ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI
WASHINGTON, D.C.
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI
OVERLAND PARK, KANSAS
PHOENIX, ARIZONA
SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

DIANA M. VUYLSTEKE

DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (314) 259-2543

BRYAN CAVE LLP

RIVERVIEW OFFICE CENTER

221 BOLIVAR STREET, SUITE 101

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65101-1574

(573) 556-6620

FACSIMILE: (573) 556-6630

RIYADH, SAUDI ARABIA
KUWAIT CITY, KUWAIT
ABU DHABI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
DUBAI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
HONG KONG
SHANGHAI, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
IN ASSOCIATION WITH BRYAN CAVE,

FILED

JUL 23 2001

Missouri Public Service Commission

A MULTINATIONAL PARTNERSHIP.
LONDON, ENGLAND

INTERNET ADDRESS
DMYUYLSTEKE@BRYANCAVE.COM

July 20, 2001

By Hand Delivery

The Honorable Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, Suite 100 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Re:

Case No. EC-2002-1

Dear Judge Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case are an original and fourteen (14) copies of the *Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers' Response Regarding Procedural Schedule* in the above-referenced case.

Thank you for your assistance in bringing this filing to the attention of the Commission

Very truly yours,

-Ji ana Viiylsteke Diana M. Vuylsteke

SL01DOCS/446819.01

DMV:dv Enclosures cc: All parties

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI



Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission,) Missouri Public Service Commission
Complainant,)
v.) Case No. EC-2002-1
Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE,)))
Respondent.)

MISSOURI INDUSTRIAL ENERGY CONSUMERS' RESPONSE REGARDING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Comes now Adam's Mark Hotel, Alcoa Foil Products, Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc., The Boeing Company, Ford Motor Company, General Motors Corporation, Holnam, Hussmann Refrigeration, ISP Minerals, Mallinckrodt, Inc., MEMC Electronic Materials, Monsanto Company, Precoat Metals, Procter & Gamble Manufacturing, Ralston Purina and Solutia, hereafter referred to as the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers ("MIEC") and files its response to the procedural schedules proposed in this case by the Commission Staff and Union Electric Company ("UE").

- 1. The MIEC supports the procedural schedule proposed by the Staff in the Staff Excess Earnings Complaint Against Union Electric Company filed on July 2, 2001.
- 2. The MIEC objects to the procedural schedule proposed by UE in the Response if Union Electric Company to Staff's Proposed Procedural Schedule filed on July 10, 2001. UE's proposal would harm Missouri ratepayers by unnecessarily delaying rate reductions. In particular, the MIEC strongly opposes UE's proposal for the filing of rebuttal testimony by intervenors months before UE is required to file its own rebuttal

SL01DOCS/1168014.01

testimony. Fairness requires that UE's rebuttal testimony be filed at the same time as intervenors' rebuttal testimony.

WHEREFORE, the MIEC requests that the Commission accept the procedural schedule proposed by the Commission Staff and reject the procedural schedule proposed by UE.

Respectfully submitted,

BRYAN CAVE, LLP

Diana M. Vuylsteke, #42419

211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

Telephone: (314) 259-2543 Facsimile: (314) 259-2020

E-mail: dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Drame Vuylstoke

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed to all parties this 20th day of July, 2001.