Exhibit No.: Issues: Fuel Costs/Ash Facility Witness: Robert K. Neff Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: ER-2007-0002 Date Testimony Prepared: January 31, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ROBERT K. NEFF

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

St. Louis, Missouri January, 2007

Public

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		ROBERT K. NEFF
4		CASE NO. ER-2007-0002
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	А.	My name is Robert Neff. My business address is One Ameren Plaza, 1901
7	Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.	
8	Q.	Are you the same Robert Neff that filed Direct Testimony in this
9	proceeding?	
10	А.	Yes, I am.
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
12	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to clarify certain components of AmerenUE's
13	fuel costs and to explain the Company's investments in ash recycling facilities.	
14	Q.	Are there any costs that were not included in Staff witness John Cassidy's
15	Direct Testimony that should be included in AmerenUE's fuel costs?	
16	А.	Yes. The costs of the hedging program associated with diesel fuel surcharge
17	costs should be included as part of the fuel cost calculation.	
18	Q.	What are diesel fuel surcharge hedge costs and why should they be
19	included?	
20	А.	As described on pages 33-34 of my Direct Testimony, heating oil futures call
21	options are used to limit AmerenUE's exposure to increasing coal transportation costs due to	
22	increases in diesel fuel prices. These options allow AmerenUE to capture the benefits of	
23	downward diesel fuel price movements while protecting against increases due to upward	

Rebuttal Testimony of Robert K. Neff

movements. These are financial hedges only, with no physical commodity being purchased. 1 2 Since these call options hedge increases in AmerenUE's transportation costs, the costs of 3 these options should be included in AmerenUE's revenue requirement. 4 Q. Are the costs of hedging diesel fuel exposure under transportation 5 contracts known for 2007? 6 The diesel fuel oil riders for all expected volumes of 2007 AmerenUE coal A. 7 transportation have been hedged with the purchase of heating oil call options. The premiums 8 paid for the purchase of these options total ** . Of this amount ** ** is 9 costs for AmerenUE's 2007 tonnage and should be included in fuel costs in this case. 10 Q. Why should the cost of these hedges be included in fuel costs? Don't these 11 options merely protect the Company's shareholders from exposure to volatility in oil 12 prices? 13 A. The Commission has recognized the prudency of hedging costs in many other 14 areas of fuel procurement, particularly natural gas acquisition. The Company's prudent 15 investment in call options in this case will protect both Company and ratepayers. 16 Q. How will the fuel oil hedging program protect ratepayers? 17 A. When the next electric rate case is filed, the fuel oil hedging program will 18 already be in place for the next test year, and will protect ratepayers from unexpected spikes 19 in oil prices during that test year. 20 **O**. Is the exposure to fuel oil riders in the coal transportation contracts 21 significant? 22 Yes. A comparison of weekly U.S. On-Highway Diesel prices over the past 2-A. 23 1/2 years shows a range in price from \$1.80 to \$3.15 per gallon. Comparing AmerenUE's

- 1 exposure to the transportation fuel oil riders at each of these levels shows a difference of
- 2 ** ** annually.

Q. Are there other subjects addressed in the direct testimony of interveners
to which you would like to respond?

- A. Yes, I would like to clarify some points made by State of Missouri witness
 Michael Brosch.
- 7

Q. What specifically would you like to address?

10 tons of bottom ash per year, and as a result of this investment, AmerenUE expects to be able

11 to avoid future ash disposal costs of about ** ** per ton. **

17

Q.

Why is this explanation not correct?

 Rebuttal Testimony of Robert K. Neff

1 avoided ash disposal savings are the current value of the expected future expenditures, and 2 not a savings of costs that were incurred in the test year. AmerenUE did not spend ** in ash disposal costs 2006, nor will AmerenUE spend ** 3 ** ** per 4 year in 2006, 2007 or the near term. These are expenses that will be incurred at some future 5 point in time when the ash pond is full and AmerenUE has no other option but to send ash to 6 a commercial landfill. 7 Q. How should the Commission address AmerenUE's investment in the ash 8 recycling facilities? 9 The ** ** investment in the ash recycling plant should be included A. 10 in AmerenUE's rate base because this project and others like it which provide beneficial uses 11 of ash allow AmerenUE to utilize its existing ash ponds for longer periods of time. Such 12 longer use avoids the ash disposal costs which will be incurred when the ponds fill up. 13 Q. **Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?** 14 Yes, it does. A.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Case No. ER-2007-0002

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT K. NEFF

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss **CITY OF ST. LOUIS**)

Robert K. Neff, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

My name is Robert K. Neff. I work in St. Louis, Missouri and I am employed by 1.

AmerenEnergy Fuels and Services Company as Vice President Coal Supply.

Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony 2.

on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 4 pages, which has been

prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-referenced docket.

I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to 3. the questions therein propounded are true and correct.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 Im day of January, 2007.

Robert K. Neff 007. Why Woodstock Wary Publica

My commission expires: May 19, 2008

CAROLYN J. WOODSTOCK Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Franklin County My Commission Expires: May 19, 2008