Exhibit No.:

Issue: Tax Cut and Jobs Act Bill Credits Witness: Bradley D. Lutz

Witness: Bradley D. Lutz
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company

and KCP&L Greater Missouri

Operations Company

Case Nos.: ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

Date Testimony Prepared: July 27, 2018

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NOS.: ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BRADLEY D. LUTZ

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri July 2018

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BRADLEY D. LUTZ

Case Nos. ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.	
2	A:	My name is Bradley D. Lutz. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri	
3		64105.	
4	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?	
5	A:	I am employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or "Company") as	
6		Senior Manager – Regulatory Affairs.	
7	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?	
8	A:	I am testifying on behalf of KCP&L and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	
9		("GMO") (collectively, the "Company").	
10	Q:	Are you the same Bradley D. Lutz who filed Direct Testimony in both ER-2018-0145	
11		and ER-2018-0146?	
12	A:	Yes, I am.	
13	Q:	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?	
14	A:	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address how the Company proposes that the	
15		cost savings impact of the Tax Cut and Jobs Act ("TCJA") passed on December 22, 2017	
16		- for the period between January 1, 2018 (the effective date of TCJA) and the effective	
17		date of rates to be set in this case (sometimes call the "stub period") - would be returned	
18		to customers. This is in response to the direct testimony of MECG witness Brosch who	
19		recommends that such cost savings be reflected in rates by way of a three-year amortization	

- and OPC witness Riley who recommends implementation of the tax credit as a regulatory
 liability. Details about TCJA and its impact on the Company are addressed by Company
- 3 witnesses, Melissa K. Hardesty and Ronald Klote.
- 4 Q: What is the amount of the credit to be returned to customers?
- 5 A: Company witness Ronald Klote speaks to the methods proposed to quantify the tax credit 6 amount once the true-up data is available.
- 7 Q: How does the Company propose to provide the credit to customers?
- A: The Company proposes to utilize a method similar to that used to provide the merger credits to customers, identified in the Report and Order dated May 24, 2018 and the Stipulation and Agreement dated January 12, 2018, both in Case No. EM-2018-0012, the application for approval for merger of Great Plains Energy Incorporated with Westar Energy, Inc.
- 12 Q: Please describe that method?

17

18

Q:

- 13 A: The jurisdictional amount of stub period TCJA savings for KCP&L's Missouri operations
 14 and GMO will be sponsored by Company witness Klote in his true-up direct testimony.
 15 Taking those numbers as a starting point for the respective KCP&L-Missouri and GMO
- jurisdictions, I will now describe how the Company proposes to allocate those amounts

between and within the GMO and KCP&L-Missouri customer classes.

Please describe the class allocation process.

19 A: The Company proposes to allocate the stub period savings amount between the classes
20 based on the retail revenues for the classes as defined by the revenue summaries supporting
21 the final rates approved in these cases. This approach is more reasonable than a sales22 based approach given the more direct relationship between the revenues and the level of
23 income taxes included in cost of service of the Company.

- 1 Q: Once allocated between the classes, what is the process to allocate the stub period
- 2 savings amount to the individual customers within those classes?
- 3 A: The Company proposes to use the following methods for determining the individual
- 4 customer bill credit attributable to the stub period savings:

5 KCPL – Missouri:

Residential: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Small Gen SVC: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Med. Gen SVC: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class Mo Lighting: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Divided equally among the customer class by customer account

Greater Missouri Operations:

13 Residential: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 14 SGS: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 15 LGS: Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class 16 Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class LPS: 17 Lighting: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 18 Thermal: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 19 TOD: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account

20 Q: What time period will be used to establish the data supporting these customer

21 allocations?

12

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A: The Company proposes to use a twelve-month time period, as near as practicable to the time the bill credits are applied to customer bills to support these allocations. This approach will help minimize issues resulting from customer movement and will best ensure a smooth application of the credit. For example, if the Order in these cases is effective at the end of December and the plan is to start issuing credits with the March billing, the Company would likely prepare the data and allocations using the twelve-month period ending January 31.

1 Q: How does the Company plan to apply the credit to customer accounts?

- 2 A: The Company proposes to apply the credit to customer bills within a reasonable time
- 3 following the effective date of rates in these cases. More specifically, within the billing
- 4 process bills are sent to customers in a series of cycles that progress through the month.
- 5 The credit would be applied consistent with these cycles, over the course of the billing
- 6 month, such that at the completion of the cycles, all credits would be distributed.
- 7 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 8 A: Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2018-0145		
In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2018-0146)		
AFFIDAVIT OF BRADLEY D. LUTZ			
STATE OF MISSOURI)			
COUNTY OF JACKSON) ss			
Bradley D. Lutz, being first duly sworn on	his oath, states:		
1. My name is Bradley D. Lutz. I w	ork in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Kansas		
City Power & Light Company as Manager – Regu	latory Affairs.		
2. Attached hereto and made a part h	ereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of		
Kansas City Power & Light Company and KC	CP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company consisting of		
four (4) pages, having been p	prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the		
above-captioned docket.			
3. I have knowledge of the matters s	et forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers		
contained in the attached testimony to the questio	ns therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are		
true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, inf	adly Jack ley D. Lutz		
Subscribed and sworn before me this <u>27th</u> day or	f July 2018.		
Notar	Ath Rublic		
My commission expires: $\frac{4/2u/vo_{2}}{2}$	ANTHONY R WESTENKIRCHNER Notary Public, Notary Seal State of Missouri		

ANTHONY R WESTENKIRCHNER Notary Public, Notary Seal State of Missourl Platte County Commission # 17279952 My Commission Expires April 26, 2021