Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness/Type of Exhibit:

Sponsoring Party: Case No.: Production Allocation Meisenheimer/ Supplemental-Surrebuttal Public Counsel ER-2010-0036

SUPPLEMENTAL SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BARBARA A. MEISENHEIMER

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMERENUE

Case No. ER-2010-0036

March 12, 2010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2010-0036

AFFIDAVIT OF BARBARA A. MEISENHEIMER

STATE OF MISSOURI) SS COUNTY OF COLE

Barbara A. Meisenheimer, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- My name is Barbara A. Meisenheimer. I am a Chief Utility Economist for the Office 1. of the Public Counsel.
- Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my supplemental surrebuttal 2. testimony.
- I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached affidavit are 3. true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to me this 12th day of March, 2010.



SHYLAH C. BROSSIER My Commission Expires June 8, 2013 Cole County Commission #09812742

Shylah (. Brossier Shylah C. Brossier

Notary Public

My commission expires June 8th, 2013.

SUPPLEMENTAL SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BARBARA A. MEISENHEIMER

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMERENUE CASE NO. ER-2010-0036

1 **Q.** PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. Barbara A. Meisenheimer, Chief Utility Economist, Office of the Public Counsel, P. O.
2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

4 Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes, I filed direct testimony on class cost of service on January 6, 2010, rebuttal
testimony on class cost of service on February 11, 2010, supplemental direct testimony
on low-income program issues on February 19, 2010 and supplemental rebuttal testimony
on low-income program issues on February 26, 2010, and surrebuttal testimony on class
cost of service and low-income issues on March 5, 2010.

10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my supplemental surrebuttal testimony is to update and correct my
 previous testimony related to the allocation of production costs.

14

13

Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony of Barbara A. Meisenheimer Case No. ER-2010-0036

10

1 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE UPDATE AND CORRECTION TO THE TOU ALLOCATORS.

A. Following the filing of rebuttal testimony, the Staff revised the market prices used in its 2 3 RealTime fuel model run. In surrebuttal testimony, I indicated that Public Counsel would attempt to evaluate the impact this might have on the TOU allocators and would update 4 5 our TOU allocators as needed. Based on revised runs of the RealTime model, using the 6 Staff's revised prices, and making a correction pointed out by Mr. Stowe of MIEC, I 7 recalculated the TOU allocators and determined that the revised prices and correction had little impact on the production capacity or production fuel allocators. The revised results 8 9 are illustrated below:

	Table 1.						
	RES	<u>SGS</u>	LGS/SPS	LPS	LTS		
TOU Capacity Allocator	38.15%	9.81%	31.71%	10.02%	10.31%		
Revised TOU Capacity Allocator	38.09%	9.79%	31.74%	10.03%	10.36%		
	<u>RES</u>	<u>SGS</u>	LGS/SPS	<u>LPS</u>	<u>LTS</u>		
TOU Fuel Allocator	37.01%	9.71%	32.14%	10.35%	10.79%		
Revised TOU Fuel Allocator	36.99%	9.69%	32.14%	10.38%	10.81%		

11 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANOTHER CORRECTION TO YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. Yes. I need to correct the pure coincident and non-coincident peaks included in Table 2
of my surrebuttal testimony. Revised Table 2, shown below, illustrates the parties'
allocators compared to allocators based purely on class shares of the coincident peak,
non-coincident peak and annual energy consumption:

Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony of Barbara A. Meisenheimer Case No. ER-2010-0036

Revised Table 2.

	RES	SGS	LGS/SPS	LPS	LTS
Pure CP Allocation	48.44%	10.40%	27.70%	7.64%	5.82%
Pure NCP Allocation	47.80%	10.92%	28.11%	7.58%	5.58%
BAI & AmerenUE	46.65%	11.01%	28.63%	7.79%	5.92%
Staff Ave & 4CP	41.07%	10.41%	30.66%	9.20%	8.64%
OPC Ave & 4CP	40.69%	10.33%	30.92%	9.49%	8.57%
Staff Capacity Utilization	40.59%	10.40%	30.86%	9.31%	8.84%
OPC TOU	38.15%	9.81%	31.71%	10.02%	10.31%
Pure Energy Allocation	36.93%	9.76%	32.24%	10.63%	10.43%

2 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SUPPLEMENTAL SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

3 A.

Yes.

1

3