGE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS**

- Q. Has Staff's position in regards to the voltage adjustment factors changed from Staff's Direct CCOS?
- A. Yes, based on surrebuttal testimony of Staff witness Alan J. Bax and his review of the line loss study dated June 12, 2018. Staff supports the addition of a fourth voltage adjustment factor, substation to transmission voltage level customers (substation), to the existing three factors; transmission, primary, and secondary.
  - Q. Will these voltage adjustment factors impact the FAC?
- A. Yes, these voltage adjustment factors are a component of the Fuel Adjustment Rate (FAR).
  - Q. Will the change in voltage adjustment factors impact the base factor?
- 21 A. No.

# TRUE-UP BASE FACTOR

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. What is Staff's true-up base factor?
- A. Staff's true-up base factor, as of June 30, 2018, for the KCPL FAC is \$0.01675.
  - Q. Is this the same base factor provided in the CCOS Report?
  - A. No, it has been adjusted based on the inclusion of costs for dust control and freeze protections found in FERC Account 501000, a correction of an amount shown for FERC Account 509000, which reflected Missouri jurisdictional rather than total company, and an updated fuel run which changed the Net System Input ("NSI") and the pass-through SPP transmission cost percentage.
    - Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 12 A. Yes.

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Light Company's Request for to Implement a General Rate	or Authority	)	Case No. ER-2018	-0145					
Electric Service		)		e #					
2									
AFFIDAVIT OF CATHERINE F. LUCIA									
STATE OF MISSOURI	)								
COUNTY OF COLE	) ss. )								
		<u>×</u>	99	2 8					
COMES NOW CATH	IERINE F. LUC	CIA and on	her oath declares	that she is of so	unc				
mind and lawful age; that s	she contributed to	o the forego	ing Corrected Sur	rebuttal and True	-Up				
Direct Testimony and that	the same is true	e and correc	t according to her	best knowledge	and				

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

belief.

CATHERINE F. LUCIA

## **JURAT**

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of September 2018.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: December 12, 2020
Commission Number: 12412070

Notary Public