

Trina R. LeRiche, Esq. (816) 460-2427 TLeRiche@sonnenschein.com 4520 Main Street Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 816.460.2400 816.531.7545 fax www.sonnenschein.com

Chicago Kansas City Los Angeles New York San Francisco St. Louis Washington, D.C. West Palm Beach

February 4, 2003

#### VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Chief Regulatory Law Judge / Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 100 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

FEB 05 2003

Missouri Public Service Commission

## Re: Joint Application of Matrix Telecom, Inc. and International Exchange Communications, Inc. for Approval of the Transfer of Certain Assets and Related Transactions and a Waiver of Applicable Anti-Slamming Regulations – Public Version

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed please find an original and nine (9) copies of the Public Version of the Joint Application of Matrix Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix"), and International Exchange Communications, Inc., for Approval of the Transfer of Certain Assets and Related Transactions and a Waiver of Applicable Anti-Slamming Regulations (the "Application"). The Financial Documents of Matrix, the Buyer, have been removed from the Public Version and are properly noted in accordance with the Commission rules. The Financial Documents are contained in the Non-Public Version being filed simultaneous herewith.

Please acknowledge receipt of this filing by file stamping the extra copy of this transmittal and returning it in the self-addressed, stamped envelope included for this purpose. Should you have any questions or need anything further, please contact me.

I appreciate your help in this matter.

Sincerely,

e. R. Le Niche

Trina R. LeRiche

TRL/lsc Enclosures cc: Office of Public Counsel General Counsel

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

)

J

)

- - ----

-- ---

. . . . . ....

Joint Application of **Matrix Telecom, Inc. and International Exchange Communications, Inc.** for Approval of the Transfer of Certain Assets and Related Transactions and a Waiver of Applicable Anti-Slamming Regulations.

ł

Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

# **PUBLIC DOCUMENT - CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL**

# **INFORMATION HAS BEEN EXCISED**

FEB 0 5 2003

Missouri Public Service Commission

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

Joint Application of Matrix Telecom, Inc. and International Exchange Communications, Inc. for Approval of the Transfer of Certain Assets and Related Transactions and a Waiver of Applicable Anti-Slamming Regulations.

Docket No.

## JOINT APPLICATION OF MATRIX TELECOM, INC. AND INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF THE TRANSFER OF CERTAIN ASSETS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND A WAIVER <u>OF APPLICABLE ANTI-SLAMMING REGULATIONS</u>

Comes now Matrix Telecom, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Matrix" or "Buyer") and International Exchange Communications, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "IECom" or "Seller"), (together the "Applicants"), by their undersigned regulatory counsel and pursuant to Mo. Rev. Stat. § 392.300 and 4-CSR 240-2.060, moves for approval of a proposed transfer of certain assets held by Seller to Buyer and related transactions and a waiver of applicable anti-slamming regulations.

In support of this Application, Applicants state the following:

### I. THE PARTIES.

### A. Matrix Telecom, Inc.

Matrix is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Texas with its principal offices located at 300 N. Meridian, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Matrix is a provider of intrastate interexchange telecommunications services in Missouri. Matrix was granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity by the Commission on December 15, 1991, and its tariff was subsequently approved. Matrix has provided services in Missouri since that time. Matrix is certified as a telecommunications reseller in the 48 contiguous states where required.

21130433\V-1

Matrix also provides interstate and international telecommunications services pursuant to the authorities granted to it by the Federal Communications Commission.

Matrix has no pending actions or final unsatisfied judgments or decisions against it from any state or federal agency or court which involves customer service on rates which action, judgment or decision has occurred within three (3) years of the date of this Application. Further, Matrix has no annual report or assessment fees which are overdue.

#### B. International Exchange Communications, Inc.

IECom is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal offices located at 500 Airport Blvd., Suite 340, Burlingame, CA 94010. IECom is a provider of intrastate interexchange telecommunications services in Missouri. IECom was granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity by the Commission on December 27, 1999, and its tariff was subsequently approved. IECom has provided services in Missouri since that time. IECom holds an array of state and federal regulatory licenses that are necessary to operate its business throughout the United States.

IECom has no pending actions or final unsatisfied judgments or decisions against it from any state or federal agency or court which involves customer service on rates which action, judgment or decision has occurred within three (3) years of the date of this Application. Further, IECom has no annual report or assessment fees which are overdue.

## II. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THE TRANSFER OF CERTAIN ASSETS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND OF A WAIVER OF APPLICABLE ANTI-SLAMMING REGULATIONS.

On December 29, 2000, IECom entered into a Management Services Agreement ("MSA") with Matrix Telecom, Inc., pursuant to which Matrix has been providing telecommunication services to IECom's customer base under IECom's supervision. The MSA reflected, among other things, that IECom and Matrix desired to negotiate and enter into an -3-

Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets they were to manage pursuant to said MSA. On January 4, 2001, IECom filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division. As of the bankruptcy petition date, IECom ceased operations. Since the petition date, IECom has been attempting to liquidate its assets in an orderly fashion in order to maximize the value of those assets. However, Matrix and IECom have been unable to agree upon the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets identified in the MSA. At various times throughout the pendency of IECom's chapter 11 case, representatives of IECom and Matrix have engaged in negotiations to reach a resolution of their disagreements. These settlement negotiations have been conducted at arms' length and in good faith by IECom and Matrix, and have resulted in the Settlement Agreement which is attached as an Exhibit to the Motion For Approval of Settlement Agreement filed with the Bankruptcy Court on October 17, 2002 found in Attachment "A" hereto. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Matrix will pay IECom \$600,000, IECom will transfer to Matrix the assets listed in Exhibits A and B of the MSA, also attached as Exhibits to the Motion and as Attachment "B" hereto, and both Matrix and IECom will waive all claims against each other. Attached hereto as Attachment "C" is a copy of the Bankruptcy Order entered on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December, 2002 approving the Settlement Agreement and providing that the transfer of ownership of the assets to Buyer shall take place upon the receipt of the requisite state and federal regulatory approvals.

The Applicants herein request that the Commission approve the transfer of said assets from Seller to Buyer pursuant to Mo. Rev. Stat. § 392.300 and 4 CSR 240-2.050. Said transfer is in the best interest of the customers being served and will not in any way disrupt service nor cause inconvenience or confusion to the customers of IECom. As one of the assets being transferred is the perpetual right to use IECom's name, logos, trade or service marks, etc., which have been associated with the customer base, and Matrix intends to continue to service these customers using the IECom name, the transfer will in fact be transparent to the customers of IECom. Further, these customers are currently being billed by their LECs for the services being provided by IECom. These billing arrangements will continue after the transfer of this customer base to Matrix. In addition, the customers' rates, terms and conditions of service will not change from those currently in place. Matrix will continue to provide high quality, affordable telecommunications services to these customers in the same manner as it has operated since it obtained its certificate and in the same manner as it has serviced these customers over the last year and a half pursuant to the MSA. However, should the transfer not be approved, it would seem almost certain that these customers would experience a discontinuance in service as IECom no longer has the ability to service these customers and has, in fact, ceased operations.

Matrix states that the transfer of assets will have no impact on the tax revenues of political subdivisions in which any structures, facilities, or the companies involved are located because transfer of assets does not involve "any structures, facilities, or equipment of the companies."

As Matrix proposes to operate this base under the name of IECom, Matrix requests that its Certificate of Authority be modified to include its right to operate under this name. Further, Matrix will make additional filings and tariff admissions, as required, to incorporate appropriate rates, terms and conditions of service into its tariff in order to assure that this base will not experience a change in the rates, terms or conditions of service that currently apply.

The Applicants hereto recognize that a Customer Notification of the transfer is required. Attached hereto as Attachment "D" is a copy of the Notice that will be sent to all customers on,

- 5 -

or as soon as possible after, the Settlement Effective Date, as defined in the attached Settlement Agreement. While the Applicants intend to make every effort to comply with Missouri's antislamming regulations and reconcile said regulations with the Federal Bankruptcy Court Order, a waiver from any applicable anti-slamming regulations that would be violated by this transfer being made pursuant to the Bankruptcy Court Order is requested. Waiver may be necessary to ensure a seamless transition of these customers to Buyer. As stated above, Matrix contemplates that these customers will see no change in rates, terms or conditions of service from those currently in effect and they will continue to be billed in the same manner as they have been being billed for the last year and a half.

Matrix has more than sufficient resources to ensure its successful ongoing operations. Financial Documents of Matrix are being filed separately in the Non-Public version of this Application in a sealed envelope marked in accordance with Commission Rules. The Financial Documents have been removed from the Public Version of this Application as they contain valuable financial information concerning the operation of a company which is privately held, and the release of which would place Buyer and its' parent company at an unfair, competitive disadvantage. A Motion For Protective Order is being filed concurrent with this filing, requesting that the financial information not be made available for public disclosure as the results of operations of a privately-held company (Matrix) are contained therein, and the release thereof would place Matrix at an unfair competitive disadvantage.

Applications for approval of this transaction and a waiver of anti-slamming regulations, where required, will be filed with the FCC and every state in which IECom is required to file for approval. Letters of notification will be sent to all other states in which IECom operates.

- 6 -

IECom and Matrix pledge that they will make every effort to comply with all applicable

statutes and Commission rules and regulations.

#### III. CONTACT INFORMATION.

The Applicants herein provide the following contact information for questions, notices,

pleadings and other communications concerning this Application:

Trina R. LeRiche, Esq. Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 Telephone: (816) 460-2427 Facsimile: (816) 531-7545 email: tleriche@sonnenschein.com

### IV. CONCLUSION.

For the reasons stated herein, the Applicants request expedited approval of the transfer of

assets and related transactions and waiver of anti-slamming regulations as described herein, to

permit the Applicants to consummate this transfer as soon as possible.

Respectfully Submitted,

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL

re R. Le Ricce

Mark P. Johnson, Esq.MO #30740Trina R. LeRiche, Esq.MO #460804520 Main Street, Suite 1100Kansas City, MO 64111Telephone:(816) 460-2400Facsimile:(816) 531-7545email:Mjohnson@sonnenschein.com<br/>TleRiche@sonnenschein.com

ATTORNEYS FOR MATRIX TELECOM, INC. and INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Dated: February 4<sup>th</sup>, 2003.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2003, two true and correct

copies of the above and foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, to:

Office of Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 200 Madison Street, Suite 600 Jefferson City, MO 65102

With one copy being mailed postage prepaid, on the same date to:

Office of General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 Jefferson City, MO 65102

live K. Lehicle

ATTORNEYS FOR MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

### VERIFICATION

## STATE OF OKLAHOMA ) ) SS COUNTY OF GRADY )

I, Dennis E. Smith, hereby declare under penalty of perjury, that I am the President of Matrix Telecom, Inc., ("Buyer"); that I am authorized to make this verification on behalf of Buyer; that I have read the foregoing; and that the facts stated therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Dated this 6 day of November, 2002.



Dennis E. Smith President Matrix Telecom, Inc.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 6 day of November, 2002.

widle Notary Public

My Commission expires:

July 18, 2005

Commission #: 01012005

## ATTACHMENT A

---

# MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY FILING

-

---

------

LEE R. BOGDANOFF (State Bar No. 119542), and MARTIN R. BARASH (State Bar No. 162314), Attorneys with 1 KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP 2 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200 Los Angeles, California 90067-1698 3 (310) 407-4000 Telephone: 4 (310) 407-9090 Facsimile: 5 Bankruptcy Counsel for Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc., et al., Debtors and Debtors In Possession 6 Debtors' Mailing Address: 7 500 Airport Drive, Suite 340 Burlingame, California 94010 8 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION Case Nos. SF 00-33019 DM; SF 01-30027 In re: 11 DM; SF 01-30014 DM; SF 01-30016 DM; PACIFIC GATEWAY EXCHANGE, INC., SF 01-30017 DM; SF 01-30015 DM 12 a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D. No. 94-(Jointly Administered under Case No. SF 3134065); INTERNATIONAL EX-13 00-33019 DM) CHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D. No. 94-3292374); ONYX NETWORKS, INC., a Chapter 11 14 Delaware corporation, f/k/a/ PGExpress 15 [Pleading Applies to All Cases] Inc. (Tax I.D. No. 94-3335904); WORLD PATHWAYS, INC., a Delaware corpora-tion (Tax I.D. No. 94-3282029); WORLDLINK, INC., a Delaware corpora-tion (Tax I.D. No. 94-3286651); and 16 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION 17 **BY INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR** GLOBAL TIME, INC., a Delaware corpo-18 APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT ration (Tax I.D. No. 94-3316865), AGREEMENT WITH MATRIX 19 TELECOM, INC. AND SALE OF ASSETS PURSUANT THERETO; 20 DECLARATION OF DAVID M. Debtors. **DAVIS IN SUPPORT THEREOF** 21 22 No Hearing Required Pursuant To Bankruptcy Local Rule 9014-1(b)(3) 23 24 25 26 27 28

Ø 002

2

Ø 003

#### NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that International Exchange Communications, 3 Inc., a Delaware corporation ("IECom") hereby moves the Court to enter an order, pursu-4 ant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) and other applicable law, approving S the "Settlement Agreement" dated as of August 12, 2002 between IECom and Matrix 6 Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix"), which Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit "1" hereto. 7 Pursuant to the Stipulation, Matrix shall pay IECom \$600,000, IECom shall transfer to 8 Matrix substantially all of its remaining assets, and both Matrix and IECom will waive all 9 claims against each other. This Motion is based upon these moving papers, the accompa-10 nying Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration of David M. Davis 11 ("Davis Declaration"), the Settlement Agreement, the record in these cases, and such 12 other arguments and evidence as maybe presented at or prior to the hearing on the Mo-13 14 tion.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Bankruptcy Local Rule 9014-15 16 1 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California prescribes 17 the procedures to be followed in connection with this Motion, and that any objection to 18 the requested relief, or a request for hearing on the matter, must be filed and served upon counsel for IECom, Klee Tuchin Bogdanoff & Stern LLP, Attn: Martin R. Barash, Esq., 19 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90067-1698, counsel for Ma 20 trix, Kirkland & Ellis, Attn: Bennett L. Spiegel, Esq., 777 South Figueroa Street, Los 21 Angeles, CA 90017, and counsel for IECom's prepetition lenders, O'Melveny and Myers 22 LLP, Atm: Ben Logan, Esq., 400 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, within 23 twenty (20) days of the mailing of this Notice. A request for hearing or objection must L 24 accompanied by any declarations or memoranda of law the party objecting or requesting; 25

1

- 26
- 27 28

•

a hearing wishes to present in support of its position. If there is no timely objection to the
 requested relief or a request for hearing, the Court may enter an order granting the relief
 requested in the Motion without further notice or hearing.

5 DATED: October 17, 2002

MARTIN R. BARASH, an Attorney with KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP Bankruptcy Counsel For Debtors And Debtors In Possession

2

3

4

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

## **BACKGROUND FACTS**

### A. General Background

On December 29, 2000, PGEX filed a voluntary petition for relief under 5 chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code"). On January 3. 6 2001 and January 4, 2001, certain of PGEX's domestic subsidiaries also filed voluntary 7 petitions under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code: IECom, Onyx, World Pathways, Inc. 8 9 ("WPI"), WorldLink, Inc. ("WLI"), and Global Time, Inc. ("GTI"), the latter of which is a subsidiary of IECom (collectively with PGEX, the "Debtors"). Pursuant to Bankruptcy 10 Code sections 1107(a) and 1108, the Debtors have been managing their affairs as debtors 11 12 and debtors in possession.

- 13 Prior to the commencement of these cases, the Debtors and their non-debto affiliates (collectively, the "Company") operated a global telecommunications enterprise, 14 15 offering voice-based telecommunications, Internet and bandwidth services. The 16 Company used and resold telecommunications services on a state-of-the-art network of 17 land-based and undersea cables that connect key metropolitan centers in the United 18 States, Europe, Asia, and the Pacific. The Company (through its various entities) owns 19 (or owned) some of the cable capacity that comprised that network, as well as several 20 land-based switching facilities in California, New York, Texas, Australia, New Zealand, 21 the United Kingdom, Russia, and Germany.
- For over half a year prior to the petition dates, with the assistance of Development Specialists, Inc. ("DSI"), a national turnaround consulting firm, the Debtor and their non-debtor affiliates were engaged in efforts to streamline their businesses, discontinue unprofitable operations, and attempt to market the assets relating to those operations. As of their Petition Dates, the Debtors ceased operations. During these cases, the Debtors have been liquidating their assets in an orderly fashion in order to maximize the value of those assets. The Debtors obtained limited, short-term debtor in

Ø 006

possession financing ("DIP Financing") for this purpose from their prepetition lenders
 ("Lenders").

3

## B. IECom, Matrix, and the Settlement Agreement.

4 The retail business was operated by IECom. Prior to the Petition Date, 5 IECom sold end-user long distance telephone services on a pre-subscribed and call-byб call basis to customers in the United States. Based in Santa Ana, California, IECom 7 specialized in targeting groups that are high-volume consumers of international 8 telecommunications services, including the Filipino, Japanese, Chinese, Vietnamese, Russian, and Korean communities resident in the United States. IECom was a 9 "switchless" reseller of telecommunications services, meaning it obtained long distance 10 services from PGEX and other wholesale carriers. IECom holds (and held) an array of 11 state and federal regulatory licenses that were necessary to operate its business 12 13 throughout the United States.

14 On December 29, 2000, IECom entered into a certain Management 15 Services Agreement ("MSA") with Matrix Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix"), pursuant to which 16 Matrix has been providing telecommunication services to IECom's customer base. The 17 MSA provided, among other things, that: (1) IECom appointed Matrix as the sole and 18 exclusive provider of all services necessary or appropriate for the supervision and 19 management of certain assets of IECom (as defined in the MSA, the "Assets"); (2) 20 Matrix agreed to receive specified compensation for its services; (3) IECom agreed to 21 receive specified royalties for Matrix's use of the Assets; (4) IECom and Matrix agreed 22 to share collected accounts receivable in specified proportions; and (5) IECom and 23 Matrix undertook various responsibilities and made various representations and 24 covenants. The MSA also recited that IECom and Matrix desired to negotiate and enter 25 into an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets pending 26 negotiation of a definitive transaction. A copy of the MSA is attached and incorporated 27 into the Settlement Agreement.

On December 29, 2000, Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc. filed a voluntary 1 petition under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") 2 3 in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Court"). On January 3, 2001, Onyx Networks, Inc., World Pathways, Inc., 4 WORLDLINK, INC., and Global Time, Inc. also filed voluntary petitions under chapter 5 11 in the Bankruptcy Court. Finally, on January 4, 2001, IECom filed a voluntary 6 petition under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. On January 7 9, 2001, the Bankruptcy Court entered in the Debtors' cases its Interim Order (A) (i) 8 Authorizing Post Petition Financing and (ii) Granting Super Administrative Priority 9 Expense Claim Status and (B) Scheduling a Final Hearing, attached to which was a copy 10 of the MSA and a Clarification of the MSA, elaborating upon the proportions of collected 11 12 accounts receivable that IECom and Matrix had agreed to share.

Just prior to IECom's Petition Date, Matrix determined that it would not 13 require the services of virtually all of IECom's employees to fulfill its obligations under 14 the Management Services Agreement. Accordingly, IECom's operations were 15 discontinued and most of its employees were terminated prior to the commencement of 16 this case. The remaining employees were terminated shortly thereafter. Following the 17 commencement of the case for IECom, Matrix and IECom were unable to agree upon the 18 terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets 19 identified in the MSA. Among other things, IECom has insisted that Matrix purchase the 20 21 Assets for 1.5 times IECom's December 2000 revenues, the contemplated price 22 referenced in the MSA. Matrix has asserted in response, among other things, that the price referenced in the MSA did not constitute a binding offer to purchase the Assets at 23 that price. Each of IECom and Matrix asserts that the acts, omissions, and/or 24 misrepresentations of the other are to blame for their inability to agree. 25

Disagreements between Matrix and IECom also have arisen over their obligations under the MSA. IECom asserts that Matrix breached certain of its covenants obligations, and representations under the MSA by failing to pay IECom royalties to

which IECom was entitled and by underreporting the revenues on which the royalties 1 were based. IECom asserts that Matrix owes it approximately \$771,885 in unpaid 2 royalties. Matrix disputes these assertions. Matrix asserts that IECom breached certain 3 of its covenants, obligations, and representations under the MSA and thereby caused 4 Matrix to incur extraordinary expenses that it should not have had to incur and that these 5 expenses offset any royalties otherwise due and entitle Matrix to assert a claim for an 6 7 administrative expense against IECom's bankruptcy estate. Matrix asserts that IECom owes it approximately \$1,060,000 for these expenses. IECom disputes these assertions. 8 Also, as noted above, IECom asserts that Matrix has not negotiated in good faith an Asset 9 10 Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets. Matrix disputes this assertion. 11

12 At various times throughout the pendency of IECom's chapter 11 case, representatives of IECom and Matrix have engaged in negotiations to reach a global 13 14 resolution of their disagreements. These settlement negotiations have been conducted at arms' length and in good faith by IECom and Matrix, and have resulted in the Settlemen: 15 16 Agreement. Without admitting any liability or the accuracy of any claims or allegations. 17 the parties have agreed to settle as expeditiously as possible all disputes among 18 themselves, including all disputes arising out of the facts and allegations recited above, 19 pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. As more specifically set forth 20 therein, Matrix will pay IECom \$600,000, IECom shall transfer to Matrix the Assets, as 21 both Matrix and IECom will waive all claims against each other. The Settlement 22 Agreement is fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of IECom's estate.

All funds generated from the Settlement Agreement (i.e., the \$600,000) be remitted to the Lenders pursuant to the DIP Financing agreements, and in accordant with the properly perfected, first priority lien asserted by the Lenders in all of IECom assets. By execution of the Settlement Agreement by their agent, the Lenders alread have approved of the Settlement Agreement and consented to the relief requested in Motion.

Ø009

1	Although IECom disputes Matrix's contentions regarding liability for over
2	\$1 million in administrative expenses, and although it believes that its claims against
3	Matrix would be meritorious if litigated, IECom would face substantial expense and
4	litigation risk if the Settlement Agreement is not approved. Presently, IECom's only
5	source of funds for such litigation derive from the DIP Financing, and it is not clear
6	whether and to what extent the Lenders would approve funds for such purpose. Further,
7	it is unclear whether the IECom customer base actually can be transferred to another
8	buyer and sold for any amount, if this Settlement Agreement is not approved. In light of
9	these circumstances, the Settlement Agreement is fair and reasonable. Further, the fact
10	that the Settlement Agreement has been approved by the one group of creditors that hold
11	the economic interest in these matters - the Lenders - there can be little question that the
12	relief requested is appropriate under the circumstances.
13	П.
14	LEGAL ARGUMENT
1	
15	A. This Court Should Approve The Stipulation As A Compromise
16	A. This Court Should Approve The Stipulation As A Compromise Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a).
16 17	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of
16 17 18	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a).
16 17 18 19	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that:
16 17 18 19 20	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that: On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor
16 17 18 19 20 21	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that: On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may
16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that: On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that: On motion by the (debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a).
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that: On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a). The Ninth Circuit has long recognized that "[t]he bankruptcy court has
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a). 1. The Applicable Standard. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that: On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a). The Ninth Circuit has long recognized that "[t]he bankruptcy court has great latitude in approving compromise agreements." <u>Woodson v. Fireman's Fund Ins.</u>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li>Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a).</li> <li>1. The Applicable Standard.</li> <li>Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that:</li> <li>On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.</li> <li>Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a).</li> <li>The Ninth Circuit has long recognized that "[t]he bankruptcy court has great latitude in approving compromise agreements." <u>Woodson v. Fireman's Fund Ins.</u></li> <li><u>Co. (In re Woodson)</u>, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988). "The purpose of a compromise</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	<ul> <li>Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a).</li> <li>1. The Applicable Standard.</li> <li>Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that:</li> <li>On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.</li> <li>Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a).</li> <li>The Ninth Circuit has long recognized that "[t]he bankruptcy court has great latitude in approving compromise agreements." <u>Woodson v. Fireman's Fund Ins.</u></li> <li><u>Co. (In re Woodson)</u>, 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988). "The purpose of a compromise agreement is to allow the [debtor in possession] and the creditors to avoid the expenses</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	<ul> <li>Or Settlement Of Controversy Pursuant To Federal Rule Of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a).</li> <li>1. The Applicable Standard.</li> <li>Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) provides that:</li> <li>On motion by the [debtor in possession] and after a hearing on notice to creditors, the United States trustee, the debtor and indenture trustees as provided in Rule 2002 and to such other entities as the court may designate, the court may approve a compromise or settlement.</li> <li>Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019(a).</li> <li>The Ninth Circuit has long recognized that "[t]he bankruptcy court has great latitude in approving compromise agreements." Woodson v. Fireman's Fund Ins.</li> <li>Co. (In re Woodson), 839 F.2d 610, 620 (9th Cir. 1988). "The purpose of a compromise</li> </ul>

2

3

4

5

Kane (In re A & C Properties), 784 F.2d 1377, 1380-81 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 854 (1986). Accordingly, in approving a settlement agreement, the Court need conduct neither an exhaustive investigation into the validity, nor a mini-trial on the merits, of the claims sought to be compromised. <u>United States v. Alaska National Bank (In re Walsh</u> <u>Constr., Inc.)</u>, 669 F.2d 1325, 1328 (9th Cir. 1982). Rather, it is sufficient that the Court

6 || find that the settlement was negotiated in good faith and is reasonable, fair, and equitable.
7 || In re A & C Properties, 784 F.2d at 1381.

8 The Ninth Circuit has identified the following factors for consideration in 9 determining whether a proposed settlement agreement is reasonable, fair, and equitable: 10 (a) The probability of success in the litigation; (b) the difficulties, if any, to be 11 encountered in the matter of collection; (c) the complexity of the litigation involved, and 12 the expense, inconvenience and delay necessarily attending it; (d) the paramount interest 13 of the creditors and a proper deference to their reasonable views in the premises. Im re A 14 & C Properties, 784 F.2d at 1381.

15 Consideration of these factors does not require the Court to decide the 16 questions of law and fact raised in the controversies sought to be settled, or to determine. 17 whether the settlement presented is the best one that could possibly have been achieved. 18 Rather, the Court need only canvass the issues to determine whether the settlement falls "below the lowest point in the zone of reasonableness." Newman v. Stein, 464 F.2d 685, 19 698 (2d Cir.) (emphasis added), cert. denied sub nom. Benson v. Newman, 409 U.S. 102 20 21 (1972); see also Anaconda-Ericsson Inc. v. Hessen (In re Teltronics Services, Inc.), 762. F.2d 185, 189 (2d Cir. 1985); Cosoff v. Rodman (In re W.T. Grant Co.), 699 F.2d 599, 22 23 608 (2d Cir.), cert. denied, 464 U.S. 822 (1983). Finally, although the Court should give 24 deference to the reasonable views of creditors, "objections do not rule. It is well 25 established that compromises are favored in bankruptcy." In re Lee Way Holding Co., 120 B.R. 881, 891 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1990). 26

•

Ø 011

,

Í	
1	2. The Court Should Approve The Settlement
2	Agreement Because It Is Fair, Reasonable, And In The Best Interests Of The Debtors And The
3	Estates.
4	As the facts set forth above and in the accompanying Davis Declaration
5	amply demonstrate, the Settlement Agreement is reasonable, fair, and in the best interests
6	of IECom and its economic stakeholders. Despite IECom's belief that it is entitled to
7	\$771,885 in unpaid royalties and additional amounts in compensation for the Assets (i.e.,
8	principally the customer base), IECom faces real expense and real risk in pursuing these
9	causes of action rather than entering into the Settlement Agreement. As noted above,
10	Matrix asserts over \$1 million in administrative expenses against IECom which, if
11	sustained, would substantially offset any judgment that IECom might realize against
12	Matrix. IECom's only source of funds for such litigation would derive from the DIP
13	Financing, over which the Lenders have complete budgetary discretion - the very same
14	Lenders who would be exclusively entitled to the proceeds of such litigation, on account
15	of the DIP Financing agreements and their prepetition liens. As noted by their execution
16	of the Settlement Agreement, the Lenders have approved of the Settlement Agreement.
17	As the creditors with the economic interest in the matter, their approval confirms that
- 18	approval of the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and sensible.
19	III.
20	CONCLUSION
21	WHEREFORE, for the reasons and based on the authorities presented
22	above, IECOM respectfully requests that this Court enter an order pursuant to Federal
23	Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019(a) approving the Settlement Agreement attached
24	hereto as Exhibit "1".
25	More Rex
26	DATED: October 17, 2002
27	MARTIN R. BARASH, an Attorney with KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP
28	Bankruptcy Counsel For Debtors And Debtors In Possession
. <b>.</b> .	
i	
	Q

Ø012

#### I, DAVID M. DAVIS., declare as follows:

I am over 18 years of age. If called as a witness in this case, I could
 and would competently testify from my own personal knowledge regarding the matters
 set forth in this Declaration, except as otherwise may be stated.

2. On December 29, 2000, Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc., a Delaware 5 corporation ("PGEX") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of 6 the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code"). On January 3 and 4, 2001, certain of 7 PGEX's domestic subsidiaries also filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the Bank-8 ruptcy Code: International Exchange Communications, Inc., a Delaware corporation 9 ("IECom") (January 4), Onyx Networks, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Onyx"), World 10 Pathways, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("WPI"), WorldLink, Inc., a Delaware corpora-11 tion ("WLI"), and Global Time, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("GTI"), the latter of which 12 is a subsidiary of IECom (collectively with PGEX, the "Debtors"). 13

I am the Chief Financial Officer of PGEx and President of Onyx, 14 3. IECom, WPI, WLI, and GTI. I am the sole officer of each of the Debtors and the indi-15 vidual designated by the Court as the Responsible Person for the Debtors as debtors in 16 17 possession. I have served as an officer of PGEX since August, 2000, and became an of-18 ficer of the other Debtors shortly before the commencement of their cases. As a result o 19 my involvement with the Debtors, I am familiar with the nature and scope of the Debtor operations and financial affairs, the Debtors' books and records, their various assets, and 20 21 their chapter 11 efforts.

4. For over half a year prior to the petition dates, with the assistance
Development Specialists, Inc. ("DSI"), a national turnaround consulting firm, the Debt
and their non-debtor affiliates were engaged in efforts to streamline their businesses, d
continue unprofitable operations, and attempt to market the assets relating to those options. As of their Petition Dates, the Debtors ceased operations. During these cases, t
Debtors have been liquidating their assets in an orderly fashion in order to maximize t
value of those assets. The Debtors obtained limited, short-term debtor in possession f

Ø013

1 || nancing ("DIP Financing") for this purpose from their prepetition lenders ("Lenders").

2 5. Prior to the commencement of these cases, the Debtors and their non-debtor affiliates (collectively, the "Company") operated a global telecommunications 3 enterprise, offering voice-based telecommunications, Internet and bandwidth services. 4 5 The Company used and resold telecommunications services on a state-of-the-art network 6 of land-based and undersea cables that connect key metropolitan centers in the United 7 States, Europe, Asia, and the Pacific. The Company (through its various entities) owns 8 (or owned) some of the cable capacity that comprised that network, as well as several 9 land-based switching facilities in California, New York, Texas, Australia, New Zealand, 10 the United Kingdom, Russia, and Germany.

6. IECom was the Company's retail business. Prior to the Petition 11 Date, IECom sold end-user long distance telephone services on a pre-subscribed and call-12 by-call basis to customers in the United States. Based in Santa Ana, California, IECom 13 14 specialized in targeting groups that are high-volume consumers of international 15 telecommunications services, including the Filipino, Japanese, Chinese, Vietnamese, 16 Russian, and Korean communities resident in the United States. IECom was a "switchless" reseller of telecommunications services, meaning it obtained long distance 17 18 services from PGEX and other wholesale carriers. IECom holds (or held) an array of 19 state and federal regulatory licenses that were necessary to operate its business 20 throughout the United States.

21 7. On December 29, 2000, IECom entered into a certain Mianagemen-22 Services Agreement ("MSA") with Matrix Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix"), pursuant to which 23 Matrix has been providing telecommunication services to IECom's customer base. The 24 MSA provided, among other things, that: (1) IECom appointed Matrix as the sole and 25 exclusive provider of all services necessary or appropriate for the supervision and management of certain assets of IECom (as defined in the MSA, the "Assets"); (2) 26 27 Matrix agreed to receive specified compensation for its services; (3) IECom agreed to 28 receive specified royalties for Matrix's use of the Assets; (4) IECom and Matrix agree

@014

to share collected accounts receivable in specified proportions; and (5) IECom and
Matrix undertook various responsibilities and made various representations and
covenants. The MSA also recited that IECom and Matrix desired to negotiate and enter
into an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets pending
negotiation of a definitive transaction. A copy of the MSA is attached and incorporated
into the "Settlement Agreement," which is annexed hereto as Exhibit 1 and discussed
below.

Just prior to IECom's Petition Date, Matrix determined that it would 8. 8 9 not require the services of virtually all of IECom's employees to fulfill its obligations 10 under the Management Services Agreement. Accordingly, IECom's operations were 11 discontinued and most of its employees were terminated prior to the commencement of 12 this case. The remaining employees were terminated shortly thereafter. Following the commencement of the case for IECom, Matrix and IECom were unable to agree upon the 13 terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets 14 15 identified in the MSA. Among other things, IECom has insisted that Matrix purchase the 16 Assets for 1.5 times IECom's December 2000 revenues, the contemplated price referenced in the MSA. Matrix asserted in response, among other things, that the price 17 18 referenced in the MSA did not constitute a binding offer to purchase the Assets at that price. 19

9. 20Disagreements between Matrix and IECom also arose over their obligations under the MSA. IECom has asserted that Matrix breached certain of its 21 22 covenants, obligations, and representations under the MSA by failing to pay IECom 23 royalties to which IECom was entitled and by underreporting the revenues on which if royalties were based. IECom has asserted that Matrix owes it approximately \$771,88: 24 25 unpaid royalties. Matrix has disputed these assertions, arguing that IECom breached 26 certain of its covenants, obligations, and representations under the MSA and thereby 27 caused Matrix to incur extraordinary expenses (over \$1 million), which Matrix assest 28 is entitled to recover as an administrative expense against IECom's bankruptcy estat.

25

26

27

28

1 IECom disputes these assertions, and further asserts that Matrix has not negotiated in
 2 good faith an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets.

10. At various times throughout the pendency of IECom's chapter 11 3 case, representatives of Matrix and IECom (including myself and counsel under my 4 5 direction) have engaged in negotiations to reach a global resolution of their disagreements. At all times these settlement negotiations have been conducted at arms' 6 length and in good faith by IECom, which has sought exclusively to maximize the 7 recovery for its estate. Based upon my observations of Matrix's representatives, it 8 likewise appears to me that Matrix sought to maximize the recovery for Matrix. Withou 9 admitting any liability or the accuracy of any claims or allegations, the parties have 10 agreed to settle as expeditiously as possible all disputes among themselves pursuant to 11 12 the attached Settlement Agreement. As more specifically set forth therein, Matrix will 13 pay IECom \$600,000, IECom shall transfer to Matrix the Assets, and both Matrix and IECom will waive all claims against each other. 14

15 It is my belief, based upon prior dealings with Matrix and my 11. · 16 experience in these cases generally, that IECom would face substantial expense if the Settlement Agreement is not approved, as well as litigation risk. Presently, IECom's or 17 source of funds for such litigation derive from the DIP Financing. I do not believe that 18 the Lenders would approve funds for such purpose. Further, it is unclear whether the 19 IECom customer base actually could be transferred to another buyer and sold for any 20 amount, if this Settlement Agreement is not approved. Based upon all of the foregoing 21 22 have determined, in the exercise of by business judgment on behalf of IECom, that the 23 Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of IECom's estate.

> I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 17th day of October, 2001, at Burlingame, California.

DAVID M. DAVIS

#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement dated August 12, 2002 (the "Settlement Agreement") is entered into by and among Matrix Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix") and International Exchange Communications, Inc. ("IECom") (together, Matrix and IECom will be referred to as the "Settling Parties"). With the intent of achieving a final resolution of the disputes among them that arise out of or are in any way related to any of the matters set forth in the following Recitals, and with the intent of being legally bound, the Settling Parties hereby represent and agree as follows.

#### RECITALS

A. On or about December 28, 2000, Matrix and IECom signed a Management Services Agreement that stated it was "made and entered into as of January 5, 2001" (the "MSA"), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full. The MSA provided, among other things, that: (1) IECom appointed Matrix as the sole and exclusive provider of all services necessary or appropriate for the supervision and management of certain assets of IECom (as defined in the MSA, the "Assets"); (2) Matrix agreed to receive specified compensation for its services; (3) IECom agreed to receive specified royalties for Matrix's use of the Assets; (4) IECom and Matrix agreed to share collected accounts receivable in specified proportions; and (5) IECom and Matrix undertook various responsibilities and made various representations and covenants. The MSA also recited that IECom and Matrix would buy the Assets.

B. On December 29, 2000, Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc. filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the

Exhibit 1 Page 14

"Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Court"). On January 3, 2001, Onyx Networks, Inc., World Pathways, Inc., WORLDLINK, INC., and Global Time, Inc. filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. On January 4, 2001, IECom filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. Together, these six entities will be referred to as the "Debtors". For procedural purposes, the Bankruptcy Court is jointly administering the Debtors' cases under case number SF 00-33019 DM.

C. On January 9, 2001, the Bankruptcy Court entered in the Debtors' cases its Interim Order (A) (i) Authorizing Post Petition Financing and (ii) Granting Super Administrative Priority Expense Claim Status and (B) Scheduling a Final Hearing, to which were attached a copy of the MSA and a Clarification of the MSA that elaborated upon the proportions of collected accounts receivable that IECom and Matrix had agreed to share.

D. Matrix and IECom were unable to agree upon the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets. Each of the Settling Parties asserts that the acts, omissions, and/or misrepresentations of the other are to blame for their inability to agree.

E. Disagreements between Matrix and IECom have arisen over their obligations under the MSA. (a) IECom asserts that Matrix breached certain of its covenants, obligations, and representations under the MSA by failing to pay IECom royalties to which IECom was entitled and by underreporting the revenues on which the royalties were based. IECom asserts that Matrix owes it approximately \$771,885 in unpaid royalties. Matrix disputes these assertions. (b) Matrix asserts that IECom breached certain of its covenants, obligations, and representations of its covenants, obligations, and representations and representations.

· 2

expenses that it should not have had to incur and that these expenses offset any royalties otherwise due and entitle Matrix to assert a claim for an administrative expense against IECom's bankruptcy estate. Matrix asserts that IECom owes it approximately \$1,060,000 for these expenses. IECom disputes these assertions. (c) IECom asserts that Matrix has not negotiated in good faith an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets. Matrix disputes this assertion.

F. Representatives of the Settling Parties have engaged in negotiations to reach a global resolution of their disagreements. These settlement negotiations have been conducted at arms' length and in good faith by the Settling Parties and have resulted in this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, without admitting any liability or the accuracy of any claims or allegations, the Settling Parties wish to settle as expeditiously as possible all disputes among themselves, including all disputes arising out of the facts and allegations recited above, as follows.

## SETTLEMENT TERMS

In light of the foregoing, and in consideration of the promises and releases contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Settling Parties agree as follows:

1. The Settling Parties acknowledge that this Settlement Agreement is subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, and it is agreed that IECom shall, at its own expense, seek to obtain approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Bankruptcy Court as soon as possible pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 on due and appropriate notice to creditors and other parties in interest in IECom's chapter 11 case; provided, however, that Matrix

3

Exhibit 1 Page 16

Ø 019

shall bear the burden (and any cost) of providing the evidence uniquely within its control that is necessary to obtain the Bankruptcy Court's approval.

2. This Settlement Agreement shall be effective on the first business day that an order of the Bankruptcy Court approving this Settlement Agreement ("Settlement and Sale Order") in a form reasonably acceptable to the Settling Parties becomes final, unappealable, and unstayed (the "Settlement Effective Date"); provided, however, that Matrix may, in its sole discretion, waive the requirement that the order be final and unappealable, and cause the Settlement Effective Date to occur following entry of the Settlement and Sale Order, by delivering a written notice to this effect to IECom, in which case the Settlement Effective Date shall be the date on which such notice is delivered.

3. The Settlement and Sale Order must (A) provide for the sale of the Assets to Matrix (i) free and clear of all liens, claims, encumbrances, and interests of those lienholders identified in the Bankruptcy Court's "Order Granting Omnibus Motion to Establish Procedures for the Expedited Sale of Assets and Authority to Sell Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances" (collectively, the "Lienholders") entered in the Debtors' cases on March 12, 2001 and (ii) without any other representations, warranties, or conditions, (B) require the transfer of the Assets and the payment of the \$600,000 to occur without delay, and (C) include a finding that Matrix is a good-faith purchaser of the Assets as defined in section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code, Provided the Settlement and Sale Order is entered, effective and unstayed, IECom will execute and deliver to Matrix any other documents that Matrix reasonably needs to effectuate its acquisition of the Assets.

4. On the Settlement Effective Date, Matrix will pay or cause to be paid to IECom \$600,000 by cashier's check or wire transfer.

5. Neither IECom nor its secured lenders (as signified by their approval and agreement at the end of this Settlement Agreement) will request that the delivery of the Assets to Matrix be subject to an overbid and will oppose any request for any overbid.

6. The Settling Parties acknowledge that certain regulatory filings will need to be made to effectuate Matrix's acquisition of the Assets, and IECom agrees to grant Matrix reasonable access at reasonable times upon reasonable notice to documents or other information that Matrix needs for these filings or for other reasons to effectuate its acquisition of the Assets.

7. Subject to Paragraph 8 hereof, on the later of the Settlement Effective Date and the date Matrix pays IECom \$600,000 as required in paragraph 4 above, except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, IECom and each of its past and present predecessors, successors, and assigns (jointly and severally, the "IECom Parties"), for and in consideration of this Settlement Agreement, release and absolutely and forever discharge Matrix and each of its past and present predecessors, successors, and assigns (jointly and severally, the "Matrix Parties") and their officers, directors, employees, and attorneys of and from any and all demands, promises, agreements, losses, injuries, claims, damages, debts, liabilities, accounts, reckonings, obligations, costs, expenses, liens, actions, and causes of action arising out of or in any way related to any of the matters set forth in the Recitals of this Settlement Agreement.

8. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement:

(A) any and all claims, causes of action or other rights of IECom against Matrix that arise under the MSA as a result of claims, causes of action or other rights asserted by third parties against IECom that become "Allowed General Administrative Claims" under the proposed Joint

Exhibit 1

@022

Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation dated July 31, 2002 ("Plan"), or that otherwise become allowed administrative expenses pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b) are neither waived nor released under this Agreement;

(B) Matrix hereby represents and warrants to the best of its knowledge that all liabilities incurred by Matrix in connection with, arising out of, or relating to its performance or non-performance of rights, duties, and/or responsibilities under the MSA, on its own behalf or as agent for IECom, have been paid and/or fully satisfied; and

(C)Matrix agrees to, and hereby does, fully indemnify, defend and save and hold IECom harmless at all times in the event the IECom shall at any time, or from time to time suffer any damage, obligation, liability, loss, cost, expense, claim, settlement (including all reasonable attorneys' fees) that becomes an "Allowed General Administrative Claim" under the proposed Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation dated July 31, 2002, that otherwise becomes an allowed administrative expense pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b), or that would gualify as an allowed administrative expense if the procedural requirements of section 503(b) were satisfied, in connection with the assertion of a claim, cause of action or other right by a third party, arising out of, resulting from or in connection with the performance or nonperformance by Matrix of any rights, duties, and/or responsibilities under the MSA, on its own behalf or as agent for IECom. Whenever IECom is notified that a party asserts a claim against ECom as to which Matrix has indemnified ECom under this paragraph. ECom shall promptly notify Matrix of the claim and, when known, the facts constituting the basis for such claim, provided that failure of IECom to provide Matrix with such notice shall not excuse or affect Matrix's

indemnification obligations hereunder, except to the extent that the failure to provide such notice shall actually prejudice Matrix. In the event Matrix shall become obligated to IECom pursuant to this paragraph, or in the event that any suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding is begun, made or instituted as a result of which Matrix may become obligated to IECom hereunder. Matrix shall have the right to defend, contest or otherwise protect against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one or more counsel of its choice reasonably acceptable to IECom. If Matrix so elects to defend or contest, IECom shall have the right, at its expense, to participate in such defense, but such defense shall, at all times, be conducted by and under the control of Matrix and its counsel. IECom and its successors under the Plan agree to reasonably cooperate and assist Matrix in defending against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding.

(D) IECom hereby represents and warrants that to the best of his actual knowledge, as of the date he executes this Settlement Agreement, David M. Davis, President and Estate Representative for IECOM, has no knowledge of any claims, causes of action or other rights of IECom against Matrix that have arisen under the MSA as a result of claims, causes of action or other rights against IECom that are or may become allowed administrative expenses pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b). This representation and warranty is made by IECom; Matrix shall have no recourse against Mr. Davis in connection with such representation and warranty.

(E). Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall affect IECom's claims or rights against any other entities not a party to this Settlement

Agreement or covered by this release, and nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall affect any other person's or entity's rights or claims against any other person or entity. The rights and claims released pursuant to this Settlement Agreement are limited to the rights and claims owned by the party releasing such rights or claims.

9. On the later of the Settlement Effective Date and the date Matrix pays IECom \$600,000 as required in paragraph 4 above, the Matrix Parties, for and in consideration of this Settlement Agreement, release and absolutely and forever discharge the IECom Parties and their officers, directors, trustees, employees, and attorneys of and from any and all demands, promises, agreements, losses, injuries, claims, damages, debts, liabilities, accounts, reckonings, obligations, costs, expenses, liens, actions, and causes of action arising out of or in any way related to any of the matters set forth in the Recitals of this Settlement Agreement.

10. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall affect Matrix's claims against any other entities not a party to this Settlement Agreement.

11. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement::

(A) Settling Parties intend this Settlement Agreement to be effective on the Settlement Effective Date as a full and final accord and satisfaction and general release of all claims, debts, damages, liabilities, demands, obligations, costs, expenses, disputes, actions, and causes of action, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, that the IECom Parties may have against the Matrix Parties and that the Matrix Parties may have against the IECom Parties, by reason of acts, circumstances, or transactions arising out of or in any way related to any of the matters set

forth in the Recitals of this Settlement Agreement, occurring before the Bankruptcy Court's order approving this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of the rights and obligations of the Settling Parties as expressly set forth in or reserved under this Settlement Agreement;

(B) In furtherance of this intention, on the Settlement Effective Date the Settling Parties waive the benefit of the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

(C) On the Settlement Effective Date, the Settling Parties expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights or benefits they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by, the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code to the fullest extent that they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the subject matter of the release set forth in this Agreement.

(D) In connection with such waiver and relinquishment, the Settling Parties hereby acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover claims and facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to exist with respect to the subject matter of or any part to the releases set forth in this Agreement, but that it is nonetheless the intention of the Settling Parties to effectuate such releases hereunder.

12. The Settling Parties intend this Settlement Agreement to be binding upon them regardless of any claims of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment of fact, mistake of law or fact, duress, or any other circumstance whatsoever in connection with any matter dealt with in this Settlement Agreement or the

Ø 026

negotiation of this Settlement Agreement. In entering into this Settlement Agreement, all Settling Parties recognize that no facts or representations are ever absolutely certain. Accordingly, the Settling Parties assume the risk of any misrepresentation, concealment, or mistake; and if any of the Settling Parties should subsequently discover that any facts relied upon by it or them in entering into this Settlement Agreement were or are untrue, or that any fact was conceated from it or them, or that an understanding of the facts or of the law was incorrect, that Settling Party or those Settling Parties shall not be entitled to set aside this Settlement Agreement by reason thereof. The finality of this Settlement Agreement is a material factor inducing the Settling Parties to enter into this Settlement Agreement.

13. The Settling Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees in all matters that this Settlement Agreement resolves and with respect to this Settlement Agreement.

14. If the Bankruptcy Court does not approve this Settlement Agreement, the Settling Parties will have the same rights against each other that they had upon the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

15. While the Settling Parties are seeking to obtain approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Bankruptcy Court, the Settling Parties will not sell, transfer, assign, release, or withdraw their claims against each other without the consent of the other Settling Parties or unless any purchaser, assignee, or other transferee of any claim expressly assumes all obligations under the Settlement Agreement of the Settling Party that is selling, assigning, or transferring such claim.

16. If the Bankruptcy Court does not approve this Settlement Agreement, the Settling Parties agree that there shall not be admissible into evidence in, used for any purpose in, have any bearing on, or be deemed a

waiver of the attorney-client privilege in, any proceeding between any of the Settling Parties or in any proceeding involving the matters that are the subject of this Settlement Agreement (a) the material terms of this Settlement Agreement, (b) the details of the negotiations on which this Settlement Agreement was based, (c) any declarations or arguments made on behalf of IECom andfiled with the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the approval of this Agreement, and (d) any declarations arguments made on behalf of Matrix and filed with the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the approval of this Agreement.

17. All obligations undertaken in this Settlement Agreement by the Settling Parties shall be binding on their respective successors, transferees, and assigns.

18. Each of the Settling Parties warrants and represents to the other Settling Parties as of the date of this Settlement Agreement and as of the Settlement Effective Date that it has not assigned, encumbered, hypothecated, or transferred, or purported to assign, encumber, hypothecate, or transfer, to any other person or entity in any manner, including by way of subrogation, any claim, demand, right, or cause of action that it has agreed in this Settlement Agreement to release or any portion of any recovery or settlement to which this Settlement Agreement entitles it, other than as provided in connection with the debtor in possession financing facility provided by the Lenders to IECom and its debtor affiliates in connection with their chapter 11 cases.

19. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, which, taken together, shall constitute an original executed Settlement Agreement.

20. The rights and obligations of the Settling Parties under this Settlement Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with and governed by the laws of the State of California. The Bankruptcy Court may
to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for this purpose.

21. All Settling Parties warrant that they have been represented by counsel in connection with entering into this Settlement Agreement and that all provisions thereof have been explained to them and that they understand them.

22. All Settling Parties represent and declare that they have carefully read this Settlement Agreement and know the contents thereof and that they have signed this Settlement Agreement freely and voluntarily.

23. Each person executing this Settlement Agreement warrants and represents that that person is empowered and authorized to bind the party on whose behalf that person has executed this Settlement Agreement.

24. All Settling Parties shall execute and deliver all such further documents and papers, and shall perform any and all acts, necessary to give full force and effect to all of the terms and provisions of this Settlement Agreement.

25. This Settlement Agreement contains the entire understanding of the Settling Parties with respect to the matters covered herein and supersedes all prior and collateral agreements, understandings, statements, and negotiations of the Settling Parties. All Settling Parties acknowledge that no representations, inducements, promises, or agreements, oral or written, with reference to the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement, have been made other than as expressly set forth herein. This Settlement Agreement cannot be orally changed, rescinded, or terminated. Any change or modification to this Settlement Agreement must be in a writing signed by all Settling Parties.

[signatures on next page]

MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

IL CLQ

By: Dennis E. Smith, President

Dated: September 11, 2002

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By Dave Davis,

Dated: September \_\_\_\_, 2002

Approved as to form and content

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 

By Bennett L/Spiegel/ Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: September /9, 2002

Martin R. Barash, a member of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Bankruptcy Counsel to International Exchange Communications, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders

O'MELVENY & MYERS

By Ben H. Logan Counsel to \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: September \_\_\_\_, 2002

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1

#### MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

By\_\_,\_\_\_

Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By Dave Davis,

Dated: August 10, 2002

Approved as to form and content

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 

By Bennett L. Spiegel Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_, 2002

Mart. B-

Martin R. Barash, a member of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Bankruptcy Counsel to International Exchange Communications, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_\_\_, 2002

Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders

O'MELVENY & MYERS

By Ben H. Logan Counsel to \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

\_\_\_\_ By \_\_\_, \_\_\_

Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By Dave Davis, \_\_\_\_

Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Approved as to form and content

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 

- By Bennett L. Spiegel Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_, 2002

Martin R. Barash, a member of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Bankruptcy Counsel to International Exchange Communications, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Agreed and Approved by IECorn's Secured Lenders

O'MELVENY & MYERS

By Ben H. Logan Counsel to <u>Saka</u> Aneron, V. A., C. A. A. Dated: August <u>1</u>, 2002 <u>L. Scottine</u>

ATL001.01	10:03 PAI 310 407 9090	<b>•</b> • • •
		<b>KTBS</b> ITP
		4103110

			And and a second s		
•	DEC-29-2020	13:39	PACIFIC GATELIAY		1000z
				652 558 3299	P. 62/87
					Pri I

1-02-1996 11:61PH FROM

EXMBITA

## MANAGEMENT SERVICES AGREEMENT

THE MANAGEMENT SURVICES AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and ensured into as of Jerusary 5, 2005/ (the "Elective Data"), by and between international Etchange Communications, inc. ("ECONDE"), and Mester Telecom, tro. ("Marter").

#### BACKGROUND

WHEREAS, RECOMM and Math, desire to regulate and when into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement"), purchast is which Mathix will purchase parties of the exacts of RECOMM as set forth in School A news (the "Assets") for a contempleted emount equal to 1, be December 2000 revenues generated; and

WHEREAS, und such time as the Americ have been immediated to sharts pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, ECCAM desires to unlike Neutrice services on an exclusive basis to manage the operation of the Assets; and

WRITHEAS, Marks desires to provide the movious to RECOMM on the terms and subject to the conditions stated hants.

NOW, THEREFORE, ECOMM and Matrix, in consideration of the mutual promises hereingter set forth, do hereby promise and egree as follows:

1. <u>Appointment of Mexic</u>. IECOMM hareby appoints Matter an the sole and cadusive provider of all standard necessary or appropriate for the expendent and management of the Assets, as described mare fully in Section 2 (the "Services"). Names hereby excepts such appointment on the terms and subject to the conditions dated hereby.

2. Scope of the Barries. Commanding with the date haved and continuing during the Term of this Agreement, Mattic shell use its best efforts to establish and implement operational policies and provide sole anangement of the Assets, including general supervision, direction and control of sold Assets. Without Smithing the foregoing, Matrix shall maintain the Coldina relationships with the customers included in the Assets finduating, but not finited its providing long distance survice, providing billing, collection, customer completions and customer context) and shell manage and account for the Coldinar context of guarantees that management of the Assets will be problement assets. Matrix shows not necessary deteriors are management of the Assets will be problement, and SECOMM echoeviedges that the Assets and deteriors or note under Mapple's promptiment.

3. <u>Recognitivities of ISCOMM</u>. Community with the data mercal and continuing unit termination of this Agreement as provided herein. ISCOMM shall actist and high comparate with Matrix as is reasonably requested by Matrix in relation to Matrix's performance of the Bervices. Without Easting the foregoing, ISCOMM shall undertake the following responsibilities under the population of Matrix of the spents or easigns:

- (P) IECOLOM shall provide Matrix with the Access, including all related information and macerials in its possession, which are recursely to enable Mainte to provide the Barylese, at any researching location that Matrix and designate.
- (b) IECONNE start to researching location that Matrix shall designate.
   (b) IECONNE that he responsible for all taxes, face, earlier costs and other stopenade related to solivity or revenue perseated and blied prior to the Effective Dele, regardless of when such taxes, face, eached to USF billed subsequent to December \$1, 2000, carrier costs or other segmenae are due or owing.
- (4) HECOMMA shall perform any solu reasonably recommenty to maintain and preserve the Assets, permitted to and in accordance with the supervision and direction of alartic sectoring trace acts, which are to be performed by Matrix in connection with the Bervices.
- (b) Income shall continue to communicate with third parties pursuant to the supervision and direction of Matrix, including responding to inquirice, requests and converpositions.

P.L.

#### 01/08/01 18:04 PAT 310 407 0090 <u>**XIBS**LL</u>P

DEC-29-2880 13:31

(C 1 1 D 658 558 3299 P.63/87-

- IECOMM shall promptly inform Mattic and provide Matrix with copies of all (0) correspondence and communications relating to the Atmete.
- At the request of Matrix, IECOMM shall timely exercise what ever rights it has (D relating to the Ascets, including but not imited to be rights (whather in law or equility) with respect to breach, termination, net-off, indemnity, waiver, subcontracting and designment.

Becompiliaies of Matrix. Commanding with the date hereof and continuing until termination of the Agreement as provided herein, Matrix shall undertake the following responsibilities:

- Manix shall perform all Services to the best of its ability and in a manner (4) reasonably consistent with he past proctices.
- Matrix shall be responsible for and pay all taxes, fees, service costs and other expenses missed to activity or revenue generated star the Effective Date. Matrix shall inform LECOMM of all material regulatory communications and (b)
- (7) coopensie with all regulatory flings. Mainte shall inform IECOMM of all meterial customer completints and the steps
- (đ) taken by Matrix to reactive said complaints.
- Upon request, Matrix shall provide IEOONM with any management reports it may (6) Forsenably request.
- Artitional Oovenants. The parties agree that: 5.
  - Toll Free Telephone Numbers. During the Term, Matrix shell have the core and (1) exclusive right to use the "fait has" telephone numbers aut forth on Exhibit B.
  - Carrier Identification Code(s). ISCOMM represents and povenence that it evens (b)all hand, tills and interest in and to the Canier Identification Code(s) listed on Exhibit A and that such Code(to shall be used during the Term ancius/vely by Mattice in the management and assyster of the clietomers included in the Association

8. Independent Connector Status. Matter is an independent contractor in the performance of the Services under this Agreement and shall determine the method, details and means of performing the Services. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing. Matte shall be permitted, in its sole clacretion, to () erbit into and partorm constants and agreements in its own name for the furnishing of services, equipment, parts and supplies in connection with the Services, and (ii) recruit, hire and terminute the services of any of its employees and independent contractors who perform services in connection with the Services. Mante shall solely establish the letters and conditions of employment for all of its employees and shall pay all salaries and other compensation due such employees. Matrix is not an agent of JECOMM and has no authority whenever to bind JECOMM by contract or agreement of any kind.

7. Compensation. As compensation for the Services, Matrix shall have the right to retain all not profile associated with the A

- Matrix shall pay to IECO/AM a royally of six and one-half persent (6.6%) of all  $(\mathbf{n})$ revenue generated and collected after the Ellective Date, not to exceed one and one-half (1.5) times December, 2000 revenue. Buch royalty payments shall be due and payable no later than thiny (30) days
- (b) after such revenue has actually been collected. Any amount Metric la required to pay for taxes, fess, firses, costs, livres or any
- (0) other expense for activity of revenue generated prior to the Effective Date may be deducied from any such reyalty payment.
- Any amount Malific is required to pay to facilitate moving the customer base to a (D) new undertying carrier(a) may be deducted from any royalty payment due hereunder.

8. <u>Accounts Receivable Processing</u>. On the Effective Dela, IECOMM will transfer to Matrix control of all locidoux and other bank accounte used for collection and processing of customer payments and related activity. an described in Exhibit A. After the Effective Date, Metrix shall collect and process all psymerile. holdbacks, receives, credits, refunde and related psymerits. Matrix shall pay to IECOMM. sighty percent (80%) of all psymerile received for Direct billings generated prior to the Effective Date, not of bad debte, credits and refunds. Such psymerile shall be due and psysible weakly, within ters (10) days

·	01/08/01 18:	04 FAX 310	407 9090 KTBS LLP	
•	DEC-29-2888	13131	PACIFIC GATEWAY	

4000 c 558 3299 P. 84/87

of solitection. Any LEC payments remitted for billings prior to the effective date will be remitted directly to IECOMM within 5 business days, Matrix shall also provide PGE with a weatty accounting of the collection and processing of all payments, heldbacks, reserves, oraclis, refunds and related payments.

D. Excernes, Matrix shall beer all costs and experienz incurred in connection with providing the Sarvines. Except as may be otherwise specifically provided herein, the parties hereic shall pay their own legal faces and experience incurred in connection with the negotiation and consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

10. Jetti, The term of this Agreement (the "Term") shall commence on the date hereof and shall continue until the suffer of (i) the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement (the "Closing"), or (ii) 120-clays siter written notice of intent to terminate by either party. Upon the termination of this Agreement, nativer party shall be further obligated under this Agreement except for the parties' respective indemnification obligations ast forth herein. In the event that this Agreement commissions without closing of the Purchase Agreement, Matrix agrees that it will use he best afforts to nature all assets and operational materials in its possession to iECOMM in a manner permitting segmices portinuation of service to the customer base.

#### 11. Indemnification of Medici-

11.1 IECOMM agrees to, and hereby door, fully indemnity, defend and eave and hold Matrix hermites at all times in the event that Matrix shall at any time or from time to time safer any demage, obligation, Sabity, ions, cost, expense, claim, settlement or cause of action (including all reasonable attorneys' fees) artising out of, resulting from or in connection with, or shall pay or become obligated to pay any sum on account of IECOMM's performance or non-performance of obligations under this Agreement (an "Event of Matrix indomnitication").

11.2 Whenever any claim shall arise for indemnification pursuant to Section 11.1, above, Matrix shall promptly notify IECOMM of the claim and when foreau, the acts constituting the basis for such claim, provided that failure of Matrix to provide IECOMM with such notice shall not accuse or effect IECOMM's indemnification obligations under Banton 11.1, above, accept to the entert that the failure to provide such notice shall accusity prejudice IECOMM. In the avant IECOMM shall become obligated to Matrix pursuant to Bection 11.1, above, or in the event time any sub, action, investigation, claim or proceeding is begun, made or instituted as a result of which IECOMM may become obligated to Matrix thereunder, IECOMM shall have the right to defend, context or otherwise protect against any such suft, action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one or more counted by and under the control of IECOMM and its course). In the event IECOMM elects not to defend, contact or otherwise protect against the control of IECOMM and its course). In the event IECOMM elects not to defend, contact or otherwise protect against any action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one of more course the right, at its expense, to participate in such defense, but such defense shall at all times be conducted by and under the control of IECOMM and its course). In the event IECOMM elects not to defend, contact or otherwise protect against any action, investigation, claim or proceeding. Matrix shall have the right, at IECOMM's expense, to pursue any such defense, context or proceeding.

#### 12. Indemnification of IECOMM.

12.1 Matrix agrees to, and hereby does, fully indemnity, defend and save and hold IECOMM harminess at all times in the event that IECOMM shall at any time or from time to time suffer any damage, obligation, Rability, loss, cost, expense, claim, solltemant or cause of action (including all reasonable secomeys' teas) arising out of, nutting from or in connection with the performance or nonperformance of the Sarvices; provided, however, any such demages, obligations, labilities, losses, costs, expanses, claims, solitements, or causes of action do not arise out of, result from or be girlbutable to an Event of Matrix indemnification.

12.2 Whenever any data shall arise for indemnification pursuant to Section 12.1. IECOMM shall promptly notify Metric of the claim and, when known, the facts constituting the basis for such claim, provided that falares of IECOMM to provide Matrix with such notice shall not excuse or street Matrix's indemnification obligations under Section 12.1, except to the extent that the failure to provide such notice shall actually prejudice Matrix. In the swent Matrix shall become ubligated to IECOMM pursuant to Section 12.1, or in the event that any suff, action, investigation, claim or proceeding is begun, made or instituted as a result of which Matrix may become obligated to IECOMM thersunder. Matrix shall

P.L.

Exhibit 1

Q1034

	1/08/01 18:0	5 PAI 310 407	8090	K T B S	LLP		@ 105
•	DEC-27-2200	13:32	PACIFIC DATE	Juny -		658 \$58 3299	

have the right to defend, contest or otherwise protect against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one or more counsel of its choice reasonably acceptable to tacchiel. If Marite so elects to defend or contest, RECOMM shall have the right, at its appende, to participate in such defense, but such defense shall at all times be conducted by and under the control of Matrix and its counsel. In the event Matrix elects not to defend, contest or otherwise protect signifies any such suit, investigation, claim or proceeding, IECOMM shall have the right, at Matrix's expanse, to pursue any such defense, contest or proceeding, IECOMM shall have the right, at Matrix's expanse, to pursue any such defense, contest or proceeding.

12.3 If the contemplated Clouing does not occur and this Agroement is terminated according to Bection 10(i). Mathematic be table for, shall pay or cause to be paid and shall indemnify and hold IECOMMs and its attillates and all of their officers, directors and agains, harmises from and against any and all tosses, claims, derecing such indemnification against Mathematics of entropy fees and the cost and appendes (including reasonable attorneys' fees and the cost and appendes of other total and appendes, if any, arising out of or based upon or for or in respect of each of the following: (i) any and all income Taxes or franchise or other Taxes measured by rust income with respect to the Assets formputed on a stand alone basis) for any uppended (or partial period) commencing atter the data hereof and continuing through the termination of this Agroement; (ii) any and all other Taxes with respect to the Assets for any Taxe period commencing after the data hereof and continuing through the termination of this Agreement.

13. Notions. All notices, requests, domande and sither communications made under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be dearned given upon (a) confirmation of receipt of a fecelulia transmission, (b) confirmed delivery by a standard overnight carrier or when delivered by hand, or (c) the explication of three (3) business days after the day when mailed by registered or certified mail (bostage propiald, return receipt requested), addressed to the respective parties at the following addresses. (or such other address for a party as shell be specified by like notice):

If to Matrix, lo:

MATRIX TELECOM, INC. SOD N. Maridian Oldshoms City, OK 73107 Phone: 408-851-9300 Fac: 408-851-9449

If to (ECOMM, for

International Exchange Communications, INC. 500 Airport Blvd, Suite 340 Burlingame, CA \$4010 Phone 650-558-3153 Fax 650-678-6789

14. <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement and any agreements between or emong the parties hareto relating to the subject matter hereof, and all price agreements, correspondence, discussions and understandings of the parties (whether oral or written) are merged herein and superveded hereby. It being the internion of the parties hereto that this Agreement and the harmonis and agreements contemplated hereby shall serve as the complete and anclusive statement of the terms of their agreement together. No emendment, wriver or modification nento or hansunder shall be valid unless in writing signed by an automatical thereby.

15. <u>Assignment</u>. This Agreement and the rights hereunder shall not be easignable or transferable (i) by Main's without the prior withen concert of IECOMM, except to an atfiliate of Main's or to a financial balitution in connection with a financing related to this Agreement, or (ii) by IECOMM without the prior written consent of Main's. The duties and obligations of a party hereunder shall not be delogable without the prior written consent of the other parties hereto.

16. <u>Binding Effort</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hando and their respective successions and permitted assigns.

255

Lº L.

Evhills .

/01/08/01 18:05 PAX 310 407	9090 KTBS LLP		1421 មេច
DEC-29-2008 13:32	PACIFIC GATEMAY	658 558 3299	P.85/27
		718 684 7722	₩-Uz

Dec-27-00 05:50P 8177 8-10King

17. Busiles Heatings. The heatings in this Agreement are for purposes of convenience and save of reference only and their not to construed to limit or otherwise affect the meaning of any period this Agreement.

18. <u>Scientifits</u>. The perior spice that I any provision of this Agreement shall under any chromosomers be described provide or inspective, this Agreement shall be possibled with the investi or isoperative provision deviate, and the spice and obligations of the partner shall be construed and antioped accordingly.

19. Accurate Law. All completes and interpretation of the Agreement and all quartions which is connected with that be generated by and construind in accordance with the agreement into of the Gales of Ottohoma validout regard to the principles of could be from thermoder.

20. Consideration. This Agreement may be considered in ane or more angles to technike constemation all of which shall be considered but one sail the same agreement, and shall become effective when east of shore each counterparts have been exercised by such of the parties and delivered to the other parties.

21. Use of Trans. In this Agromment, (i) the words "hereal," "berein," "hereads," "hereads, "hereads, "hereads, "hereads," "hereads, hereads, and the state of t

22. Estatimite Good. This Agreement issue to esticited in tacking copy with the series binding effect as an expiral.

IN VITHERE WHENCE, the parties have exceeded the Agreement as of the day, month and year and above written.

International Bacharge Communications, Inc.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed:\_\_\_\_\_\_

The \_\_\_\_\_

CALL:

MATRIX TELES D7. 2.28.00 Detec

P.L.

Exhibit 1 Page 33

Ø1038

### 01/08/01 18:08 FAX 310 407 9090 5 T B S L L P

bec-29-2000 13:32 PACIFIC GATEMAY

1000 STO 200 STO 550 STO

#### Exhibit A - Assets

1. All of (ECCIMM's retail customer base, including but not limited to the following: approximately 30.000 customer accounts receiving 1+, calling card, or foll-free senfors.

2. All data, databases, documentation, customer records, and-user call records for the past two years, oracle information, consepondance, contracts, information, customer subscription contracts, information of formal Public Utility and PCC completes, etc., related to the Assets described harein.

3. All accounts receivable, notes receivable, customer receivables or other sume due to IECOMM for Direct billed service relating to the Assets prior to the Effective Date. Sold amounts shall include Direct billed satisfic remaining unbilled to the and-user on the Effective date in accordance with part billing practices.

4. Carrier identification Code \_\_\_0697, 0025, 5734, 5484, 8318 & 6822 and corresponding ACNA( DOI for all 6 CiC's)

5. All of IECOMMEs used or reserved toil-free relephone numbers, including but not limited to those set forth in Exhibit B.

 Perpetual right to use IECOMM's name, leges, tagle in earlies marks, etc., which have been successed with the culturer been.

7. Any assets of the type described above which are acquired after the date hereof.

8. All IECOMM inclusion and bank accounts used to receive sustainer and LEC payments. Each account will have a reconciled zero balance except for all deposits and receipts from and effec the Effective Date.

TOTAL P.OT

D.L.L.

۰. I

## Exhibit B - Toll-Free Phone Numbers

.

· \_-

800 966-6106	800 322-0960
800 966-6166	800 360-1289
800 589-6812	<b>600 253-1289</b>
888 455-5461	800 232-9732
800 322-0964	800 810-9750
888 387-7722	

Exhibit 1

CLARIFICATION The undersigned parties to the Management Services Agreement dated January 5, 2001/, which was entered outo pu. as about December 29, 2000, here by acknowledge cestain clarifications the MSA in order to resolve attain disputes that have arisen sequence and the Interpretation of the MSA. As to the provision in Braconach of of the MSA which provides " Matrix puy to IE Comm eighty percent (80%) up all payments received for Direct billings April to the Effective Date, net af Exhibit Page 36

J bad depts, credits and refunds," the Undersigned parties acknowledge that all payments received for Direct to the Effect billings means all payments rece - telephone calls accont\_ of prior to the Effective Date The cather - the perty when weber caller and by (retack) customers that are billed digeetly (i.e., not through a local exchange carrier, begandless of whether calls billed such such  $\vartheta$ are after the Effect Exhibit 1

twethestrooting the flaquing, In connection with this derepication the parties firther acknowledge. that with respect to payments for telephine callo made priv the 10 Effective Dates for which bills had not been transmitted to custom as of the Effective Date, Marine Matrix shall be obligated to to IECOMM only 5070 of ayments, the the agoda payments received artonu telephine c to the Effective Date for which prior been transmitted to customers billy had Exhibit 1

4 as of the Effective Date, Matrix shall be oblighted to remit to IE ComM RD9n Firther, the 01 <u>tics</u> adam the. SIVE ex firun for resolution of any dispute ron the MSA arising or th be the United Clampication shall Cont for the Bartropten Calepsvice of 2 strict. He proststons - paragraph 19 oF 50 70 "Applicable Law" Exhibit 1

. .

.

10

			· · · ·
1			PROOF OF SERVICE
2	My bu	siness a	I declare that I am over eighteen years of age and that I am not a party to this action address is 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90067.
3	the pa	rties inc	On October 18, 2002, I served a true and correct copy of the following document c licated on the attached list by using the method indicated below:
5 6 7	C	OMMŬ ITH M	OF MOTION AND MOTION BY INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE INICATIONS, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (ATRIX TELECOM, INC. AND SALE OF ASSETS PURSUANT THERETO; RATION OF DAVID M. DAVIS IN SUPPORT THEREOF
9 10	(X)	proces the do the at	rst-Class Mail: I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and ssing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. I caused ocuments listed above to be deposited, in sealed envelopes, addressed as set forth on tached list with postage thereon fully prepaid, with the United States Postal Service, a Angeles, California, on the same day this declaration was executed.
11	()	By Pe indica	ersonal Service: I caused such envelopes to be delivered by hand to the addresses ited on the attached list.
12 13	()	By O overn	vernight Courier: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be delivered by ight courier service for delivery as indicated on the attached list.
13 14 15	()	transr	acsimile Machine: I personally caused the above-referenced document(s) to be nitted to the person(s) and at the telecopy number(s) indicated on the attached list.
16		()	By reviewing the transmission report(s) that the facsimile machine generated; or
. 17		()	By contacting the recipient(s) by telephone at the telephone number(s) number indicated on the attached list.
18 19	whose Calife	e direct	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at ion the service was made and that this declaration was executed at Los Angeles, October 18, 2002.
20			I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
21			
22			
23			RACHEL JOHANNES
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	1		
	H		

··----

UCC Party IBM Credit Corporation 1133 Westchester Ave. White Plains, NY 10604

UCC Party General Electric Capital Corporation 2400 E. Katella Ave., Suite 800 Anaheim, CA 92806

Depository Institution Nations Funds Attn: La Trelle Neely PO Box 37032 Unite #9011 San Francisco, CA 94137-9011

Northern Telecom, Inc. (Address Change) Attn: Mr. Paul Knudsen 5409 Windward Parkway Mall Stop 46D03A30 Alpharetta, GA 30004

Attny for MCI WorldCorn Communications Robert P. Simons, Esq, Jeffrey A. Deller, Esq. Klett, Rooney, Lieber & Scharling PC One Oxford Centre, 40th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Daniel M. Pelliccioni, Esq. Charles M. Stem, Esq. Joyce S. Jun, Esq. / Julia W. Brand, Esq. Katten Muchin Zavis 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3012

Attny for Talk.com f/k/a Tel-Save Jeffrey Kurtzman, Eso. Klehr, Harrison, Harvey, Branzburg & Ellers 260 S. Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19102-5003

Attys for Concert-ICS Robert D. Towey, Esq. / David G. Tomeo, Esq. Lowenstein Sandler PC 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, NJ 07068

Williams Communication Group All M.M. Mojdehi, Esq. Baker & McKenzie 101 West Broadway, 12th Floor San Diego, CA 92101

tys for Global Crossing Bandwidth, Inc. mes D. Wood, Esg. e Embarcadero Center, Sulte 860 Francisco, CA 94111 UCC Party

MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. Collateral Agent for Itself, WorldCom, Inc. And Its Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries 6929 N. Lakewood Mail Drop 5-2-510 Tulsa, OK 74117

UCC Party Norwest Financial Leasing, Inc. 1700 Iowa Ave., Sulte 240 Riverside, CA 92507

Depository Institution LaSalle Bank, N.A. Attn: Scott Schultz, Vice President 135 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60603

Williams Communications, Inc. Attn: Contract Administration One Williams Center, 26th Floor Tulsa, OK 74172

Attny for MCI WorldCom Communications Cralg Stuppi, Esq., & Sarah M. Stuppi, Esq. Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Seventeenth Fir. San Francisco, CA 94111

Sprint Bankruptcy Department M/S: KSOPHA0216-28618 6180 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251-1686

Verizon Communications, Inc. Darryf S. Laddin, Esq. / Tim A. Baxter, Esq. Arnall Golden & Gregory LLP 2800 One Atlantic Center 1201 W. Peachtree Street Atlante, GA 30309-3450

WorldxChange WorldxChange Communications, Inc. Attn: Carl Sonne, Esq. 9999 Willow Creek Road San Diego, CA 92131

Attny for Cable & Wireless USA, Inc. Anthony G. Stamato, Esq. Baker & McKenzie One Prudential Plaza Chicago, IL 60601

Attny for Global Crossing Bandwidth, Inc. Kim Ferris, Esq. Global Crossing North America, Inc. 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14648 UCC Party Wells Fargo Financial Leasing, Inc. Attn: Collection Department 604 Locus, 14th Floor -Des Moines, IA 503:09

UCC Party TTXC Division of Dynatech, LLC 20410 Observation Dr. Germantown, MD 20876

Northern Telecom, Inc. Attn: Senior Manager and Contracts Mgmt, & Negotiations 2350 Lakeside Blvd, Mail Stop (07J/02/A60) Richardson, TX 75/082-4399

For Wells Fargo Equipment Finance, Inc. Andrew K. Alper, Esq. Marshall J. August, Esq. Frandzel Robins Bloom & Csato, L.C. 6500 Wilshire Boulevard, 17th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90048-4920

Special Notice Securities and Exchange Commission Attn: Sandra W. Lavigna 5670 Wilshire Blvdl., 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA. 90036

Attny for IDC Corporation David C. Albalah, Esg. McDermott, Will & Emery 50 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10020-1605

Attys for AT&T Corp. Robert D. Towey, Esq. / Sharon L. Leu: Esq. / Vincent D.Agostino, Esq. Lowenstein Sandler PC 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland, NJ 07068

Attys for 111 Chelsea, LLC Edmond P. O'Brien, Esq. Stempel Bennett Claman & Hochbert, I 655 Third Avenuse, 22nd Floor New York, NY 190017

Williams Communication Group M.A. Murph Shelby Williams Communications Group, Int-4100 One Williams Center Tulsa, OK 74172

Attys for Nortel Networks, Inc. Eric D. Statmani, Esg. Lovells 900 Third Avenue, 16th Floor New York, NY 10022 Debtor Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc. et al. Attn: Mr. David M. Davis 500 Airport Drive, Suite 370 Burlingame, CA 94010

Creditors' Committee Counsel John A. Moe, Esq. Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps 777 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 3600 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Creditors' Committee Concert USA Attn: Scott E. Christensen N 490-V004 412 Mt. Kemble Avenue Morristown, NJ 07962

Creditors' Committee Global Connect Partners/Edge2Net, Inc. Attn: David Bohan, CFO 5808 Lake Washington Blvd., Suite 101 Kirkland, WA 98033

Development Specialists, Inc. Attn: Bradley D. Sharp 333 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2010 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1524

Litigation Counsel To PGE (re Mitsubishi Matter) Christopher R. Ball Pillsbury, Madlson & Sutro LLP 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Principal Lender Bankers Trust Company Attn: Albert L. Fischetti 130 Liberty Street, 28th Floor New York, NY 10006

Principal Lender General Electric Capital Corporation Attn: Alexander Terras, Esq. Wilson & McIlvaine 500 W. Madison, Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60661-2511

Internal Revenue Service 1301 Clay St, Suite 1400 South Oakland, CA 94612

UCC Party ACTERNA 20410 Observation Dr. Germantown, MD 20876 Counsel for the Debtors Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, Young & Jones Attn: William P. Weintraub and David M. Bertenthal Three Embarcadem Center, Suite 1020 San Francisco, CA 94111

William J.A. Weir, Esq. Dustin P. Branch, Esq. Christopher Celentino, Esq. Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps Suite 2600, 600 W. Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

Creditors' Committee Charles Harp, Qwest Communications Corp c/o Evan D. Smiley, Esq. Albert, Weiland & Golden, LLP 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 950 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Counsel for Bank of America O'Melveny & Myers LLP Attn: Ben H. Logan, Esq. and Victoria A. Graff, Esq. 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1050 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Development Specialists, Inc. Attn: Clare M. Pierce, CPA 200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 900 Miami, FL 33131-2321

Regulatory Counsel Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP Catherine Wang, Esq. 3000 K Street, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Attny for General Electric Capital Corp Steven B. Sacks, Esq. Perkins Cole LLP 180 Townsend Street, 3rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94107-1909

Principal Lender Brentwood Credit Corporation Attn: Kevin Galther 1620 26th Street, Sulte 290-S Santa Monica, CA 80404

UCC Party Sanwa Leasing Corp. PO Box 7023 Troy, MI 48007-7023

UCC Party Cisco Systems Capital Corporation 170 W. Tasman Dr., 3rd Floor San Jose, CA 95134-1706 Office of the United States Trustee Attn: Steven L. Johnson 250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000 San Francisco, CA 94104-3401

Creditors<sup>•</sup> Committee Ameritech Global Gateway Services Attn: Colm Heaney 225 W. Randolph, #18A Chicago, IL 60606

Creditors Committee IDT Corporation Attn: Thomas H. Nagle 520 Broad Street Newark, NJ 07102

Debtors' Corporate Counsel C. Baker/ R. Ziegler and J. Junewicz/ R. Robeson Mayer, Brown & Platt 190 S. LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60603-3441

Development Specialists, Inc. Attn: William A. Brandt, Jr., CFO 3 First National Plaza 70 W. Madison Street, Suite 2300 Chicago, IL 60602-4250

Principal Lender Bank of America Attn: Therese Fontaine 555 S. Flower Street Mall Code: CA9-706-11-21 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Attny for Matrix Telecom, Inc. Bennett L. Spiegel, Esq. Christopher W. Combs, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis 777 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017

Principal Lender / Clsco Systems Attn: John T. Chambers, President and Loan Admin, Worldwide Financial Servit 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134-1619

UCC Party AT&T Credit Corp. 2 Gatehall Dr. Parsippany, NJ 07054-4521

UCC Party IBM Credit Corporation 1 North Castle Drive Armonk, NY 10504-2575 Elizabeth Weller, Esq. Linebarger Heard Goggan Blair Graham Pena & Sampson, LLP 2323 Bryan Street 1720 Univision Center Dallas, TX 75201-2691

Attny for Joyce Hewins Keith Ehrman, Esq. McGuinn, Hillsman & Palefsky 535 Pacific Avenue San Francisco, CA 94133

Metromedia Fiber Network Services, Inc. Robert Sokota, General Counsel Metromedia Fiber Network Services, Inc. 360 Hamilton Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Kay D. Brock, Assistant Attny General c/o Martha M. Pena, Legal Assistant Office of the Attny General Bankruptcy & Collections Division Post Office Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548

Attny for Viatel, Inc. Amy E. Edgy, Esq. Kasowitz Benson Torres & Friedman LLP 1633 Broadway New York, New York 10019

Attny for Ann Yanick Margaret J. Grover, Esq. Haight Brown & Bonesteel, LLP 100 Bush Street, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

Attny for Enavis Networks, Inc. Matthew P. Vafidis, Esq. David M. Gonden, Esq. Holland & Knight LLP 50 California Street, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Attny for Harris Corporation David M. Gonden, Esq. Holland & Knight LLP 50 California Street, Suite 2800 San Francisco, CA 94111-4824

IBM Credit Corporation Kelly Lewis, Restructuring Grp – MD NC317 IBM Credit Corporation North Castle Drive Armonk, NY 10504

Attny for CTN Telephone Network, Inc. and Int'l. Telecommunications Charles Becker, Esq. 5173 Waring Road, Suite 103 San Diego, California 92120

Attny for Satelindo Adrian J. Murphy, Esq. Hanson Bridgett Marcus Vlahos & Rudy 333 Market Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94105-2173

Attny for Codetel Thomas W. Dressler, Esq. Salvador P. LaVina, Esq. Dressler & LaVina, LLP 515 South Flower Street, Suite 4400 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Attny for BellSouth Long Distance, Inc. Paul M. Rosenblatt, Esq. Kilpathck Stockton LLP 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

Attny for Time Wamer Linda Boyle Time Wamer Telecom Inc. 10475 park Meadows Drive, #400 Littleton, CO 80124 Attny for Joyce Hewins Matthew J. Shier, Esq. Pinnacle Law Group, LLP 425 California Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104

Metromedia Fiber Network Services, Inc. Stephen J. Shimshak, Esg. Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton & Garrison 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064

Atony for Comptroller of Public Accounts the State of Texas ("Comptroller") Jay W. Hurst, Assistant Atony General Bankruptcy & Collections Division Post Office Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548

Attny for Carramerica Realty Corporation Jana Logan, Esq. Kimball, Tirey & St. John 1202 Kettner Boulevard, Third Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Westel International, Inc. Virginia Andrews, Credit & Collections Manager

Westel International, Inc. 9606 North MoPac - 7th Floor Austin, Texas 78759

Attny for David A. Gill, Chapter 11 Truster for Justice Telecom Corporation John J. Bingham, Jr., Esq. Danning Gill Diamond & Kollitz LLP 2029 Century Park East., Third Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-2904

Special Notice Michael J. Sachs, Esq. Callahan & Blaine 3 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 900 Santa Ana, CA 92707

OCT 21 2007

Attys for MCI WorldCom Communications Craig Stuppi, Esq., & Sarah M. Stuppi, Esq. Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Seventeenth Flr. San Francisco, CA 94111

Attys for Dallas Main, LP Thomas A. Connop, Esq. Locke Liddell & Sapp LLP 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2200 -Dallas, TX 75201

Christopher T. Heffelfinger, Esq. Nicole Lavellee, Esq. Berman, DeValerio, Pease & Tabacco, PC 425 California Street, Suite 2025 San Francisco, CA 94104

Attys for RSL Com USA, Inc. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP Attn: Bennett G. Young, Esq. One Embarcadero Center, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94111-3619

Landlord Bay Park Plaza Assoc. LP Attn: General Counsel 2929 Campus Drive, Suite 450 San Mateo, CA 94403

Special Notice Waterfront Towers c/o George P. Eshoo, Esq. 702 Marshall Street, Ste. 500 Redwood City, CA 94063

Attny for Michigan State, Revenue Division Peggy A. Housner (P47207) Asst. Atty General Dept. of Atty General Reveue Division, 1st Fir Treasury Building Lansing, MI 48922

Attny for Cisco David A. Honig, Esq. Murphy Sheneman Julian & Rogers 101 California Street, Suite 3900 San Francisco, CA 94111

Attny for SBC Communications, Inc. Brad Smith, Esq. SBC Communications, Inc. One Bell Plaza, Room 3022 208 S. Akard Dallas, TX 75202

Attny for Kuehne & Nagel, Inc. Jay M. Tenenbaum, Esq. Seals & Tenenbaum, P.C. 2323 West Lincoln Avenue, Suite 127 Anaheim, CA 92801 Crosswave Communications Inc. Patricia S. Mar, Esq. Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street, 33rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2482

Attny for Adelphia Business Solutions Stuart M. Brown, Esq. Buchanan Ingersoll P.C. ElevenPenn Center, 14th Floor 1835 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attny for Star Telecommunications, Inc. Alan D. Condren, Esq. See, Mackall & Cole LLP 1332 Anacapa Street, Suite 200 Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Attys for RSL Com USA, Inc. LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP Attn: Allison H. Weiss, Esg. 125 West 55th Street New York, NY 10019

Landlord Bay Park Plaza Assoc, LP Attn: Property Management 2929 Campus Drive, Suite 150 San Mateo, CA 94403

Attny for ECI Telecom, Inc. Bradley M. Saxton, Esq. Holland & Knlght LLP Post Office Box 1526 Orlando, FL 32802-1526

Attny for NOSVA Limited Parnership & NOS Communications, Inc. William H. Kiekhofer, III, Esq. Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Attny for Verestar fka ATC Teleports MetroGroup Attn: Marcus L. Arky 26 Broadway, Suite 400 New York, NY 10004

Attny for Commissioner of Revenue Tisha Federico, Eso. Legal Services, 27th Floor 312 8th Avenue North Nashville, TN 37243

Attny for Kuehne & Nagel, Inc. Neil Ross, Esq. MetroGroup 26 Broadway, Suite 400 New York, NY 10004 Special Notice / Sprint Attn: Marti Schach, Marketing & Sales Mall Stop: KSOPHA0216-28618 6480 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251-1666

Missouri State, Dept. of Revenue Missouri Department of Revenue Bankruptcy Unit Attn: Gary L. Barnhart PO Box 475 Jefferson City, MO 65105-0475

Attys for\_Teleglobe Albert Flor, Jr., Esg. Wendel, Rosin, Black & Dean, LLP 1111 Broadway, 24th Floor Oakland, CA 94607

Attny for 611 West Sixth Street Assoc. Robert P. Friedman, Esq. Law Offices of Robert P. Friedman 827 Moraga Drive Bel Air, CA 90049

Landlord P.A. Building Company c/o Sylvan Lawrence Company 1000 William Street New York, NY 10038

Attny for RR Donnelley & Sons Company Thomas R. Mulatty, Esq. Szabo, Spencer & Mulatly (TRM) A14156 Magnolia Blvd., Suite 200 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423

Cisco Mark Michels, Esq. Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134

Attny for SBC Communications, Inc. Rebecca U. Litteneker, Esq. McNutt & Litteneker, LLP 55 Hawthome Street, Suite 430 San Francisco, CA 94105

Globe Telecom Robert J. Moore, Esq. Fred Neufeld, Esq. Milbank Tweed Hadley, et al. 601 South Figueroa Street, 30th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-5735

Attny for CAT Technology, Inc. William Webb Farrer, Esq. Law Offices of William Webb Farrer 300 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94104

## ATTACHMENT B

-----

---

## ASSETS TO BE TRANSFERRED

#### Exhibit A - Assets

1. All of IECOMM's retail customer base, including but not limited to the following: approximately 30,000 customer accounts receiving 1+, calling card, or toll-free services.

2. All data, databases, documentation, customer records, end-user call records for the past two years, credit information, correspondence, contracts, letters of authority, customer subscription contracts, informal and formal Public Utility and FCC complaints, etc., related to the Assets described herein.

3. All accounts receivable, notes receivable, customer receivables or other sums due to IECOMM for Direct billed service relating to the Assets prior to the Effective Date. Said amounts shall include Direct billed traffic remaining unbilled to the end-user on the Effective date in accordance with past billing practices.

4. Carrier Identification Code \_\_\_\_0597, 0025, 5734, 5464, 5318 & 6822 and corresponding ACNA( IXH for all 6 CIC's)

5. All of IECOMM's used or reserved toll-free telephone numbers, including but not limited to those set forth in Exhibit B.

6. Perpetual right to use IECOMM's name, logos, trade or service marks, etc., which have been associated with the customer base.

7. Any assets of the type described above which are acquired after the date hereof.

8. All IECOMM lockboxes and bank accounts used to receive customer and LEC payments. Each account will have a reconciled zero balance except for all deposits and receipts from and after the Effective Date.

>----<

## Exhibit B - Toll-Free Phone Numbers

800 966-6106	800 322-0960
800 966-6166	800 360-1289
800 589-6812	800 253-1289
888 455-5461	800 232-9732
800 322-0964	800 810-9750
888 387-7722	

## ATTACHMENT C

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

### ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

. . ---

#### NOTE TO USERS OF THIS FORM:

Physically attach this form as the last page of the proposed Order or Judgment.

In re: PACIFIC GATEWAY EXCHANGE, INC., a Delaware corporation; INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Delaware corp.; ONYX NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware corp.; WORLD PATHWAYS, INC., a Delaware corp.; WORLDLINK, INC. a Delaware corp.; and GLOBAL TIME, INC., a Delaware corp.,

Debtors.

CASE NUMBER: SF 00-33019 DM

DEC 2 3 2002

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SAN FRANCISCO, CA

(Jointly Administered under

Case No. SF 01-30027 DM;

Case No. SF 01-30014 DM;

Case No. SF 01-30016 DM;

Case No. SF 01-30017 DM; Case No. SF 01-30015 DM

CHAPTER 11

Do not file this form as a separate document.

#### NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER AND CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

TO:

Martin R. Barash, Esq., Klee Tuchin Bogdanoff & Stern LLP, 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90067

Bennett L. Spiegel, Esq., Kirkland & Ellis, 777 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Ben Logan, Esq. and Victoria Graff, Esq., O'Melveny and Myers LLP, 400 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

William J.A. Weir, Esq., Luce Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLC, 121 Spear Street, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94105

John Moe, Esq., Luce, Luce Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP, 777 South Figueroa, Suite 3600, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Steven L. Johnson, Esq., Office of the United States Trustee, 250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000, San Francisco, CA 94104-3401

Mr. David M. Davis, Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc.,500 Airport Drive, Suite 100, Burlingame, California 94010

1. You are hereby notified, pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9022 that a judgment or order entitled (specify):

# ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH MATRIX TELECOM, INC. AND SALE OF ASSETS PURSUANT THERETO [REVISED VERSION]

was entered on (specify date): DEC 2 3 2002

By:

2. I hereby certify that I mailed a copy of this notice and a true copy of the order or judgment to the persons and entities on the attached service list on (*specify date*):

Dated:

DEC 2 3 2002

KEENAN G. CASADY Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court

QUAN LU

Deputy Clerk

#### Service List

Martin R. Barash, Esq., Klee Tuchin Bogdanoff & Stern LLP, 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90067

Bennett L. Spiegel, Esq., Kirkland & Ellis, 777 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Ben Logan, Esq. and Victoria Graff, Esq., O'Melveny and Myers LLP, 400 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

William J.A. Weir, Esq., Luce Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLC, 121 Spear Street, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94105

John Moe, Esq., Luce, Luce Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP, 777 South Figueroa, Suite 3600, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Steven L. Johnson, Esq., Office of the United States Trustee, 250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000, San Francisco, CA 94104-3401

Mr. David M. Davis, Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc., 500 Airport Drive, Suite 100, Burlingame, California 94010

i	COP	
1	LEE R. BOGDANOFF (SBN 119542)	FILED 02 DEC 20 PM 4:55
2	MARTIN R. BARASH (SBN 162314) KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STER 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200	NLLP
3	Los Angeles, California 90067-1698 Telephone: (310) 407-4000	U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DIST. OF CA. SAN FRANCISCO. CA.
4	Facsimile (310) 407-9090	
5	Bankruptcy Counsel For Pacific Gateway I	Exchange, Inc., <u>et al</u> .,
6	Debtors and Debtors In Possession	
7	Debtor's Mailing Address: 500 Airport Drive, Suite 340 Burlingame, California 94010	
8	Burlingame, California 94010	
9	INITED STATES B	ANKRUPTCY COURT
10 11		LICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		ISCO DIVISION
12		
13	In re:	) Case Nos. SF 00-33019 DM; SF 01-30027 ) DM; SF 01-30014 DM; SF 01-30016 DM; SI
15	PACIFIC GATEWAY EXCHANGE, INC., a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D.	01-30017 DM; SF 01-30015 DM (Jointly) Administered under Case No. SF 00-33019
16	No. 943134065); INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS,	) DM)
17	INC., a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D. No. 943292374); ONYX NETWORKS,	) Chapter 11
18	INC., a Delaware corporation, f/k/a/ PGExpress, Inc. (Tax I.D. 943335904):	
19	WORLD PATHWAYS, INC., a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D. No.	
20	943282029); WORLDLINK, INC., a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D. No.	) ) ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT
21	943286651); and GLOBAL TIME, INC., a Delaware corporation (Tax I.D. No.	) AGREEMENT WITH MATRIX ) TELECOM, INC. AND SALE OF
22	943316865),	) ASSETS PURSUANT THERETO ) [REVISED VERSION]
23		
24	Debtors.	)
25		
26		
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
28		

. .....

:

On October 17, 2002, International Exchange Communications, Inc. ("IECom") 1 2 served, and on October 21, 2002 filed, its "Notice Of Motion And Motion By International 3 Exchange Communications, Inc. For Approval Of Settlement Agreement With Matrix 4 Telecom, Inc. And Sale of Assets Pursuant Thereto" (the "Motion"). IECom requested pursuant to the Motion an Order approving the "Settlement Agreement"<sup>1</sup> attached thereto as 5 Exhibit "1" (and attached hereto as Exhibit "1") and the transactions contemplated 6 7 thereunder, including the sale of substantially all of IECom's remaining assets to Matrix 8 Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix") free and clear of liens, claims, interests, and encumbrances as 9 specified therein. The Court has reviewed and considered the Motion, the accompanying 10 Declaration of David M. Davis, the Declaration of Non-Opposition Of Grace E. Oh, the 11 Declarations of Service filed in connection with the foregoing, and the record in these cases. 12 Based upon that review and consideration, the Court finds that notice of the Motion was reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances, that no other or further notice need be 13 given, that no opposition has filed to the Motion, timely or otherwise, and that good cause 14 15 exists to make such additional findings and grant such relief requested as is set forth in this 16 Order. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:2 17

18 A. This Court has jurisdiction to hear and determine the Motion pursuant to 28
19 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

B. Determination of the Motion is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C.
§§ 157(b)(2)(A)(N), and (O). Venue of these chapter 11 cases and the Motion in this district
is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. Statutory authority for the relief requested
herein exists under Bankruptcy Code sections 105 and 363, and Bankruptcy Rules 2002,

24 ||\_\_\_\_\_

54894\_5.DOC

25 1 To the extent not otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as

27

28

1

findings of fact when appropriate. See Bankruptcy Rule 7052.

1 6004 and 9019.

54894\_5.DOC

C. As evidenced by the declarations of service previously filed with the Court:
(1) proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion and the transactions
contemplated therein, has been provided in accordance with the requirements of section
102(1) the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 6004 and 9019; (2) such notice
was good and sufficient, and appropriate under the particular circumstances; and (3) no other
or further notice of the Motion, any Sale hearing or entry of this Settlement and Sale Order is
required.

D. All interested persons and entities set forth in the Declarations of Service filed
in connection with the Motion, including: (i) the Office of the United States Trustee for the
Northern District of California, (ii) counsel for the Settling Parties, (iii) counsel for any
statutory committees appointed in these cases, and (vi) all entities required to be served
under the "Order Limiting Notice and Establishing Notice Procedures" have been afforded a
reasonable opportunity, under the facts and circumstances of the present case, to object to or
be heard regarding the relief requested in the Motion.

E. This Court previously has authorized under Bankruptcy Code section 363(f)
the sale of any and all of the assets of IECom and its chapter 11 affiliates (collectively, the
"Debtors") free and clear of liens, claims and encumbrances pursuant to the terms of the
"Order Granting Omnibus Motion To Establish Procedures For The Expedited Sale Of
Assets And Authority To Sell Assts Free And Clear Of Liens Claims and Encumbrances"
("Free and Clear Order").

F. The Debtors have full authority to execute the Settlement Agreement and all
other documents contemplated thereby, and the transactions contemplated thereby are duly
and validly authorized.

G. The Settlement Agreement reflects the exercise of the Debtors' sound business
judgment and a proper exercise of the Debtors' fiduciary duties.

H. Approval at this time of the Settlement Agreement, and the transactions
contemplated thereby, is in the best interests of the Debtors, their creditors, their estates, and

1 || other parties in interest.

- I. 2 The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement are fair and reasonable. 3 J. The Debtors have demonstrated both (i) good, sufficient, and sound business purpose and justification and (ii) compelling circumstances for consummating the sale of the 4 5 Assets (the "Sale") pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, in that, among other things, a prompt sale of the Assets will maximize the value of the 6 7 estate and avoid the need for costly litigation. 8 K. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated, proposed, and entered into by the parties without collusion, in good faith, and from arms-length bargaining positions. Matrix 9 is a buyer in good faith of the Assets under section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code and, as 10 such, is entitled to the protections afforded thereby. None of the parties to the Settlement 11 Agreement has engaged in any conduct that would cause or permit the Settlement Agreement 12 13 and the transactions contemplated thereby to be avoided under section 363(n) of the 14 Bankruptcy Code. NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 15 16 1. The Motion be, and it hereby is, granted in its entirety. 17 2. The Settlement Agreement is incorporated herein by this reference and approved. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and the transactions 18 19 contemplated by the Settlement Agreement are hereby approved in all respects, including the 20 the Sale thereunder pursuant to section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code. 21 3. All Settling Parties shall execute and deliver any other documents that are 22 reasonably necessary to effectuate the sale of the Assets to Matrix hereunder. 23 4. The sale and transfer of the Assets to Matrix as provided for in the Settlement 24 Agreement is free and clear of any and all liens, claims, encumbrances and interests 25 ("Liens") of those lienholders identified in the Free and Clear Order ("Lienholders"), 26 pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363 and the terms of the Free and Clear Order. Any 27 Liens in the Assets shall attach to the proceeds of the sale, in the same order and priority as 28 such liens existed in such assets prior to such sale, and subject to any existing defenses or
  - 54894\_5.DOC

1 grounds for avoidance thereto; provided, however, that pursuant to the terms of the Debtor In Possession Credit Agreement ("DIP Agreement") between the Debtors' lenders ("Lenders") 2 3 and the Debtors, all funds generated from the Settlement Agreement (i.e., \$600,000) will be remitted to the Lenders pursuant to and subject to the terms of the DIP Agreement. 4

5

Except as expressly provided in the Settlement Agreement, each Lienholder 5. that holds or may hold a Lien against the Assets, or against the Debtors arising prior to the 6 7 Settlement Effective Date, or out of events occurring prior to the Settlement Effective Date. 8 is barred from asserting such Lien against Matrix, its successors or assigns, or the Assets.

9 6. The terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement and this Settlement and 10 Sale Order, shall be binding on the Debtors, their estates and creditors, Matrix, and its 11 respective affiliates, successors and assigns, and any affected third parties, and all persons .12 asserting a Claim against or interest in any of the Debtors' estates or any of the Assets.

13 Except as expressly provided in the Settlement Agreement or this Settlement 7. 14 and Sale Order, Matrix is not assuming any debts arising in any way in connection with any 15 acts of any of the Debtors, claims (as that term is defined in the Bankruptcy Code), obligations, demands, guaranties, options, rights, contractual commitments, restrictions, 16 17 interests and matters of any kind and nature, arising prior to the Settlement Effective Date or 18 relating to acts occurring prior to the Settlement Effective Date, any debts, liabilities, 19 obligations, commitments, responsibilities or claims of any kind or nature whatsoever, 20 whether know or unknown, contingent or otherwise, existing as of the date hereof or 21 hereafter arising, of or against any of the Debtors, any affiliates of any of the Debtors, or any 22 other person by reason of the transfer of the Assets pursuant to the Settlement Agreement 23 and Settlement and Sale Order, under the laws of the United States, any state, territory or 24 possession thereof or the District of Columbia applicable to such transactions as the result of 25 consummation of the Sale transaction.

26 8. Each entity that is presently, or on the Settlement Effective Date may be, in 27 possession of any of the Assets is directed to surrender possession of said Assets to Matrix 28 on, or as soon as possible after, the Settlement Effective Date. Subject to all of the terms and

894\_5.DOC

1 conditions of the Settlement Agreement, including without limitation the continuing duties 2 of Matrix to indemnify and defend IECom that are set forth in Paragraph 8(C) thereof, 3 Matrix will continue to manage the Assets under the MSA, as modified by the Settlement 4 Agreement, until such time as the necessary state and federal regulatory approvals for the transfer of the Assets to Matrix have been obtained, at which time ownership of the Assets 5 will automatically be transferred to Matrix in accordance with the terms of this Order. 6 7 Should any of such regulatory approvals be denied, IECom shall cooperate with Matrix to 8 provide for an alternative disposition of the Assets for no further consideration other than reimbursement by Matrix to IECom of out-of-pocket costs incurred by IECOM in connection 9 with such alternate disposition. Notwithstanding any provision of the MSA, the Settlement 10 11 Agreement, or this Order, Matrix shall be liable for, shall pay, and hereby indemnifies 12 IECom for all costs arising from or relating to the management of the Assets pending 13 transfer to Matrix, or such alternate disposition.

9. The Settlement Agreement and any related documents or other instruments
may be modified, amended, or supplemented by the parties thereto in accordance with the
terms thereof without further order of the Court, provided that any such modification,
amendment or supplement is not material.

18 10. The transactions contemplated by the Settlement Agreement have been
19 bargained for and undertaken by the Debtors and Matrix at arms-length, without collusion,
20 and in good faith within the meaning of section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code; and the
21 Debtors and Matrix have not engaged in any conduct that would cause or permit the Sale to
22 be avoided.

11. Pursuant to section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code, if any or all of the
provisions of this Settlement and Sale Order are hereafter reversed, modified, or vacated by a
subsequent order of this Court or any other court, such reversal, modification, or vacatur
shall not affect the validity and enforceability of any obligation or right granted pursuant to
the terms of this Settlement and Sale Order, and notwithstanding any reversal, modification,
or vacatur of this Settlement and Sale Order, any actions taken by either the Debtors or

6

54894\_5.DOC

1 Matrix pursuant to the terms of this Settlement and Sale Order prior to the effective date of 2 any such reversal, modification, or vacatur shall be governed in all respects by the original provisions of this Settlement and Sale Order and the Settlement Agreement, as the case may 3 4 be.

5 12. This Court retains jurisdiction (i) to enforce and implement the terms and 6 provisions of the Settlement Agreement, all amendments thereto, any waivers and consents 7 thereunder, and any agreements executed in connection therewith, (ii) to compel the transfer 8 of the Assets to Matrix, (iii) to compel the payment of the \$600,000 by Matrix to IECom, 9 (iv) to resolve any disputes, controversies, or claims arising out of or relating to the 10 Settlement Agreement, and (v) to interpret, implement, and enforce the provisions of this 11 Settlement and Sale Order.

7

12  $\mathcal{N}$ 13 DATED: December 1, 2002 14 15

DENNIS MONTALI HONORABLE DENNIS J. MONTALI UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

17 Presented by:

18 セ 19

Martin R. Barash, a member of 20 Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP 21 Bankruptcy Counsel to Debtors and Debtors In Possession 22 Dated: December 18, 2002

23

27

16

24 Approved by:

25 MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

26

By Dennis E. Smith, President 28 Dated: December 1, 2002

4894\_5.DOC

r <sup>-</sup>	
1	Approved by:
2	INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
3	
(4	Cale Cal
5	By Dave Davis, President Dated: December <u>17</u> , 2002
6	
7	Approved as to form and content
8	KIRKLAND & ELLIS
9	
10	
11	By Bennett L. Spiegel
12	Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: December, 2002
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	
15	Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders
16	O'MELVENY & MYERS
17	
18	· ·
19	By Ben H. Logan
20	Counsel to Bank of America, N.A., as Agent Dated: December, 2002
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	54894_5.DOC 8
•	

· ·

Approved by: INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. By Dave Davis, President Dated: December \_\_\_\_, 2002 Approved as to form and content KIRKLAND & ELLIS By Bennett L. Spiegel Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: December  $\underline{3^{\prime\prime}}$ , 2002 Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders O'MELVENY & MYERS By Ben H. Logan Counsel to Bank of America, N.A., as Agent Dated: December \_\_\_\_, 2002 54894\_5.DOC
Approved by: INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. By Dave Davis, President Dated: December \_\_\_\_, 2002 Approved as to form and content **KIRKLAND & ELLIS** By Bennett L. Spiegel Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: December \_\_\_\_, 2002 Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders O'MELVENY & MYERS By Ben H. Logan Counsel to Bank of America, N.A., as Agent Dated: December 12, 2002 54894\_5.DOC

#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement dated August 12, 2002 (the "Settlement Agreement") is entered into by and among Matrix Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix") and International Exchange Communications, Inc. ("IECom") (together, Matrix and IECom will be referred to as the "Settling Parties"). With the intent of achieving a final resolution of the disputes among them that arise out of or are in any way related to any of the matters set forth in the following Recitals, and with the intent of being legally bound, the Settling Parties hereby represent and agree as follows.

#### RECITALS

A. On or about December 28, 2000, Matrix and IECom signed a Management Services Agreement that stated it was "made and entered into as of January 5, 2001" (the "MSA"), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if set forth in full. The MSA provided, among other things, that: (1) IECom appointed Matrix as the sole and exclusive provider of all services necessary or appropriate for the supervision and management of certain assets of IECom (as defined in the MSA, the "Assets"); (2) Matrix agreed to receive specified compensation for its services; (3) IECom and Matrix agreed to share collected accounts receivable in specified proportions; and (5) IECom and Matrix undertook various responsibilities and made various representations and covenants. The MSA also recited that IECom and Matrix desired to negotiate and enter into an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets.

B. On December 29, 2000, Pacific Gateway Exchange, Inc. filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the

"Bankruptcy Code") in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Court"). On January 3, 2001, Onyx Networks, Inc., World Pathways, Inc., WORLDLINK, INC., and Global Time, Inc. filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. On January 4, 2001, IECom filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. Together, these six entities will be referred to as the "Debtors". For procedural purposes, the Bankruptcy Court is jointly administering the Debtors' cases under case number SF 00-33019 DM.

C. On January 9, 2001, the Bankruptcy Court entered in the Debtors' cases its Interim Order (A) (i) Authorizing Post Petition Financing and (ii) Granting Super Administrative Priority Expense Claim Status and (B) Scheduling a Final Hearing, to which were attached a copy of the MSA and a Clarification of the MSA that elaborated upon the proportions of collected accounts receivable that IECom and Matrix had agreed to share.

D. Matrix and IECom were unable to agree upon the terms of an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets. Each of the Settling Parties asserts that the acts, omissions, and/or misrepresentations of the other are to blame for their inability to agree.

E. Disagreements between Matrix and IECom have arisen over their obligations under the MSA. (a) IECom asserts that Matrix breached certain of its covenants, obligations, and representations under the MSA by failing to pay IECom royalties to which IECom was entitled and by underreporting the revenues on which the royalties were based. IECom asserts that Matrix owes it approximately \$771,885 in unpaid royalties. Matrix disputes these assertions. (b) Matrix asserts that IECom breached certain of its covenants, obligations, and representations under the MSA and thereby caused Matrix to incur extraordinary

expenses that it should not have had to incur and that these expenses offset any royalties otherwise due and entitle Matrix to assert a claim for an administrative expense against IECom's bankruptcy estate. Matrix asserts that IECom owes it approximately \$1,060,000 for these expenses. IECom disputes these assertions. (c) IECom asserts that Matrix has not negotiated in good faith an Asset Purchase Agreement under which Matrix would buy the Assets. Matrix disputes this assertion.

F. Representatives of the Settling Parties have engaged in negotiations to reach a global resolution of their disagreements. These settlement negotiations have been conducted at arms' length and in good faith by the Settling Parties and have resulted in this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, without admitting any liability or the accuracy of any claims or allegations, the Settling Parties wish to settle as expeditiously as possible all disputes among themselves, including all disputes arising out of the facts and allegations recited above, as follows.

#### SETTLEMENT TERMS

In light of the foregoing, and in consideration of the promises and releases contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Settling Parties agree as follows:

1. The Settling Parties acknowledge that this Settlement Agreement is subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, and it is agreed that IECom shall, at its own expense, seek to obtain approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Bankruptcy Court as soon as possible pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9019 on due and appropriate notice to creditors and other parties in interest in IECom's chapter 11 case; provided, however, that Matrix

shall bear the burden (and any cost) of providing the evidence uniquely within its control that is necessary to obtain the Bankruptcy Court's approval.

2. This Settlement Agreement shall be effective on the first business day that an order of the Bankruptcy Court approving this Settlement Agreement ("Settlement and Sale Order") in a form reasonably acceptable to the Settling Parties becomes final, unappealable, and unstayed (the "Settlement Effective Date"); provided, however, that Matrix may, in its sole discretion, waive the requirement that the order be final and unappealable, and cause the Settlement Effective Date to occur following entry of the Settlement and Sale Order, by delivering a written notice to this effect to IECom, in which case the Settlement Effective Date shall be the date on which such notice is delivered.

3. The Settlement and Sale Order must (A) provide for the sale of the Assets to Matrix (i) free and clear of all liens, claims, encumbrances, and interests of those lienholders identified in the Bankruptcy Court's "Order Granting Omnibus Motion to Establish Procedures for the Expedited Sale of Assets and Authority to Sell Assets Free and Clear of Liens, Claims, and Encumbrances" (collectively, the "Lienholders") entered in the Debtors' cases on March 12, 2001 and (ii) without any other representations, warranties, or conditions, (B) require the transfer of the Assets and the payment of the \$600,000 to occur without delay, and (C) include a finding that Matrix is a good-faith purchaser of the Assets as defined in section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code, Provided the Settlement and Sale Order is entered, effective and unstayed, IECom will execute and deliver to Matrix any other documents that Matrix reasonably needs to effectuate its acquisition of the Assets.

4. On the Settlement Effective Date, Matrix will pay or cause to be paid to IECom \$600,000 by cashier's check or wire transfer.

5. Neither IECom nor its secured lenders (as signified by their approval and agreement at the end of this Settlement Agreement) will request that the delivery of the Assets to Matrix be subject to an overbid and will oppose any request for any overbid.

6. The Settling Parties acknowledge that certain regulatory filings will need to be made to effectuate Matrix's acquisition of the Assets, and IECom agrees to grant Matrix reasonable access at reasonable times upon reasonable notice to documents or other information that Matrix needs for these filings or for other reasons to effectuate its acquisition of the Assets.

7. Subject to Paragraph 8 hereof, on the later of the Settlement Effective Date and the date Matrix pays IECom \$600,000 as required in paragraph 4 above, except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, IECom and each of its past and present predecessors, successors, and assigns (jointly and severally, the "IECom Parties"), for and in consideration of this Settlement Agreement, release and absolutely and forever discharge Matrix and each of its past and present predecessors, successors, and assigns (jointly and severally, the "Matrix Parties") and their officers, directors, employees, and attorneys of and from any and all demands, promises, agreements, losses, injuries, claims, damages, debts, liabilities, accounts, reckonings, obligations, costs, expenses, liens, actions, and causes of action arising out of or in any way related to any of the matters set forth in the Recitals of this Settlement Agreement.

8. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement:

(A) any and all claims, causes of action or other rights of IECom against Matrix that arise under the MSA as a result of claims, causes of action or other rights asserted by third parties against IECom that become "Allowed General Administrative Claims" under the proposed Joint

Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation dated July 31, 2002 ("Plan"), or that otherwise become allowed administrative expenses pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b) are neither waived nor released under this Agreement;

(B) Matrix hereby represents and warrants to the best of its knowledge that all liabilities incurred by Matrix in connection with, arising out of, or relating to its performance or non-performance of rights, duties, and/or responsibilities under the MSA, on its own behalf or as agent for IECom, have been paid and/or fully satisfied; and

(C) Matrix agrees to, and hereby does, fully indemnify, defend and save and hold IECom harmless at all times in the event the IECom shall at any time, or from time to time suffer any damage, obligation, liability, loss, cost, expense, claim, settlement (including all reasonable attorneys' fees) that becomes an "Allowed General Administrative Claim" under the proposed Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation dated July 31, 2002, that otherwise becomes an allowed administrative expense pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b), or that would qualify as an allowed administrative expense if the procedural requirements of section 503(b) were satisfied, in connection with the assertion of a claim, cause of action or other right by a third party, arising out of, resulting from or in connection with the performance or nonperformance by Matrix of any rights, duties, and/or responsibilities under the MSA, on its own behalf or as agent for IECom. Whenever IECom is notified that a party asserts a claim against IECom as to which Matrix has indemnified IECom under this paragraph, IECom shall promptly notify Matrix of the claim and, when known, the facts constituting the basis for such claim, provided that failure of IECom to provide Matrix with such notice shall not excuse or affect Matrix's

indemnification obligations hereunder, except to the extent that the failure to provide such notice shall actually prejudice Matrix. In the event Matrix shall become obligated to IECom pursuant to this paragraph, or in the event that any suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding is begun, made or instituted as a result of which Matrix may become obligated to IECom hereunder, Matrix shall have the right to defend, contest or otherwise protect against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one or more counsel of its choice reasonably acceptable to IECom. If Matrix so elects to defend or contest, IECom shall have the right, at its expense, to participate in such defense, but such defense shall, at all times, be conducted by and under the control of Matrix and its counsel. IECom and its successors under the Plan agree to reasonably cooperate and assist Matrix in defending against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding.

(D) IECom hereby represents and warrants that to the best of his actual knowledge, as of the date he executes this Settlement Agreement, David M. Davis, President and Estate Representative for IECOM, has no knowledge of any claims, causes of action or other rights of IECom against Matrix that have arisen under the MSA as a result of claims, causes of action or other rights asserted by third parties against IECom that are or may become allowed administrative expenses pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b). This representation and warranty is made by IECom; Matrix shall have no recourse against Mr. Davis in connection with such representation and warranty.

(E). Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall affect IECom's claims or rights against any other entities not a party to this Settlement

Agreement or covered by this release, and nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall affect any other person's or entity's rights or claims against any other person or entity. The rights and claims released pursuant to this Settlement Agreement are limited to the rights and claims owned by the party releasing such rights or claims.

9. On the later of the Settlement Effective Date and the date Matrix pays IECom \$600,000 as required in paragraph 4 above, the Matrix Parties, for and in consideration of this Settlement Agreement, release and absolutely and forever discharge the IECom Parties and their officers, directors, trustees, employees, and attorneys of and from any and all demands, promises, agreements, losses, injuries, claims, damages, debts, liabilities, accounts, reckonings, obligations, costs, expenses, liens, actions, and causes of action arising out of or in any way related to any of the matters set forth in the Recitals of this Settlement Agreement.

in the second

112

· • • :

10. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement, nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall affect Matrix's claims against any other entities not a party to this Settlement Agreement.

11. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Settlement Agreement::

(A) Settling Parties intend this Settlement Agreement to be effective on the Settlement Effective Date as a full and final accord and satisfaction and general release of all claims, debts, damages, liabilities, demands, obligations, costs, expenses, disputes, actions, and causes of action, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, that the IECom Parties may have against the Matrix Parties and that the Matrix Parties may have against the IECom Parties, by reason of acts, circumstances, or transactions arising out of or in any way related to any of the matters set

forth in the Recitals of this Settlement Agreement, occurring before the Bankruptcy Court's order approving this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of the rights and obligations of the Settling Parties as expressly set forth in or reserved under this Settlement Agreement;

(B) In furtherance of this intention, on the Settlement Effective Date the Settling Parties waive the benefit of the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

(C) On the Settlement Effective Date, the Settling Parties expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights or benefits they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by, the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code to the fullest extent that they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the subject matter of the release set forth in this Agreement.

(D) In connection with such waiver and relinquishment, the Settling Parties hereby acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover claims and facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to exist with respect to the subject matter of or any part to the releases set forth in this Agreement, but that it is nonetheless the intention of the Settling Parties to effectuate such releases hereunder.

12. The Settling Parties intend this Settlement Agreement to be binding upon them regardless of any claims of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment of fact, mistake of law or fact, duress, or any other circumstance whatsoever in connection with any matter dealt with in this Settlement Agreement or the

an an an an ann an Anna an Anna

negotiation of this Settlement Agreement. In entering into this Settlement Agreement, all Settling Parties recognize that no facts or representations are ever absolutely certain. Accordingly, the Settling Parties assume the risk of any misrepresentation, concealment, or mistake; and if any of the Settling Parties should subsequently discover that any facts relied upon by it or them in entering into this Settlement Agreement were or are untrue, or that any fact was concealed from it or them, or that an understanding of the facts or of the law was incorrect, that Settling Party or those Settling Parties shall not be entitled to set aside this Settlement Agreement by reason thereof. The finality of this Settlement Agreement is a material factor inducing the Settling Parties to enter into this Settlement Agreement.

The Settling Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees in
all matters that this Settlement Agreement resolves and with respect to this
Settlement Agreement.

14. If the Bankruptcy Court does not approve this Settlement Agreement, the Settling Parties will have the same rights against each other that they had upon the execution of this Settlement Agreement.

15. While the Settling Parties are seeking to obtain approval of this Settlement Agreement by the Bankruptcy Court, the Settling Parties will not sell, transfer, assign, release, or withdraw their claims against each other without the consent of the other Settling Parties or unless any purchaser, assignee, or other transferee of any claim expressly assumes all obligations under the Settlement Agreement of the Settling Party that is selling, assigning, or transferring such claim.

16. If the Bankruptcy Court does not approve this Settlement Agreement, the Settling Parties agree that there shall not be admissible into evidence in, used for any purpose in, have any bearing on, or be deemed a

waiver of the attorney-client privilege in, any proceeding between any of the Settling Parties or in any proceeding involving the matters that are the subject of this Settlement Agreement (a) the material terms of this Settlement Agreement, (b) the details of the negotiations on which this Settlement Agreement was based, (c) any declarations arguments made on behalf of IECom andfiled with the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the approval of this Agreement, and (d) any declarations arguments made on behalf of Matrix and filed with the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the approval of this Agreement.

17. All obligations undertaken in this Settlement Agreement by the Settling Parties shall be binding on their respective successors, transferees, and assigns.

18. Each of the Settling Parties warrants and represents to the other Settling Parties as of the date of this Settlement Agreement and as of the Settlement Effective Date that it has not assigned, encumbered, hypothecated, or transferred, or purported to assign, encumber, hypothecate, or transfer, to any other person or entity in any manner, including by way of subrogation, any claim, demand, right, or cause of action that it has agreed in this Settlement Agreement to release or any portion of any recovery or settlement to which this Settlement Agreement entitles it, other than as provided in connection with the debtor in possession financing facility provided by the Lenders to IECom and its debtor affiliates in connection with their chapter 11 cases.

19. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in counterparts, which, taken together, shall constitute an original executed Settlement Agreement.

20. The rights and obligations of the Settling Parties under this Settlement Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with and governed by the laws of the State of California. The Bankruptcy Court may

interpret and enforce this Settlement Agreement, and the Settling Parties submit to the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court for this purpose.

21. All Settling Parties warrant that they have been represented by counsel in connection with entering into this Settlement Agreement and that all provisions thereof have been explained to them and that they understand them.

22. All Settling Parties represent and declare that they have carefully read this Settlement Agreement and know the contents thereof and that they have signed this Settlement Agreement freely and voluntarily.

23. Each person executing this Settlement Agreement warrants and represents that that person is empowered and authorized to bind the party on whose behalf that person has executed this Settlement Agreement.

24. All Settling Parties shall execute and deliver all such further documents and papers, and shall perform any and all acts, necessary to give full force and effect to all of the terms and provisions of this Settlement Agreement. 25. This Settlement Agreement contains the entire understanding of the Settling Parties with respect to the matters covered herein and supersedes all prior and collateral agreements, understandings, statements, and negotiations of the Settling Parties. All Settling Parties acknowledge that no representations, inducements, promises, or agreements, oral or written, with reference to the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement, have been made other than as expressly set forth herein. This Settlement Agreement cannot be orally changed, rescinded, or terminated. Any change or modification to this Settlement Agreement must be in a writing signed by all Settling Parties.

[signatures on next page]

MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

By: Dennis E. Smith, President

Dated: September 11, 2002

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By Dave Davis, \_\_\_\_

Dated: September \_\_\_\_, 2002

### Approved as to form and content

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 

By Bennett L**4**Spiegel/ Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: September <u>4</u>, 2002

Martin R. Barash, a member of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Bankruptcy Counsel to International Exchange Communications, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders

O'MELVENY & MYERS

By Ben H. Logan Counsel to \_\_\_\_\_ Dated: September \_\_\_\_, 2002

## MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

By \_\_, \_\_

Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By Dave Davis,

Dated: August 10, 2002

Approved as to form and content

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 

By Bennett L. Spiegel Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: August \_\_, 2002

KAR.B

Martin R. Barash, a member of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Bankruptcy Counsel to International Exchange Communications, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders

O'MELVENY & MYERS

By Ben H. Logan Counsel to \_\_\_\_\_, Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

#### MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

By\_\_, \_\_

Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

,

By Dave Davis,

Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Approved as to form and content

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS** 

By Bennett L. Spiegel Counsel to Matrix Telecom, Inc. Dated: August \_\_, 2002

Martin R. Barash, a member of Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP Bankruptcy Counsel to International Exchange Communications, Inc. Dated: August \_\_\_\_, 2002

Agreed and Approved by IECom's Secured Lenders

O'MELVENY & MYERS

By Ben H. Logan

01/08/01 18:03 PAI 310 407 8090

KTBS LLP ACIFIC GATELIAY

DEC-29-2000 13:30

ØUU2 658 558 3299 P.02/07 P. 1

1-82-1995 11:51PH HOAT

EXMBITA

# MANAGEMENT SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS MANAGEMENT SERVICES AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is made and ensered into as of Jenuary 5, 2008's (ins "Effective Data"), by and between International Exchange Communications, Inc. ("ISCONER"), and Mathy Telecon, inc. ("Mark").

#### RACKGROUND

WHEREAS, NEODIAN and Maste dasks to negotiate and aniar into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Purchage Agreement"), punsuent is which Matrix Will purchase parasin of the assats of IECOMMA as set forth in Exhibit A hereto (the "Assets") for a contemplated amount equal to 1.8x December 2000 revenues generaled: and

were ready unit such time as the Assats have been transformed to Marks pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, IECOMMA desires to utilize Matrix's services on an exclusive basis to memory the openation of the Astanta; and

WHEREAS, Master desires to provide the services to RECOMM on the terms and subject to the conditions stated herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, ECOMM and Matter, in consideration of the mutual promises hereinather set forth, do hereby promise and agree as follows:

Absolutionent of Melthy. IECOMM hereby appoints Matrix as the sole and exclusive 1. provider of all services necessary or appropriate for the supervision and management of the Assets, as described more fully in Section 2 (the "Services"). Matrix hereby eccepts such appointment on the terms and subject to the consistent stated herein.

2. <u>Scope of the Services</u>. Commencing with the date mereof and continuing during the Term of this Agreement, Metric that use its best efforts to establish and implement operational policies and provide sole menagement of the Aquels, including general supervision, direction and control of said Assets. Without limiting the foregoing, Matrix shall maintain IECOMM's relationships with the customers included in the Assets finducing, but not limited to providing long distance service, provisioning, billing. colluction, customer appropriate and customer contact) and shell manage and account for the customer receivables included in or derived from the Aseks. Main's slove not represent or guarantee the management of the Assets will be profitable, and ECCAMA advocatedges that the Assets may detarlorate or stude under Matrix's management.

Responsibilities of IECONM. Commencing with the date hereof and continuing until termination of this Agreement as provided herein. IECOMM shell exist and fully cooperate with Matha as is ressonably requested by Metric in releton to Nabric's performance of the Services. Without limiting the foregoing, IECOMM shall undertake the following responsibilities under the supervision of Matrix or its agenta or essignat

- $\langle \mathbf{n} \rangle$ ECOMM shall provide Matrix with the Access, including all related information and materials in its possession, which are necessary to emplo Matrix to provide the Beryices, at any researable location that Metric shall designets.
- ECOMM shall be responsible for all texas, fees, service cases and other (D) expanses ministri to solivity or revenue generated and blied prior to the Effective Date, regardless of when such taxes, fees, scoopt for USF billed subvequent to December \$1, 2000, carrier costs or other expenses are due or owing.
- (4) IECOMM shall partorm any acts reasonably necessary to maintain and pressive the Assets, pursuant to and in accordance with the supervision and sirection of Matrix suctiding those acts, which are to be performed by Matrix in connection with the Services.
- NCOMEN aftail continue to convitinioate with third parties pursuant to the (11) supervision and direction of Matrix, including responding to induirios, requests and correspondence,  $\omega$

12

KTBS LLP

CIFIC GATELIAY

23

. .

۲.

· · · ·  $\cdot$ 

12.2

A H p

- IECOMM shall promptly inform Matrix and provide Matrix with copies of all (0) correspondence and communications relating to the Assets.
- At the request of Matrix, IECOMM shall timely exercise whatever rights it has (1) relating to the Assets, including but not limited to its rights (whether in law or equity) with respect to breech, termination, set-off, indemnity, walver, subcontracting and assignment.

Resconsibilities of Matrix. Commencing with the date hereof and continuing uniti termination of this Agreement as provided herein, Matht shall undertake the following responsibilities:

- Matrix shall perform all Services to the best of its ability and in a manner (4) remonably consistent with its past precises.
- (b) Matrix chall be responsible for and pay all taxes, fees, carrier costs and other comenses related to activity or revenue generated after the Effective Date.
- Matrix shall inform IECOMM of all material requisitory communications and (=) cooperate with all regulatory tilings. Matrix shall inform IECOMM of all meterial customer completine and the steps
- (đ) taken by Matrix to resolve enid complaints.
- Upon request, Matrix shall provide IEOONIM with any management reports it may (0) reasonably request.
- 5. Additional Ocyanants, The parties agree inst:
  - (a) Toll Free" Telephone Numbers. "During the Term, Matrix shell have the sole and exclusive right to use the "toll free" telephone numbers set forth on Exhibit B.
  - Carrier Identification Oode(s). IECOMM represents and covenants that it owns **(b)** all right, tille and interest in and to the Camler identification Code(s) fisted on Exhibit A, and that such Code(s) shall be used during the Term exclusively by Matrix in the management and service of the customs is included in the Assots.

independent Contractor Statue. Matrix is an independent contractor in the performance 8. of the Services under this Agreement and shall determine the method, details and means of performing the Services. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Matrix shall be permitted, in its sole clacretion, to (i) enter into and perform contracts and agreements in he own name for the furnishing of services, equipment, parts and supplies in connection with the Services, and (ii) recruit, hirs and terminate the services of any of its employees and independent contractors who perform epivices in connection with the Services. Mathy shall solely astabilsh the terms and conditions of employment for all of its employees and shall pay all salaries and other compensation due such employees. Hatrix is not an apent of JECOMM and has no authority whatsoaver to bind IECOMM by contract or agreement of any kind.

Compensation. As compensation for the Services, Matrix shall have the right to retain all 7. not profile associated with the Associa during the Term, except that:

- (11) Matrix shall pay to IECOMM a royally of aix and one-half percent (6.5%) of all revenue generated and collected after the Effective Date, not to exceed one and one-half (1.5) times December, 2000 revenue.
- Such royalty payments shall be due and payable no later than thirty (SD) days (b) after such revenue has actually been collected.
- Any amount Matrix is required to pay for taxes, fees, fines, costs, liens or any (0) other expense for activity of revenue generated prior to the Effective Date may be deducted from any such royalty paymant.
- Any amount Mains is required to pay to facilitate moving the customer base to a (d) new underlying carrier(e) may be deducted from any royalty payment due hëreundar.

8. <u>Accounts Receivable Processing</u>. On the Effective Date, IECOMM will transfer to Matrix control of all lockbox and other bank accounts used for collection and processing of customer payments and related activity, as described in Exhibit A. After the Effective Date, Matrix shall collect and process all payments, hokibacks, reserves, credits, refunds and related payments. Matrix shall pay to IECOMM eighty percent (80%) of all payments received for Direct billings generated prior to the Effective Date, net of bad debts, credits and refunds. Such payments shall be due and payable waekly, within ten (10) days

PL

DEC-25-2882 13131

ACIFIC GATEWAY

e the loger of Role Flore

- . .

of collection. Any LEC payments remitted for billings prior to the effective date will be remitted directly to IECOMM within 5 business days. Matrix shall also provide PGE with a weekly accounting of the collection and processing of all payments, holdbacks, reserves, credits, refunds and related payments.

D. <u>Expanses</u>. Mante shall beer all coats and expenses incurred in connection with providing the Services. Except as may be otherwise specifically provided herein, the parties hereto shall pay their own legal fees and expenses incurred in connection with the negotiation and consummation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

10. <u>Term</u>. The term of this Agreement (the "Term") shall commence on the date hereof and shall continue until the settler of (i) the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Purchase Agreement (the "Closing"), or (ii) 120-days after written notice of intent to terminate by either party. Upon the termination of this Agreement, neither party shall be further obligated under this Agreement except for the partles' respective indemnification obligations set forth herein. In the event that this Agreement terminates without closing of the Purchase Agreement, Matrix agrees that it will use he best efforts to return all assets and operational materials in its possession to IECOMM in a manner permitting seamless continuation of service to the customer base.

11. Indemnification of Metric-

11.1 IECOMM agrees to, and hareby does, fully indemnity, detend and save and hold Matrix harmises at all times in the event that Matrix shall at any time or from time to time suffer any damage, obligation, itability, loss, cost, expense, claim, sattement or cause of action (including all reasonable attorneys' fees) arising out of, resulting from or in connection with, or shall pay or become obligated to pay any sum on account of IECOMM's performance or hon-performance of obligations under this Agreement (an "Event of Matrix Indomnification").

11.2 Whenever any claim shall arise for indemnification pursuant to Section 11.1, above, Mattix shall promptly notify IECOMM of the claim and when known, the acts constituting the basis for such claim, provided that failure of Matrix to provide IECOMM with such notice shall not excuse or effect (ECOMM's indemnification obligations under Section 11.1, above, except to the extent that the failure to provide such notice shall actually projudice IECOMM. In the event IECOMM shall become obligated to Matrix pursuant to Section 11.1, above, or in the event that any suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding is begun, made or instituted as a result of which IECOMM may become obligated to Matrix thereunder, IECOMM shall have the right to defend, context or otherwise protect against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one or more counsel reasonably acceptable to Matrix. In the event IECOMM shall have the right to defend, context reasonably acceptable to Matrix. In the event IECOMM shall have such defense shall at all times be conducted by and under the control of IECOMM and hs coursel. In the event IECOMM elects not to defend, context or otherwise protect against any action, investigation, claim or proceeding, Matrix shall have the right, at IECOMM's expense, to pursue any such defense, context or protection.

#### 12. Indemnification of IECOMM.

12.1 Matrix agrees to, and hereby does, fully indetunity, defend and save and hold ECOMM harmless at all times in the event that ECOMM shall at any time or from time to time suffer any damage, obligation, Bability, loss, cost, expense, claim, settlement or cause of action (including all reasonable attorneys' fees) arising out of, resulting from or in connection with the performance or nonperformance of the Services; provided, however, any such damages, obligations, Babilities, losses, costs, expenses, claims, settlements, or causes of action do not arise out of, result from or be attributable to an Event of Matrix Indemnification.

12.2 Whenever any claim shall arise for indemnification pursuant to Section 12.1, IECOMM shall promptly notify Matrix of the claim and, when known, the facts constituting the basis for such claim, provided that failure of IECOMM to provide Matrix with such notice shall not excuse or attect Matrix's indemnification obligations under Section 12.1, except to the extent that the failure to provide such notice shall actually projudice Matrix. In the event Matrix shall become obligated to IECOMM pursuant to Section 12.1, or in the event that any suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding is begun, made or instituted as a result of which Matrix may become obligated to IECOMM thereunder, Matrix shall

P.L.

DEC-29-2000 13:32

CIFIC DATEWAY

2008 652 558 3299 P.85/87

and a second second second

23. S. A. A.

. .

· . .

have the right to defend, contest or otherwise protect against any such suit, action, investigation, claim or proceeding by one or more counsel of its choice reasonably acceptable to IECOMM. If Maint ao elects (o defend or contest, IECOMM shall have the right, at its expense, to participate in such defense, but such defense shall at all times be conducted by and under the control of Matha and its counsel. In the event Matrix elects not to defend, contest or otherwise protect against any such suit, investigation, claim or proceeding, IECOMM shall have the right, at Matrix's expense, to pursue any such defense, contest or proceeding. IECOMM shall have the right, at Matrix's expense, to pursue any such defense, contest or probable.

12.3 If the contemplated Closing does not occur and this Agreement is terminated according to Section 10(ii), Mathr shall be table for, shall pay or cause to be paid and shall indemnify and hold (ECO)MM and its affiliates and all of their officere, directors and against, harmless from and against any and all oceases, claims, demages, liabilities, costs, expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees and the cost and expenses of entorolog such indemnification against Mathd), interast and penalties, if any, arising out of or based upon or for or in respect of each of the following: (i) any and all income Taxes or franchise or other Taxes measured by not income with respect to the Assets (computed on a stand alone basis) for any taxable period (or partial period) commencing after the data hereof and continuing through the termination of this Agreement; (ii) any and all other Taxes with respect to the Assets for any Tax period commencing after the data hereof and continuing through the termination of this Agreement; (iii) any and all continuing through the termination of the data hereof and continuing through the termination of the data hereof and continuing through the termination of this Agreement; (ii) any and all continuing through the termination of this Agreement.

13. <u>Notices</u>. All notices, requests, demands and other communications made under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed given upon (a) confirmation of receipt of a faceimile transmission, (b) confirmed delivery by a standard overnight carrier or when delivered by hand, or (c) the expiration of three (3) business days after the day when melled by registered or certified mail (postage propaid, return receipt requested), addressed to the respective parties at the following addresses (or such other address for a party as shell be specified by size notice):

If to Matrix, to:

MATRIX TELECOM, INC. 300 N. Meridian Oklahoma City, OK 73107 Phone: 405-851-9300 Fax: 405-851-9449

If to IECOMM, for

International Exchange Communications, INC. 500 Airport Blvd, Suite 340 Burlingame, CA 34010 Phone 650-558-3183 Fax 650-675-6799

14. Entire Agreement. This Agreement and any agreements between or among the parties hereto of even date herewith constitute the entire agreement among the parties hereto relating to the subject matter hereof, and all prior agreements, correspondence, discussions and understandings of the parties (whether oral or written) are merged herein and superseded hereby, it being the intention of the parties hereto that this Agreement and the instruments and agreements contempiated hereby shall serve as the complete and exclusive statement of the terms of their agreement together. No amendment, weiver or modification hereto or hereunder shall be valid unless in writing signed by an authorized signatory of the party or parties to be affected thereby.

15. <u>Assignment</u>. This Agreement and the rights hereunder shall not be easignable or transferable (i) by Matrix without the prior written consent of IECOMM, except to an affiliate of Matrix or to a financial institution in connection with a financing related to this Agreement, or (ii) by IECOMM without the prior written consent of Matrix. The duties and obligations of a party hereunder shall not be delegable without the prior written consent of the other parties hereto.

16. <u>Binding Effect</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and permitted assigns.

1ºL

**j** .

DEC-29-2200 13:32 CIFIC GATELAY

· Dec-27-00 QB:50P 8111 Bricking

650 558 3299 P.06/07 719 6- 7722 P.U.

PL

សាលរាល

17. <u>Simplion Handloon</u>. The headings in this Agreement are for purposes of convenience and ease of reference only and shall not be construed to limit of otherwise sticut the meaning of any part of this Agreement.

18. <u>Severability</u>. The parties sprea that if any provision of this Agreement shall under any circumstances be deemed invalid or inoperative, this Agreement shall be construed with the invalid or inoperative provision detailed, and the sights and obligations of the parties shall be construed and enforced accordingly.

19. <u>Applicable Law</u>. All completes and interpretation of the Agreement and all questions entring in connection hereinth shall be governed by and construct is accordance with the internal laws of the State of Oldshoma without regard to the principles of conflicts of laws thereunder.

20. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be statilized in one or more original or tacemile counterparts, all of which shall be considered but one and the same apreament, and istant become effective when one or more such counterparts have been executed by each of the parties and delivered to the other parties.

21. Use of Terms. In this Aprenment, (i) the words "hereof," "herein," "herein," "herein," "hereunder" and words of similar import mean and refer to this Apresment as a whole and not merely in the specific section or clause in which the respective word oppears, (i) words importing gender instate the other genders as appropriate and (ii) any Lome defined in this Agreement may, unless the control echerwise requires, be used in the singular or the plural depending on the reference.

22. Explicitle Copy. This Agreement may be executed in facelimite copy with the same binding effect as an original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement as of the day, month and year first above witten.

International Eachange Communications, Inc.

By:

Printed.

THis

Date

MATRIX TELECO Ðy: IN COL Senior Vice 12.28.0 Dele:

KTBS LLP

CIFIC CATELARY

40007 658 558 3299 P.(7/27

# Exhibit A - Assets

1. All of (ECOMM's retail customer base, including but not limited to the following: entrodimately 30,000 customer accounts receiving 1+, bailing card, or toll-free services.

2. All data, databases, documentation, customer records, end-user call records for the past two years, orects information, correspondence, contracts, letters of suthority, customer subscription contracts, informal and formal Public Utility and PCC completing, etc., related to the Assets described harein.

3. All accounts receivable, notes receivable, customer receivables or other sums due to IECOMM for Direct billed service relating to the Assets prior to the Effective Date. Said amounts shall include Direct billed traffic remaining unbilled to the end-user on the Effective date in accordance with past billing practices.

4. Carrier identification Code \_\_\_\_0597, 0025, 5734, 5484, 5318 4, 5822 and corresponding ACNA( DCH for all 5 C)C's)

5. All of IECOMM's used or reserved soll-free telephone numbers, including but not limited to those set forth in Exhibit B.

6. Perpetual right to use IECOMM's name, logos, traje or service marks, etc., which have been - associated with the customer bass.

7. Any assets of the type described above which are acquired after the date hereof.

9. All IECOMM lockboxes and bank accounts used to receive customer and LEC payments. Each account will have a reconciled zero balance except for all deposits and receipts from and after the Effective Date.

3-----K

A.P.

# Exhibit B - Toll-Free Phone Numbers

÷

 $\{j_i\} \in \{j_i\}$ 

800 966-6106	800 322-0960
800 966-6166	800 360-1289
800 589-6812	800 253-1289
888 455-5461	800 232-9732
800 322-0964	800 810-9750
888 387-7722	

<u>;</u> .

CLARIFICATION The undersigned parties to the Management Services Agreement dated January 5, 2001, which was entered into on anobut December 29, 2000, pereby acknowledge costain clarifications to the MSH in order to seadure certain despites that have arisen regarding the interpretation of the MSA. As to the provision in Brachach & of the MSA which provides " Matrix shall pay to IE Comm eighty percent (80%) af all payments received for Direct billings the Effective Date, net af phon to

bad debts, credits and refunds, " the Undersigned parties acknowledge that all payments received for Direct billings (prior to the Effective means all payments received account of telephone calls prior to the Effective Date and the states the spective of A CAL when alle alle arts by netacl? customers that are billed digeetly (i.e., not through a local exchange carriers), regardless of whether such calls are such billed to stomers after the Effective Date.

fuithestassing Ke flaguing , In connection with this daupcation the parties fir ther acknowledge that with respect to payments for telephone calls made prior to the Effective Dates toll for which bills had not been transmitted to ustomer as of the Effective Date, the Matrix shall be oblighted to & to IECOMM only Sono of su payments, the the aggle of the account paynen telephine (AI prior to the Effective Date. which bills had been transmitted to customers

ψ Matrix as of the Effective Date, to remit Shall be obligated kMINA \$070 Ca to IE Com Further, the under tics adminiedge that the exclusive firun for resolution of any dispite from the MSA arising or this Clampication shall be the ( Bentinpley Com x the Dastrict o Caleps vu - 😥 to the provisions of paragraph 19 of 54 E "Applicable Law"

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over eighteen years of age, and I am not a party to this action. I am employed by Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP, and my business address is: 1880 Century Park East, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90067-1698. Klee, Tuchin, Bogdanoff & Stern LLP employs a member of the bar of the State of California at whose direction this service was made.

On December 18, 2002 I served the following pleading:

## ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH MATRIX TELECOM, INC. AND SALE OF ASSETS PURSUANT THERETO (REVISED VERSION]

on the interested parties in this action by placing true and correct copies of the pleading with the United States Postal Service, enclosed in sealed envelopes, with postage fully paid, addressed as indicated on the attached list.

Bennett L. Spiegel, Esq., Kirkland & Ellis 777 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Ben Logan, Esq. and Victoria Graff, Esq., O'Melveny and Myers LLP 400 South Hope Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

William J.A. Weir, Esq., Luce Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLC 121 Spear Street, Suite 200, San Francisco, CA 94105

John Moe, Esq., Luce Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP 777 South Figueroa, Suite 3600, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Steven L. Johnson, Esq., Office of the United States Trustee 250 Montgomery Street, Suite 1000, San Francisco, CA 94104-3401

Mr. David M. Davis, Pacific Gateway ExchangeInc. 500 Airport Drive, Suite 100, Burlingame, California 94010

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on December 18, 2002 at Los Angeles, California.

Jan E. Michael, Declarant

# ATTACHMENT D

# **CUSTOMER NOTIFICATION**

## PRELIMINARY DRAFT; 11/8/02

## NOTICE OF TRANSFER OF LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE SERVICE

[Date]

Dear Customer:

International Exchange Communications, Inc. ("IECom") currently provides your long distance service. Due to circumstances related to IECom's bankruptcy, Matrix Telecom, Inc. ("Matrix") has agreed to acquire the IECom name and will shortly begin providing long distance service to IECom customers. This transfer will ensure that customers of IECom continue to enjoy uninterrupted long distance service. This transfer will not affect your long distance rates nor the terms and conditions of your service. In fact, your long distance bill will continue to list IECom as your long distance provider.

The bankruptcy court has ordered this transition to occur as soon as possible after [INSERT EFFECTIVE DATE OF BANKRUPTCY ORDER]. Unless you have begun using a long distance provider other than IECom prior to this date, Matrix will transition your current long distance service to Matrix. The change to Matrix will not impact your local carrier selection.

The low rates you currently pay for long distance as well as your terms and conditions of service will remain unchanged. If, in the future, there are any changes to your rates or the terms and conditions of your service, they will be indicated on Matrix's website at www.matrixtelecom.com.

You have the right to subscribe to long distance service from any service provider you wish. This decision is entirely up to you, and you may choose to switch to another carrier either before or after this change occurs. Matrix values your continued business and will gladly respond to any questions or complaints you may have about IECom's service. When your service is transitioned to Matrix, you will not be billed a carrier change fee, however, selecting a carrier other than Matrix may result in such a charge being imposed by that carrier.

If you have arranged a preferred carrier freeze through your local carrier on the service(s) involved in this transfer, the freeze will be removed in order to transition your service to Matrix. After the transfer, you must contact your local carrier if you want to re-establish a preferred carrier freeze.

If you have any questions regarding this notice, please contact Matrix at [INSERT APPLICABLE TOLL FREE CUSTOMER SERVICE NUMBER].

Sincerely,

## PUBLIC DOCUMENT -

# CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION FILED UNDER PROTECTIVE ORDER IN NON-PUBLIC VERSION

# ATTACHMENT E

# MATRIX TELECOM, INC.

# FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS