#hublic Volume 2 - Technical Session RECEIVED: 03/01/12, 1:06:49 PM 1 BEFORE THE 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN 3 INVESTIGATION INTO PRACTICES OF 4 HALO WIRELESS, INC., and TRANSCOM) Docket No. ENHANCED SERVICES, INC. 9594-TI-100 5 6 7 EXAMINER MICHAEL E. NEWMARK, PRESIDING 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 FEBRUARY 28, 2012 10 VOLUME 2 11 TECHNICAL SESSION 12 Reported By: 13 JENNIFER M. STEIDTMANN, RPR, CRR 14 Gramann Reporting, Ltd. (414) 272 - 787815 16 HEARING HELD: TRANSCRIPT PAGES: 17 February 28, 2012 1 - 141,18 151 - 307, Incl. Madison, Wisconsin 19 EXHIBITS: 9:30 a.m. 20 Prefiled; J.S. McPhee 5; T. McCabe 17; L. 21 Robinson 13 22 23 24 25

Transcript of Proceedings - February 28, 2012

Transcript of Proceedings - February 28, 2012 Volume 2 - Technical Session

1		only reason was to disguise.
2	A	In my experience
3		MR. FRIEDMAN: There's no question.
4	BY I	MR. McCOLLOUGH:
5	Q	Okay. You say in here the only reason was to
6		disguise, to deceive. Isn't it at least possible
7		that Halo was telling the world, responsible party's
8		Transcom, here's your billing telephone number?
9		Isn't that possible?
10	A	It seems far-fetched, but I suppose in some world it
11		might be.
12	Q	Generally when people are out there trying to
13		deceive, they're hiding something, aren't they?
14	A	I believe that's true.
15	Q	How is signaling additional information specifically
16		identifiable to a particular customer hiding
17		something?
18	А	When it's not the original customer, it's some sort
19		of deception.
20	Q	That's Halo's customer?
21	A	It may or may not be Halo's customer, but it has
22		nothing to do with the originator of the call.
23	Q	Granted, granted. Now, you understand Halo took the
24		position all along, even before the FCC order, based
25		on our reading of all the rules, we thought Transcom
L.		



Transcript of Proceedings - February 28, 2012 Volume 2 - Technical Session

1		was the originating party. You understand we took
2		that position, right?
3	A	I've read that.
4	Q	Okay. And the FCC disagreed on November 18th?
5	A	I've read that, too.
6	Q	So just in terms of intent, isn't it at least
7	3	possible that what Halo was saying is I've got an end
8		user customer and I'm going to act much like AT&T
9		does when it has an ISDN PBX customer with PRI and,
10		you know, if the charge numbers I mean, if the CPN
11		doesn't signify, quote, the people we think to be the
12		responsible party, we're going to signal it and
13		charge them?
14		MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm going to object on two
15		grounds. One is it was asked, albeit in a slightly
16		different form, and already answered. Second is
17		it's cumulative and argumentative. The testimony
18		says what it says. Counsel has made his point. I'm
19		not sure how much use it would be to the Commission
20		to have further debate on this.
21		EXAMINER NEWMARK: Sustained.
22	BY M	R. McCOLLOUGH:
23	Q	Page 8 of your direct
24		MR. McCOLLOUGH: And by the way, Your
25		Honor, if we get to a stopping point that's



Transcript of Proceedings - February 28, 2012 Volume 2 - Technical Session

adjust down the number. You know, that's what the witness said. My point, Your Honor, is, you know, I had to sit here and cross-examine this guy, and he'd say, okay, well, I can fix that by changing the number and add to that an amount that supposedly wireline originated would go down and down and down. At some point that would get mighty small.

He's acknowledged that even the TDS numbers that showed up might well have been originated on a wireless unit using an ESP, Skype, going to another ESP, we say Transcom and to Halo. And our contention is that's originating with Halo, and I don't think no matter how many times you read those two paragraphs, the FCC said it is not. What they were talking about is traffic that does originate on other carriers' networks.

Now, is it true that Halo has said, sure, some of these calls may have started somewhere else, but if you read the rebuttal, what Mr. Wiseman said was we built our business plan reading these FCC rules and, oh, by the way, not just the FCC, the Court of Appeals decisions out of the D.C. Circuit that said ESPs are end users and originate calls.

I just want to make sure that the Commission understands that you can't always put

