

ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE, PEACE & JOHNSON, L.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

700 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE
COL. DARWIN MARMADUKE HOUSE

P.O. BOX 1438

JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-1438

TELEPHONE 573-634-3422

FAX 573-634-7822

EUGENE E. ANDERECK

TERRY M. EVANS

ERWIN L. MILNE

JACK PEACE

CRAIG S. JOHNSON

RODRIC A. WIDGER

GEORGE M. JOHNSON

BEVERLY J. FIGG

WILLIAM S. LEWIS

VICTOR S. SCOTT

COREY K. HERRON

LANETTE R. GOOCH

SHAWN BATTAGLER

ROB TROWBRIDGE

JOSEPH M. PAGE

LISA C. CHASE

DEIDRE D. JEWEL

JUDITH E. KOEHLER

ANDREW J. SPORLEDER

OF COUNSEL

MARVIN J. SHARP

PATRICK A. BAUMHOER

GREGORY C. STOCKARD (1904-1993)

PHIL HAUCK (1924-1991)

July 17, 2002

Secretary of PSC
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

FILED²

JUL 17 2002

Re: Consolidated Case No. TC-2002-57

Dear Secretary:

**Missouri Public
Service Commission**

Enclosed for filing please find an original and eight (8) copies each of Petitioner's Motion Requesting Commission Take Official Notice of Documents in the above cited case. A copy has been sent to all attorneys of record listed below.

Thank you for seeing this filed.

Sincerely,


Lisa Cole Chase

LCC:sw

Enc.

cc: MITG Managers
PSC General Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
Paul S. DeFord
Leo J. Bub
Lisa Creighton Hendricks

Monica Barone
Larry W. Dority
Richard S. Brownlee, III
James F. Mauze/Thomas E. Pulliam
Joseph D. Murphy
Mark P. Johnson

Trenton Office
9th And Washington
Trenton, Missouri 64683
660-359-2244
Fax 660-359-2116

Springfield Office
1111 S. Glenstone
P.O. Box 4929
Springfield, Missouri 65808
417-864-6401
Fax 417-864-4967

Princeton Office
207 North Washington
Princeton, Missouri 64673
660-748-2244
Fax 660-748-4405

Smithville Office
119 E. Main Street
P.O. Box. 654
Smithville, Missouri 64089
816-532-3895
Fax 816-532-3899

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF MISSOURI**

FILED²

JUL 17 2002

**Missouri Public
Service Commission**

Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company)	
And Modern Telecommunications Company, et al.)	
)	
Petitioners,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. TC-2002-57
)	Case No. TC-2002-113
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company,)	Case No. TC-2002-114
Southwestern Bell Wireless (Cingular),)	Case No. TC-2002-167
Voice Stream Wireless (Western Wireless))	Case No. TC-2002-181
Aerial Communications, Inc., CMT Partners,)	Case No. TC-2002-182
(Verizon Wireless), Sprint Spectrum, LP,)	Consolidated
United States Cellular Corp., and Ameritech)	
Mobile Communications, Inc., et al.)	
)	
Respondents.)	

**PETITIONER'S MOTION REQUESTING COMMISSION TAKE OFFICIAL NOTICE
OF DOCUMENTS**

COMES NOW Petitioners, Mid-Missouri Telephone Company, Alma Telephone Company, Northeast Missouri Rural Telephone Company, Modern Telecommunications Company, MoKan Dial, Inc., Choctaw Telephone Company, and Chariton Valley Telephone Company, ("MITG Companies") and pursuant to § 536.070(6) RSMo and 4 CSR 240-2.130(2), hereby request the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") to take official notice of the referenced portions of the following interconnection agreement, and the Commission order approving same:

1. The interconnection agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum, LP d/b/a Sprint PCS, which was submitted for approval pursuant to §

252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1), and was approved by the Commission pursuant to § 252(e)(1) of the Act in case TO-98-29 on October 15, 1997.

2. After approval by the Commission, the interconnection agreement was duly filed with the Commission pursuant to 4 CSR 240-30.010. Upon filing, this interconnection agreement became a part of the law of the State of Missouri pursuant to § 392.220.1 RSMo. *Central Controls Co., Inc. v. AT & T Information Systems, Inc.*, 746 S.W.2d 150, 153 (Mo. App. E.D. 1988) (“*Central Controls*”).

3. Pursuant to §536.070(6) RSMo, an agency “shall take official notice of all matters of which the courts take judicial notice.” As the interconnection agreement is recognized as part of the law of Missouri, the Commission may take official notice of the interconnection agreement. *Central Controls*, 746 S.W.2d at 153.

4. This interconnection agreement is 60 or more pages in length. Producing the entire agreement as an exhibit, with the requisite number of copies, would be cumbersome and burdensome, as well as costly to reproduce. Petitioner’s recognize other parties may desire notice and use of other excerpts.

WHEREFORE Petitioners request that the Commission take official notice, for purposes of this proceeding, of the complete interconnection agreement cited above, and more specifically the attached Commission order in Case No. TO-98-29, which approved the interconnection agreement, and the following portions of said interconnection agreement: pages 1-5, 12, 15-19, 41-42, 44-45 and 61.

Respectfully Submitted,

**ANDERECK, EVANS, MILNE,
PEACE & JOHNSON, L.L.C.**

By *Lisa Chase*

Craig S. Johnson MO Bar No. 28179

Lisa Cole Chase MO Bar No. 51502

The Col. Darwin Marmaduke House

700 East Capitol

P.O. Box 1438

Jefferson City, MO 65102

Telephone: (573) 634-3422

Facsimile: (573) 634-7822

Email: Cjohnson@AEMPB.com

Email: lisachase@AEMPB.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was mailed, via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 17th day of July, 2002, to all attorneys of record in this proceeding.

Lisa Chase
Lisa Cole Chase Mo Bar No. 51502

MISSOURI
AGREEMENT FOR INTERCONNECTION
AND RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION

by and between

SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P.

and

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section Number and Heading</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
1. DEFINITIONS	1
2. INTERCONNECTION	5
2.1 Interconnection Facilities.....	5
2.2 Facility Location	6
2.3 Additional Interconnection Methods Available to Carrier	7
2.4 Interconnection Methods Available to SWBT	8
2.5 Technical Requirements and Standards	9
3. TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF TELEPHONE EXCHANGE SERVICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 251(C)(2)	9
3.1 Basic Terms.....	9
3.2 Reciprocal Compensation.....	10
3.3 Additional Compensation.....	12
3.4 Signaling.....	12
4. TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF EXCHANGE ACCESS SERVICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 251(C)(2)	13
4.1 General.....	13
4.2 Access Charges.....	13
5. TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF OTHER TYPES OF TRAFFIC	14
5.1 800/888 Traffic	14
5.2 E911/911 Traffic.....	15
5.3 Directory Assistance.....	15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section Number and Heading</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
5.4 Operator Services.....	17
5.5 Area Wide Calling Plan	18
6. ADDITIONAL ORDERING AND BILLING PROVISIONS	19
6.1 Ordering	19
6.2 Billing	20
6.3 Miscellaneous Nonrecurring Charges	20
7. NETWORK MAINTENANCE AND MANAGEMENT	22
7.1 Network Management Controls	22
7.2 Law Enforcement and Civil Process.....	23
8. NUMBERING ISSUES	23
8.1 Access to Numbering Resources	23
8.2 Local Dialing Parity	24
8.3 IntraLATA Toll Dialing Parity.....	24
9. VERIFICATION REVIEWS	24
10. LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION.....	25
10.1 Untitled.....	25
10.2 NO CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES	25
10.3-10.5 Untitled	26
10.6 OSHA Requirements	26
11. CONFIDENTIALITY AND PROPRIETARY INFORMATION	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section Number and Heading</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
12. PUBLICITY	28
13. DISPUTE RESOLUTION.....	28
13.1 Finality of Disputes	28
13.2 Alternative to Litigation	28
14. INTERVENING LAW	30
15. SECTION 251(i) OBLIGATIONS.....	30
16. ACCESS TO RIGHTS OF WAY	31
17. CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	31
18. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	31
18.1 Effective Date	31
18.2 Term and Termination	32
18.3 Binding Effect	32
18.4 Assignment	32
18.5 Third Party Beneficiaries.....	32
18.6 Force Majeure.....	33
18.7 DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES.....	33
18.8 Survival of Obligations	33
18.9 Waiver	33
18.10 Trademarks and Trade Names.....	34
18.11 Taxes.....	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section Number and Heading</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
18.12 Relationship of the Parties.....	34
18.13 Services.....	34
18.14 Notices	34
18.15 Expenses	35
18.16 Headings.....	35
18.17 Governing Law	35
18.18 Multiple Counterparts	35
18.19 Complete Terms	35
SIGNATURE PAGE.....	36

Appendices:

MTA

DCO

PRICING

provide Carrier at least 120 days written notice and shall work cooperatively with Carrier to reestablish the interconnection at another SWBT location within the 120 days. SWBT agrees to waive nonrecurring charges associated with SWBT initiated re-homing of facilities; provided, however, that Carrier shall be responsible for any other costs associated with the reconfiguration of the Carrier's network. In addition, SWBT may add a location to Appendix DCO at any time, and shall notify Carrier of such addition in writing, which shall be considered an amendment to Appendix DCO.

2.2.2 Per LATA Requirement

Carrier acknowledges that SWBT is restricted in its ability to pass traffic from one LATA to another under the Act. As a result, Carrier agrees to interconnect to at least one SWBT facility in each LATA in which it desires to pass traffic to SWBT for transport and termination within such LATA. This requirement shall remain in effect until SWBT, in its reasonable judgment, notifies Carrier in writing that it is no longer subject to InterLATA restrictions in its Territory.

2.2.3 Incumbent LEC Requirement

The Parties acknowledge that the terms and conditions specified in this Agreement do not apply to the provision of services or facilities by SWBT in those areas where SWBT is not the incumbent LEC.

2.3 Additional Interconnection Methods Available to Carrier

2.3.1 Carrier may provide its own facilities and transport for the delivery of traffic from its MSC (or other mutually agreed upon point on Carrier's network) to the interconnection point on SWBT's network. Alternatively, Carrier may purchase an entrance facility and transport from a third party or from SWBT for the delivery of such traffic. Rates for entrance facilities and transport purchased from SWBT are specified in Section 7 of the applicable interstate or intrastate Special Access Tariffs.

2.3.2 Carrier may request virtual collocation from SWBT at the rates, terms and conditions specified in FCC Tariff No. 73, Section 25, and physical collocation as specified in applicable tariff (or in the absence of an applicable tariff, on an individual case basis). Alternatively, Carrier may collocate at a SWBT facility with a third party with whom SWBT has already contracted for collocation. When Carrier collocates at a SWBT facility, it shall provide for the transport of traffic from its network to the appropriate interconnection point on SWBT's network pursuant to section 2.3.1 above. SWBT shall provide collocation space to Carrier only for

3.1.2 Land to Mobile Traffic

3.1.2.1 SWBT shall be responsible for the delivery of traffic from its network to the appropriate point of interconnection (within the serving wire center boundary of the end office in which the tandem, providing Type 2A Interconnection, is located, or within the serving wire center boundary of the end office providing Type 1 Interconnection) on its network for the transport and termination of such traffic by Carrier to the handset of a Carrier end user.

3.1.2.2 Unless SWBT elects to have Carrier or a third party provision facilities under section 2.4, SWBT shall provide the physical plant facilities that interconnect SWBT's point of interconnection with Carrier's point of interconnection. SWBT shall be responsible for the physical plant facility from its network to the appropriate point of interconnection within the serving wire center boundary of the end office in which the tandem, providing Type 2A Interconnection, is located, or within the serving wire center boundary of the end office providing Type 1 Interconnection on its network.

3.1.3 Traffic To Third Party Providers

Carrier and SWBT shall compensate each other for traffic that transits their respective systems to any Third Party Provider, as specified in Appendix PRICING. The Parties agree to enter into their own agreements with Third Party Providers. In the event that Carrier does send traffic through SWBT's network to a Third Party Provider with whom Carrier does not have a traffic interchange agreement, then Carrier agrees to indemnify SWBT for any termination charges rendered by a Third Party Provider for such traffic.

3.2 **Reciprocal Compensation**

3.2.1 Rates

The Parties shall provide each other symmetrical, Reciprocal Compensation for the transport and termination of Local Traffic at the rates specified in Appendix PRICING. SWBT shall compensate Carrier for the transport and termination of Local Traffic originating on SWBT's network; Carrier shall compensate SWBT for the transport and termination of Local Traffic originating on Carrier's network. Compensation shall vary based on the method of interconnection used by the Parties, as specified in Appendix PRICING. Additional charges may also apply (on a non-symmetrical, non-reciprocal basis) as provided for in this Agreement. The Parties

acknowledge that the rates set forth in Appendix PRICING are interim and shall be replaced by final rates as adopted by the Commission, or the FCC, based on final and unappealable costing rules adopted by the FCC, as further described below and in Section 14.

3.2.2 True Up

The Parties recognize that rates, among other things, provided for under this Agreement may be affected by subsequent rulings of state or federal legislative bodies, courts, or regulatory agencies of competent jurisdiction. Accordingly, the Parties agree that in the event of such a final, non-appealable ruling, the Parties shall true up the Reciprocal Compensation provided for in this section once such a ruling, decision or other mandate becomes effective, final and non-appealable (the "True Up Date"). The true up shall provide each Party the level of compensation it would have received had the final rates been in effect starting as of the effective date of this Agreement and ending on the True Up Date. The Parties shall complete the true up 60 days after the True Up Date.

3.2.3 Exclusions

Reciprocal Compensation shall apply solely to the transport and termination of Local Traffic, and shall not apply to any other traffic or services, including without limitation:

- 3.2.3.1 interMTA traffic;
- 3.2.3.2 Transiting Traffic;
- 3.2.3.3 traffic which neither originates nor terminates on Carrier's network;
- 3.2.3.4 non-local traffic associated with SWBT's Area Wide Calling Plan; and
- 3.2.3.5 Paging Traffic.

3.2.4 Measuring Calls as Local Traffic

In order to measure whether traffic is Local Traffic for purposes of calculating Reciprocal Compensation, the Parties agree as follows; for SWBT, the origination or termination point of a call shall be the end office which serves, respectively, the calling or called party. For Carrier, the origination or termination point of a call shall be the cell site/base station which serves, respectively, the calling or called party at the time the call begins.

3.2.5 Conversation Time

For purposes of billing compensation for the interchange of Local Traffic, billed minutes will be based upon conversation time. Conversation time will be determined from actual usage recordings. Conversation time begins when the terminating Party's network receives answer supervision and ends when the terminating Party's network receives disconnect supervision.

3.3 Additional Compensation

In addition to any other charges specified in this Agreement, the following charges may be applicable as specified in this Agreement at the rates listed in Appendix PRICING. Charges listed are in addition to, not exclusive of, any other charges that may be applicable under this Agreement.

3.3.1 Transiting Charge: Each Party shall compensate the other Party for traffic which transits the other Party's network destined to a Third Party Provider at rates specified in Appendix PRICING.

3.3.2 Facilities Charges: Each Party shall compensate the other (not on a reciprocal, symmetrical basis) for the use of the providing Party's facilities between Carrier and SWBT points of interconnection, in either direction, as the case may be.

3.3.3 Special Requests: All requests for (i) services covered by this Agreement for which facilities do not exist, (ii) facilities, equipment or technologies not in the providing Party's sole discretion, necessary to fulfill a request under this Agreement, or (iii) services not specifically enumerated in this Agreement, shall be handled as a Special Request, as described in Section 6.1.2.2. Special Requests under (ii) may include, without limitation, requests for fiber, microwave, alternate routing, redundant facilities and other non-standard facilities or services.

3.4 Signaling

SWBT will provide at Carrier's request Signaling System 7 ("SS7") in order to allow out of band signaling in conjunction with the exchange of traffic between the Parties' respective networks. SWBT shall provide such service at the rates specified in Appendix PRICING. This rate is for the use of multiple SWBT STPs in the provisioning of mobile to land traffic. Charges for STP Access Links and Port Terminations used to connect Carrier's MSC or STP (whichever is applicable) and SWBT's STP shall be shared by the Parties based on the proportional (percentage) basis as specified in Appendix PRICING and at rates specified in Section 23 of FCC Tariff No. 73.

4. TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF EXCHANGE ACCESS SERVICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 251(C)(2)

This Section 4 provides the terms and conditions for the exchange of traffic between Carrier's network and SWBT's network for switched access to/from IXC's, thus enabling Carrier end users to access (or be accessible to) IXC's for the transmission and routing of interMTA and interLATA calls.

4.1 General

4.1.1 Carrier may order Equal Access Trunks in order to provide for access to IXC's through SWBT's network. Equal Access Trunks shall be used solely for the transmission and routing of Exchange Access to allow Carrier's end users to access (or be accessible to) IXC's, and shall not be used by Carrier for any other purpose.

4.1.2 For as long as SWBT may require, Carrier shall provide SWBT the appropriate call data to allow SWBT to bill IXC's for Originating Access (as defined below). Such data shall be provided in a form mutually agreed to by the Parties. SWBT shall notify Carrier in writing when it no longer requires Carrier to provide such data.

4.2 Access Charges

4.2.1 When Applicable

Carrier shall pay SWBT Switched Access charges (including Carrier Common Line, Local Switching and Transport) for any and all traffic which crosses an MTA boundary (as defined by the cell site/base station at which the call originates or terminates and the SWBT end user's serving wire center at which the call originates or terminates). Switched Access charges are specified in Appendix PRICING paragraph 5.2 as interMTA rates.

Both Parties recognize that legislative and regulatory activities may impact the rates, terms and conditions associated with interstate Switched Access services. The Parties agree that any rate changes associated with interstate Switched Access services will flow through to the interMTA rates specified in Appendix PRICING as stated in Section 14 of this Agreement.

If traffic is handed from SWBT directly to an IXC, from Carrier to an IXC via equal access trunks, or from an IXC directly to SWBT, access charges shall not apply to Carrier.

4.2.2 InterMTA Factor

The Parties have agreed upon the interMTA factor specified in Appendix PRICING, which represents the percent of total minutes to be billed access charges. Carrier represents that the factor is based on a reasonable traffic study conducted by Carrier, and shall make such study available to SWBT upon request. Six months after the effective date of this Agreement, and every twelve (12) months thereafter, Carrier shall conduct a study (available to SWBT on request) to ensure the Parties are using an accurate interMTA factor.

4.2.3 Examples

Following are two examples of traffic for which Carrier shall be required to pay access charges. They are examples only and in no way shall be deemed limiting or exhaustive of the applicability of access charges under this Agreement.

4.2.3.1 When a SWBT end user calls a Carrier end user (a land to mobile call), SWBT delivers the call to Carrier, and Carrier transports the call across MTA boundaries (either directly or through an IXC) access charges shall apply to Carrier ("Originating Access").

4.2.3.2 When a Carrier end user calls a SWBT end user (a mobile to land call), the call crosses MTA boundaries, and Carrier transports the call across MTA boundaries, access charges shall apply to Carrier ("Terminating Access").

5. TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF OTHER TYPES OF TRAFFIC

This Section 5 provides the terms for the exchange of 800/888 traffic, 911/E911 traffic, and Directory Assistance traffic from an end user on Carrier's network to SWBT's network.


5.1 800/888 Traffic


5.1.1 Carrier may order from SWBT Miscellaneous Facilities in order to deliver 800/888 Traffic from a Carrier end user to SWBT's network. Such Miscellaneous Facilities shall be used solely for the transmission and routing of 800/888 traffic to allow Carrier's end users to send calls to SWBT for completion to IXCs, LECs other than SWBT, or SWBT.

5.1.2 Charges for Miscellaneous Facilities are specified in Section 7 of the applicable interstate or intrastate Special Access Tariffs. Additional charges for services provided on Miscellaneous Facilities may also apply,

If this Agreement is acceptable to Carrier and SWBT, both Parties will sign in the space provided below. This Agreement shall not bind Carrier and SWBT until executed by both parties.

THIS AGREEMENT CONTAINS A BINDING ARBITRATION AGREEMENT.


Sign:


Sign:

Al Kurtze
Print Name:

Stephen M. Carter
Print Name:

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
Position/Title
Sprint Spectrum

Vice President & General Manager
Position/Title
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company

5-9-97
Date:

May 1, 1997
Date:

APPENDIX MTA

PCS License Areas Served

By

SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P.

<u>MARKET AREA</u>	<u>MAJOR TRADING AREA</u>
Dallas, TX	MTA 19
Kansas City KS	MTA 37
Little Rock, AK	MTA 41
Oklahoma City, OK	MTA 61
San Antonio, TX	MTA 79
St. Louis, MO	MTA 75
Tulsa, OK	MTA 89
Wichita, KS	MTA 93

MISSOURI

APPENDIX PRICING

1.0 Mobile to Land Interconnection Rates Per Minute of Use

Type 2A	Type 1	Type 2B	Transiting
\$.01	\$.01	\$.004	\$.004

2.0 Land to Mobile Interconnection Rates Per Minute of Use

All Interconnection Types	Transiting
\$.01	\$.004

3.0 Carrier facilities will be provided at rates, terms, and conditions developed on an individual case basis.

4.0 Shared Facility (1)(2)

4.1	Shared Facility Factor - Carrier	.80
4.2	Shared Facility Factor - SWBT	.20

5.0 Inter MTA Traffic (2)

5.1	Inter MTA Traffic Factor	.10
5.2	Inter MTA Rates (to be paid to SWBT by Carrier on applicable Inter MTA calls)	
	Land to Mobile (originating)	\$.023971
	Mobile to Land (terminating)	\$.023971

- (1) These factors represent the percentage of the facility rate that each Party will pay for each shared connecting facility.
- (2) This is an interim factor agreed to by Carrier and SWBT. This factor is to be verified within six (6) months of the Effective Date of this Agreement.

APPENDIX DCO

SPRINT PCS POIs

MTA NAME	MTA NUMBER	CLLI
Dallas	19	AUSUTXZMCM0
Dallas	19	DLLCTXIKCM0
Dallas	19	FTWPTXNLCM0
Kansas City	37	LENXKSGOCM1
Little Rock	41	NLRKARBRCM0
Oklahoma City	61	OKCYOKXDCM0
San Antonio	79	SNANTXFXCM0
St. Louis	75	MRHGMOCJCM0
Tulsa	89	OKCYOKXDCM0
Wichita	93	LENXKSGOCM1

APPENDIX DCO

SPRINT PCS POIs

ADDRESS

10701 Metric Blvd., Austin, TX. 78758
4929 Reading, Dallas, TX. 75247
300 Industrial, Ft. Worth, TX. 76104
15481 W 110th, Lenexa, KS. 66219
900 W. Broadway, North Little Rock, AR. 72114
1717 W. Main, Oklahoma City, OK. 73106
217 Warren St., San Antonio, TX. 78201
24 Worthington Access Dr., St. Louis, MO. 63043
1717 W. Main, Oklahoma City, OK. 73106
15481 W 110th, Lenexa, KS. 66219

000000

STATE OF MISSOURI

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a Session of the Public Service
Commission held at its office
in Jefferson City on the 15th
day of October, 1997.

In the Matter of the Joint Application of)
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and)
Sprint Spectrum L.P. for Approval of) CASE NO. TO-98-29
Interconnection Agreement Under the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT) and Sprint Spectrum L.P. (Sprint Spectrum) filed a joint application with the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) on July 22, 1997, for approval of an interconnection agreement (the Agreement) between SWBT and Sprint Spectrum. The Agreement was filed pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). See 47 U.S.C. ' 251, et seq.

The Commission issued an order and notice on July 30 which established a deadline for applications to participate without intervention, and established a deadline for comments. The Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity Telephone Company and Bourbeuse Telephone Company (collectively Fidelity) filed timely applications for participation, which were granted on August 26. The Small Telephone Company Group filed comments on September 11. SWBT filed a response to the comments on September 22. The Staff of the Commission (Staff) filed a memorandum containing its recommendation on September 26.

Although the Small Telephone Company Group filed comments, it did not request a hearing. The requirement for a hearing is met when the opportunity for hearing has been provided and no proper party has requested the opportunity to present evidence. State ex rel. Rex Deffenderfer Enterprises, Inc. v. Public Service Commission,

776 S.W.2d 494, 496 (Mo. App. 1989). Since no one has requested a hearing in this case, the Commission may grant the relief requested based upon the verified application. However, the Commission will consider the comments filed by the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity, along with SWBT=s reply and Staff=s recommendation.

Discussion

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252(e) of the Act, has authority to approve an interconnection agreement negotiated between an incumbent local exchange company (ILEC) and other telecommunications carriers. The Commission may reject an interconnection agreement only if the agreement is discriminatory to a nonparty or is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

The initial term of the Agreement between SWBT and Sprint Spectrum is a one-year period from the effective date of the Agreement; thereafter, the Agreement shall continue in effect until one of the parties gives a 60-day written notice of termination. The Agreement states that the parties shall effectuate all the terms of the Agreement as of April 21, 1997, in conjunction with final approval of the Agreement by the relevant State Commission.

The Agreement states that Sprint Spectrum may interconnect with SWBT=s network at any technically feasible point. The points of interconnection agreed to by the parties are listed in Appendix DCO. The Agreement also describes the network architectures which the parties may use to interconnect their networks. Either party may request physical collocation or virtual collocation. Sprint Spectrum may collocate at a SWBT facility with a third party with whom SWBT has already contracted for collocation, and vice versa. Sprint Spectrum may also request SONET-based interconnection. In addition, the parties may share SWBT interconnection facilities. Sprint Spectrum shall provide SWBT with an annual forecast of intended mobile to land usage for each point of interconnection. As a result of the interLATA restrictions on SWBT, Sprint Spectrum agrees to interconnect with at least one SWBT facility in each LATA in which it desires to pass traffic to SWBT for transport and termination.

Further, the parties have agreed upon a factor for traffic which crosses a major trading area (MTA) boundary. This factor represents the percent of total minutes which will be billed access charges. The parties agree that the initial factor will be set at .10. However, Sprint Spectrum is responsible for conducting a reasonable traffic study after six months and every twelve months thereafter, to ensure that the interMTA factor is accurate.

Sprint Spectrum may order equal access facilities, such that traffic exchanged between Sprint Spectrum=s and SWBT=s networks will have switched access to interexchange carriers (IXCs), thus enabling Sprint Spectrum=s end users to access IXCs. Sprint Spectrum shall provide appropriate call data to allow SWBT to bill IXCs for originating access. Sprint Spectrum shall also pay SWBT

switched access charges for any traffic which crosses an MTA boundary.

With respect to third-party providers, Sprint Spectrum and SWBT agree to compensate each other for traffic that transits their respective systems to any third-party provider. The parties also agree to enter into their own agreements with third-party providers. In the event that Sprint Spectrum sends traffic through SWBT's network to a third-party provider with whom Sprint Spectrum does not have an interconnection agreement, Sprint Spectrum will indemnify SWBT for any termination charges rendered by a third-party provider for such traffic.

In addition, the Agreement provides for the transmission and routing of other types of traffic, such as 800/888 traffic, E911/911 traffic, operator services, and directory assistance. Sprint Spectrum may request area-wide calling plan (AWCP) arrangements. SWBT will also provide signaling systems 7 (SS7) at Sprint Spectrum's request. In addition, the Agreement provides for access to numbering resources, access to rights-of-way, and network maintenance. SWBT will make local and intraLATA toll dialing parity available to Sprint Spectrum in accordance with the Act.

Finally, the Agreement provides that both parties shall provide each other with symmetrical, reciprocal compensation for the transport and termination of local traffic at the rates specified in the appendix PRICING. Because the parties recognize that the rates provided in the Agreement may be affected by subsequent rulings of state or federal legislative bodies, courts, or regulatory agencies, the Agreement provides that in the event of a final, non-appealable ruling, the parties shall Atrue-up@ the reciprocal compensation within 60 days of the date of the ruling.

On September 11, the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity filed their comments. The Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity state that since the language in the present interconnection agreement is similar to the language in dispute in the tariff filed in Case No. TT-97-524, which has been suspended, they have concerns regarding the approval of the interconnection agreement before the resolution of that case. They also contend that the portion of the Agreement regarding compensation of third-party providers may discriminate against companies that are not a party to the Agreement by affecting the companies' ability to terminate calls originating from wireless providers, thus interrupting service to their customers. For a more complete explanation of the concerns raised, the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity refer the Commission to the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Robert Schoonmaker filed in Case No. TT-97-524. In conclusion, the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity ask that the Commission carefully consider its approval of the present interconnection agreement.

On September 22, SWBT filed a reply to the comments submitted by the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity. SWBT claims that the Agreement makes clear that SWBT is only providing a transiting

function with respect to calls destined for a third-party provider's network, and also makes clear in the strongest possible terms that Sprint Spectrum is responsible for making arrangements directly with third-party carriers. SWBT also contends that the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity have not stated how the Agreement is discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Finally, SWBT notes that in the event Sprint Spectrum does not have an agreement with a particular third-party carrier, SWBT would continue to pass this traffic under the indemnification arrangement contained in the Agreement. SWBT asks that the Commission approve the Agreement in its entirety.

Staff filed its recommendation on September 26. With respect to the comments filed by the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity, Staff indicates that those issues will be addressed by the Commission in Case No. TT-97-524, and adds that Staff's position can be found in the testimony filed in Case No. TT-97-524, and in previous Staff recommendations in Case Nos. TO-97-523 and TO-97-533. Staff states that it has reviewed the proposed interconnection agreement and believes that the Agreement between SWBT and Sprint Spectrum meets the limited requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Specifically, Staff states that the Agreement does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the interconnection agreement and does not appear to be against the public interest. Staff recommends that the Commission approve the interconnection agreement and direct SWBT and Sprint Spectrum to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

However, Staff notes that on September 2, the parties filed five sheets to be substituted into the Agreement. Staff has reviewed these sheets and finds that the modifications contained therein are relatively minor: two rate changes and minor textual modifications. Staff contacted the parties' attorneys regarding the substitutions and determined that the changes are corrections mutually agreed to by the parties. Staff maintains that due to the nature of the modifications, a renotification of third parties is unnecessary. Further, Staff states that the modifications do not appear to be against the public interest or to discriminate against a nonparty, thus Staff recommends that the substitute sheets submitted on September 2 be incorporated into the Agreement and approved with the Agreement.

Findings of Fact

The Missouri Public Service Commission, having considered all of the competent and substantial evidence upon the whole record, makes the following findings of fact.

The Commission has considered the joint application, the interconnection agreement, the comments of the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity, SWBT's reply, and Staff's recommendation. Based upon that review, the Commission finds that the interconnection agreement filed on July 22 meets the requirements of the Act in that it does not unduly discriminate

against a non-party carrier, and implementation of the Agreement is not inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

The Commission has considered the concerns raised by the Small Telephone Company Group and Fidelity, but finds that those concerns can be better addressed in Case No. TT-97-524. In addition, it may also be possible to address this matter in the primary toll carrier (PTC) docket, Case No. TO-97-217. A resolution in either of these dockets may provide guidance for dealing with the issue on a statewide basis.

Modification Procedure

This Commission's first duty is to review all resale and interconnection agreements, whether arrived at through negotiation or arbitration, as mandated by the Act. 47 U.S.C. ' 252. In order for the Commission's role of review and approval to be effective, the Commission must also review and approve modifications to these agreements. The Commission has a further duty to make a copy of every resale and interconnection agreement available for public inspection. 47 U.S.C. ' 252 (h). This duty is in keeping with the Commission's practice under its own rules of requiring telecommunications companies to keep their rate schedules on file with the Commission. 4 CSR 240-30.010.

The parties to each resale or interconnection agreement must maintain a complete and current copy of the agreement, together with all modifications, in the Commission's offices. Any proposed modification must be submitted for Commission approval, whether the modification arises through negotiation, arbitration, or by means of alternative dispute resolution procedures.

The parties shall provide the Telecommunications Staff with a copy of the resale or interconnection agreement with the pages numbered consecutively in the lower right-hand corner. Modifications to an agreement must be submitted to the Staff for review. When approved the modified pages will be substituted in the agreement which should contain the number of the page being replaced in the lower right-hand corner. Staff will date-stamp the pages when they are inserted into the Agreement. The official record of the original agreement and all the modifications made will be maintained by the Telecommunications Staff in the Commission's tariff room.

The Commission does not intend to conduct a full proceeding each time the parties agree to a modification. Where a proposed modification is identical to a provision that has been approved by the Commission in another agreement, the modification will be approved once Staff has verified that the provision is an approved provision, and prepared a recommendation advising approval. Where a proposed modification is not contained in another approved agreement, Staff will review the modification and its effects and prepare a recommendation advising the Commission whether the modification should be approved. The Commission may approve the modification based on the Staff recommendation. If the Commission

chooses not to approve the modification, the Commission will establish a case, give notice to interested parties and permit responses. The Commission may conduct a hearing if it is deemed necessary.

Conclusions of Law

The Missouri Public Service Commission has arrived at the following conclusions of law.

The Commission, under the provisions of Section 252 (e) (1) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1997, 47 U.S.C. ' 252 (e) (1), is required to review negotiated interconnection agreements. It may only reject a negotiated agreement upon a finding that its implementation would be discriminatory to a non-party or inconsistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity under Section 252 (e) (2) (A). Based upon its review of the interconnection agreement between SWBT and Sprint Spectrum, and its findings of fact, the Commission concludes that the interconnection agreement filed on July 22 is neither discriminatory nor inconsistent with the public interest, and should be approved.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the interconnection agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum L.P. filed on July 22, 1997, and amended on September 2, 1997, is approved as amended.
2. That Southwestern Bell Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum L.P. shall file a copy of the interconnection agreement with the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission with the pages numbered seriatim in the lower right-hand corner.
3. That any further changes or modifications to this agreement shall be filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to the procedure outlined in this order.
4. That this order shall become effective on October 20, 1997.

BY THE COMMISSION

Cecil I. Wright

Executive Secretary

(S E A L)

Lumpe, Ch., Murray, and Drainer,

CC., Concur.

Crumpton, C., Not Participating.

Bensavage, Regulatory Law Judge