

MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File
Case No. **IK-2011-0388**
Party: Windstream Missouri, Inc.
Type of Carrier:
☒ ILEC
☐ CLEC
☐ Wireless

Party: AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc.
Type of Carrier:
☐ ILEC
☒ CLEC
☐ Wireless

From: Kari Salsman, Telecommunications Department

William Voight 7-7-11
Utility Operations Division/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement or
Amendment to Interconnection Agreement

Date: 7-7-11

Date Filed: 6-6-11

Staff Deadline: 7-15-11

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted:

- ☐ Interconnection Agreement
☒ Amendment not previously approved

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement or Amendment to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

☐ The applicants have not submitted a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment.

☒ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment.

Additional Interconnection Agreement or Amendment Review Items

☒ No applications to intervene filed.

☒ Agreement or Amendment signed by both Parties.

Additional recommendations or special considerations (if any):

☒ The Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report, paying the PSC assessment, paying Relay Missouri, and paying MoUSF.

☐ No annual report ☐ Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:

☐ Unpaid MoUSF ☐ Unpaid Relay Missouri

The Company is either delinquent or is not shown to be submitting revenue into the indicated fund based on the latest records available to the MoPSC. Failure to submit revenue to either the Relay Missouri Fund or the Missouri USF fund should not necessarily reflect the company is delinquent.

Under penalty of perjury, I affirm that the above statement is true and correct.


KARI SALSMAN