

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City)	
Power & Light Company for a Waiver or Variance)	Case No. EE-2008-0238
Of Certain Provisions of the Report and Order in)	
Case No. ER-2007-0291)	

REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, in response to the Commission's April 8, 2008 Order Establishing Procedural Schedule, and requests the Suspension of the Procedural Schedule established there-in. In support of this request, the Staff states as follows:

1. The Commission issued its Order Establishing Procedural Schedule on April 8, 2008. In that Order it was directed that the parties file Rebuttal Testimony on May 30, 2008.
2. On April 18, 2008, a Motion to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Determination, and Suggestions in Support was filed by Missouri Gas Energy, an intervenor in this matter. Also on April 18, 2008, a Motion to Dismiss, Strike and Sanction was filed by another intervenor, Trigen Kansas City Energy Corporation, and an Informational Filing was filed by Staff.
3. KCPL and Trigen filed responses to the April 18, 2008 filings on May 5, 2008.
4. Item No. 1, under "Case Discussion" on the Commission's May 20, 2008 Agenda was described as "EE-2008-0238 Missouri Gas Energy – Memorandum: Motions To Dismiss KCPL's Application For Waiver Or Variance To Serve More Customers Under The Existing All-Electric And Space-Heating Rates."

5. During this discussion item, Regulatory Law Judge Woodruff was directed to prepare an order dismissing this case, to be voted on at the Commission's next Agenda, set for May 29, 2008.

6. The deadline for the filing of Rebuttal Testimony is only one day after an order having the effect of dismissing KCPL's Application for a Waiver or Variance of Certain Provisions of the Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0291 is to be voted on at Agenda. The Staff requests the Suspension of the Procedural Schedule to save various parties the time and effort of preparing Rebuttal testimony that may become unnecessary.

7. If this case is not dismissed, a new procedural schedule can be adopted for these proceedings, or the existing procedural schedule can be slightly modified.

8. The Staff does not request this additional time to unduly delay these proceedings.

9. The Staff has discussed this matter with KCPL, Trigen, MGE, OPC, and Praxair, and the parties do not object to the Staff's request.

WHEREFORE, the Staff hereby requests that the Procedural Schedule in this case be suspended until the matter of the Motions to Dismiss this cause be resolved.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sarah Kliethermes
Sarah L. Kliethermes
Legal Counsel
Missouri Bar No. 60024

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-6726 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
sarah.kliethermes@psc.mo.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, or transmitted by facsimile or electronic mail to all counsel of record this 22nd day of May, 2008.

/s/ Sarah Kliethermes