EXHIBIT

Exhibit No.: Issue(s):

FILED

August 11, 2016

Data Center

Missouri Public

Service Commission

OPCOID

Vegetation Management Expense and Trackers / Advanced Coal Tax Credit (ITC)

Over-Collection /

Iatan 2, Iatan Common, & Plum Point Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Expense and

Trackers (Generation Plant O&M Trackers)/

May 2011 Tornado Deferrals/
Iatan 1, Iatan 2, & Plum Point Carrying Costs/
Southwestern Power Administration (SWPA)

Hydro Reimbursement/ Bad Debt Expense/

Riverton 12 Long-Term Maintenance

Contract Tracker (LTM)

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Sponsoring Party:

Sponsoring Party: Case No.: Roth/Direct Public Counsel

ER-2016-0023

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KERI ROTH

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2016-0023

April 1, 2016

Date 6-2-16 Reporter BKF File No. ER-2016-6023

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of The Empire District) Electric Company's Request for) Authority to Implement a General) Case No. Rate Increase for Electric Service)	ER-2016-0023			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OF KERI I</u>	<u> ROTH</u>			
STATE OF MISSOURI) Output O				
Keri Roth, of lawful age and being first duly swor	n, deposes and states:			
1. My name is Keri Roth. I am a Public Utility Public Counsel.	y Accountant II for the Office of the			
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for	all purposes is my direct testimony.			
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.				
Keri /R Public	20 PDUL Loth Utility Accountant II			
Subscribed and sworn to me this 1st day of April 2016.				
(CACINGS) Commission #13764037	A. Buckman			

Jerene A. Buckman Notary Public

My Commission expires August, 2017.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	Page
Introduction	1
Vegetation Management Expense and Trackers	3
Advanced Coal Tax Credit (ITC) Over-Collection	6
Iatan 2, Iatan Common, & Plum Point Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Expense and Trackers (Generation Plant O&M Trackers)	7
May 2011 Tornado Deferrals	10
Iatan 1, Iatan 2, & Plum Point Carrying Costs	11
Southwestern Power Administration (SWPA) Hydro Reimbursement	11
Bad Debt Expense	12
Riverton 12 Long-Term Maintenance Contract Tracker (LTM)	14

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF.

KERI ROTH EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2016-0023

		CASE IVO. EX 2010 0020
1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	Keri Roth, P.O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-2230.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC" or "Public Counsel") as a Public Utility Accountant.
7	Q.	On whose behalf are you testifying?
8	A.	I am testifying on behalf of the OPC.
9	Q.	What is the nature of your duties at the OPC?
10 11	A.	My duties include performing audits and examinations of the books and records of public utilities operating within the state of Missouri.
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
13 14	A.	I graduated in May 2011 from Lincoln University in Jefferson City with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting.
15	Q.	Have you received specialized training related to public utility accounting?
16 17	A.	Yes. In addition to being employed by the OPC since September 2012, I have also attended the NARUC Utility Rate School held by Michigan State University.
	l i	

[]	Keri R	Testimony of oth oth Vo. ER-2016-0023
1	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before the Missouri Public Service Commission
2		("Commission" or "PSC")?
3 .	A.	Yes. Please refer to Schedule KNR-1, attached to this testimony, for a listing of cases in
4		which I have submitted testimony.
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
6	A.	In this testimony, I sponsor OPC's positions regarding Empire's:
7		Vegetation management expense and tracker amortizations from Case No. ER-2014-
8		0351;Advanced Coal Project Investment Tax Credit (ITC) over-collection;
LO		• Iatan 2, Iatan Common, and Plum Point operations and maintenance (O&M)
L1		expense and tracker amortizations from Case No. ER-2014-0351;
L2 L3		 May 2011 tornado deferrals; Iatan 1, Iatan 2, and Plum Point carrying costs;
L4		Southwestern Power Administration (SWPA) hydro reimbursement;
L5		Bad debt expense; and
L6 L7		Riverton 12 O&M expense and tracker.
18	Q.	Does your testimony address most, if not all, expense trackers authorized by the
L9		Commission for Empire?
20	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Should any of these expense trackers be specifically included in Empire's rate base?
21 1 22 1 23 24	A.	The only tracker that should be included is the prepaid pension asset as it represents an
23		actual shareholder prepayment of funds contributed to Empire's pension plan. These funds
24		cannot be used for general corporate purchases.
25		The impact of the other expense trackers is reflected in the Cash Working Capital (CWC)
26		component of Empire's rate base. To the extent Empire can show these expense trackers are
7		not reflected in the CWC component of rate base, and Empire can justify why expense

.

trackers should be included in rate base, OPC will consider rate base inclusion later in this case. In making this determination, OPC will consider the appropriateness in charging Empire's ratepayers a return on these trackers.

II. VEGETATION MANAGEMENT EXPENSE AND TRACKERS

Q. How long has Empire's vegetation management/infrastructure inspection tracker been in place?

- A. Empire's first vegetation management/infrastructure inspection tracker was authorized and established in its 2008 rate case, numbered ER-2008-0093. Since Empire's 2008 rate case, a new vegetation management tracker has been authorized by the Commission in Case Nos. ER-2010-0130, ER-2011-0004, and ER-2012-0345. However, in ER-2010-0130, the infrastructure inspection part of the tracker was eliminated.
- Q. Did Empire combine the balances of the vegetation management trackers from its 2008, 2010, and 2011 rate cases with the vegetation management tracker balance from the 2012 rate case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Please identify the vegetation management expense trackers currently being recovered in Empire's utility rates.
- A. Empire's response to OPC Data Request 1103 provided the following information shown in the chart below:

Direct Testimony of Keri Roth Case No. ER-2016-0023

Tracker	Commission	Amortization	Amortization	Monthly	Balance @
Trucker	Order(s)*	Start Date	End Date	Amortization	10/31/2015
Veg./Infrastructure Inspection Tracker – ER-2008-0093	ER-2008-0093 ER-2010-0130 ER-2014-0351	Sep-2010	Sep-2015	\$24,376	Balance transferred to Account 182348
Veg. Tracker – ER-2010-0130	ER-2010-0130 ER-2011-0004 ER-2014-0351	Jun-2011	Dec-2016	\$30,716	Balance transferred to Account 182348
Veg. Tracker — ER-2011-0004	ER-2011-0004 ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Apr-2013	Mar-2018	\$83,977	Balance transferred to Account 182348
Veg. Tracker – ER-2012-0345	ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Aug-2015	Jul-2020	\$86,036**	\$3,776,316
Total				\$86,036	\$3,776,316

^{*}First Commission Order listed is for tracker authorization. Second Commission Order listed is for authorization for recovery of cost balances (Veg. Tracker – ER-2012-0345 balance includes balances from previous trackers). Third Commission Order listed is for authorization to transfer balance of tracker to vegetation tracker in Case No. ER-2012-0345.

**Monthly amortization includes previous trackers.

Q. Was the vegetation management tracker discontinued in Case No. ER-2014-0351?

- A. Yes. Per page 3 of the *Revised Stipulation and Agreement and List of Issues* attached to the Commission's *Report and Order*:
 - 8. **Vegetation Management Tracker:** The Signatories agree that the trackers will be discontinued, with the accumulated balance to be trued up in Empire's next general rate case.
- Q. What is the balance of the vegetation management tracker to be trued up, as authorized in the 2014 rate case?
- A. As of July 31, 2015 the balance to be trued up is a regulatory liability of \$1,319,525.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

booked to Accounts 571, 593, and 594.

Based on the observed decreasing cost trend subsequent to September 2012, OPC

recommends using a 2-year average of vegetation management expense of \$11,231,885

III. ADVANCED COAL TAX CREDIT (ITC) OVER-COLLECTION

Q. What is the ITC?

- A. The ITC is the result of an investment tax credit related to Empire's investments in the Iatan 2 generation plant located in Iatan, MO, co-owned with majority owner Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCPL"). Empire's application for the credit was initially denied because KCPL had been awarded the full amount of the tax credits. A binding arbitration panel ruled the credits should be reallocated to the Iatan 2 co-owners. The Internal Revenue Service granted the reallocations, amounting to \$17,712,500 being available to Empire.
- Q. Has the Commission authorized the tracking of revenue related to the recovery of an Iatan 2 ITC tax liability?
- A. Yes. The Commission approved the *Stipulation and Agreement* from the 2012 rate case including authorization to track the tax liability of \$266,150.
- Q. Did Empire over-collect for its ITC tax liability?
- A. Yes. This is shown in Empire's response to OPC Data Request 1113 in Case No. ER-2014-0351.
- Q. In Empire's 2014 rate case, did the Commission order Empire to issue a refund to customers through rates via an amortization?
- A. Yes. Per the Revised Stipulation and Agreement and List of Issues attached to the Commission's Report and Order, on page 9:
 - 27. ITC Balance: The Signatories agree Empire will refund through rates via an amortization over 24 months, to begin with the effective date of rates in this case, the ITC over-collection balance as of December 31, 2014, of \$205,593. Additional over-recovery of the ITC from January 2015 through the effective date of rates for this case will be reviewed during Empire's next rate case.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 \$2,518,440, Missouri jurisdictional, for Plum Point. Empire shall

record a regulatory asset or liability for the difference between actual

expense and annual costs of \$2,818,683, Missouri jurisdictional, for

Iatan 2 and Common.

- 1 2
- Q. Did Empire combine the balances of the trackers from the 2011 rate case with the tracker balances from the 2012 rate case for Iatan 2, Iatan Common, and Plum Point, separately?
- 3

A. Yes.

0.

- 5
- 6
- 7 8
- A. Empire's response to OPC Data Request 1103 provided the following information shown in

Please identify the O&M expense trackers currently being recovered in Empire's

the chart below:		,			
Tracker	Commission Order(s)*	Amortization Start Date	Amortization End Date	Monthly Amortization	Balance (
					Ralance

Tracker	Commission	Amortization	Amortization	Monthly	Balance @
11ackei	Order(s)*	Start Date	End Date	Amortization	10/31/2015
PP O&M Tracker ER-2011-0004	ER-2011-0004 ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Apr-2013	Mar-2016	\$1,933	Balance transferred to Account 182374
IatanII OM Tracker – ER- 2011-0004	ER-2011-0004 ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Apr-2013	Mar-2016	\$3,007	Balance transferred to Account 182375
IatCom OM Tracker – ER- 2011-0004	ER-2011-0004 ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Apr-2013	Mar-2016	\$71,945	Balance transferred to Account 182376
PP O&M Tracker - ER-2012-0345	ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Aug-2015	Jul-2020	(\$17,796)**	(\$841,865)
IatanII OM Tracker – ER- 2012-0345	ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Aug-2015	Jul-2020	(\$17,324)**	\$486,323
IatCom OM Tracker – ER- 2012-0345	ER-2012-0345 ER-2014-0351	Aug-2015	Jul-2020	\$48,598**	\$21,163
Total				\$13,478	(\$334,379)

^{*}First Commission Order listed is for tracker authorization. Second Commission Order listed is for authorization for recovery of cost balances (Trackers from Case No. ER-2012-0345 includes balances from previous trackers). Third Commission Order listed is for authorization to transfer

2

Q.

4

5

3

6 7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

17

16

18

19 20

21

balance of tracker to trackers in Case No. ER-2012-0345. **Monthly amortization includes previous trackers.

.

A. Yes. Per the Revised Stipulation and Agreement and List of Issues attached to the Commission's Report and Order, on page 3:

Was the generation plant O&M trackers discontinued in the 2014 rate case?

- 9. Iatan 2/Iatan Common/Plum Point O&M Trackers: The Signatories agree that the trackers will be discontinued, with the accumulated balances to be trued up in Empire's next general rate case.
- Q. What is OPC's recommendation regarding the tracker balances?
- A. OPC recommends combining the balances of the respective trackers into single trackers for Iatan 2, Iatan Common, and Plum Point to make it easier and more efficient to monitor all the trackers in three balances rather than six. OPC also recommends amortizing the trackers over five years.
- Q. What is the proposed combined Iatan 2 tracker balance?
- A. The total balance of the Iatan 2 trackers, through March 31, 2016, is an under-collection of \$705,418.
- Q. What is the proposed combined Iatan Common tracker amortization balance?
- A. The total balance of the Iatan Common trackers, through March 31, 2016, is an over-collection of \$296,771.
- Q. What is the proposed combined Plum Point tracker amortization balance?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Does OPC recommend including this amount in rate base?

As of September 2015, OPC believes the remaining unamortized balance to be \$3,018,860.

Direct Testimony of

- 1
- A. Yes, in the 2011 rate case.
- 2
- Q. What is the remaining balance to be returned to customers?
- 3
- is \$11,149,905.
- 4
- Q. How does OPC recommend treating the remaining balance?
- 6 7

A. Consistent with OPC's position on the deferred debit expense trackers, this deferred credit revenue tracker also should not be used to reduce Empire's rate base. OPC recommends the continuation of the 10-year amortization period.

As of September 30, 2015, OPC believes the remaining balance to be returned to customers

9

8

VIII. BAD DEBT EXPENSE

11 12

10

Q. Has Empire changed its bad debt write-off policy or procedures since the last rate case?

No. In response to Staff Data Request 66, Empire witness Rob Sager states, "No changes

its' Bad Debt workpaper. In response to Staff Data Request 206 in Case No. ER-2012-

0345, Empire states: "The final bill is due 21 days from the statement mailing date. If

unpaid, on the second day after the due date, a collection notice is sent advising the

customer the account will be turned over to a collection agency if unpaid or suitable

arrangements are not made within 10 days. After the 10 days, any accounts that remain

13

14

have been made to the Bad Debt write-off policy or procedures since the last rate case."

OPC's bad debt adjustment is based on Empire's response to Staff Data Request 66.1 and

unpaid are written off and sent to a collection agency."

- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- Q. What level of bad debt expense is Empire proposing to include in its cost of service in this rate case?

2,0

- A. In its direct filing, Empire proposes a 5-year average of actual bad debt write-offs. When multiplied by Empire's proposed annual retail revenues of \$458,465,188, this results in an annualized bad debt expense of \$2,429,407. Based on the level of bad debt expense included in the Staff's ER-2014-0351 "Accounting Schedule 09, Income Statement", Line 111 of \$2,253,726, Empire's proposed bad debt expense adjustment is \$176,681.
- Q. Can a 5-year average of bad debt write-offs be an appropriate method to normalize bad debt or uncollectible accounts expense?
- A. Yes, depending on the volatility or trend in the underlying data. For example, when there is significant volatility in an expense and no trend toward an increase or a decrease, a 5-year average may be appropriate. In fact, sometimes the volatility in an expense can be so great as to require an even longer averaging period.
- Q. What level of bad debt expense is OPC proposing to include in its cost of service in this rate case?
- A. OPC is proposing a 3-year average of actual bad debt write-offs. When multiplied by Empire's proposed annual retail revenues of \$456,465,188, this results in an annualized bad debt expense of \$2,329,647. Based on the level of bad debt expense included in the Staff's ER-2014-0351 "Accounting Schedule 09, Income Statement", Line 111 of \$2,253,726, OPC's proposed normalized level of bad debt expense adjustment is \$75,920.
- Q. Is there an indication that Empire's annual level of bad debt as a percentage of revenues is decreasing?
- A. Yes, Empire's bad debt write-off ratio for the twelve months ended September 2015 is 0.41 percent. Using this figure, OPC would be recommending a decrease in test year expense of \$370,277.

3

7 8 9

10 11 12

13 14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

25

- Has a 3-year average of actual bad debt write-offs been previously recommended to O. the Commission when Empire's bad debt expense was increasing?
- Yes. In the direct testimony filed in Case No. ER-2002-424 by Charles Hyneman while he still worked for Staff, it was recommended that a 3-year average was appropriate. Mr. Hyneman, now a member of OPC, explained his rationale for this adjustment at page 5, lines 10 - 17 in his direct testimony:

Adjustment S-70.1 adjusts bad debt expense to reflect a three-year average of actual bad debt write-offs. An analysis performed by the Staff indicated that Empire's bad debt writeoffs have increased significantly over the last few years. To reflect this increase in an annualized level, the Staff is proposing a threeyear average of actual writeoffs as opposed to a more typical five-year average. The Staff averaged the actual writeoffs for 2000, 2001 and the first six months of 2002 times two and subtracted this amount from Empire's per book bad debt accrual. (emphasis added)

- Does OPC propose to update its bad debt expense adjustment? Q.
- A. Yes. If Empire's updated bad debt write-off continues to decrease, then the most recent twelve months of data may be appropriate to normalize this expense. Likewise, if the bad debt ratios reverse recent trend and start to increase, OPC may propose to use a longer averaging period, such as a 5-year period.
- IX. RIVERTON LONG-TERM **MAINTENANCE** 12 CONTRACT TRACKER (LTM)
- Q. How long has the LTM tracker been in place?

	Keri Ro	Testimony of oth to the control of t
1	A.	The tracker has been in place since the 2014 rate case. Per the Revised Stipulation and
2		Agreement and List of Issues attached to the Commission's Report and Order, on page 3:
3 4 5 6		10. Riverton 12 O&M Tracker: The Signatories agree that the tracker for Riverton 12 Long-Term Maintenance Contract shall be established, with the base set at \$2.7 million, Missouri jurisdictional
7	Q.	What is the balance of the Riverton 12 LTM tracker?
8	A.	The balance of the tracker is a regulatory liability of \$788,957.
9	Q.	Has the Commission authorized treatment for the balance of the tracker?
10	A.	Yes. Item No. 10 - "Riverton 12 O&M Tracker", as previously mentioned above, goes on
11		to state:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Fluctuations in actual charges above and below this annual level of expense (base) will be recorded in a regulatory asset/liability account. The balance recorded in the regulatory asset/liability account at the time of Empire's next Missouri general rate case will be amortized over three years, and the revenue requirement associated with the tracker will be taken into account during Empire's next Missouri rate case.
20	Q.	Did OPC agree to the establishment of the tracker in Case No. ER-2014-0351?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Does OPC recommend re-basing the tracker in the current case?
23	A.	No. At this time, OPC does not believe there is sufficient actual information to justify a re-
24 25		base. OPC will re-evaluate the base level in the next general rate case when additional historical cost information becomes available.

Direct Testimony of Keri Roth Case No. ER-2016-0023

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes.

Schedule KNR-1

CASE PARTICIPATION OF KERI ROTH

Company Name	Case No.
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2012-0345
Emerald Pointe Utility Company	SR-2013-0016
Lake Region Water & Sewer Company	WR-2013-0461
Summit Natural Gas of Missouri, Inc.	GR-2014-0086
Hickory Hills Water & Sewer Company, Inc.	WR-2014-0167/SR-2014-0166
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2014-0351
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2015-0178
Missouri Gas Energy	GO-2015-0179
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2015-0301