Exhibit No.:Issues:Energy Jurisdictional AllocationWitness:Erin L. MaloneySponsoring Party:MO PSC StaffType of Exhibit:True-Up Direct TestimonyCase No.:ER-2006-0314Date Testimony Prepared:November 7, 2006

#### **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

#### **TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY**

#### OF

#### **ERIN L. MALONEY**

#### **TRUE-UP DIRECT**

#### CASE NO. ER-2006-0314

Jefferson City, Missouri November 2006

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas ) City Power & Light Company for ) Approval to Make Certain Changes in its ) Charges for Electric Service to Begin the ) Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan )

Case No. ER-2006-0314

#### AFFIDAVIT OF ERIN L. MALONEY

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF COLE )

Erin L. Maloney, of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the following True-Up Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_{\underline{}}$  pages of True-Up Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following True-Up Direct Testimony were given by her; that she has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Erin L. Malonev

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $3^{\prime\prime\prime}$  day of November, 2006.

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086

My commission expires 9-2/-10

1	<b>TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY</b>								
2 3	OF								
4 5	ERIN L. MALONEY								
6 7	KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY								
8 9	CASE NO. ER-2006-0314								
10 11									
12	Q. Please state your name and business address.								
13	A. My name is Erin L. Maloney and my business address is Missouri Public								
14	Service Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.								
15	Q. Are you the same Erin L. Maloney who filed direct, rebuttal, and								
16	surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?								
17	A. Yes, I am.								
18	Q. Why are you filing this true-up direct testimony?								
19	A. There is a change in the calculated values of the jurisdictional energy								
20	allocators as a result of changes in the Net System Input (NSI) provided by Staff witness								
21	Shawn E. Lange.								
22	Q. Have you changed the way the jurisdictional energy allocators are								
23	calculated or included any other adjustments?								
24	A. No. The energy allocation factor for an individual jurisdiction is								
25	calculated as the ratio of the adjusted annual kilowatt-hour (kWh) usage in the particular								
26	jurisdiction to the total adjusted kWh usage in all jurisdictions. The sum of the energy								
27	allocation factors across jurisdictions equals one.								
28	Q. How will the change in the jurisdictional energy allocation factors affect								
29	this case?								

# True-Up Direct Testimony of Erin L. Maloney

1	А.	The re-c	alculated	jurisdiction	al energy	allocation	factors have be	en	
2	provided to	d to Staff witness Phil Williams for use in the preparation of the true-up							
3	accounting schedules.								
4	Q.	Q. What is your recommendation?							
5	A.	A. I recommend that the Commission adopt the adjusted energy allocation							
6	factors calculated from data on the attached Schedule 1 to this true-up direct testimony								
7	and as shown in Table 1 as follows:								
	Table 1 Jurisdictional Energy Allocation Factors – True Up								
			Missour	ri Retail	<u>Kansas l</u>	<u>Retail</u>	<u>Wholesale</u>		
		Energy	.5655		.4256		.0089		
8									
9	Q.	Q. Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?							
10	А.	A. Yes, it does.							

TDIIEIID

# Kansas City Power & Light JURISDICTIONAL ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTORS

#### Case No. ER-2006-0314

#### Staff Recommendation

### Components of Net System Input (NSI) - True Up

## Normalized Energy (kWh) with Losses by Jurisdiction

						IRUEUP
						Nov-06
	Energy (kwh)	Large Customer	Normalization for	Additional kWh	Total KCP&L	Jurisdictional Energy
	w/losses	Annualizations**	Weather	from Cust Growth**	Normalized kWh	Allocation Factors
Mo Retail	9,048,186,068	(294,044)	(106,330,915)	56,502,618	8,998,063,727	0.565502796
Non-Mo Retail	6,741,261,990	(12,776,736)	(108,604,842)	152,152,543	6,772,032,954	0.425603073
Wholesale	143,054,274	-	(1,534,262)	-	141,520,012	0.008894132
*						
NSI	15,932,502,332	(13,070,780)	(216,470,019)	208,655,161	15,911,616,694	1

\*\* Staff witness Ms. Kim Bolin provided the adjustments for large customer annualizations and additional kWh from customer growth as per her True-Up Direct Testimony.

	As Filed in Direct Testimony						
	Energy w/losses	Large Customer Annualizations	Normalization for Weather	Additional kWh from Cust Growth	Total KCP&L Normalized kWh	Factors as filed August 2006	
Mo Retail	9,048,186,068	35,091,217	(106,330,915)	28,648,206	9,005,594,576	0.566757004	
Non-Mo Retail	6,741,261,990	4,187,176	(108,604,842)	105,733,693	6,742,578,016	0.424336593	
Wholesale	143,054,274	0	(1,534,262)	0	141,520,012	0.008906403	
Company Use*	24,871,625				24,871,625		
NSI	15,957,373,957	39,278,393	(216,470,019)	134,381,899	15,914,564,230	1	

\*Company Use was removed from the NSI total as per Staff witness Shawn Lange's True-Up Direct Testimony.