## CURTIS, OETTING, HEINZ, GARRETT & SOULE, P. C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

130 SOUTH BEMISTON, SUITE 200 ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63105 (314) 725-8788

FACSIMILE (314) 725-8789 www.cohgs.com EMAIL ADDRESS clumley@cohgs.com

August 7, 2000

Dale Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Missouri Public Service Commission
Truman State Office Building, 5th Floor
301 West High Street
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101-1517

Re:

CARL J. LUMLEY

Case No. TC-2000-225, et al.

FILED<sup>2</sup>

Service Commission

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed please find for filing with the Commission in connection with the above-referenced proceeding an original and nine copies of Amendment to Direct Testimony of Pat Senft. Upon your receipt, please file stamp the extra copy received and return to the undersigned. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

CJL:dn

**Enclosures** 

cc. Michael Dandino, Office of Public Counsel (W/Enclosure)

Dan Joyce, General Counsel (W/Enclosure) Anthony Conroy, SWBT (W/Enclosure)

## 

## AMENDMENT TO PREFIILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PAT SENFT

COME NOW Complainants and herewith file the following amended pages 2 and 3, attached hereto as Exhibit A, to the Direct Testimony of Pat Senft witness for BroadSpan Communications, Inc. d/b/a Primary Network Communications, Inc., which was filed with the Commission on May 1, 2000.

CURTIS, OETTING, HENIZ, GARRETT & SQULE, P.C.

Carl J Lumley, #32869 Letand B. Curtis, #20550 130 South Bemiston, Suite 200 Clayton, Missouri 63105 (314) 725-8788 (314) 725-8789 (Fax)

Attorneys for BroadSpan Communications, Inc. d/b/a Primary Network Communications, Inc.



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Michael Dandino Office of Public Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-5562

Dan Joyce General Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-9285

Anthony K. Conroy Legal Department Southwestern Bell Telephone Company One Bell Center, Room 3516 St. Louis, MO 63101 (314) 247-0014

traffic. The fourth column states the reciprocal compensation charges for such minutes.
The fifth column shows any adjustments. The sixth column is available to show finance
charges, but none are shown because such charges will be separately calculated later
either in negotiations with SWBT or in any court proceeding necessary to collect
amounts owed. The seventh column restates the invoiced charges. The eighth column
shows payments by SWBT. The ninth column shows the balance due, combining all
invoices and payments as of each date shown. The tenth column indicates the invoices to
which SWBT directed its payments.

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. Did you prepare Senft Schedule 1?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12
- 13 Q. Is the information on Senft Schedule 1 accurate?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15
- 16 Q. What reciprocal compensation rates did you use?
- 17 A. The rates adopted by PNC from the Brooks/SWBT interconnect agreement and invoiced
- to SWBT, as described by Blake Ashby in his testimony.
- 19
- 20 Q. Do the usage minutes stated in column three include local calls from SWBT
- 21 customers to ISPs served by PNC?



The usage minutes comprise all local calls from SWBT customers to PNC customers that 1 A. 2 are subject to reciprocal compensation, which currently consists solely of calls terminating to an ISP served by PNC. 3 4 Q. Has SWBT paid reciprocal compensation to PNC on local calls from SWBT 5 6 customers to the ISP served by PNC? 7 SWBT has not paid PNC's invoices in full. While SWBT has indicated that it has A. 8 attempted to avoid paying reciprocal compensation on ISP-bound local traffic, it has 9 nonetheless made payments on such traffic. 10

12 A. Yes.